

# Timeline Of ANTITRUST ENFORCEMENT HIGHLIGHTS

## AT THE DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE



<b>PRESIDENT</b>	Benjamin Harrison (R) 3/4/89 - 3/3/93	Grover Cleveland (D) 3/4/93 - 3/3/97	William McKinley (R) 3/4/97 - 9/14/01	Theodore Roosevelt (R) 9/14/01-3/3/09	William Howard Taft (R) 3/4/09-3/3/13	Woodrow Wilson (D) 3/4/13-3/3/21	Warren G. Harding (R) 3/4/21-8/2/23	Calvin Coolidge (R) 8/3/23-3/3/29	Herbert Hoover (R) 3/4/29-3/4/33	Franklin D. Roosevelt (D) 3/4/33-4/12/45								
<b>ATTORNEY GENERAL</b>	William Henry Harrison Miller 1889-1893	Richard Olney 1893-1895	Judson Harmon 6/8/95-3/5/97	Joseph McKenna 1897-1898	John W. Griggs 1898-1901	Philander Chase Knox 4/5/01-6/30/04	William H. Moody 7/1/04-12/17/06	Charles J. Bonaparte 12/17/06-3/4/09	George W. Wickersham 1909-1913	James Clark McReynolds 3/5/13-8/29/14	Thomas Watt Gregory 1914-1919	A. Mitchell Palmer 1919-1921	Harry M. Daugherty 3/4/21-3/28/24	Harlan Fiske Stone 1924-1925	John T. Sargent 1925-1929	William D. Mitchell 1929-1933	Homer S. Cummings 3/4/33-1/2/39	Frank Murphy 1/2/39-1/4/40
<b>ASSISTANT to the ATTORNEY GENERAL</b>	1 William A. Day 3/17/03-7/27/05	2 Milton D. Purdy 7/28/05-7/6/08	3 Wade H. Ellis 11/6/08-3/1/10	4 William S. Kenyon 4/13/10-4/23/11	5 Jas. A. Fowler 5/15/11-7/31/13	6 George C. Todd 8/6/13-5/31/19	7 Charles B. Ames 6/1/19-3/31/20	8 Frank K. Nebeker 11/20/20-3/17/21	9 Guy Goff 4/26/21-11/9/22	10 Augustus Seymour 11/10/22-3/22/25	11 William J. Donovan 3/23/25-3/2/29	12 John Lord O'Brien 6/3/29-12/20/32	13 Harold M. Stephens 6/14/33-6/30/35	14 John Dickinson 7/1/35-12/31/36	15 Robert H. Jackson 1/21/37-3/1/38	16 Thurman Arnold 3/7/38-3/16/43		

