

Key National Performance Indicators Selected Bibliography V. 0.1

I. Comprehensive Effort

Abbott, Robert M., Scott D. Johnson, and Tracy Dieckhoner. *Embedding Sustainability in the Business of City Government: An Opportunity for Seattle*.

<http://www.abbottstrategies.com/Papers/pdf/embedsustain.pdf> (January 2003).

Embedding Sustainability in the Business of City Government discusses ways to create a framework for integrating issues of sustainability into decision-making in Seattle city government.

Australian Bureau of Statistics, *Measuring Australia's Progress 2002: Indicators of Australia's Progress*.

<http://www.abs.gov.au/Ausstats/abs%40.nsf/94713ad445ff1425ca25682000192af2/b66ebefc05cdf265ca256bdc001223ec!OpenDocument> (January 2003).

Measuring Australia's Progress uses a discussion of human capital, social capital, natural capital, and financial capital indicators to assess the extent to which Australia has progressed.

Bok, Derek. *The State of the Nation*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press, 1996.

The State of the Nation examines the areas of economic prosperity, quality of life, equality of opportunity, personal security, and societal values, and compares the progress made in these areas with progress made in other countries.

The Boston Foundation, *The Wisdom of Our Choices: Boston's Indicators of Progress, Change and Sustainability 2000*. <http://www.tbf.org/boston/index.html> (February 2003).

The Wisdom of Our Choices provides indicators of civic involvement, the economy, education, public health, and other measures of well-being.

Chang, Ping. *State of the Region 2002: Measuring Progress in the 21st Century*. Southern California Association of Governors <http://www.scag.ca.gov/publications/index.htm> (January 2003).

State of the Region 2002 assesses Southern California's performance with respect to three overall goals: raise the standard of living, enhance the quality of life, and foster equal access to resources.

Chicago Metropolis 2020, *2002 Metropolis Index*. Chicago, IL: 2002.

http://www.chicagometropolis2020.org/10_5.htm (February 2003).

The *2002 Metropolis Index* is intended to give residents of the region benchmarks to assess how the region is doing, and to help them consider what must be done to sustain the region's status as a globally competitive region.

Committee on Geography, Committee on Identifying Data Needs for Place-Based Decision Making, *Community and Quality of Life: Data Needs for Informed Decision Making*. Washington, DC: National Academy Press, 2002.

Community and Quality of Life examines the concept of livable communities, the selection of livability indicators, data needs, and measurement and analysis issues related to the indicators.

The Conference Board of Canada, *Performance and Potential 2001-2002*.

<http://www.conferenceboard.ca/boardwiseii/LayoutAbstract.asp?DID=65> (February 2003).

Performance and Potential 2001-2002 updates the top forty performance indicators and demonstrates that overall performance remains average among the six countries used to benchmark Canada's performance.

Global Reporting Initiative, *2002 Sustainability Reporting Guidelines*.

<http://www.globalreporting.org/GRIGuidelines/index.htm> (January 2003).

The *2002 Sustainability Reporting Guidelines* organizes "sustainability reporting" in terms of economic, environmental, and social performance (also known as the triple bottom line).

Maine Economic Growth Council, *Measures of Growth 2002*. Augusta, ME: 2002.

<http://mdf.org/megc/growth02/> (January 2003).

Measures of Growth 2002 provides the results of sixty indicators in the areas of the economy, community and the environment.

Miringoff, Marc and Marque-Luisa Miringoff, *The Social Health of the Nation: How America is Really Doing*. New York, NY: Oxford University Press, 1999.

The Social Health of the Nation presents a variety of indicators of social well-being over several decades.

Minnesota Planning, *Minnesota Milestones 2002*.

<http://www.mnplan.state.mn.us/mm/index.html> (January 2003).

Minnesota Milestones 2002 reports on 70 progress indicators to determine whether the state is achieving 19 publicly determined goals in the areas of people, community and democracy, economy, and environment.

The National Audit Office, U.K., *Good Practice in Performance Reporting in Executive Agencies and Non-Departmental Public Bodies*. London, England: 2000.

http://www.nao.gov.uk/publications/nao_reports/9900272.pdf (January 2003).

