References

1. Cragin, EB. Conservatism in obstetrics. N Y Med J 1916;104(1):1-3.

2. Martin, JA, Hamilton, BE, Ventura, SJ, et al. Births: final data for 2000. Natl Vital Stat Rep 2002;50(5):1-101.

3. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. Healthy People 2010. In: Office of Disease Prevention and Health Promotion; 2000.

4. Lydon-Rochelle, M, Holt, VL, Easterling, TR, et al. Risk of uterine rupture during labor among women with a prior cesarean delivery. N Engl J Med 2001;345(1):3-8.

5. McMahon, MJ, Luther, ER, Bowes, WA, et al. Comparison of a trial of labor with an elective second cesarean section. N Engl J Med 1996;335(10):689-95.

6. Smith, GCS, Pell, JP, Cameron, AD, et al. Risk of perinatal death associated with labor after previous cesarean delivery in uncomplicated term pregnancies. JAMA 2002;287(20):2684-90.

7. Menacker, F, Curtin, SC. Trends in Cesarean Birth and Vaginal Birth After Previous Cesarean, 1991-99. Natl Vital Stat Rep 2001;49(13):1-16.

8. Clarke, SC, Taffel, SM. State variation in rates of cesarean and VBAC delivery: 1989 and 1993. Stat Bull Metrop Insur Co 1996;77(1):28-36.

9. Jacoby, I, Meyer, GS, Haffner, W, et al. Modeling the future workforce of obstetrics and gynecology. Obstet Gynecol 1998;92(3):450-6.

10. 1998 American College of Obstetricians & Gynocologists. Socioeconomic Survey of Fellows (unpublished.). ACOG NET 1997;www.acog.com.

11. American Academy of Family Practitioners. FACTS about Family Practice. Table 67. (www.aafp.org). 2001.

12. Hannah, ME, Hannah, WJ, Hodnett, ED, et al. Outcomes at 3 months after planned cesarean vs planned vaginal delivery for breech presentation at term: the international randomized Term Breech Trial. JAMA 2002;287(14):1822-31.

13. O'Boyle, AL, Woodman, PJ, O'Boyle, JD, et al. Pelvic organ support in nulliparous pregnant and nonpregnant women: a case control study. Am J Obstet Gynecol 2002;187(1):99-102.

14. Morrison, JJ, Rennie, JM, Milton, PJ. Neonatal respiratory morbidity and mode of delivery at term: influence of timing of elective caesarean section. Br J Obstet Gynaecol 1995;102(2):101-6.

15. Plaut, MM, Schwartz, ML, Lubarsky, SL, et al. Uterine rupture associated with the use of misoprostol in the gravid patient with a previous cesarean section. Am J Obstet Gynecol 1999;180(6 Pt I):1535-1542.

16. Udvarhelyi, IS, Colditz, GA, Rai, A, et al. Cost-effectiveness and cost-benefit analyses in the medical literature. Are the methods being used correctly? [see comments.]. Annals of Internal Medicine. 1992;116(3):238-44.

17. Slavin, R. Best-evidence synthesis: An alternative to meta-analytic and traditional reviews. Educational Researcher 1986;155-11.

18. Reid, MC. Use of methodological standards in diagnostic testing research. JAMA 1995;274645-57.

19. Sox, HC, Jr. Evaluation of diagnostic testing. Ann Rev Med 1996;47463-71.

20. Flamm, BL, Goings, JR, Liu, Y, et al. Elective repeat cesarean delivery versus trial of labor: a Prospective multicenter study. Obstet Gynecol 1994;83(6):927-32.

21. Flamm, BL, Lim, OW, Jones, C, et al. Vaginal birth after cesarean section: Results

of a multicenter study. Am J Obstet Gynecol 1988;158(5):1079-84.

22. Flamm, BL, Newman, LA, Thomas, SJ, et al. Vaginal birth after cesarean delivery: Results of a 5-year multicenter collaborative study. Obstet Gynecol 1990;76(5 Pt 1):750-4.

23. Phelan, JP, Clark, SL, Diaz, F, et al. Vaginal birth after cesarean. Am J Obstet Gynecol 1987;157(6):1510-5.

24. Martin, JN, Harris, BA, Huddleston, JF, et al. Vaginal delivery following previous cesarean birth. Am J Obstet Gynecol 1983;146(3):255-63.

25. Cowan, RK, Kinch, RA, Ellis, B, et al. Trial of labor following cesarean delivery. Obstet Gynecol 1994;83(6):933-6.

26. Duff, P, Southmayd, K, Read, JA. Outcome of trial of labor in patients with a single previous low transverse cesarean section for dystocia. Obstet Gynecol 1988;71(3 Pt 1):380-4.

27. Stovall, TG, Shaver, DC, Solomon, SK, et al. Trial of labor in previous cesarean section patients, excluding classical cesarean sections. Obstet Gynecol 1987;70(5):713-717.

28. Flamm, BL, Goings, JR, Fuelberth, NJ, et al. Oxytocin during labor after previous cesarean section: Results of a multicenter study. Obstet Gynecol 1987;70(5):709-12.