	1890	1900	1910	1920	1930
<b>KEY CASES AND EVENTS</b>	<p><b>7/2/90</b> – Sherman Act enacted</p> <p><b>1890-1904</b> – On average, less than 2 cases filed per year; antitrust enforcement not specifically funded</p> <p><b>1/6/92</b> – <i>U.S. v. Trans-Missouri Freight Assn</i> petition filed; decided 3/22/97 by Sup. Ct., 166 U.S. 290 (first Sup. Ct. decision to find a Sherman Act violation)</p> <p><b>3/4/92</b> – <i>U.S. v. E.C. Knight Co.</i> petition filed (Sugar Trust); decided 1/21/95 by Sup. Ct., 156 U.S. 1</p> <p><b>1/8/96</b> – <i>U.S. v. Joint Traffic Assn</i> petition filed; decided 10/24/98 by Sup. Ct., 171 U.S. 505</p> <p><b>12/10/96</b> – <i>U.S. v. Addyston Pipe &amp; Steel Co.</i> complaint filed; decided 2/8/98 by 6th Cir., 85 F. 271; decided 12/4/99 by Sup. Ct., 175 U.S. 211</p>	<p><b>3/10/02</b> – <i>U.S. v. Northern Securities Co.</i> filed; 4/9/03 trial court decision; decided 3/14/04 by Sup. Ct., 193 U.S. 197 (Attorney General Knox argued for the U.S. against, inter alia, his predecessor as Attorney General, John Griggs)</p> <p><b>5/10/02</b> – <i>U.S. v. Swift &amp; Co.</i> (Beef Trust) filed; decided 1/30/05 by Sup. Ct., 196 U.S. 375 (Attorney General Moody argued 1/6/05 and 1/9/05 for the U.S.)</p> <p><b>6/12/02</b> – <i>U.S. v. Reading Co.</i> petition filed; decided 12/16/12 by Sup. Ct., 226 U.S. 324</p> <p><b>2/11/03</b> – Expediting Act passed</p> <p><b>2/25/03, 3/3/03</b> – First appropriation (\$500,000 with no strings) for antitrust enforcement authorizing an Assistant to the Attorney General and additional employees</p> <p><b>1/13/04</b> – Attorney General Knox letter to Congress on how monies in \$500,000 appropriation in 1903 had been expended; six employees hired full time under appropriation</p> <p><b>12/1/05</b> – Petition filed in <i>U. S. v. Terminal R. R. Ass'n of St. Louis</i>; decided 4/22/12 by Sup. Ct., 224 U.S. 383</p> <p><b>11/15/06</b> – <i>Standard Oil</i> complaint filed; decided 5/15/11 by Sup. Ct., 221 U.S. 1 (Attorney General Wickersham argued in early 1909, and reargued in 1/11 for the U.S.)</p> <p><b>7/10/07</b> – <i>U.S. v. Am. Tobacco Co.</i> petition filed; 12/15/08 trial court decision; decided 5/29/11 by Sup. Ct., 221 U.S. 106 (Attorney General Wickersham argued in early 1909, and reargued in 1/11 for the U.S.)</p>	<p><b>10/27/11</b> – <i>U.S. v. U.S. Steel</i> complaint filed; decided 3/1/20 by Sup. Ct., 251 U.S. 417 (“the law does not make mere size an offense”)</p> <p><b>2/11/13</b> – <i>U.S. v. Board of Trade of Chicago</i> complaint filed; decided 3/14/18 by Sup. Ct., 246 U.S. 231 (Assistant to the Attorney General George Carroll Todd argued for the U.S.)</p> <p><b>1/20/14</b> – President Wilson announced antitrust initiative to Congress</p> <p><b>9/26/14</b> – Federal Trade Commission Act enacted</p> <p><b>10/15/14</b> – Clayton Act enacted</p> <p><b>1914-23</b> – On average, 18 attorneys and 11 filings per year</p> <p><b>12/8/19</b> – Annual Report of the Attorney General included a report on The Antitrust Division by Assistant to the Attorney General C. B. Ames (may be the earliest use of the term within official DOJ documents)</p>	<p><b>2/27/20</b> – Petition filed in <i>U.S. v. Swift &amp; Co.</i>, along with consent decree that withstood several attempts to vacate or modify it, decided by Sup. Ct., 276 U.S. 311 (1928), and 286 U.S. 106 (1932); 189 F. Supp. 885 (ND Ill. 1960), aff'd 367 U.S. 909 (1961); U.S. consented to modifications in 1971, 1975 and 1980, (see 1980 WL 2017 (N.D.Ill. 1980), 1983-1 Trade Cases P 65,250)</p> <p><b>8/8/22</b> – Indictment returned in <i>U.S. v. Trenton Potteries Co.</i>; decided 2/21/27 by Sup. Ct. 273 U.S. 392 (Assistant to the Attorney General William Donovan argued for U.S.)</p>	<p><b>6/29/32</b> – <i>U.S. v. Appalachian Coals</i> case petition filed; decided 3/13/33 by Sup. Ct., 288 U.S. 344</p> <p><b>1933</b> – 15 attorneys in Antitrust Division at start of FDR Administration</p> <p><b>6/10/33</b> – Senate confirmed Stephens as first Assistant Attorney General for Antitrust Division</p> <p><b>6/14/33</b> – Stephens started as Assistant Attorney General for Antitrust Division</p> <p><b>12/36</b> – Indictment in <i>U.S. v. Socony-Vacuum Oil Co.</i> returned; decided 5/6/40 by Sup. Ct., 310 U.S. 150 (Assistant Attorney General Thurman Arnold argued for U.S.)</p> <p><b>4/23/37</b> – <i>U.S. v. Aluminum Co. of America</i> complaint filed; decided 3/12/45 by 2d Cir., 148 F.2d 416 (Judge Learned Hand opinion)</p> <p><b>1938</b> – Economists added to staff</p> <p><b>12/20/38</b> – Indictment returned in <i>U.S. v. Am. Medical Assn.</i>; decided 1/18/43 by Sup. Ct., 317 U.S. 519 (1943) (Assistant Attorney General Thurman Arnold argued for U.S.)</p> <p><b>12/11/39</b> – <i>U.S. v. Hartford-Empire</i> complaint filed; decided 1/8/45 by Sup. Ct., 323 U.S. 386</p>





