What are the underlying causes of poor quality and high costs?

Elliott Fisher, MD, MPH Professor of Medicine Dartmouth Medical School

Overview of the argument

Underlying causes of poor quality and high costs Flawed understanding of medical care Inadequate information to support wise decisions Flawed incentives

Solution

Expanded model of medical care Organizational accountability -- for quality and costs Better information Fix the incentives

Outline

Part 1The implications of regional variations in
Medicare spending

Part 2 Causes and remedies

The implications of regional variations in Medicare spending

Motivation

Large disparities in spending across U.S. regions Longstanding -- first noted in early 1970s Not due to differences in price or illness Largely due to differences in *quantity of care: overall intensity*

Key Question: What does the additional spending buy? What kind of care? What are the implications for health?

> Fisher et al. Ann Intern Med 2003 Part 1: 138:273-87; Part 2; 288-98

Study design

Study population -- Medicare enrolleesAcute myocardial infarctionn = 159,393Colorectal Cancern = 195,429Hip Fracturen = 614,503Medicare Current Beneficiary Surveyn = 18,190

Comparison: assigned each group into quintiles Based upon practice intensity in region of residence Region defined using Dartmouth Atlas regions Used two different measures of intensity -- same results Average age-sex race adjusted per-capita Medicare spending across quintiles of intensity examined in the current study



Ratio: High to Low: 1.61

Were the populations similar at baseline across quintiles? <u>Predicted</u> one-year mortality



Were the populations similar at baseline across quintiles? <u>Predicted</u> one-year mortality



Were the populations similar at baseline across quintiles? <u>Predicted</u> one-year mortality **50** -40 -**Heart Attack** 30 -**Hip Fracture** 20 -**Colon Cancer** 10 -**MCBS** 0 **Q**1 **Q**2 Q3 Q4 Q5 Quintile of Practice Intensity High Low

Were the populations similar at baseline across quintiles? <u>Predicted</u> one-year mortality



Were they treated differently? Annual per-capita MD and Hospital resource use

Ratio Q5 / Q1



Outcomes Examined

Content and process of care

Effective care: Preference sensitive care: Supply-sensitive services: evidence based care all should receive multiple options involved utilization associated with supply

Effective Care: Ratio of Rates in Highest vs Lowest Spending Regions



Effective Care: Ratio of Rates in Highest vs Lowest Spending Regions



Preference-Sensitive Care: Highest vs Lowest Spending Regions



Supply-Sensitive Care : Highest vs Lowest Spending Regions

Physician Visits

Office Visits Inpatient Visits Initial Inpatient Specialist Consultations % of Patients seeing 10 or more MDs

Tests and Procedures

Electrocardiogram CT / MRI Brain Pulmonary Function Test Electroencephelogram (EEG)

Hospital Utilization

Discharges Total Inpatient Days Inpatient Days in ICU or CCU

Procedures -- Last 6 months of life

Feeding Tube Placement Emergency Intubation

Lower in High Spending Regions



Outcomes Examined

Content of care

Effective care: Preference sensitive care: Supply-sensitive services:

Access to Care Primary care Waiting times

Satisfaction 18 Individual items 5 Summary scores

Functional status

Mortality

Higher spending regions

worse no higher much higher

worse / no better worse

2 better, 2 worse 1 better, 1 worse

no better

Relative Risk of Death across Quintiles of Spending



Relative Risk of Death across Quintiles of Spending



Relative Risk of Death across Quintiles of Spending



The implications of regional variations in Medicare spending

Increased spending across regions is largely devoted to "supply-sensitive services"

Higher spending is associated with lower quality, worse access to care, and no gain in satisfaction.

Higher spending -- across U.S. regions -- is associated with a small increase in the risk of death.

Outline

Part 1 The implications of regional variations in Medicare spending

Part 2 Causes and remedies

Costs reflect the capacity of the system

	Lowest Quintile	Highest Quintile	Ratio
Average Medicare Spending	\$3,922	\$6,304	1.61
Supply of Resources			
Hospital Beds / 1000	2.4	3.2	1.32
Physician Supply			
Medical Subspecialists	28	44	1.65
General Internists	23	37	1.75
Family practitioner / GP	35	27	0.74
Surgeons	44	56	1.29
All other specialties	59	78	1.37

Costs reflect the capacity of the system

_		Lowest Quintile	Highest Quintile	Ratio	
	Average Medicare Spending	\$3,922	\$6,304	1.61	
	Supply of Resources				•
	Hospital Beds / 1000	2.4	3.2	1.32	
	Physician Supply				
	Medical Subspecialists	28	44	1.65	
	General Internists	23	37	1.75	
	Family practitioner / GP	35	27	0.74	
	Surgeons	44	56	1.29	
	All other specialties	59	78	1.37	

Spending and capacity: the role of hospital beds medical specialists



Why was quality no better or worse?

Quality?