29. Raynor, BD. The experience with vaginal birth after cesarean delivery in a small rural community practice. Am J Obstet Gynecol 1993;168(1 Pt 1):60-2.

30. Paul, RH, Phelan, JP, Yeh, SY. Trial of labor in the patient with a prior cesarean birth. Am J Obstet Gynecol 1985;151(3):297-304.

31. Lao, TT, Leung, BFH. Labor induction for planned vaginal delivery in patients with previous cesarean section. Acta Obstet Gynecol Scand 1987;66(5):413-416.

32. Rayburn, WF, Gittens, LN, Lucas, MJ, et al. Weekly administration of prostaglandin E2 gel compared with expectant management in women with previous cesareans. Obstet Gynecol 1999;94(2):250-254.

33. Flamm, BL, Anton, D, Goings, JR, et al. Prostaglandin E2 for cervical ripening: a Multicenter study of patients with prior cesarean delivery. Am J Perinatol 1997;14(3):157-60.

34. Blanco, JD, Collins, M, Willis, D, et al. Prostaglandin E2 gel induction of patients with a prior low transverse cesarean section. Am J Perinatol 1992;9(2):80-3.

35. Lelaidier, C, Baton, C, Benifla, JL, et al. Mifepristone for labour induction after previous caesarean section. Br J Obstet Gynaecol 1994;101(6):501-3.

36. Flamm, BL, Geiger, AM. Vaginal birth after cesarean delivery: an Admission scoring system. Obstet Gynecol 1997;90(6):907-10.

37. Jakobi, P, Weissman, A, Peretz, BA, et al. Evaluation of prognostic factors for vaginal delivery after cesarean section. J Reprod Med 1993;38(9):729-33.

38. Macones, GA, Hausman, N, Edelstein, R, et al. Predicting outcomes of trials of labor in women attempting vaginal birth after cesarean delivery: a comparison of multivariate methods with neural networks. Am J Obstet Gynecol 2001;184(3):409-13.

39. Pickhardt, MG, Martin, JN, Meydrech, EF, et al. Vaginal birth after cesarean delivery: are there useful and valid predictors of success or failure? Am J Obstet Gynecol 1992;166(6 Pt 1):1811-5; discussion 1815-9.

40. Troyer, LR, Parisi, VM. Obstetric parameters affecting success in a trial of labor: designation of a scoring system. Am J Obstet Gynecol 1992;167(4 Pt 1):1099-104.

41. Vinueza, CA, Chauhan, SP, Barker, L, et al. Predicting the success of a trial of labor with a simple scoring system. J Reprod Med 2000;45(4):332-6.

42. Weinstein, D, Benshushan, A, Tanos, V, et al. Predictive score for vaginal birth after cesarean section. Am J Obstet Gynecol 1996;174(1 Pt 1):192-8.

43. Abitbol, MM, Taylor, UB, Castillo, I, et al. The cephalopelvic disproportion index. Combined fetal sonography and x-ray pelvimetry for early detection of cephalopelvic disproportion. J Reprod Med 1991;36(5):369-73.

44. Lao, TT, Chin, RK, Leung, BF. Is X-ray pelvimetry useful in a trial of labour after caesarean section? Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol 1987;24(4):277-83.

45. Mahmood, T. The role of radiological pelvimetry in the management of patients who have had a previous caesarean section. J Obstet Gynaecol 1987;8(8):24-28.

46. Morgan, MA, Thurnau, GR. Efficacy of the fetal-pelvic index for delivery of neonates weighing 4000 grams or greater: a preliminary report. Am J Obstet Gynecol 1988;158(5):1133-7.

47. Thubisi, M, Ebrahim, A, Moodley, J, et al. Vaginal delivery after previous caesarean section: is X-ray pelvimetry necessary? Br J Obstet Gynaecol 1993;100(5):421-4.

48. Thurnau, GR, Scates, DH, Morgan, MA. The fetal-pelvic index: a method of identifying fetal-pelvic disproportion in women attempting vaginal birth after previous cesarean delivery. Am J Obstet Gynecol 1991;165(2):353-8.

49. Wright, M. The value of X-ray pelvimetry in previous caesarean section pregnancies. S Afr Med J 1985;68(6):409-11.

50. Meehan, FP, Burke, G. Trial of labour following prior section; a 5 year prospective study (1982-1987). Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol 1989;31(2):109-17.

51. Segal, S, Gemer, O, Zohav, E, et al. Evaluation of breast stimulation for induction of labor in women with a prior cesarean section and in grandmultiparas. Acta Obstet Gynecol Scand 1995;74(1):40-1.

52. Blanchette, H, Blanchette, M, McCabe, J, et al. Is vaginal birth after cesarean safe? Experience at a community hospital. Am J Obstet Gynecol 2001;184(7):1478-84; discussion 1484-7.

53. Connolly, GA, Razak, ARA, Conroy, R, et al. A five year review of scar dehiscence in the Rotunda Hospital Dublin. Ir Med J 2001;94(6):176-178.