# Timeline Of ANTITRUST ENFORCEMENT HIGHLIGHTS


## AT THE DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE



PRESIDENT	Franklin D. Roosevelt (D) 3/4/33-4/12/45	Harry S. Truman (D) 4/12/45-1/20/53	Dwight D. Eisenhower (R) 1/20/53-1/20/61	John F. Kennedy (D) 1/20/61-11/22/63	Lyndon B. Johnson (D) 11/22/63-1/20/69	Richard M. Nixon (R) 1/20/69-8/9/74								
ATTORNEY GENERAL	Frank Murphy 1/2/39-1/4/40	Robert H. Jackson 1/18/40-7/11/41	Francis Biddle 7/12/41-6/30/45	Tom Clark 7/1/45-8/24/49	James H. McGrath 8/24/49-4/7/52	James P. McGranery 4/8/52-1/20/53	Herbert Brownell 1/21/53-11/8/57	William P. Rogers 1957-1961	Robert F. Kennedy 1961-1964	Nicholas deB. Katzenbach 1965-1966	Ramsey Clark 1967-1969	John N. Mitchell 1969-1972		
ASSISTANT ATTORNEY GENERAL	Thurman Arnold 3/7/38-3/16/43	Thomas C. Clark 3/15/43-8/28/43	Wendell Berge 1943-4/30/47	John F. Sonnett 5/1/47-5/14/48	Herbert Bergson 6/16/48-9/30/50	Hugh G. Morison 1/7/51-6/30/52	Stanley Barnes 5/1/53-7/3/56	Victor Hansen 7/13/56-4/20/59	Robert A. Bicks 7/15/60-1/19/61	Lee Loevinger 3/16/61-6/10/63	William H. Orrick, Jr. 6/19/63-6/18/65	Donald F. Turner 6/1/65-6/1/68	Edwin M. Zimmerman 6/2/68-1/19/68	Richard W. McLaren 1/21/69-2/2/72

