1	Those who seek to make this country secure need
2	not be heedless of privacy, and those who seek to ensure
3	privacy do not necessarily seek to make this country less
4	secure.
5	Let us remember and let us heed Franklin's
6	words that those who would give up essential liberty to
7	purchase a little temporary safety deserve neither
8	liberty nor safety. Let us strive to deserve both.
9	Thank you.
10	(Applause.)
11	PANEL 1: The Process of Protecting Consumer Information:
12	Creating a Business Plan Using a Hypothetical
13	MS. LEVIN: Thank you, Nuala, for your remarks.
14	They're very inspiring.
15	I just have a couple of other announcements
16	before we get on with our first panel.
17	First, in your folders are the bios of the
18	people that you'll be hearing from today, so our
19	introductions are going to be very brief.
20	There are also hand-outs for the slide
21	presentations, at least most of them, so you'll be able
22	to take them home and not have to worry about jotting
23	down lots of notes during the panels themselves.
24	All of this will be posted on our website,
25	ftc.gov/techworkshop, so that you'll be able to view the

other slides that were not in your hand-outs today and actually see the slides from the previous workshop, as well.

You will also find information on the website about purchasing videotapes of the two sessions, and later this month, we will have the transcripts of the sessions posted.

So, we don't want all the valuable information being presented today to evaporate in cyberspace. We want it to be there for you in the future.

For those of you who'd like to add to the record of the workshop, information about providing written comments on the topics of either workshop session is on the website, and the final deadline to submit comments is June 20th.

There will be a brief five-minute question-andanswer period prior to the end of each panel, and if you'd like to address a question to the panel, we ask you to line up behind the microphone, which will be in the back of the center aisle.

So, we're ready to begin.

Panel one brings together some of the leading privacy and security experts in the country to give you a glimpse, an inside glimpse of how we go about creating a business plan to manage privacy and the role technology

1 can play in that plan.

Let me first introduce my co-moderator, Joe
Alhadeff, chief privacy officer for Oracle Corporation,
and then to my left, Gary Clayton, chairman of Privacy
Council, Incorporated; Stephen M. Paroby, global director
of markets for technology and security risk services of
Ernst & Young; Steven Adler, market manager of IBM Tivoli
Security & Privacy Software; David Chaum, a security
expert and consultant, cryptographer and inventor of
electronic cash; Susan Grant, vice president for public
policy at the National Consumers League; Richard Purcell,
CEO of Corporate Privacy Group; and Larry Ponemon,
chairman of the Ponemon Institute.

Before we launch into our hypothetical discussion, we're going to learn about what businesses are currently doing to manage privacy, and Larry Ponemon will open our panel with a presentation of his 2003 benchmark study on corporate privacy and data protection practices.

Thanks, Larry.

MR. PONEMON: Good morning.

What I'd like to do is to talk very, very briefly about a study that has just been completed. It's a benchmarking study of corporate privacy practices.

I think Toby is going to hold me to a real

tight deadline, because if you know me, you know that I like to talk and always go over on speeches like this. So, I will just touch upon the major findings of this research, and at your leisure, if you want to contact me, if you want more information, we could have private one-to-one conversations. I will not bore you with all of the statistical details, but it's a very interesting study. Of course, I'm biased.

Let me just start off with some general reactions. You know, one picture is worth 1,000 words, and one general reaction is worth 1,000 pictures, and these are some of the comments that were provided to me, and these were not recorded on the survey instrument.

Of course, I'll start off with the most positive. "This survey was amazingly useful. It helped me to see all the activities that we aren't doing now very well." And that's my mother. She wrote that one. I'm being honest.

"Frankly, Dr. Ponemon, after completing the instrument, I was embarrassed to submit because of all the 'no' and 'unsure' responses." That was an honest response.

Number three. "I make no guarantees about the quality of the enclosed responses. It was completed by

1	my boss, and he is likely to have been wearing a pretty
2	big halo when editing my work."
3	Okay.
4	And "Larry, I like the survey very much, but I
5	don't really think all this research will make a
6	difference. The only measure that is respected around
7	here is return on investment. Is there an ROI for
8	privacy? If so, tell me about it soon, because I'm
9	drowning."
10	These are real comments.
11	Four basic questions.
12	When you do research, before you start the
13	project and you're trying to be objective about your
14	work, you are really asking these basic essential
15	questions:
16	What are you trying to accomplish? And in
17	particular, what are leading companies doing today to
18	ensure adequate compliance?
19	Is there a common set of business practices
20	employed by leading companies to ensure reasonable
21	protection and controls over personal information?
22	Are there apparent gaps in privacy and data
23	protection activities that may create some
24	vulnerabilities for companies?
25	And then last, and certainly not least from the

FTC's perspective, do corporate privacy and data

protection practices vary across industry sectors, and if

so, perhaps there's an influence of regulation, or the

lack thereof.

