```
1 JOHN D. GRAUBERT
   Acting General Counsel
  THOMAS J. SYTA (CA Bar #116286)
  RAYMOND E. MCKOWN (CA Bar #150975)
  BARBARA CHUN (CA Bar #186907)
4 Federal Trade Commission
  10877 Wilshire Blvd., Ste. 700
5 Los Angeles, CA 90024
   (310) 824-4343
  (310) 824-4380 (fax)
  ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF
   FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION
8
9
                      UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
10
                     CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
11
                            WESTERN DIVISION
12
13 FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION
14
                  Plaintiff,
                                           CV
15
        v.
                                           COMPLAINT FOR INJUNCTION
  HOLIDAY PLUS TRAVEL, LLC, a
                                           AND OTHER EQUITABLE RELIEF
   California company;
17
  BLAIN BURKE, individually;
18
  KEVIN M. CLARKE, individually and
19
  as an officer of HOLIDAY PLUS
   TRAVEL, LLC; and
20
  LIZETTE TEMPLETON, individually
21
  and as an officer of HOLIDAY
   PLUS TRAVEL, LLC,
22
                  Defendants.
23
24
25
        Plaintiff, the Federal Trade Commission ("FTC" or
26
   "Commission"), for its complaint alleges:
27
            The FTC brings this action under Sections 13(b) and
28 19 of the Federal Trade Commission Act ("FTC Act"), 15
                                                              Page 1 of 14
   D:\internet\inbox\HPTcomplaintJEFFREY2.wpd 5/29/01
```

1 U.S.C. §§ 53(b) and 57b, and the Telemarketing and Consumer 2 Fraud and Abuse Prevention Act ("Telemarketing Act"), 15 3 U.S.C. §§ 6101 et seq., to secure preliminary and permanent 4 injunctive relief, restitution, rescission or reformation of contracts, disgorgement, and other equitable relief for 6 Defendants' deceptive acts or practices in violation of Section 5(a) of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. § 45(a), and the 7 FTC's Telemarketing Sales Rule, 16 C.F.R. Part 310. 8

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- This Court has subject matter jurisdiction pursuant 11 ||to 15 U.S.C. §§ 45(a), 53(b), 57b, 6102(c), and 6105(b), and 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331, 1337(a), and 1345.
- Venue in the Central District of California is proper under 15 U.S.C. §§ 53(b) and 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b) 15 and (c).

PLAINTIFF

Plaintiff, the Federal Trade Commission, is an 17 18 independent agency of the United States Government created 19 by statute. 15 U.S.C. §§ 41 et seq. The Commission is 20 charged, inter alia, with enforcement of Section 5(a) of the 21 FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. § 45(a), which prohibits unfair or 22 deceptive acts or practices in or affecting commerce. The 23 Commission also enforces the Telemarketing Sales Rule, 16 24 C.F.R. Part 310, which prohibits deceptive or abusive 25 telemarketing acts or practices. The Commission is 26 authorized to initiate federal district court proceedings, 27 by its own attorneys, to enjoin violations of the FTC Act 28 and violations of the Telemarketing Sales Rule, in order to

9

10

12

13

1 secure such equitable relief as may be appropriate in each case, and to obtain consumer redress, 15 U.S.C. §§ 53(b), 57b, 6102(c), and 6105(b).

DEFENDANTS

- Defendant Holiday Plus Travel, LLC ("HPT") is a California limited liability company with its principal place of business at 5959 West Century Boulevard, Suite 510, Los Angeles, California 90045. HPT transacts or has transacted business in the Central District of California.
- Defendant Blain Burke manages or owns Defendant HPT. 11 At all times material to this complaint, acting alone or in concert with others, he has formulated, directed, 13 controlled, or participated in the acts and practices of 14 Defendant HPT. He transacts or has transacted business in 15 the Central District of California.
- Defendant Kevin M. Clarke is a manager or has held 7. himself out as a manager of Defendant HPT. At all times material to this complaint, acting alone or in concert with others, he has formulated, directed, controlled, or 20 participated in the acts and practices of Defendant HPT. transacts or has transacted business in the Central District 22 of California.
- Defendant Lizette Templeton is an officer or has 23 24 held herself out as an officer of Defendant HPT. At all times material to this complaint, acting alone or in concert with others, she has formulated, directed, controlled, or participated in the acts and practices of Defendant HPT.
 - She transacts or has transacted business in the Central

3

4

5

7

10

16

17

18

19

District of California.