### 1940 1950 1960

KEY CASES AND EVENTS	<p><b>7/24/40</b> – <i>U.S. v. Am. Tobacco Co.</i>, information filed; decided 6/10/46 by Sup. Ct., 328 U.S. 824 (Assistant Attorney General Wendell Berge argued for U.S.)</p> <p><b>1941</b> – Murals installed in Main DOJ library, including:</p> <p>a. “Competition and Monopoly shows men in a tug-of-war. In the background two steers are fighting, while in the foreground, a figure stifles his competitors.”</p> <p>b. “Red Tape is a huge spider web with a skull. The clock suggests the passage of time. The people caught in the web are being released by Justice Holmes as Don Quixote.”</p> <p><b>1/4/43</b> – <i>Parker v. Brown</i> decided, 317 U.S. 341 (1943), (U.S. was amicus curiae)</p> <p><b>7/26/45</b> – <i>U.S. v. Int’l Salt Co.</i> complaint filed; decided 11/10/47 by Sup. Ct., 332 U.S. 392</p> <p><b>12/13/47</b> – Complaint in <i>U.S. v. E.I. DuPont de Nemours &amp; Co.</i> filed; decided 6/11/56 by Sup. Ct., 351 U.S. 377</p> <p><b>12/15/47</b> – Complaint in <i>U.S. v. United Shoe Machinery Corp.</i> filed; decided 2/18/53 in 110 F. Supp. 295 (D. Mass. 1953), affirmed per curiam, 347 U.S. 521 (1954)</p> <p><b>6/30/48</b> – Antitrust Division and FTC (Chair was Robert Freer) signed a half-page memorandum establishing a formal liaison agreement</p> <p><b>6/13/49</b> – <i>Standard Oil Co. of California v. United States</i>, 337 U.S. 293 (Assistant Attorney General Herbert Bergson argued for U.S.)</p>	<p><b>12/29/50</b> – Celler-Kefauver Act enacted to amend Clayton Act</p> <p><b>3/31/55</b> – Report of the Attorney General’s National Committee to Study the Antitrust Laws</p> <p><b>7/7/55</b> – Sherman Act amended to increase maximum fine from \$5,000 to \$50,000</p> <p><b>11/28/55</b> – Complaint filed in <i>Brown Shoe Co. v. U.S.</i>; decided 6/25/62 in Sup. Ct., 370 U.S. 294 (first case to reach Sup. Ct. after Clayton Act amended by Celler-Kefauver)</p>	<p><b>3/10/58</b> – <i>Northern Pac. Ry. Co. v. U.S.</i> decided, 356 U.S. 1</p> <p><b>6/30/58</b> – Complaint filed in <i>U.S. v. Arnold, Schwinn &amp; Co.</i>; decided 6/12/67 by Sup. Ct., 388 U.S. 365 (Richard Posner argued for U.S.)</p> <p><b>10/1/59</b> – Complaint filed in <i>U.S. v. Pabst Brewing Co.</i>; decided 6/13/66 by Sup. Ct., 384 U.S. 546 (argued 4/27/66 for U.S. by Assistant Attorney General Edwin Zimmerman)</p>	<p><b>3/25/60</b> – Complaint filed in <i>U.S. v. Von’s Grocery</i>; decided 5/31/66 by Sup. Ct., 384 U.S. 270 (Richard A. Posner argued 3/22/66 for U.S.) (Justice Stewart’s dissent: “The sole consistency that I can find is that in litigation under § 7, the Government always wins”)</p> <p><b>1961</b> – <i>Electrical Equipment</i> cases (maximum jail sentence imposed was 30 days)</p> <p><b>1/6/61</b> – Complaint filed (amended 8/8/61) in <i>U.S. v. Penn-Olin Chemical Co.</i>; decided 6/22/64 by Sup. Ct., 378 U.S. 158 (Solicitor General Archibald Cox for appellant)</p> <p><b>2/25/61</b> – Complaint filed in <i>U.S. v. Phila. Nat’l Bank</i>; decided 6/1/63 by Sup. Ct., 374 U.S. 321 (Assistant Attorney General Lee Loevinger argued for U.S.)</p> <p><b>4/13/61</b> – Complaint filed in <i>U.S. v. Grinnell Corp.</i>; decided 6/13/66 by Sup. Ct., 384 U.S. 563</p> <p><b>1961-62</b> – U.S. intervenes in ICC hearings in <i>U.S. v. ICC (Northern Lines merger)</i>; decided 2/2/70 by Sup. Ct., 396 U.S. 491 (Assistant Attorney General Richard McLaren argued 10/21/69 for U.S.)</p> <p><b>8/30/62</b> – Complaint in <i>U.S. v. General Motors Corp.</i> filed; decided 4/28/66 in Sup. Ct., 384 U.S. 127</p>	<p><b>9/19/62</b> – Antitrust Civil Process Act enacted</p> <p><b>10/14/63</b> – Complaint filed in <i>U.S. v. Container Corp of America</i>; decided 1/14/69 by Sup. Ct., 393 U.S. 333 (Assistant Attorney General Edwin Zimmerman argued 11/18/68 for U.S.)</p> <p><b>7/13/65</b> – Complaint filed in <i>U.S. v. Falstaff Brewing Corp.</i>; decided 2/28/73 by Sup. Ct., 410 U.S. 526 (1973) (Assistant Attorney General Thomas Kauper argued 10/17/72 for U.S.)</p> <p><b>10/6/66</b> – Indictments returned in <i>U.S. v. Am. Radiator &amp; Std Sanitary Corp.</i> (plumbing fixtures case) resulting in jail sentences of 60 and 50 days upheld on appeal, 433 F.2d 174 (3rd Cir. 1970), and on remand, 1971 WL 530, 1971 Trade Cases P 73,579</p> <p><b>8/11/67</b> – <i>FTC v. Procter &amp; Gamble</i> (the Clorox case), 386 U.S. 568, decided (Solicitor General Thurgood Marshall argued 2/13/67 for FTC)</p> <p><b>9/22/67</b> – Complaint in <i>U.S. v. General Dynamics Corp.</i> filed; decided 3/19/74 by Sup. Ct., 415 U.S. 486</p> <p><b>1/15/68</b> – Complaint filed in <i>U.S. v. Topco Associates, Inc.</i>; decided 3/29/72 by Sup. Ct., 405 U.S. 596</p> <p><b>5/30/68</b> – Original Merger Guidelines issued</p> <p><b>7/14/69</b> – <i>U.S. v. Otter Tail Power Co.</i> complaint filed; decided 2/22/73 by Sup. Ct., 410 U.S. 366</p>
----------------------	---	---	---	--	--