Now, again, I promised some caveats. Before we get into the findings, the focus is on description. This is not normative research. We're not testing specific hypotheses. It is based on a small, non-random, representative sample of companies.

So, to the extent that companies participated, you can assume that these are probably companies with more mature privacy programs.

There is an enrollment bias. We believe that larger companies will probably have a better privacy and data program than smaller or younger companies, and unmeasured organizational factors -- and they are many and too numerous to mention right now -- that may explain differences across companies.

The halo issue is always an issue in research of this kind. So, there is the possibility that this self-reported data is just overly positive, and doesn't reflect reality.

Now, a little bit about the instrument.

Many of you have seen the instrument, and again, if you're interested in seeing all of this

gruesome detail, I will make it available to you. It's in the public domain, and this was work done in collaboration with the International Association of Privacy Professionals, the IAPP. So, the benchmark survey was developed and refined with a learned group of experts, 11 corporations and one Federal agency, and these are CPOs or senior executives representing privacy.

The instrument was organized into eight core areas representing, actually, 108 different topics. So, there are 108 topics organized into eight areas. You might actually think about it generally as issues that chief privacy officers face or the business processes that they're trying to manage, such as policy, communication and training, privacy management, even data security, compliance and monitoring, choice and consent, global standards, and probably last and certainly not least, redress and enforcement.

Methods were survey driven, but in many cases, we decided to do diagnostic interviews to learn more. Sometimes the responses were sorely incomplete and the only way to get to the meaty data was to talk to people, but we did promise confidentiality. So, unless someone revealed the name of the organization, we could not have that one-to-one dialogue, but in many cases we did.

The final survey was distributed at the IAPP

annual summit in February. We received 111 total

completed surveys, of which we rejected four because

there were internal inconsistencies. You hate throwing

away research as valuable as this, but we just felt it

was low reliability. We got rid of them.

So, we had 107, and of the 107, one of the questions we asked, are you a small company, that is with a head count of less than 5,000, or a large company, and that one variable explained probably 60 percent of the variation in privacy practices.

So, we decided for this research to do two studies. We're going to do a small company study and a larger company study, and we are now reporting today on the larger company results.

An illustration of the survey instrument itself
-- we try to limit responses to "yes/no." If you
couldn't respond "yes," or you couldn't respond "no," you
had "unsure." If you couldn't respond "unsure," you
could leave it blank, and there were places for noting
exceptions. So, there were many exceptions.

The primary dependent variable of analysis is something that we refer to as a percent positive response. It's the percentage of "yes" responses, "yes" denoting something that is good, "no" denoting something that may not be that good, and there were reverse-scored

1	items, so "yes" is really a positive response. It's not
2	always the "yes" response to the survey.
3	Industry classification. Because we're dealing
4	with 55 larger companies, many of which are Fortune 500
5	or Global 1000 companies, we did not cover the waterfront
6	of industry.
7	The largest industry concentration is financial
8	services.
9	We grouped health and pharmaceuticals together,
10	and for those people who are in the pharmaceuticals
11	industry, please do not throw anything at me, because I
12	understand that that's not true. Pharmaceuticals is
13	manufacturing, but it also covers some major health care
14	issues, so they are grouped together.
15	We have consumer products, manufacturing,
16	retail, telecom, the automobile industry and a
17	transportation company, technology, and other. Other
18	includes one Federal agency.
19	Now, the results.
20	Based on that percentage of "yes" response,
21	companies are doing probably more around the privacy
22	policy than any of the other categories.
23	That's a good fact.
24	The bad fact is redress and enforcement is not
25	being attended to very well.

1	Data security, privacy management,
2	communications, and training the compliance-oriented
3	activities are taking the lead.
4	Issues like preference management, where
5	there's 41 percent of compliance, or of percentage "yes"
6	response. Attending to global standards, because all of
7	these companies, save one Federal agency, deal with the
8	international regulatory issue, not just the Federal or
9	state regulatory issues, and global standards is not a
10	high priority right now.
11	Now, industries vary, and this is interesting,
12	and this might suggest, if you are pro-regulation, that
13	regulations make a difference, and you will see that
14	financial services do better in terms of the percentage
15	"yes" than other industries.
16	Well, don't get too excited, because health
17	care and pharmaceuticals, which some would argue is
18	subject to even more regulation, is at a very low level
19	of compliance.
20	Unfortunately, one cannot conclude that
21	regulations are playing a big part, and the fact that you
22	have a 64-percent compliance rate may not suggest that
23	companies are doing very well even in financial services.
24	Also, the automotive industry, for some unknown
25	reason, seems to be stepping up to the plate in terms of

1 basic blocking and tackling.

So, of any industry group that seems to be proactively managing this thing, it's probably automotive, but keep in mind, the big automotive companies also are financial service companies.