Quality improvement requires <u>infrastructure</u> -- a system that can monitor and link processes and outcomes
Spending more on visits does not result in improved infrastructure
Incentives are for more care, not better care

Outcomes?

Treatments of clear-cut benefit are relatively few (and similar in low and high spending regions)Complexity leads to errorsHospitals are dangerous places

Remedies

Poor quality reflects failure to manage unwarranted variations in practice

Choosing the correct remedy requires a clear understanding of the causes.

Geography and the Debate over Medicare Reform. Wennberg, Fisher and Skinner Health Affairs, web exclusives, Feb 2002 Category of service

Underlying cause of poor care

Remedy

Effective Care Patient Safety

Ca	ategory
of	service



Effective Care Patient Safety Simplistic view of care-(encounter-based model) Failure to link processes to outcomes and learn.

Ca	ategory
of	service

Remedy

Effective Care Patient Safety Simplistic view of care-(encounter-based model) Failure to link processes to outcomes and learn. Accountable organizations (system-based model) Traditional quality improvement

Ca	ategory
of	service

Remedy

Effective Care Patient Safety Simplistic view of care-(encounter-based model) Failure to link processes to outcomes and learn. Accountable organizations (system-based model) Traditional quality improvement

Preference Sensitive Care

Ca	ategory
of	service

Remedy

Effective Care Patient Safety Simplistic view of care-(encounter-based model)

Failure to link processes to outcomes and learn.

Accountable organizations (system-based model)

Traditional quality improvement

Preference Sensitive Care Scientific uncertainty

MD-dominated decisions

Ca	ategory
of	service

Remedy

Effective Care Patient Safety Simplistic view of care-(encounter-based model)

Failure to link processes to outcomes and learn.

Accountable organizations (system-based model)

Traditional quality improvement

Preference Sensitive Care Scientific uncertainty MD-dominated

decisions

Outcomes research Shared Decision making

Ca	ategory
of	service

Remedy

Effective Care Patient Safety Simplistic view of care-(encounter-based model)

Failure to link processes to outcomes and learn.

Accountable organizations (system-based model)

Traditional quality improvement

Preference Sensitive Care Scientific uncertainty MD-dominated decisions Outcomes research Shared Decision making

Supply Sensitive Services

Ca	ategory
of	service

Remedy

Effective Care
Patient Safety

Simplistic view of care-(encounter-based model)

Failure to link processes to outcomes and learn.

Accountable organizations (system-based model)

Traditional quality improvement

Preference Sensitive Care Scientific uncertainty MD-dominated decisions Outcomes research Shared Decision making

Supply Sensitive Services Variations in supply Assumption that more is better

Ca	ategory
of	service

Remedy

Effective Care Patient Safety

Simplistic view of care-(encounter-based model)

Failure to link processes to outcomes and learn.

Accountable organizations (system-based model)

Traditional quality improvement

Preference Sensitive Care Scientific uncertainty MD-dominated decisions Outcomes research Shared Decision making

Supply Sensitive Services Variations in supply Assumption that more is better Manage capacity Monitor performance

Putting it together....

Weak organizations

incapable of either improving overall quality or implementing private sector health care planning to control the growth of capacity and use of supplysensitive services.

Accountable Care Organizations

Foster the development of organizations that can be held accountable for all 3 categories of care. These could be integrated delivery systems, large groups, or medical staffs and their hospital(s).

Putting it together....

Weak organizations

incapable of either improving overall quality or implementing private sector health care planning to control the growth of capacity and use of supplysensitive services.

Inadequate information

Providers: on the quality and efficiency of current providers

Treatments: on the efficacy and effectiveness of new and existing technologies and treatment strategies.

Accountable Care Organizations

Foster the development of organizations that can be held accountable for all 3 categories of care. These could be integrated delivery systems, large groups, or medical staffs and their hospital(s).

Improve information

Providers: Use claims data to monitor and report on organizational performance.

Treatments: Expand outcomes research

Putting it together....

Weak organizations

incapable of either improving overall quality or implementing private sector health care planning to control the growth of capacity and use of supplysensitive services.

Inadequate information

Providers: on the quality and efficiency of current providers

Treatments: on the efficacy and effectiveness of new and existing technologies and treatment strategies.

Flawed incentives

that encourage providers to provide more (or less), rather than better care.

Accountable Care Organizations

Foster the development of organizations that can be held accountable for all 3 categories of care. These could be integrated delivery systems, large groups, or medical staffs and their hospital(s).

Improve information

Providers: Use claims data to monitor and report on organizational performance.

Treatments: Expand outcomes research

Reward improved performance

What's the right organizational level?

Hospital readmission rates over 3 years at Boston and New Haven Teaching hospitals for cohorts of chronic disease patients



Hospital Utilization during first year after hip fracture Patients cared for by major teaching hospitals



Physician utilization during first year after hip fracture Patients cared for by major teaching hospitals