Good Practice in Performance Reporting in Executive Agencies and Non-Departmental Public Bodies discusses good practices in government performance reporting to ensure transparent, accountable, and efficient government services.

New York City Department of City Planning, *2000/2001 Report on Social Indicators*. New York, NY: 2001. <http://www.nyc.gov/html/dcp/html/pub/socind00.html> (January 2003).

2000/2001 Report on Social Indicators is a compendium of data on the economic, social, physical, and environmental health of the city. The data are compiled from

city, state, and federal sources and summarized on either a calendar or fiscal year basis.

The Oregon Progress Board, *Achieving the Oregon Shines Vision: The 2001 Benchmark Performance Report*, Salem, OR: March 2001.

<http://www.econ.state.or.us/opb/2001report/repothome.htm> (January 2003).

Achieving the Oregon Shines Vision is a report on the comprehensive effort to describe progress Oregonians have made in achieving their year 2000 targets for 90 benchmarks.

President of the Treasury Board, *Canada's Performance 2002*, Ottawa, Ontario: 2002.

http://www.tbs-sct.gc.ca/report/govrev/02/cp-rc_e.asp (January 2003).

Canada's Performance 2002 reports on the quality of life of Canadians in such areas as economic opportunity, health, the environment, and the strength and safety of communities.

Steering Committee Review of Commonwealth/State Services, Australia, *Report on Government Services 2001*. <http://www.pc.gov.au/gsp/2001/index.html> (January 2003).

Report on Government Services 2001 details the performance of government service provision in Australia in education, health, justice, emergency management, community services, and housing.

United Nations General Assembly, Fifty-seventh Session, Item 44 of the provisional Agenda, Report of the Secretary General. *Implementation of the United Nations Millennium Declaration: Follow up to the Outcome of the Millennium Summit*, A/57/270, 31 July 2002. <http://www.un.org/millenniumgoals/index.html> (January 2003).

Implementation of the United Nations Millennium Declaration: Follow up to the Outcome of the Millennium Summit details the progress that the United Nations has made on its millennium development goals which are (1) halve extreme poverty and hunger, (2) achieve universal primary education, (3) empower women and promote equality between women and men, (4) reduce under five mortality by two-thirds, (5) reduce maternal mortality by three-quarters, (6) reverse the spread of disease especially AIDS/HIV, malaria, (7) ensure environmental sustainability, and (8) create a global partnership for development with targets for aid, trade, and debt relief.

United Nations Population Fund, *State of the World Population 2002: People, Poverty and Possibilities*. 2002. <http://www.unfpa.org/swp/2002/english/ch1/index.htm> (January 2003).

State of the World Population provides a variety of demographic and economic data about people in various regions of the world as well as some data on individual nations.

University at Buffalo Institute for Local Governance and Regional Growth, *State of the Region Progress Report 2000*. <http://regional-institute.buffalo.edu/sotr/repo/repo00/default.html> (January 2003)

State of the Region Progress Report 2000 offers a first update of the 1999 baseline report, with two components—one focused on the data-driven performance measures, the other a second look at the opportunities and challenges that will shape Buffalo-Niagara's progress into the new century.

II. Specialized Area Efforts

Annie E. Casey Foundation, *Kids Count Data Book 2002*. Baltimore, MD: 2002
<http://www.aecf.org/kidscount/kc2002/> (January 2002).

Kids Count provides information about the physical health, mental health, economic well-being and educational achievements of children in the United States. Data is available nationwide and for each state.

Council of Economic Advisors, Executive Office of the President, *The Economic Report of the President*. Washington, DC: 2003.

http://w3.access.gpo.gov/usbudget/fy2004/pdf/2003_erp.pdf (February 2003).

The Economic Report of the President is a discussion of selected economic issues prepared by the Council of Economic Advisors and tables of economic data.

The Conference Board, *US Business Cycle Indicators*. <http://www.tcb-indicators.org/Us/LatestReleases/index.cfm> (January 2003).

US Business Cycle Indicators provides monthly economic indicators for the United States such as the leading economic indicators, the coincident indicators, and the lagging indicators.

Federal Interagency Forum on Aging Related Statistics, *Older Americans 2000: Key Indicators of Well Being*. Washington, DC: 2000.

<http://www.agingstats.gov/chartbook2000/default.htm> (January 2003).