2

7

8

10

12

18

25

COMMERCE

3 At all times relevant to this complaint, Defendants 4 have maintained a substantial course of trade in or affecting commerce, as "commerce" is defined in Section 4 of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. § 44. 6

<u>DEFENDANTS'</u> COURSE OF CONDUCT

- 10. Since at least March 1999, Defendants have operated a business enterprise that has deceived consumers throughout the United States and abroad by deceptively telemarketing 11 vacation travel packages.
- In addition to using in-house telemarketers, 13 Defendants contract with and utilize a number of "third-14 party" telemarketing firms throughout the United States to 15 sell Defendants' vacation travel packages. The contractual 16 relationship gives Defendants control over the third-party 17 telemarketers.
- 12. Defendants typically contact consumers by inviting 19 them to fill out a form at a public event that enables 20 consumers to participate in a "drawing." Defendants 21 represent that consumers may win a valuable travel package 22 prize. Defendants' telemarketers then contact consumers by 23 telephone and advise them that they have won or have been 24 specially selected to receive a vacation travel package.
- During the telephone call, Defendants' 26 telemarketers describe the contents of the vacation travel 27 packages. The packages offer various vacations, such as a 28 number of nights' lodging in various vacation destinations

1 such as Florida, with the use of a rental car; a cruise to 2 the Bahamas; and mini-vacations to other destinations.

- Defendants' telemarketers then inform consumers 3 that there is an "incidental charge," typically \$350 to \$399 per person, to obtain the vacation package. 6 telemarketers assure consumers that Defendants' vacation package is worth much more than the incidental charge. Defendants' also represent that this charge will be the total cost to obtain the vacation package. Consumers are 10 told that the charge will be placed on their credit accounts 11 or a debit will be made against their bank accounts to pay 12 for the vacation package. Once the consumers' credit card 13 numbers or bank account numbers are obtained, the charge is 14 made against their accounts.
- 15 15. Defendants misrepresent or fail to disclose 16 material terms of their refund and cancellation policies. For example, in numerous instances Defendants' telemarketers 17 18 ask for and are given consumers' credit card or bank account 19 information while assuring consumers that they can cancel 20 the transaction. However, when consumers subsequently 21 attempt to cancel, Defendants advise consumers that they have no right to do so. In other instances, Defendants obtain and charge consumers' credit or checking accounts before consumers are aware that HPT does not provide refunds or allow cancellations. 25
- Defendants later send consumers confirmation 16. materials that contain advertisements, information about the 28 vacation locations, and travel documents that require

26

1 consumers to send "reconfirmation" forms to Defendants 2 either 45 or 60 days prior to the consumers' desired travel 3 dates. When consumers read the confirmation materials, or when they reconfirm and begin to schedule their vacations, for the first time they learn of previously undisclosed expenses imposed by Defendants, and other material terms and conditions. 7

17. Many consumers attempt to cancel their purchase of the vacation travel package at various point during Defendants' sales call, and upon receipt of the confirmation 11 |materials. Defendants routinely deny consumers' requests for refunds or cancellations.

THE FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION ACT

18. Section 5(a) of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. § 45(a), 15 provides that "unfair or deceptive acts or practices in or affecting commerce are hereby declared unlawful."

VIOLATIONS OF SECTION 5 OF THE FTC ACT COUNT I

- 19. In numerous instances since at least 1999, in 20 connection with the advertising, marketing, promotion, offering for sale, or sale of vacation travel packages, Defendants have represented, expressly or by implication, that consumers have won or been specially selected to 24 receive a vacation travel package.
- 20. In truth and in fact, consumers have neither won nor 25 26 been specially selected to receive a vacation travel 27 The package is available to consumers only if they 28 pay various fees and costs to Defendants.

8

10

12

13

14

17

18

19

Therefore, Defendants' representation in Paragraph 21. 2 19 is false and misleading and constitutes a deceptive act or practice in violation of Section 5(a) of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. § 45(a).

COUNT II

- 22. In numerous instances since at least 1999, in connection with the advertising, marketing, promotion, offering for sale, or sale of vacation travel packages, Defendants have represented, expressly or by implication, that the price quoted to consumers in their sales 11 solicitation is the total cost to purchase, receive or use 12 the vacation travel package offered by Defendants.
- 23. In truth and in fact, in numerous instances, the price quoted to consumers in their sales solicitation is not 15 the total cost to purchase, receive or use the vacation travel package offered by Defendants.
- Therefore, Defendants' representation in Paragraph 17 24. 22 is false and misleading and constitutes a deceptive act 18 or practice in violation of Section 5(a) of the FTC Act, 15 20 U.S.C. § 45(a).