# Timeline Of ANTITRUST ENFORCEMENT HIGHLIGHTS

## AT THE DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE



PRESIDENT	Richard M. Nixon (R) 1/20/69-8/9/74	Gerald R. Ford (R) 8/9/74-1/20/77	Jimmy Carter (D) 1/20/77-1/20/81	Ronald Reagan (R) 1/20/81-1/20/89	George H.W. Bush (R) 1/20/89-1/20/93	William J. Clinton (D) 1/20/93-1/20/01	George W. Bush (R) 1/20/01-Present							
ATTORNEY GENERAL	John N. Mitchell 1969-1972	Richard G. Kleindienst 1972-1973	Elliott L. Richardson 5/25/73-10/20/73	Robert H. Bork Acting AG 10/20/73-1/4/74	William B. Saxbe 1/4/74-2/1/75	Edward Levi 2/1/75-1977	Griffin Bell 1977-1979	Benjamin Civiletti 1979-1981	William F. Smith 1981-1985	Ed Meese 1985-1988	Richard Thornburgh 1988-1991	William Barr 1991-1992	Janet Reno 1993-2001	John Ashcroft 2001-Present
ASSISTANT ATTORNEY GENERAL	Richard W. McLaren 1/21/69-2/2/72	Walker B. Comegys 2/3/72-6/30/72	Thomas Kauper 7/1/72-8/1/76	Donald Baker 8/2/76-5/6/77	John Shenefield 5/19/77-2/21/80	Sanford Litvack 2/22/80-3/1/81	William Baxter 3/30/81-12/16/83	J. Paul McGrath 12/16/83-4/1/85	Douglas Ginsburg 9/1/85-11/10/86	Charles Rule 7/20/87-4/28/89	James Rill 6/22/89-5/18/92	Anne Bingaman 6/17/93-10/18/96	Joel Klein 7/18/97-9/29/00	Charles A. James 6/21/01-11/22/02

### 1970

**7/24/70** – Newspaper Preservation Act enacted

**10/22/71** – Complaint filed in *U.S. v. Marine Bancorporation, Inc.*; decided 6/26/74 by Sup. Ct., 418 U.S. 602

**12/5/72** – Complaint filed in *Nat'l Socy of Prof. Engineers v. U.S.*; decided 4/25/78 by Sup. Ct., 435 U.S. 679 (1978)

**4/16/73** – Complaint filed (amended 2/26/76) in *U.S. v. National Broiler Marketing Ass'n*; decided 6/12/78 in 436 U.S. 816 (Assistant Attorney General Shenefield argued for U.S. against Richard Posner)

**7/24/73** – Creation of ATD Economic Policy Office (George Hay was first director)

**11/27/73** – Indictment returned in *U.S. Gypsum Co.*; decided 6/29/78 by Sup. Ct., 438 U.S. 422 (first case to reach Sup. Ct. after violations of the Sherman Act were made a felony)

**11/20/74** – *U.S. v. AT & T* complaint filed; settled by consent decree 1/8/82 requiring divestiture

**12/21/74** – Antitrust Procedures and Penalties Act enacted (amending Sherman Act to make violations of it a felony – maximum 3 years in jail – and increasing maximum corporate fine to \$1 million; amended Expediting Act of 1903)

**1/16/75** – *Goldfarb v. Va. State Bar* decided, 421 U.S. 723 (1975) (Solicitor General Robert Bork argued for U.S. as amicus curiae)

**6/26/75** – *Gordon v. NYSE* decided, 422 U.S. 659 (U.S. filed brief and argued as amicus curiae)

**9/30/76** – Hart-Scott-Rodino Antitrust Improvements Act, including Tunney Act, enacted

**1/26/77** – Antitrust Division issued Antitrust Guide for International Operations

**6/9/77** – *Illinois Brick Co. v. Illinois* decided, 431 U.S. 720 (Assistant Attorney General Donald Baker argued for U.S. as amicus)

**1978-79** – National Commn to Review Antitrust Laws and Procedures held hearings and issued 1/22/79 report to the President and Attorney General

**10/78** – Original Corporate Leniency Policy announced

**2/2/79** – *Catalano, Inc. v. Target Sales, Inc.* decided, 446 U.S. 643 (U.S. filed brief as amicus curiae)

**4/17/79** – *ASCAP v. CBS* decided, 441 U.S. 1 (1979) (U.S. was amicus curiae)

### 1980

**1980** – Antitrust Guide Concerning Research Joint Ventures was issued

**In FY 80** (ending 9/30/80) – 55 criminal cases were brought, largest since WW II, with average jail sentence of more than 3 months