Now, I'm going to rush, because I feel the pressure to get to the panel.

Key findings -- I'm not going to go through all of these, but on the positive and negative side -- and these are just examples. I say key findings, but these are example findings. There are many, many more in each of these categories.

Almost all of the companies have a privacy policy, and the majority of companies get approval at the CEO and senior management level, and there are formal controls over revisions to that policy. There does seem to be an alignment between the policy for privacy and the ethical conduct policy, which we think is a good thing.

There's also a separate policy for employees.

On the negative side, the policy doesn't seem to be aligned with major stakeholders. No one ever talks to the consumer or the customer or the policy holder or the person that you're trying to protect. There seems to be a real gap. We think we know what they want, but there's no evidence to suggest companies do research in

this area. They do a lot of marketing research but no research on this issue of what consumers want.

Policies are still way too complicated. If you use the eighth-grade reading level, this is at the 29th-grade reading level in some cases. But it's very, very complicated, and people just don't understand it.

There's also very limited disclosure. Unless you're require to have a notice, most of the disclosure might be web-based disclosure.

On communications and training -- well, good news -- there's widespread communication of privacy policies to employees, nice outreach. That's good. Policies are shared with business partners. Good deal. There's widespread communication of policies to customers and even consumers. Good thing.

On the negative side, very, very few organizations open up their compliance program to key business partners.

There is no privacy awareness activity in most cases to customers, no mandatory -- underscore this word -- mandatory -- or very limited mandatory privacy training for employees.

No computer -- very limited computer-based training activity -- and you would think that's the greatest way of educating people, a low-cost way of doing

1 it.

Do not report training results to senior executives of the board, which is a surrogate for accountability. Don't even measure effectiveness -- you're going to spend millions of dollars. You want to know if there is an ROI, and a lot of companies aren't really measuring effectiveness at this point.

Key findings on privacy management: Probably the most positive of positives is that the management of privacy is not that department off to the corner and no one knows what they do. Rather it's a cross-functional team, and that is the right way to manage privacy, in my opinion. That's good.

Privacy committees have formal responsibilities and a charter. Very good.

Business partners must comply. At least, people tell us that in the survey. This may be a halo effect, but they must comply with the privacy policy.

Well, the number one negative in this category is 52 percent believe there is a serious, serious lack of resources to achieve privacy goals. If there is one issue that was communicated to me off the record, that was the off-the-record comment that we can't get our job done without a budget, and we just don't have any.

Privacy is not important to executives for

brand or marketplace image. This is the perception of 1 the CPO. Yet when I talk to marketing executives, they do believe that privacy is important and it's a way of engendering trust. There's a workshop on the 18th that's 4 going to get at the value proposition to privacy, and I think this is one of the issues that we need to discuss.

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

There doesn't seem to be a direct reporting relationship to the CEO or senior leadership. Although CEO's are involved, it's not a direct involvement.

Remember I said we will hold our business partners to our privacy policy? Good fact. How do you do it if you don't monitor, okay? Forty-five percent of the companies are not monitoring it. At least they tell us -- this is with the halo -- that they're not monitoring those policies. And very few organizations actually conduct independent privacy audits, which we think are good. I'm somewhat biased, having been a privacy auditor.

Key findings on data security -- and I'm going to go through these very quickly, Toby.

Positives:

On the positive side, companies are actually trying to take stock and inventories of their personal data.

Here's an interesting fact. There is an

What

1	evaluation of new software applications. As they are
2	entered into production, companies are at least looking
3	at some of the privacy and data protection issues.
4	And perimeter controls data security, at
5	least over consumer-centric data, seems to be pretty
6	good, and employee data, as well.
7	The issue of honoring consumer preferences
8	66 percent don't have a mechanism for doing that, and
9	actually, Steve, you'll talk about IBM, but tools like
10	that could actually make a big difference.
11	No integration of information security with
12	privacy initiatives.
13	You would think that these are hand-in-glove
14	concepts, but many companies still operate these two in
15	silos.
16	Lack of control over IT. For example, basic
17	issues who controls website domains?
18	I can't tell you how many companies said, I
19	know there are websites out there with our company name
20	on it, and I don't know about them, and I know it's going
21	to get us into trouble.
22	Widespread use of our favorite thing, the
23	Social Security number, still exists as a primary form of
24	identification and maybe even authentication.

25

Low use of privacy-enabling technologies.

