1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES 1	DISTRICT COURT
9		TRICT OF WASHINGTON
10		
11		
12	FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION, STATE OF ARIZONA, and STATE OF	
13	WASHINGTON, Plaintiffs,	Civ. No. C98-1614Z
14	v.	STIPULATED ORDER RE:
15		REDRESS PAYMENTS BY DEFENDANTS AND RELIEF
16	WIN USA SERVICES LTD., IRAL SERVICES INC.,	DEFENDANT
17	IRAL ENTERPRISES INC., MICHAEL GHIRRA, and	
18	BOBBY GHIRRA, Defendants,	
19	and	
20	HARI BANS GHIRRA,	
21	Relief Defendant.	
22		
23	On April 13, 2000, this Court granted Plaintiffs' Motion for Summary Judgment as to all	
24	Defendants and Relief Defendant in this case and entered a Final Judgment and Order for	
25	Permanent Injunction ("Permanent Injunction"). The Permanent Injunction includes a provision,	
26	entitled Section II, Monetary Relief ("Section II"), requiring Defendants to pay consumer redress	
27	in the amount of \$3,189,373.69 in Canadian dollar	ars ("CAN"), and requiring the Relief Defendant
28	to pay consumer redress in the amount of \$515,3	79 (CAN), with payment of the latter amount to

offset the amount to be paid by Defendants.

2

4 5

6

7 8

9

1011

12

1314

15

1617

18

1920

21

2223

2425

26

27

28

On November 17, 1998, the British Columbia Ministry of Attorney General ("B.C. Ministry"), filed an action against these individual defendants and others for the same practices as those alleged in Plaintiffs' complaint. As a result of that action, the B.C. Ministry has frozen certain funds and other assets, including those of the Defendants and the Relief Defendant in this case. According to the British Columbia Director of Trade Practices, the amount of funds held frozen by the Ministry is \$605,312 (CAN), including approximately \$130,000 in retirement accounts that are not currently accessible by the account holders or otherwise available for consumer redress.

In light of these facts, the parties have determined that payment of consumer redress by Defendants and the Relief Defendant in the amount of \$500,000 (CAN) shall satisfy Section II of the Permanent Injunction and therefore request entry of the following stipulated order:

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED AS FOLLOWS:

- 1. Plaintiffs shall recover and Defendants and Relief Defendant shall pay consumer redress in the amount of \$500,000 (CAN). Such amount shall be paid by Defendants and Relief Defendant to the British Columbia Director of Trade Practices ("Director").
- 2. The Director may deduct from this amount any costs of his action against Win U.S.A., *et al.*, and this Stipulated Order is premised on payment by the Director to the Regional Director, Northwest Region, Federal Trade Commission, of the remaining balance.
- 3. These funds will be used to provide redress to consumers injured by Defendants' violations of the Telemarketing Sales Rule, the Federal Trade Commission Act, the Washington Unfair Business Practices-Consumer Protection Act, the Washington Commercial Telephone Solicitation Act, and the Arizona Consumer Fraud Act after first paying all expenses of administering the redress distribution. If Plaintiffs determine, in their sole discretion, that redress is wholly or partially impracticable, any funds not so used shall first be applied toward attendant expenses of administration and costs of bringing this action as to Plaintiff State of Arizona, in the amount of \$5,000 (U.S.), and as to Plaintiff State of Washington, in the amount of \$18,000 (U.S.), with any remaining balance paid to the United States Treasury as disgorgement.

Defendants and Relief Defendant may be notified as to how funds are disbursed, but shall have no right to contest the manner of distribution chosen by Plaintiffs.