54. Leung, AS, Farmer, RM, Leung, EK, et al. Risk factors associated with uterine rupture during trial of labor after cesarean delivery: A case-control study. Am J Obstet Gynecol 1993;168(5):1358-1363.

55. Leung, AS, Leung, EK, Paul, RH. Uterine rupture after previous cesarean delivery: maternal and fetal consequences. Am J Obstet Gynecol 1993;169(4):945-50.

56. Bujold, E, Gauthier, RJ. Neonatal morbidity associated with uterine rupture: What are the risk factors? Am J Obstet Gynecol 2002;186(2):311-4.

57. Meier, PR, Porreco, RP. Trial of labor following cesarean section: a two-year experience. Am J Obstet Gynecol 1982;144(6):671-8.

58. Stone, C, Halliday, J, Lumley, J, et al. Vaginal births after Caesarean (VBAC): a population study. Paediatr Perinat Epidemiol 2000;14(4):340-8.

59. Holt, VL, Mueller, BA. Attempt and success rates for vaginal birth after caesarean section in relation to complications of the previous pregnancy. Paediatr Perinat Epidemiol 1997;11(Suppl 1):63-72.

60. Rageth, JC, Juzi, C, Grossenbacher, H. Delivery after previous cesarean: a risk evaluation. Swiss Working Group of Obstetric and Gynecologic Institutions. Obstet Gynecol 1999;93(3):332-7.

61. Gregory, KD, Ramicone, E, Chan, L, et al. Cesarean deliveries for medicaid patients: a comparison in public and private hospitals in Los Angeles county. Am J Obstet Gynecol 1999;180(5):1177-84.

62. Weiss, J, Nannini, A, Fogerty, S, et al. Use of hospital discharge data to monitor uterine rupture--Massachusetts, 1990-1997; US Department of Health & Human Services. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep 2000;49(12):245-8.

63. Farmer, RM, Kirschbaum, T, Potter, D, et al. Uterine rupture during trial of labor after previous cesarean section. Am J Obstet Gynecol 1991;165(4 Pt 1):996-1001.

64. Eden, RD, Parker, RT, Gall, SA. Rupture of the pregnant uterus: a 53-year review. Obstet Gynecol 1986;68(5):671-4.

65. Scott, JR. Mandatory trial of labor after cesarean delivery: an alternative viewpoint. Obstet Gynecol 1991;77(6):811-4.

66. Plauche, WC, Von Almen, W, Muller, R. Catastrophic uterine rupture. Obstet Gynecol 1984;64(6):792-7.

67. Blanchette, HA, Nayak, S, Erasmus, S, et al. Comparison of the safety and efficacy of intravaginal misoprostol (prostaglandin e1) with those of dinoprostone (prostaglandin E2) for cervical ripening and induction of labor in a community hospital. Am J Obstet Gynecol 1999;180(6 Pt I):1551-1559.

68. Electronic fetal heart rate monitoring: Research guidelines for interpretation. National Institute of Child Health and Human Development Research Planning Workshop. American Journal of Obstetrics & Gynecology. 1997;177(6):1385-90.

69. Melchior, J, Bernard, N. Incidence and pattern of fetal heart rate alterations during labor. In: Kunzel, W, editor. Heart rate monitoring: clinical practice and physiology. Berlin: Springer-Verlag; 1985.

70. Vroman, S, Sian, AYL, Thiery, M, et al. Elective induction of labor conducted under lumbar epidural block I. Labor induction by anniotomy and intravenous oxytocin. Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol 1977;7159-80.

71. DiMatteo, MR, Morton, SC, Lepper, HS, et al. Cesarean childbirth and psychosocial outcomes: a Meta-analysis. Health Psychol 1996;15(4):303-14.

72. Lydon-Rochelle, MT, Holt, VL, Martin, DP. Delivery method and self-reported postpartum general health status among primiparous women. Paediatr Perinat Epidemiol 2001;15(3):232-40.

73. Ware, JE, Snow, KK, Kosinski, MA, et al. SF-36 health Survey Manual and Interpretation Guide. Boston: The Health Institute, New England Medical Center; 1997.

74. Tulman, L, Fawcett, J, Groblewski, L, et al. Changes in functional status after childbirth. Nurs Res 1990;3970-75.

75. Durik, AM, Hyde, JS, Clark, R. Sequelae of cesarean and vaginal deliveries: psychosocial outcomes for mothers and infants. Dev Psychol 2000;36(2):251-60.

76. Green, JM. Expectations, experiences and psychological outcomes of childbirth: a prospective study of 825 women. Birth 1990;17(1):15-24.

77. Shearer, MH. Commentary: Pondering the Study of Women's Psychosocial Outcomes. Birth 1990;17(1):24.

78. Bramadat, IJ, Driedger, M. Satisfaction with childbirth: Theories and methods of measurement. Birth 1993;20(1):22-9.

79. Proctor, S. What determines quality in maternity care? Comparing the perceptions of childbearing women and midwives. Birth 1998;25(2):85-93.

80. Gamble, JA, Creedy, DK. Women's request for a cesarean section: a Critique of the literature. Birth 2000;27(4):256-63.