1	was interesting about that is companies are really
2	interested, but they don't have the resources right now.
3	So, CEO's need to step up to the plate or we have to do a
4	better job of explaining the ROI, so people see the
5	value.
6	And a low usage of P3P.
7	Key findings on compliance:
8	Senior management support privacy compliance
9	programs. At least they say they do have them.
10	Privacy compliance is viewed as a significant
11	regulatory concern for the company, and privacy and data
12	protection strategies are actually in place today, but
13	there's no crisis plan, in many cases.
14	Companies wouldn't know what to do if they were
15	hit on the side of the head with a two-by-four.
16	Unfortunately, that's reality.
17	They don't check things like marketing
18	campaigns to determine whether those campaigns they're
19	marketing are privacy-compliant.
20	They don't use internal auditing that's
21	available to them to monitor privacy.
22	And they don't conduct mock regulatory
23	assessment or audits to see, if the regulator showed up
24	on Monday, that by Friday, when they got the opinion, it
25	wasn't a negative audit opinion.

Very briefly, choice -- you notice the list of positives keeps getting smaller and smaller, and negatives actually get larger. The issue here is that opt-in is not used, and I know it depends on the industry sector, but it's just not used. There's no flexibility in how consumers and customers communicate choice, and this is interesting, because consumers want better ways of telling the company how they want their data used and how they want to be respected, and companies aren't doing it or doing it well. Employees are not given a choice over how their PII is collected and used. That's the sleeping tiger or giant, the employee issue.

On the global side, we all know that evaluation of global standards is done, but compliance with these laws isn't monitored.

Transport of data flow issues, new Canadian regulations, and even the issue of safe harbor -- it's just being ignored or it's not considered as a high priority in many cases.

The redress area has probably the greatest gap.

For the most part, organizations just don't have it together here. They don't have a clue.

Many companies actually are doing it well, so I don't want to just generalize to every organization, but the vast majority of companies are just not doing a whole

1 lot in this area.

Employees, for example, don't have a process to resolve concerns about their personal data. Consumers and customers can't access and correct their personal data. There is no redress program for consumers and customers. There is no process for enforcing privacy violations, and that's a depressing fact.

They do not have a process for reporting privacy complaints to management, and that is interesting because state laws, such as in California, as you know, now have time-lines.

An issue occurs and you have a time-line for getting something done, but many companies are not aware of that, and they're not imposing any reporting time-line.

It goes into a great void when a complaint is registered.

So, what did we learn?

In summary, many companies are actually achieving modest success, even with all the negatives, with their privacy and data protection program. One of the questions that we asked is do you feel that the world is getting better for you, and the good news is that most companies, even with these negative, dismal findings, are saying yes, they expect to spend more money, and they

really viewed the technology area as the area of greatest hope. So, it's enabling technologies that, at the end of the day, will make the difference, we think.

Companies are vulnerable to privacy breaches because of gaps.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

The gaps that we've identified -- just having a policy doesn't mean you're doing much. You have to do more than that.

And companies are moving in that direction, but there are still some pretty large mine-fields to be aware of.

Certain industries seem to perform at a higher level of compliance -- for example, the financial services industry -- but I don't think we can draw the conclusion about regulation, as I mentioned before. So, it is still unclear that regulations for privacy and data protection serve to improve or hamper the leading practices or best practices.

I'm going to close, but I think the key variable is there's a lot of data here, and we're very proud of our report. For anyone in this room or anyone you know, if you're interested, just give me a call, and we will send the report to you.

24 Thank you very much, and now I'm going to turn 25 it over to Toby and Joe.

1	Thank you.
2	MS. LEVIN: Thank you, Larry.
3	(Applause.)
4	MS. LEVIN: As an agency that's very interested
5	in studies and surveys and empirical information, we
6	appreciate your having done the study, and we look
7	forward to analyzing it in more detail and talking with
8	you about it.
9	There's an executive summary of it in your
10	folders, as well.
11	Now, I'm happy to turn it over to Joe Alhadeff,
12	who is the author of this very challenging hypothetical.
13	The description of the hypothetical is in your packets,
14	as well, and he'll walk us through it.
15	MR. ALHADEFF: Actually, I had asked Toby to
16	get a Lavaliere so I could do an Arthur Miller-style
17	discussion with this hypothetical, but I don't think I
18	want to. I won't challenge the person who is trying to
19	do the video by having me as a ping-pong ball walking
20	around the room. So, I'll just moderate from my seat, if
21	that's okay. That would actually give you another option
22	for Commissioner Swindle's comment that everybody move to
23	the center if you actually want to see us.
24	We have essentially a two-part hypothetical.
25	It's one fact pattern, but it's going to be dealt with in

1 two parts.

Part one is going to be the brainstorming session of the consulting group, whereas part two is the consulting group doing the presentation to the client. By way of background, so that you don't have to read through the entire hypothetical, there's a consulting group called Consulting and Advising on Net Deployment and Operation -- a catchy name, CANDO.

The firm specializes in technology and policy consulting on Internet and deployment, and the firm that they hope to work with is a firm of retirement communities called Golden Oldies. They're a confederation of retirement communities that essentially have six locations -- five in the U.S., one in Canada.