THE TELEMARKETING SALES RULE

- 25. In the Telemarketing Act, 15 U.S.C. §§ 6101 et 23 seq., Congress directed the FTC to prescribe rules 24 prohibiting abusive and deceptive telemarketing acts or practices. On August 16, 1995, the Commission promulgated the Telemarketing Sales Rule, 16 C.F.R. Part 310. became effective on December 31, 1995.
 - Defendants are "sellers" or "telemarketers" engaged

1

5

6

7

10

13

16

21

22

27

1 in "telemarketing," as those terms are defined in the 2 Telemarketing Sales Rule, 16 C.F.R. §§ 310.2(r), (t) 3 and (u).

- 27. The Telemarketing Sales Rule requires sellers and telemarketers "to disclose, in a clear and conspicuous 6 manner . . . all material restrictions, limitations, or conditions to purchase, receive, or use the goods or 8 services that are the subject of the sales offer. . [b]efore a customer pays for goods or services." 16 C.F.R. 10 S 310.3(a)(1)(ii).
- The Telemarketing Sales Rule also requires sellers 28. 12 and telemarketers to make a statement "in a clear and 13 conspicuous manner [that] the seller has a policy of not 14 making refunds, cancellations, exchanges, or repurchases" if 15 that is the case "[b]efore a customer pay for goods or 16 services." 16 C.F.R. § 310.3(a)(1)(iii).
- The Telemarketing Sales Rule prohibits sellers and 29. 18 telemarketers from "[m]isrepresenting, directly or by 19 implication, . . . [a]ny material aspect of the nature or 20 terms of the seller's refund, cancellation, exchange or 21 repurchase policies." 16 C.F.R. § 310.3(a)(2)(iv).
- 30. The Telemarketing Sales Rule also prohibits sellers 23 and telemarketers from "[m]isrepresenting, directly or by 24 implication, . . . [a]ny material aspect of a prize promotion including, but not limited to, the odds of being able to 26 receive a prize, the nature or value of a prize, or that a 27 purchase or payment is required to win a prize or to 28 participate in a prize promotion." 16 C.F.R.

4

5

11

17

 \S 310.3(a)(2)(v).

1

7

8

9

22

23

2 Pursuant to Section 3(c) of the Telemarketing Act, 15 U.S.C. § 6102(c), and Section 18(d)(3) of the FTC Act, 15 3 U.S.C. § 57a(d)(3), violations of the Telemarketing Sales Rule constitute unfair or deceptive acts or practices in or affecting commerce, in violation of Section 5(a) of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. § 45(a).

VIOLATIONS OF THE FTC TELEMARKETING SALES RULE COUNT III

10 32. In numerous instances, in connection with the 11 advertising, marketing, promotion, offering for sale, or 12 sale of vacation travel packages, Defendants have failed to 13 disclose, in a clear and conspicuous manner before consumers 14 |pay for the vacation travel package, all material 15 restrictions, limitations or conditions to purchase, 16 receive, or use the goods or services that are the subject of the sales offer, including but not limited to, that the 17 periods to travel are significantly limited due to blackout 18 19 dates and other scheduling restrictions. Defendants have thereby violated Section 310.3(a)(1)(ii) of the 20 21 Telemarketing Sales Rule, 16 C.F.R. § 310.3(a)(1)(ii).

COUNT IV

33. In numerous instances, in connection with the 24 advertising, marketing, promotion, offering for sale, or sale of vacation travel packages, Defendants have failed to disclose, in a clear and conspicuous manner before consumers pay for the vacation travel package, the total costs to 28 purchase, receive, or use any goods or services that are the 1 subject of the sales offer, including, but not limited to, 2 that the promised hotel accommodations may be available only 3 for an additional charge. Defendants have thereby violated 4 Section 310.3(a)(1)(i) of the Telemarketing Sales Rule, 16 5 C.F.R. § 310.3(a)(1)(i).

COUNT V

34. In numerous instances, in connection with the advertising, marketing, promotion, offering for sale, or sale of vacation travel packages, Defendants have failed to 10 disclose, in a clear and conspicuous manner before consumers 11 \parallel pay for the vacation travel package, that the seller has a 12 policy of not making refunds or cancellations. Defendants 13 have thereby violated Section 310.3(a)(1)(iii) of the 14 Telemarketing Sales Rule, 16 C.F.R. § 310.(3)(a)(1)(iii).