81. Geary, M, Fanagan, M, Boylan, P. Maternal satisfaction with management in labour and preference for mode of delivery. J Perinat Med 1997;25(5):433-9.

82. Seguin, L, Therrien, R, Champagne, F, et al. The components of women's satisfaction with maternity care. Birth 1989;16(3):109-13.

83. Erb, L, Hill, G, Houston, D. A survey of parent's attitudes toward their cesaran births in Manitoba hospitals. Birth 1983;10(2):85-92.

84. Fawcett, J, Tulman, L, Spedden, J. Responses to vaginal birth after cesarean section. J Obstet Gynecol Neonat Nurs 1994;23(3):253-9.

85. Abitbol, MM, Castillo, I, Taylor, UB, et al. Vaginal birth after cesarean section: The patient's point of view. Am Fam Physician 1993;47(1):129-34.

86. Mould, TA, Chong, S, Spencer, JA, et al. Women's involvement with the decision preceding their caesarean section and their degree of satisfaction. Br J Obstet Gynaecol 1996;103(11):1074-7.

87. Chung, A, Macario, A, El-Sayed, YY, et al. Cost-effectiveness of a trial of labor after previous cesarean. Obstet Gynecol 2001;97(6):932-41.

88. Grobman, WA, Peaceman, AM, Socol, ML. Cost-effectiveness of elective cesarean delivery after one prior low transverse cesarean. Obstet Gynecol 2000;95(5):745-51.

89. Finkler, MD, Wirtschafter, DD. One health maintenance organization's experience: Obstetric costs depend more on staffing patterns than on mode of delivery. J Perinatol 1997;17(2):148-55.

90. Keeler, EB, Fok, T. Equalizing physician fees had little effect on cesarean rates. Med Care Res Rev 1996;53(4):465-71.

91. Spellacy, WN. Vaginal birth after cesarean: a reward/penalty system for national implementation. Obstet Gynecol 1991;78(2):316-7.

92. Shy, KK, LoGerfo, JP, Karp, LE. Evaluation of elective repeat cesarean section as a standard of care: an application of decision analysis. Am J Obstet Gynecol 1981;139(2):123-9.

93. Chuang, JH, Jenders, RA. Trial of labor versus elective repeat cesarean section for the women with a previous cesarean section: a Decision analysis. Proceedings / AMIA Annual Symposium 1999226-30.

94. Clark, SL, Scott, JR, Porter, TF, et al. Is vaginal birth after cesarean less expensive than repeat cesarean delivery? Am J Obstet Gynecol 2000;182(3):599-602.

95. Traynor, JD, Peaceman, AM. Maternal hospital charges associated with trial of labor versus elective repeat cesarean section. Birth 1998;25(2):81-4.

96. Shorten, A, Lewis, DE, Shorten, B. Trial of labour versus elective repeat caesarean section: a cost-effectiveness analysis. Aust Health Rev 1998;21(1):8-28.

97. Hadley, CB, Mennuti, MT, Gabbe, SG. An evaluation of the relative risks of a trial of labor versus elective repeat cesarean section. Am J Perinatol 1986;3(2):107-14.

98. Flamm, BL. Vaginal birth after cesarean section: Controversies old and new. Clin Obstet Gynecol 1985;28(4):735-44.

99. DeMaio, H, Edwards, RK, Euliano, TY, et al. Vaginal birth after cesarean delivery: An historic cohort cost analysis. Am J Obstet Gynecol 2002;186890-2.

100. Gold, M, Siegel, J, Russell, L, et al. Cost-Effectiveness in Health and Medicine. New York: Oxford University Press; 1996.

101. Boucher, M, Tahilramaney, MP, Eglinton, GS, et al. Maternal morbidity as related to trial of labor after previous cesarean delivery. A quantitative analysis. J Reprod Med 1984;29(1):12-6.

102. Mushinski, M. Average charges for uncomplicated vaginal, cesarean and VBAC deliveries: regional variations, United States, 1996. Stat Bull Metrop Insur Co 1998;79(3):17-28.

103. Charges and LOS for deliveries vary widely by geographic region, analysis concludes. Data Strat Benchmarks 1998;2(10):154-7.

104. de Meeus, JB, Ellia, F, Magnin, G. External cephalic version after previous cesarean section: a Series of 38 cases. Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol 1998;81(1):65-8.

105. Flamm, BL, Fried, MW, Lonky, NM, et al. External cephalic version after previous cesarean section. Am J Obstet Gynecol 1991;165(2):370-2.

106. Fraser, W, Maunsell, E, Hodnett, E, et al. Randomized controlled trial of a prenatal vaginal birth after cesarean section education and support program. Childbirth Alternatives Post-Cesarean Study Group. Am J Obstet Gynecol 1997;176(2):419-25.

107. McNally, OM, Turner, MJ. Induction of labour after 1 previous Caesarean section. Aust N Z J Obstet Gynaecol 1999;39(4):425-9.