The communities have doctors on-call. They provide small clinic facilities, pharmacies, libraries, some convenience services, including in-home meals, shopping, and some financial advisors.

So, the CEO has had a meeting informally with one of the representatives of CANDO, and the CEO is, oddly enough, a gentleman named Ivan Offerforyou, and he went to a trade show -- it will sink in over time. He went to a trade show, and his concept is he wants to have wired communities, because he's seen that this is the next big thing. So, they've gotten some computers in the

community centers and the residents seem to be liking it.

They've gotten broadband out to the home, but a lot of
the people in the community don't have computers yet, and
he's starting to think big.

He wants to try to group the purchase of the computers. He wants to try to start grouping the purchasing habits of some of his residents to get them better price advantages. And then he's also thinking from an administrative point of view about his six offices that have essentially been working in non-modern times in terms of technology.

While they each have a computer, the computers aren't connected, and they've been exchanging data by sending disks back and forth or even sending print-outs back and forth between headquarters and the various community facilities.

So, he's trying to figure out how to work this forward. One of the other things that he's looking at is all of these community centers purchase products, whether it's to stock the small grocery that may be in the community, the cleaning supplies, the medical supplies that the little clinics may use, and he's figuring that group purchasing there might be beneficial to him, also.

Unfortunately, as part of the description that he has given you, one of the concepts that he wanted was

1	to have you guys come in to give him advice on what
2	package he needs to buy to solve this problem.
3	The other thing that he's figured out is that,
4	while it's a big operation, it's a family-run operation.
5	So, as CANDO, you may be starting to wonder
6	about the professionalism of some of his staff.
7	Many brother-in-laws and cousins who otherwise
8	were unemployable seem to have found a job somewhere in
9	his organization.
10	Technologically, they have some tech people on
11	staff, but really, they're kind of Mr. Fix-It's. They
12	show you how to use a piece of software but they don't
13	really interact with the residents. They only support
14	the people within the community who are administrative
15	staff, and they work on that one server.
16	I will make one comment about the process here
17	before we get into the flow, and the process is, if you
18	look at what you've got on this panel, in many ways it
19	could be a dream team of consulting. I mean CANDO could
20	be CAN'T AFFORD.
21	And so, I don't want people to presume that you
22	need a team of this variety and experience, necessarily,
23	to have a solution.
24	We're fortunate in the fact that we've been
25	able to attract this team, but there are lots of people

	42
1	out there and lots of ways that you can get this advice
2	at a much more affordable fashion than what you've got
3	sitting in front of you.
4	This is a great opportunity, and Toby and the
5	FTC have shown amazing courage in letting the egos that
6	are sitting on this panel, who could each fill up the
7	hour-and-a-half time slot by themselves, interact without
8	a net.
9	So, with that, we'll plunge into the deep end
10	and see where we go.
11	MS. LEVIN: For the first part, all of the
12	panelists are part of CANDO, and we've got our logo sign
13	right in front.
14	During the first part of this hypothetical,
15	everyone is part of the discussion.
16	MR. ALHADEFF: None of the information that the
17	panelists now have can be imputed to them when they
18	become an officer of the company in part two.
19	Essentially, this is the brainstorming meeting.
20	We're now called to order. Just looking at
21	this, we're trying to figure out what it is that needs to
22	be done for GO Golden Oldies is going to be
23	abbreviated as GO from now on for GO to develop a
24	business plan. The first question, which I'll ask my

colleague, Richard, is do we have all the information we

25

1	need? Is there something that we don't have here? Do we
2	have the facts?
3	MR. PURCELL: Well, I think we have the
4	framework. I don't think we have the facts.
5	I don't know what the age span of the people
6	here are.
7	I don't know what their particular interests
8	are.
9	I don't know how far away from their relatives
10	or other communities they live.
11	So, there's a whole bunch of connectedness that
12	I need to know.
13	The other thing is I haven't seen yet what the
14	platform is they're running on or if there's any
15	consistency across these six different operations in
16	terms of the platform. How are they transferring this
17	information?
18	Steve, have you heard anything about that?
19	MR. ADLER: I'm sure it's a LINUX platform.
20	MR. PURCELL: Oh, you think so. Well, it
21	probably is, because they're the brother-in-law kind of
22	thing, right? So, they're going on the cheap. They
23	definitely are patching this thing together.
24	I'm wondering if they are putting together a

consistent data exchange here at all. We know they're

25

1	shoving diskettes at each other, so obviously they're not
2	very consistent.
3	MR. ALHADEFF: I think we can't presume that
4	there is any consistency within the data. As far as your
5	issue of the ages of the residents, he had said there was
6	seemingly some variety, but we know that the family
7	members want to interact.
8	Steve, do you have any thoughts on things that
9	we would have to look at in terms of some of the issues
10	that we would first see?
11	Richard's pointed out some of the information
12	we need, but are there big gaps in the information we
13	have. He's looking for a turn-key solution, a package,
14	and I think maybe we need some foundation.
15	MR. PAROBY: Well, I think in any organization,
16	no matter what size, no matter what they're into, you
17	first want to start with their vision, their strategy,
18	their mission, their growth plans.
19	Currently, where are they? What's their
20	current state?
21	And you mentioned what's the platform? What
22	are they running on?
23	What are they doing? What's their
24	connectivity? And you have six centers, but how many
25	people? What age groups, et cetera?