- 4. In order to facilitate consumer redress, Defendants shall authorize the B.C. Ministry to provide to Plaintiffs, within 30 days of the entry of this Order, the Defendants' customer list, *i.e.*, a list of the names, addresses, telephone numbers, dates of purchase, methods of payment and amounts paid, of all consumers who provided funds to the Defendants for the purchase of chances or interests in foreign lottery tickets, which list is currently in the possession of the B.C. Ministry. If such information is available through data which is stored on a computer, Defendants shall describe for Plaintiffs, accurately and with particularity, the means by which the information can be accessed.
- 5. The Plaintiffs' agreement to this Order, requiring that Defendants and Relief Defendant be liable for less than the full amount of the Judgment in this case, is expressly premised upon the truthfulness, accuracy and completeness of Defendants' and Relief Defendant's financial statements, dated June 26, 2000, that were submitted to the Federal Trade Commission, when read together with the report of Thorsteinsons, Barristers and Solicitors, to Waddell, Raponi, Barristers and Solicitors, dated December 2, 1999. Such financial statements contain material information upon which the Plaintiffs relied in negotiating and agreeing to this Order. If, upon motion by the Plaintiffs or any one of them, this Court finds that any Defendant or the Relief Defendant has failed to disclose any material asset or materially misstated the value of any asset in any financial statement described above, or made any other material misstatement or omission in any financial statement described above, such Defendant or the Relief Defendant, as the case may be, shall be deemed to have breached the terms of the agreement underlying this Order.
- 6. In the event that Defendants breach the terms of the agreement underlying this Order as set forth in Paragraph 5 herein, the full amount of consumer redress as set forth in Section II (A) of the Permanent Injunction shall immediately become due and payable by Defendants to the Federal Trade Commission, less the amount paid to the Director pursuant to Paragraph 1 of this Stipulated Order.
 - 7. In the event that the Relief Defendant breaches the terms of the agreement

1	underlying this Order as set forth in Paragraph 5 herein, the full amount of consumer redress as		
2	set forth in Section II (B) of the Permanent Injunction shall immediately become due and payable		
3	by the Relief Defendant to the Federal Trade Commission, less the amount paid to the Director		
4	pursuant to Paragraph 1 of this Stipulated Order.		
5	8. Section II of the Permanent Injunction shall remain in full force and effect unless		
6	and until such time as the Plaintiffs file a Satisfaction of Judgment with this Court. Plaintiffs shall		
7	not file a Satisfaction of Judgment with the Court unless and until all of the terms and conditions		
8	of this Order have been met, including but not limited to full payment as set forth in Paragraphs 1		
9	and 2 herein. Filing a Satisfaction of Judgment shall affect only Section II of the Permanent		
10	Injunction; all other portions of the Permanent Injunction shall remain in full force and effect at all		
11	times.		
12	9. Defendants and Relief Defendant waive all claims under the Equal Access to		
13	Justice Act, 28 U.S.C. § 2412, and all rights to seek appellate review or otherwise to challenge		
14	the validity of this Stipulated Order or the Permanent Injunction.		
15			
16			
17	SO ORDERED, this day of, 2000.		
18			
19	HON. THOMAS S. ZILLY United States District Judge		
20	Officed States District Judge		
21	The parties hereby consent to the terms and conditions set forth above and consent to entry of this		
22	Order without further notice to the parties.		
23			
24	MARY T. BENFIELD ELEANOR DURHAM		
25	Attorneys for Plaintiff Federal Trade Commission		
26	Toucial Trade Commission		
27			
28	SYDNEY K. DAVIS		

1	Attorney for Plaintiff State of Arizona
2	State of the cond
3	
4	PAULA SELIS Attorney for Plaintiff
5	State of Washington
6	
7	BOBBY GHIRRA
8	
9	HARI BANS GHIRRA
10	
11	RICHARD J. TROBERMAN Attorney for Defendant Bobby Ghirra and
12	Relief Defendant Hari Bans Ghirra
13	
14	MICHAEL GHIRRA, Individually
15	
16	
17	MICHAEL GHIRRA, as President of Win
18	USA Services Ltd., IRAL Services Inc., and IRAL Enterprises Inc.
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	