108. Schachter, M, Kogan, S, Blickstein, I. External cephalic version after previous cesarean section--a clinical dilemma. Int J Gynaecol Obster 1994;45(1):17-20.

109. Stronge, J. Factors Affecting Mode of Delivery In Labour Following A Single Previous Birth by Caesarean Section. J Obstet Gynaecol 1996;16(5):353-7.

110. Zelop, CM, Shipp, TD, Cohen, A, et al. Trial of labor after 40 weeks' gestation in women with prior cesarean. Obstet Gynecol 2001;97(3):391-3.

111. Zelop, CM, Shipp, TD, Repke, JT, et al. Outcomes of trial of labor following previous cesarean delivery among women with fetuses weighing >4000 g. Am J Obstet Gynecol 2001;185(4):903-5.

112. Caughey, AB, Shipp, TD, Repke, JT, et al. Trial of labor after cesarean delivery: The effect of previous vaginal delivery. Am J Obstet Gynecol 1998;179(4):938-41.

113. Socol, ML, Peaceman, AM. Vaginal birth after cesarean: an appraisal of fetal risk. Obstet Gynecol 1999;93(5 Pt 1):674-9.

114. Goldman, G, Pineault, R, Bilodeau, H, et al. Effects of patient, physician and hospital characteristics on the likelihood of vaginal birth after previous cesarean section in Quebec. Can Med Assoc J 1990;143(10):1017-24.

115. King, DE, Lahiri, K. Socioeconomic factors and the odds of vaginal birth after cesarean delivery. JAMA 1994;272(7):524-9.

116. Stafford, RS. The impact of nonclinical factors on repeat cesarean section. JAMA 1991;265(1):59-63.

117. Roberts, RG, Bell, HS, Wall, EM, et al. Trial of labor or repeated cesarean section. The woman's choice. Arch Fam Med 1997;6(2):120-5.

118. Kirk, EP, Doyle, KA, Leigh, J, et al. Vaginal birth after cesarean or repeat cesarean section: medical risks or social realities? Am J Obstet Gynecol 1990;162(6):1398-403; discussion 403-5.

119. Kline, J, Arias, F. Analysis of factors determining the selection of repeated cesarean section or trial of labor in patients with histories of prior cesarean delivery. J Reprod Med 1993;38(4):289-92.

120. McClain, CS. The making of a medical tradition: vaginal birth after cesarean. Soc Sci Med 1990;31(2):203-10.

121. Melnikow, J, Romano, P, Gilbert, WM, et al. Vaginal birth after cesarean in California. Obstet Gynecol 2001;98(3):421-6.

122. Gamble, JA, Creedy, DK. Women's preference for a cesarean section: Incidence and associated factors. Birth 2001;28(2):101-10.

123. Lau, TK, MRCOG, Wong, SH, et al. A study of patient's acceptance towards vaginal birth after cesarean section. Aust N Z J Obstet Gynaecol 1996;36(2):155-158.

124. Murphy, MC, Harvey, SM. Choice of a childbirth method after cesarean. Women Health 1989;15(2):67-85.

125. Quinlivan, JA, Petersen, RW, Nichols, CN. Patient preference the leading indication for elective Caesarean section in public patients--results of a 2-year prospective audit in a teaching hospital. Aust N Z J Obstet Gynaecol 1999;39(2):207-14.

126. Joseph, GF, Stedman, CM, Robichaux, AG. Vaginal birth after cesarean section: the impact of patient resistance to a trial of labor. Am J Obstet Gynecol 1991;164(6 Pt 1):1441-4; discussion 1444-7.

127. Dilks, FM, Beal, JA. Role of self-efficacy in birth choice. J Perinat Neonat Nurs 1997;11(1):1-9.

128. McClain, CS. Patient decision making: the case of delivery method after a previous cesarean section. Cult Med Psychiatry 1987;11(4):495-508.

129. McClain, CS. Why women choose trial of labor or repeat cesarean section. J Fam Pract 1985;21(3):210-6.

130. DeMott, RK, Sandmire, HF. The Green Bay cesarean section study. I. The physician factor as a determinant of cesarean birth rates. Am J Obstet Gynecol 1990;162(6):1593-9; discussion 1599-602.

131. Vimercati, A, Greco, P, Kardashi, A, et al. Choice of cesarean section and perception of legal pressure. J Perinat Med 2000;28(2):111-7.

132. Studnicki, J, Remmel, R, Campbell, R, et al. The impact of legislatively imposed practice guidelines on cesarean section rates: the Florida experience. Am J Med Qual 1997;12(1):62-8.

133. Lomas, J, Enkin, M, Anderson, GM, et al. Opinion leaders vs audit and feedback to implement practice guidelines. Delivery after previous cesarean section. JAMA 1991;265(17):2202-7.

134. Bickell, NA, Zdeb, MS, Applegate, MS, et al. Effect of external peer review on cesarean delivery rates: a statewide program. Obstet Gynecol 1996;87(5 Pt 1):664-7.

135. Lomas, J, Anderson, GM, Domnick-Pierre, K, et al. Do practice guidelines guide practice? The effect of a consensus statement on the practice of physicians. N Engl J Med 1989;321(19):1306-11.