1	But I think the strategy, the overall vision,
2	the growth plans are something that you would start with
3	in any organization before you make a determination on
4	what to do to solve a problem.
5	MR. ALHADEFF: Susan?
6	MS. GRANT: I think there's a big missing piece
7	here that I've noticed, and that is that we don't really
8	know what the residents of these communities want.
9	We haven't had an opportunity to survey them or
10	talk to them at all.
11	I'm not really sure, talking to the people in
12	the company that we've interacted with so far, that they
13	know what the residents want.
14	I think that's really important.
15	MR. ALHADEFF: Larry, is there something that
16	we can at least gather from him as to how we'd phrase the
17	goals that they seem to want to accomplish?
18	MR. PONEMON: Yes. I think it goes back to
19	value proposition, and even though I think we understand
20	what it is, we need to have the client tell us what that
21	value is, and then we have to see whether, realistically,
22	we can meet that value.
23	MR. PURCELL: Well, yes, value, but what about
24	affordability, too? I mean it's a pretty small shop.
25	MR. PONEMON: The key is it's a value-cost

1	argument. There could be an unlimited amount of value,
2	but it's just too costly because it's a small company.
3	So, that also has to get into our equation somewhere.
4	MR. PURCELL: So, don't we have to figure out
5	what we can do for them and what we can't, as well?
6	MR. ALHADEFF: I think one of the things in
7	terms of what we can and what we can't do is we
8	haven't really established what role this community
9	center wants to play for its residents, which is
10	something that Susan has highlighted, and a couple of
11	other people. David, it struck me that they're talking
12	about a lot of things which will involve purchases, but
13	there hasn't been much discussion about how you're going
14	to buy anything or what you're going to do.
15	Do you have any thoughts on some of the issues
16	that might come up there?
17	MR. CHAUM: In view of keeping the cost low,
18	they could just take advantage of some of the currently
19	available anonymous payment systems and ordering systems
20	so when the residents are obtaining pharmaceuticals and
21	groceries and all that sort of thing, checking out books
22	they could do that anonymously and without having to
23	invest in systems themselves.
24	MR. ADLER: The only thing I would add is that
25	we don't know yet what their application infrastructure

1	is, what their network topology is, what they're using
2	their applications for, what their business processes
3	are, what their data flows look like.
4	There are a lot of questions that we need to
5	figure out if there are six different resident
6	communities, what does that infrastructure look like?
7	How primitive is this? And what types of personal
8	information are being collected, and what's being done
9	with that personal information? Are there any controls
10	internally at all?
11	MR. ALHADEFF: From a gap analysis point of
12	view, I think we've identified a lot of the technology
13	gap analysis.
14	Gary, could you highlight some of the policy
15	gap analysis that may be there or some of the issues that
16	they haven't been thinking of that are perhaps non-
17	technological?
18	MR. CLAYTON: Sure. I think starting from the
19	idea of a data flow, clearly there are a couple of things
20	that come to mind here.
21	As to the HIPAA requirements for protecting
22	some of the information that may related to health, it's
23	not clear what they're getting or how much of it would be

covered by that law or what's being shared among the

entities or even what's needed to be shared among the

24

25

1	various entities or the outside deals.
2	They want to offer financial services, and that
3	raises a question immediately of Gramm-Leach-Bliley and
4	the protection and security provided for that
5	information.
6	Also, what are they thinking with respect to
7	providing either the health or the financial services?
8	Are they really going to offer it internally, or is this
9	going to be someone who's just simply going to be using
10	access to their facilities to offer it?
11	It goes back to the data flows. What are they
12	getting from individuals? What do they hope to get? How
13	does it help their business?
14	What I would hope we point out to them is, one,
15	you may not be able to afford a Mercedes today, but you
16	certainly can start things, and you can start
17	implementing. Then, secondly, you've got to understand
18	and manage this process. They may not have any of the
19	resources internally to manage it from a privacy or
20	security perspective, particularly since they're all
21	brother-in-laws and the like that are involved.
22	MR. ALHADEFF: So, you're suggesting maybe they
23	get a Chrysler, which is a Mercedes by another name.
24	MR. CLAYTON: Something like that.
25	MR. PURCELL: But Gary, isn't it true, also,