COUNT VI

In numerous instances, in connection with the 35. 17 advertising, marketing, promotion, offering for sale, or sale of vacation travel packages, Defendants have 19 misrepresented, directly or by implication, material aspects 20 of the nature or terms of the seller's refund or 21 cancellation policies, including, but not limited to, 22 informing consumers that they have the right to cancel and 23 later denying them that right. Defendants have thereby 24 violated Section 310.3(a)(2)(iv) of the Telemarketing Sales Rule, 16 C.F.R. § 310.3(a)(2)(iv).

COUNT VII

In numerous instances, in connection with the 36. 28 advertising, marketing, promotion, offering for sale, or

6

7

15

16

18

25

26

1 sale of vacation travel packages, Defendants have 2 misrepresented, directly or by implication, material aspects of a prize promotion including, but not limited to, that consumers have won or been specially selected to receive travel vacation packages when in fact consumers have not won or been specially selected to receive such packages. Defendants have thereby violated Section 310.3(a)(2)(v) of the Telemarketing Sales Rule, 16 C.F.R. § 310.3(a)(2)(v).

CONSUMER INJURY

37. Consumers throughout the United States have 11 suffered, and continue to suffer, substantial monetary loss 12 as a result of Defendants' unlawful acts and practices. 13 addition, Defendants have been unjustly enriched as a result of their unlawful acts and practices. Absent injunctive 15 relief, Defendants are likely to continue to injure consumers, reap unjust enrichment, and harm the public.

THIS COURT'S POWER TO GRANT RELIEF

- 38. Section 13(b) of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. § 53(b), 19 authorizes this Court to issue a permanent injunction against Defendants' violations of the FTC Act and, in the exercise of its equitable jurisdiction, to order such ancillary relief as a preliminary injunction, consumer redress, rescission, restitution and disgorgement of profits resulting from Defendants' unlawful acts or practices, and other remedial measures.
- 26 39. Section 19 of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. § 57b, and Section 6(b) of the Telemarketing Act, 15 U.S.C. § 6105(b), 27 28 authorize the Court to grant to the FTC such relief as the

5

7

8

9

10

16

17

18

20

21

1 Court finds necessary to redress injury to consumers or 2 other persons resulting from Defendants' violations of the Telemarketing Sales Rule, including the rescission and reformation of contracts and the refund of money.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff Federal Trade Commission, pursuant to Sections 13(b) and 19 of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. §§ 53(b) and 57b, Section 6(b) of the Telemarketing Act, 15 U.S.C. § 6105(b), and the Court's own equitable powers, request that the Court:

- Award Plaintiff such preliminary injunctive and 12 ancillary relief as may be necessary to avert the likelihood 13 of consumer injury during the pendency of this action and to preserve the possibility of effective final relief, including but not limited to, temporary and preliminary injunctions;
 - Permanently enjoin Defendants from violating the FTC Act and the Telemarketing Sales Rule, as alleged herein;
- 19 3. Award such relief as the Court finds necessary to 20 redress injury to consumers resulting from Defendants' 21 violations of the FTC Act and the Telemarketing Sales Rule including, but not limited to, rescission or reformation of contracts, restitution, refund of monies paid, and disgorgement of ill-gotten monies; and 24
 - Award Plaintiff the costs of bringing this action,

26 27

25

5

6

7

10

11

16

17

18

1	as well as such other additional relief as the Court may
2	determine to be just and proper.
3	
4	Respectfully Submitted,
5	
6	JOHN D. GRAUBERT Acting General Counsel
7	
8	Raymond E. McKown
9	Barbara Chun Attorneys for Plaintiff
10	Federal Trade Commission 10877 Wilshire Blvd., Ste. 700
11	Los Angeles, CA 90024 (310) 824.4343
12	(310) 824.4380 (fax)
13	
14	
15	
16	
17 18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
23	
2526	

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

2	My name is Raymond E. McKown. I am an attorney employed
3	by the Federal Trade Commission, 10877 Wilshire Blvd., Ste.
4	700, Los Angeles, California 90024. On
5	I placed the document captioned "COMPLAINT
6	FOR INJUNCTION AND OTHER EQUITABLE RELIEF," in the first
7	class United States mail, postage prepaid, addressed to the
8	following:
10	Kevin M. Clarke Ronnin Law Group 5959 West Century Boulevard, Suite 510 Los Angles, CA 90045 Attorney for Defendants
12	
13	I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing
14	is true and correct. Executed this day of
15	at Los Angeles, California.
16	
17	Raymond E. McKown
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	