136. Santerre, RE. The effect of the ACOG guideline on vaginal births after cesarean. Med Care Res Rev 1996;53(3):315-29.

137. Myers, SA, Gleicher, N. The Mount Sinai cesarean section reduction program: an update after 6 years. Soc Sci Med 1993;37(10):1219-22.

138. Sanchez-Ramos, L, Kaunitz, AM, Peterson, HB, et al. Reducing cesarean sections at a teaching hospital. Am J Obstet Gynecol 1990;163(3):1081-7; discussion 1087-8.

139. Myers, SA, Gleicher, N. A successful program to lower cesarean-section rates. N Engl J Med 1988;319(23):1511-6.

140. Porreco, RP. High cesarean section rate: a new perspective. Obstet Gynecol 1985;65(3):307-11.

141. Coulter, CH, Lehrfeld, R. When push comes to shove: Implementing VBAC practice guidelines. Physician Exec 1995;21(6):30-5.

142. Gross, PA, Greenfield, S, Cretin, S, et al. Optimal methods for guideline implementation: conclusions from Leeds Castle meeting. Med Care 2001;39(8 Suppl 2):II85-92.

143. Goldman, G, Pineault, R, Potvin, L, et al. Factors influencing the practice of vaginal birth after cesarean section. Am J Public Health 1993;83(8):1104-8.

144. Sinusas, K. Deliveries by family physicians in Connecticut: results of a practice-based research network. Arch Fam Med 2000;9(5):434-8.

145. Deutchman, ME, Sills, D, Connor, PD. Perinatal outcomes: a Comparison between family physicians and obstetricians. J Am Board Fam Pract 1995;8(6):440-7.

146. Miller, ES, Partezana, J, Montgomery, RL. Vaginal birth after cesarean: a 5-year experience in a family practice residency program. J Am Board Fam Pract 1995;8(5):357-60.

147. Barnsley, JM, Vayda, E, Lomas, J, et al. Cesarean section in Ontario: Practice patterns and responses to hypothetical cases. Can J Surg 1990;33(2):128-32.

148. Coco, AS, Gates, TJ, Gallagher, ME, et al. Association of attending physician specialty with the cesarean delivery rate in the same patient population. Fam Med 2000;32(9):639-44.

149. Davis, LG, Riedmann, GL, Sapiro, M, et al. Cesarean section rates in low-risk private patients managed by certified nurse-midwives and obstetricians. J Nurse Midwifery 1994;39(2):91-7.

150. Hueston, WJ, Rudy, M. Differences in labor and delivery experience in family physician- and obstetrician-supervised teaching services. Fam Med 1995;27(3):182-7.

151. Stone, SE, Brown, MP, Westcott, JP. Nurse-midwifery service in a rural setting. J Nurse Midwifery 1996;41(5):377-82.

152. Harrington, LC, Miller, DA, McClain, CJ, et al. Vaginal birth after cesarean in a hospital-based birth center staffed by certified nurse-midwives. J Nurse Midwifery 1997;42(4):304-7.

153. Berkowitz, GS, Fiarman, GS, Mojica, MA, et al. Effect of physician characteristics on the cesarean birth rate. [see comments.]. Am J Obstet Gynecol 1989;161(1):146-9.

154. Hueston, WJ. Obstetric referral in family practice. Factors Affecting Cesarean Section (FACS) Study Group. J Fam Pract 1994;38(4):368-72.

155. Hangsleben, KL, Taylor, MA, Lynn, NM. VBAC program in a nurse-midwifery service. Five years of experience. J Nurse Midwifery 1989;34(4):179-84.

156. Chambliss, LR, Daly, C, Medearis, AL, et al. The role of selection bias in comparing cesarean birth rates between physician and midwifery management. Obstet Gynecol 1992;80(2):161-5.

157. Walton, DL, Ludlow, D, Willis, DC. Vaginal birth after cesarean section. Acceptance and outcome at a rural hospital. J Reprod Med 1993;38(9):716-8.

158. Whitsel, AI, Capeless, EC, Abel, DE, et al. Adjustment for case mix in comparisons of cesarean delivery rates: university versus community hospitals in Vermont. Am J Obstet Gynecol 2000;183(5):1170-5.

159. Skelton, AG. The relationship among cost, quality, and competition: an analysis of obstetrics services in Missouri hospitals. J Health Care Finance 1997;24(1):30-44.

160. Mor-Yosef, S, Zeevi, D, Samueloff, A, et al. Vaginal delivery following one previous cesarean birth: nation wide survey. Asia Oceania J Obstet Gynaecol 1990;16(1):33-7.

161. Iglesias, S, Burn, R, Saunders, LD. Reducing the cesarean section rate in a rural community hospital. Can Med Assoc J 1991;145(11):1459-64.

162. Schimmel, LM, Hogan, P, Boehler, B, et al. The Yolo County Midwifery Service. A descriptive study of 496 singleton birth outcomes, 1990. J Nurse Midwifery 1992;37(6):398-403.