Older Americans: 2000 contains statistics regarding the population, economics, health status, health risks and behaviors, and health care of older US Citizens.

Federal Interagency Forum on Child and Family Statistics, *America's Children: Key National Indicators of Well-Being 2002*. Washington, DC: Government Printing Office, 2002. <http://childstats.gov> (January 2003).

America's Children provides 24 key indicators on the well-being of children in the areas of economic security, health, behavior and social environment, and education.

Healthy People 2010: Understanding and Improving Health. Washington, D.C.: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services: 2000. <http://www.healthypeople.gov/> (December 2002)

Healthy People 2010 provides a comprehensive set of disease prevention and health promotion objectives for the United States to achieve by 2010.

National Research Council, *Grading the Nation's Report Card: Evaluating NAEP and Transforming the Assessment of Educational Progress*. James W. Pellegrino, Lee R. Jones, and Karen J. Mitchell, editors. Committee on the Evaluation of National and State

Assessment, Commission on Behavioral and Social Sciences and Education, National Research Council. Washington, DC: National Academy Press, 1999.

Grading the Nation's Report Card describes the National Assessment of Educational Progress' national assessment, the state assessment program, the student performance standards, and the extent to which the results are reasonable, valid, and informative to the public.

Starke, Linda, ed. *State of the World 2002: Special World Summit Edition*. W.W. Norton and Co.: 2002. <http://www.worldwatch.org/pubs/sow/2002/> (January 2003).

State of the World 2002 provides information on a variety of issues in sustainable development such as climate change, farming, toxic chemicals and other areas..

The State of the Nation's Ecosystems: Measuring the Lands, Waters, and Living Resources of the United States. Cambridge, U.K.: Cambridge University Press, 2002.

<http://www.heinzctr.org/ecosystems/index.htm> (January 2003).

The State of the Nation's Ecosystem is a blueprint for periodic reporting on the condition and use of ecosystems in the United States.

UNICEF, *The State of the World's Children 2003*.

<http://www.unicef.org/pubsgen/sowc03/index.html> (January 2003).

The State of the World 2003 contains a comprehensive set of economic and social indicators on the well-being of children world wide.

III. Background Sources

Berry, David, Patrice Flynn, and Theodore Heintz. "Sustainability and Quality of Life Indicators: Toward the Integration of Economic, Social and Environmental Measures," *Indicators: The Journal of Social Health* Vol. 1, no. 4 (Fall 2002).

"Sustainability and Quality of Life Indicators" provides discussion of approaches to integrate social, economic and environmental indicators and expanding the scope of our national data system.

Caplow, Theodore, Louis Hicks, and Ben J. Wattenberg. *The First Measured Century*. Washington, DC: AEI Press, 2001.

The First Measured Century describes how using statistics to measure social conditions gained importance throughout the United States between 1900 and 2000.

Gross, Betram M. *Social Intelligence for America's Future: Explorations in Societal Problems*. Boston, MA: Allyn and Bacon, Inc., 1969.

Social Intelligence for America's Future is part of a "trial run" social report ranging from learning and health to crime and the arts. It discusses information methodology and the use of data to guide public policy.

Michalos, Alex C. *Observations on Key National Performance Indicators*. Forthcoming. <http://www.gao.gov/npi/obsknpi.pdf> (February 2003).

Observations on Key National Performance Indicators discusses several integrated performance systems on a national, international and state level.

Miringoff, Marc, Marque-Luisa Miringoff, and Sandra Opdycke. *Social Indicators: What We Need To Make Them Count*. Forthcoming. <http://www.gao.gov/npi/sicount.pdf> (February 2003).

Social Indicators addresses the need for social indicators to enhance consideration of policy issues.

Riche, Martha Farnsworth, *The United States of America Developing Key National Indicators*. Forthcoming. <http://www.gao.gov/npi/usadkni.pdf> (February 2003).

The United States of America Developing Key National Indicators offers a framework to assess indicators and provides a preliminary draft of what an indicator set might look like for the United States.

U.S. Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, *Toward a Social Report*. Washington, D.C.: 1969.

Toward a Social Report discusses how social reporting can improve the nation's ability to chart its social progress and to promote more informed policy decisions.