1	that we have to be careful, because whatever we do for
2	them, whatever we can implement, won't they also use that
3	for unintended or unanticipated uses?
4	You set up a whole network for them to
5	communicate and to get this data exchange going. Won't
6	new data be introduced into that process, as well?
7	MR. CLAYTON: Absolutely. And one of the
8	messages we have to give is none of this is solved by the
9	silver bullet of technology.
10	There are going to be people, processes,
11	procedures in place, which goes back to what do they
12	need, how do they need it?
13	And I think one of the things that we need to
14	stress to them is managing their information systems is
15	going to be integral to their business process it's
16	their supply chain, their business. This is not just an
17	external part or a little piece that's added on the end.
18	It's got to be an integral part of management
19	to keep exactly what you're talking about either in
20	control or to make sure that you take advantage of it
21	where you have opportunities to do so.
22	MR. PURCELL: So, you're thinking of putting in
23	a training or a staff development component to what we're
24	talking to them about?
25	MR. CLAYTON: We need to ask what training they

have, what awareness they have. One of the things that
strikes me, particularly with a group of older Americans
who may be using technology for the first time, are
issues of identity theft and fraud.

So, the training is not just for the employees or the service providers. It's also for the residents of the communities.

I think there are huge issues, particularly if they really want to fulfill their goal. They've got to feel comfortable.

And I think the final thing would be one bad act by someone as an employee or a couple of bad incidents against a couple of the residents would probably kill any programs they have.

So, it's very important for them to understand the possible consequences. That's their return on investment. They've got to handle all these issues, in some ways, if they want this program to work.

MR. ALHADEFF: Part of what we've heard -- and perhaps the suggestion that he's looking for, especially when he talks about wanting to lower the price of things for his consumers and wants to benefit the residents in different ways by the services -- is he seems to want to create some value in his brand and maybe differentiate that. Do you think that we can use technology and some

1	policy advice to help him to do that?
2	Larry?
3	MR. PONEMON: Well, it goes back to what is the
4	goal?
5	Is the goal to get the elderly folks in the
6	nursing homes to communicate, and this then becomes a
7	reason for choosing this organization versus another
8	organization, choosing one retirement facility versus
9	another. Maybe it can be baked into the trust
10	proposition that when you do this, when you make this
11	choice, your data is protected, plus you have access to
12	the best and latest technology, and this is a good fact.
13	Just one point. I just want to echo what Gary
14	and Richard said.
15	The issue is not just about technology. It's
16	about people. And people want to use information in ways
17	that are just wonderful for example, talking to your
18	physician and/or talking to your grandchildren by e-mail
19	and sharing confidential information but there are
20	risks associated with that.
21	So, somehow, in order for the trust issue to
22	work, you have to overcome those risks.
23	MR. PURCELL: Are we better off by out-sourcing
24	this, by making a recommendation that it just be handled
25	out of house totally?

1	I don't know where we are in terms of our
2	decision to recommend to them an internal decision versus
3	some packaged service provision that they don't handle,
4	that they just hire out and it's totally out-sourced, but
5	it's a reasonable thing we should talk about, right?
6	MR. ADLER: It doesn't address what Gary talked
7	about, this human dimension, or that Larry was also
8	talking about, in a sense, transforming that
9	infrastructure. We need to put in place a human
10	dimension where people who may not have the level of
11	technology comfort that we enjoy can nevertheless feel
12	they're being taken care of in the way they're used to be
13	communicated to. There is a requirement here that out-
14	sourcing won't address, and that's the transposition of
15	whatever management and technology infrastructure we put
16	into this dimension of people's needs and how this
17	integrates into their lives to add value.
18	That's really a critical component that out-
19	sourcing won't address.
20	MR. PAROBY: They seem to be looking for the
21	silver bullet, as you mentioned, when, in fact, they may
22	not need the silver bullet.
23	They need the bricks and mortar of a foundation
24	or a framework, as you said, Steve, before they get to
25	that. Technology could be an enabler. Security and

1	privacy are enablers. They could be a brand
2	differentiator for them as they go forward, but I think
3	they need the foundation first.

MR. ALHADEFF: Richard raised the out-sourcing point. Susan's also raised the importance of bringing together some of the human factors and making sure that the human factors are addressed, which is what Steve was talking about and where some of the out-sourcing benefit would stop. But I think what we're looking at is out-sourcing the way that you manage and handle the back end.

As we've figured out, the tech people that they have on staff seem to be fairly limited, but what Steve's talking about is then how do you get to the residents what they need, which is really the front end, and that's, in many ways, the differentiator.

We haven't grappled with one concept, which is he's also wiring the communities for administrative purposes, and he's going to take a look at those communities and try to figure out how they can do purchasing and how they can do information communication.

Do you see any issues that come up on the administrative side, when they're wiring and communicating with each other, versus on the residents side?