163. Shiono, PH, Fielden, JG, McNellis, D, et al. Recent trends in cesarean birth and trial of labor rates in the United States. JAMA 1987;257(4):494-7.

164. Gregory, KD, Korst, LM, Cane, P, et al. Vaginal birth after cesarean and uterine rupture rates in California. Obstet Gynecol 1999;94(6):985-9.

165. Paterson, CM, Saunders, NJ. Mode of delivery after one caesarean section: audit of current practice in a health region. BMJ 1991;303(6806):818-21.

166. Kumar, S, Maouris, P. Induction of labour for trial of vaginal birth after caesarean section in a remote district hospital. Aust N Z J Obstet Gynaecol 1996;36(4):417-20.

167. Curtin, SC, Kozak, LJ. Cesarean delivery rates in 1995 continue to decline in the United States. Birth 1997;24(3):194-6.

168. Sieck, CC. Vaginal birth after cesarean section: a comparison of rural and metropolitan rates in Oklahoma. J Oklahoma State Med Assoc 1997;90(8):444-9.

169. Placek, PJ, Taffel, SM. Vaginal birth after cesarean (VBAC) in the 1980s. Am J Public Health 1988;78(5):512-5.

170. Stafford, RS. Cesarean section use and source of payment: an analysis of California hospital discharge abstracts. Am J Public Health 1990;80(3):313-5.

171. Wagner, CL, Metts, AK. Rates of successful vaginal delivery after cesarean for patients with private versus public insurance. J Perinatol 1999;19(1):14-8.

172. Oleske, DM, Linn, ES, Nachman, KL, et al. Cesarean and VBAC delivery rates in

Medicaid managed care, Medicaid fee-for-service, and private managed care. Birth 1998;25(2):125-7.

173. Miller, M, Leader, LR. Vaginal delivery after caesarean section. Aust N Z J Obstet Gynaecol 1992;32(3):213-6.

174. Chapman, RH, Stone, PW, Sandberg, EA, et al. A comprehensive league table of cost-utility ratios and a sub-table of "panel-worthy" studies. Med Decis Making 2000;20(4):451-67.

175. Xenakis E-J, Langer O, Piper JM, et al. Low-dose versus high-dose oxytocin augmentation of labor - A randomized trial. Am J Obstet Gynecol 1995;173(6):1874-1878.

176. Wing DA, Paul RH. Induction of labor with misoprostol for premature rupture of membranes beyond thirty-six weeks' gestation. Am J Obstet Gynecol 1998;179(1):94-9.

177. Stone C, Halliday J, Lumley J, et al. Vaginal births after Caesarean (VBAC): a population study. Paediatr Perinat Epidemiol 2000;14(4):340-8.

178. Stalnaker BL, Maher JE, Kleinman GE, et al. Characteristics of successful claims for payment by the Florida Neurologic Injury Compensation Association Fund. Am J Obstet Gynecol 1997;177(2):268-71; discussion 271-3.

179. Arulkumaran S, Ingemarsson I, Ratnam SS. Oxytocin augmentation in dysfunctional labour after previous caesarean section. Br J Obstet Gynaecol 1989;96(8):939-941.

180. Bais JM, van der Borden DM, Pel M, et al. Vaginal birth after caesarean section in a population with a low overall caesarean section rate. Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol 2001;96(2):158-62.

181. Gherman RB, Heath T. Trial of labor after cesarean delivery: a Pilot study of oral misoprostol for preinduction cervical ripening. Obstet Gynecol 2001;97(4 Suppl. 1):S68.

182. Goldberger SB, Rosen DJ, Michaeli G, et al. The use of PGE2 for induction of labor in parturients with a previous cesarean section scar. Acta Obstet Gynecol Scand 1989;68(6):523-6.

183. Goldman GA, Kaplan B, Rabinerson D, et al. Vaginal delivery following caesarean section - the use of oxytocin and prostaglandins. J Obstet Gynaecol 1998;18(4):328-330.

184. Norman M, Ekman G. Preinductive cervical ripening with prostaglandin E2 in women with one previous cesarean section. Acta Obstet Gynecol Scand 1992;71(5):351-355.

185. Silver RK, Gibbs RS. Predictors of vaginal delivery in patients with a previous cesarean section, who require oxytocin. Am J Obstet Gynecol 1987;156(1):57-60.

186. Sims EJ, Newman RB, Hulsey TC. Vaginal birth after cesarean: to induce or not to induce. Am J Obstet Gynecol 2001;184(6):1122-4.

187. Videla FL, Satin AJ, Barth WH, et al. Trial of labor: a disciplined approach to labor management resulting in a high rate of vaginal delivery. Am J Perinatol 1995;12(3):181-4.

188. Sakala EP, Kaye S, Murray RD, et al. Epidural analgesia. Effect on the likelihood of a successful trial of labor after cesarean section. J Reprod Med 1990;35(9):886-90.

189. Asaad K, Alaily B. Oxytocin use and delivery outcome in women with one previous caesarean section and pre-labour rupture of the membranes at term. J Obstet Gynaecol 1994;14(6):420-422.