**1/8/82** – Settlement of *U.S. v. AT&T* announced

**6/14/82** – New Merger Guidelines issued

**6/18/82** – *Arizona v. Maricopa County Medical Soc.* decided, 457 U.S. 332 (1982) (U.S. was amicus curiae)

**8/11/82** – *U.S. v. Western Electric Co. & AT&T Co.*, 552 F. Supp. 226 (DDC 1982) opinion issued finding settlement of *U.S. v. AT&T* in the public interest

**3/20/84** – *Monsanto Co. v. Spray-Rite Service Corp.* (1984) decided (Assistant Attorney General William Baxter argued for the U.S. as amicus curiae in support of petitioner)

**6/84** – Revised Merger Guidelines issued

**10/11/84** – Joint Research and Development Act of 1984 enacted

**3/26/86** – *Matsushita Elec. Indus. Co., Ltd. v. Zenith Radio Corp.*, 475 U.S. 574 decided (Deputy Assistant Attorney General Charles Rule argued for U.S. as amicus curiae)

**12/9/86** – *Cargill v. Monfort of Colo., Inc.* decided, 479 U.S. 104 (U.S. was amicus curiae)

**11/10/88** – Antitrust Division releases revised Antitrust Enforcement Guidelines for International Operations

**2/23/89** – Complaint filed in *U.S. v. Rockford Memorial Corp.*; decided 4/3/90 by 7th Cir. (Posner, J.), 898 F.2d 1278

### 1990

**11/16/90** – Sherman Act maximum corporate fine increased to \$10 million

**4/2/92** – Horizontal Merger Guidelines issued by the DOJ and the FTC

**6/8/92** – *Eastman Kodak Co. v. Image Technical Services, Inc.* decided, 504 U.S. 451 (Assistant Attorney General James Rill argued for U.S. as amicus curiae)

**8/10/93** – Corporate Leniency Policy amended

**11/16/93** – Complaint filed in *U.S. v. General Motors and ZF Friedrichshafen, AG* (medium/heavy duty automatic transmission business-innovation market case); transaction abandoned 11/18/93

**8/10/94** – Individual Amnesty Policy announced

**4/5/95** – Antitrust Enforcement Guidelines for International Operations issued by the DOJ and the FTC

**4/6/95** – Antitrust Guidelines for the Licensing of Intellectual Property issued by the DOJ and the FTC

**8/28/96** – Statements of Antitrust Enforcement Policy in Health Care issued by the DOJ and the FTC

**10/15/96** – Information and plea in *U.S. v. Archer Daniels Midland* resulting in the largest antitrust fine imposed to that date (\$100 million)

**4/8/97** – Revised Horizontal Merger Guidelines issued by the DOJ and the FTC

**3/23/98** – Complaint filed in *U.S. v. Lockheed Martin and Northrop Grumman Corp.* (military products and services; single largest proposed merger ever challenged); transaction abandoned 7/16/98

**5/18/98** – Complaint in *U.S. v. Microsoft* filed; decided 6/28/01 by DC Cir., 253 F.3d 34, cert. denied 534 U.S. 952 (2001)

**10/7/98** – Complaint in *U.S. v. Visa U.S.A. Inc.* filed; decided 9/17/03 by 2d Cir., 344 F.3d 229

**5/20/99** – Information filed in *U.S. v. F. Hoffmann-LaRoche and U.S. v. BASF AG* (international vitamins cartel), with pleas and largest ever criminal fine (\$500 million) imposed under any federal proceeding under any statute and the second largest antitrust fine (\$250 million)

**7/8/99** – Complaint in *U.S. v. Cargill and Continental Grain* (monopsony in agriculture) filed with proposed decree; U.S. responses to public comment filed 3/24/00; final judgment entered 6/30/00

### 2000

**1/19/00** – Indictment returned in *U.S. v. Mitsubishi* (international graphite electrode cartel); after trial and 2/01 conviction, \$134 million sentence imposed; largest fine resulting from antitrust trial

**6/27/00** – Complaint filed in *U.S. v. Worldcom, Inc. and Sprint Corp.* (telecommunications and Internet services); transaction abandoned 7/13/00

**10/01** – International Competition Network formed

**11/2/01** – *U.S. v. Microsoft* settled; decree entered after Tunney Act proceedings, 11/12/02; 2002 WL 31654530, 2002-2 Trade Cases P 73,860

**10/31/02** – Complaint filed in *U.S. v. Echostar Communications and DirecTV Enterprises* (pay television service); transaction abandoned 12/10/02

**In FY 03** (ending 9/30/03) – 41 criminal cases were brought, \$107 million in criminal fines were obtained, and average jail sentence imposed was 21 months (a Division record)