190. Taylor AVG, Sellers S, Ah-Moye M, et al. A prospective random allocation trial to compare vaginal prostaglandin E2 with intravenous oxytocin for labour induction in women previously delivered by caesarean section. J Obstet Gynaecol 1993;13(5):333-336.

191. Beall M, Eglinton GS, Clark SL, et al. Vaginal delivery after cesarean section in women with unknown types of uterine scar. J Reprod Med 1984;29(1):31-5.

192. Holt VL, Mueller BA. Attempt and success rates for vaginal birth after caesarean section in relation to complications of the previous pregnancy. Paediatr Perinat Epidemiol 1997;11(Suppl 1):63-72.

193. Zelop CM, Shipp TD, Repke JT, et al. Effect of previous vaginal delivery on the risk of uterine rupture during a subsequent trial of labor. Am J Obstet Gynecol 2000;183(5):1184-6.

194. Zelop CM, Shipp TD, Repke JT, et al. Uterine rupture during induced or augmented labor in gravid women with one prior cesarean delivery. Am J Obstet Gynecol 1999;181(4):882-6.

195. Choy-Hee L, Raynor BD. Misoprostol induction of labor among women with a history of cesarean delivery. Am J Obstet Gynecol 2001;184(6):1115-7.

196. Chua S, Arulkumaran S, Singh P, et al. Trial of labour after previous caesarean section: Obstetric outcome. Aust N Z J Obstet Gynaecol 1989;29(1):12-7.

197. Chuck FJ, Huffaker BJ. Labor induction with intravaginal misoprostol versus intracervical prostaglandin E2 gel (Prepidil gel): Randomized comparison. Am J Obstet Gynecol 1995;173(4):1137-42.

198. Coltart TM, Davies JA, Katesmark M. Outcome of a second pregnancy after a previous elective caesarean section. Br J Obstet Gynaecol 1990;97(12):1140-3.

199. Del Valle GO, Adair CD, Sanchez-Ramos L, et al. Cervical ripening in women with previous cesarean deliveries. International Journal of Gynecology & Obstetrics 1994;47(1):17-21.

200. MacKenzie IZ, Bradley S, Embrey MP. Vaginal prostaglandins and labour induction for patients previously delivered by caesarean section. Br J Obstet Gynaecol 1984;91(1):7-10.

201. Norman P, Kostovcik S, Lanning A. Elective repeat cesarean sections: how many could be vaginal births? Can Med Assoc J 1993;149(4):431-5.

202. Plaut MM, Schwartz ML, Lubarsky SL, et al. Uterine rupture associated with the use of misoprostol in the gravid patient with a previous cesarean section. Am J Obstet Gynecol 1999;180(6 Pt I):1535-1542.

203. Miles AM, Monga M, Waller K, et al. Risk factors for symptomatic uterine rupture during a trial of labor: The 1990s. Am J Perinatol 2000;17(7):385-389.

204. Stone JL, Lockwood CJ, Berkowitz G, et al. Use of cervical prostaglandin E2 gel in patients with previous cesarean section. Am J Perinatol 1994;11(4):309-12.

205. Abitbol MM, Taylor UB, Castillo I, et al. The cephalopelvic disproportion index. Combined fetal sonography and x-ray pelvimetry for early detection of cephalopelvic disproportion. J Reprod Med 1991;36(5):369-73.

206. Morgan MA, Thurnau GR. Efficacy of the fetal-pelvic index for delivery of neonates weighing 4000 grams or greater: a preliminary report. Am J Obstet Gynecol 1988;158(5):1133-7.

207. Hook B, Kiwi R, Amini SB, et al. Neonatal morbidity after elective repeat cesarean section and trial of labor. Pediatrics 1997;100(3 Pt 1):348-53.

208. Hanley ML, Smulian JC, Lake MF, et al. Analysis of repeat cesarean delivery indications: implications of heterogeneity. Am J Obstet Gynecol 1996;175(4 Pt 1):883-8.

209. Taffel SM, Placek PJ, Moien M, et al. 1989 U.S. cesarean section rate steadies--VBAC rate rises to nearly one in five. Birth 1991;18(2):73-7.

210. Eriksen NL, Buttino L. Vaginal birth after cesarean: a Comparison of maternal and neonatal morbidity to elective repeat cesarean section. Am J Perinatol 1989;6(4):375-9.

211. Placek PJ, Taffel SM. Vaginal birth after cesarean (VBAC) in the 1980s. Am J Public Health 1988;78(5):512-5.

212. Hadley CB, Mennuti MT, Gabbe SG. An evaluation of the relative risks of a trial of labor versus elective repeat cesarean section. Am J Perinatol 1986;3(2):107-14.

213. Boucher M, Tahilramaney MP, Eglinton GS, et al. Maternal morbidity as related to trial of labor after previous cesarean delivery. A quantitative analysis. J Reprod Med 1984;29(1):12-6.

214. Ravasia DJ, Wood SL, Pollard JK. Uterine rupture during induced trial of labor among women with previous cesarean delivery. Am J Obstet Gynecol 2000;183(5):1176-9.