MR. ADLER: You mean in terms of management

oversight over the communication infrastructure?

MR. ALHADEFF: And also how the communications structure works on the theory that one of the communities may not be in the United States. I was just wondering if that raises any flags for anybody.

Gary?

MR. CLAYTON: Yes. Clearly, we need to make them aware that Canada has a different privacy regime than we do in the United States and so different laws, different issues arise. It may impact the ability to even get some of their information from Canada to the United States.

I think we need to understand what they want. Going back to the issue of expense that Richard just brought up and the idea of whether you manage or not, I still don't have a real good sense of how much of an urgency this is for them or how much money they want to spend, what's their budget, and what's really their business goals other than these broad, general aspects.

And I think before we can answer the issues about Canada, we've also got to look at the issue of which states that they're in -- whether you're in California with some specific requirements there or you're in other states that have limitations -- you may have a whole host of issues. Ironically, one of the

things that strikes for me for a group like this is
there's probably going to be a lot of grandchildren
coming in and using the technology. This presents issues
that we would never think about for an elderly community,
including some of the child protections that the FTC
administers.

MR. PURCELL: Well, you know, their presence in Canada cuts both ways, too.

Let's remember, they do buy a lot of medical and pharmaceuticals, and getting those from Canada, through that facility there, and then trans-shipping them to the States may be really advantageous to their cost, too. So, let's make sure that we're thinking about how we can make a pitch here that works for Golden Oldies, not only for managing their information but also managing their operational infrastructure, too.

MR. CLAYTON: Yes. I really think that there are two things here that are important to them that are our big sales features. One is providing efficiencies within their management so that they can run at a more cost-effective basis. Another is providing much better services and serving the needs of the people who are living in this community.

These are retirement communities where people actually opt to live and they pay relatively big bucks to

1 live there.

Nonetheless, I think that considering the fact that GO may not be able to do everything that they want to do at once, once they figure out exactly what it is that they want to do, maybe what we can do is present a plan that is incremental, so it can be phased in over time.

One other comment.

One of the things I think we need to stress is so many people view privacy and security as just a cost, an add-on that's something that's a burden on them.

There may be well ways that not only can they improve their brand, but they can actually make money by doing some of the things well, even on the privacy protections and some of the security protections, that's more than just, we have it and other people don't. If we understand their business and what they're trying to do and keep looking for those answers, it may be one of these arguments where they literally pay for some of these things through their own improvements that they make.

MR. CHAUM: Part of the scenario, I believe, is that the residents themselves will get managed computing power from GO, and that opens up the whole opportunity to provide all kinds of consumer protections on those

1	machines, from anonymous surfing to child protection and
2	so forth.
3	So, I think their computing systems could be a
4	profit center.
5	MR. ADLER: There's a modernization,
6	electrification, automation process that's going on here
7	for a family-owned business that heretofore hasn't had
8	tremendous communication integration. We have to provide
9	not only that new communication infrastructure but then
10	both the technology and the process and the transparency
11	above the integrated management structure so that these
12	new collection features don't introduce risks and
13	uncertainties, or make customers or residents uneasy with
14	this migration to a new platform. It's a new way of
15	communicating with their organization.
16	MS. LEVIN: For a lot of people, privacy has
17	been thought of as a privacy policy, and what I hear from
18	all of you is that privacy really is a business
19	management process, and in fact, you get a whole lot more
20	out of it than just a privacy policy. Is that right?
21	MR. ADLER: It's an operational challenge.
22	MR. ALHADEFF: I think one of the things we
23	have to be careful of here is something we heard about in
24	the report we got on GO's first request.
25	Ivan figures that if he takes the paper out of

the process he's done. Taking the paper out of the process, even if you're just looking at optimization, is about 10 percent of the battle.

We have to figure out how we can optimize some of his processes for this new environment that he's working on. We've all spoken about the need for a value proposition. I figure that we're going to hear from him -- what's my return on investment here?

MR. PURCELL: Yes, I agree. A lot of what we're talking about is the data security, data privacy, the control of information.

I'm not so sure that's what Ivan is that interested in.

He wants operational efficiencies. He wants to stop bleeding all of this postage and writing disks and so on. They're in a very insecure operation right now. I'm not so sure he's very tolerant of that. So, we've got to pitch a little bit about what the exposure he's currently under is all about, how he can resolve that and still get operational efficiencies.

MR. PAROBY: We don't just talk dollars for operational efficiency and a return on investment.

I agree that that's probably what they're going to look for, and I think we need to talk about both the tangible and the intangible benefits or deliverables that

1	could come about from a safe, secure, efficient
2	environment.
3	MR. CLAYTON: And I think one other point to
4	make is he may already have a lot of these obligations
5	and burdens and risks in place already, as you talk about
6	them. Just because he's in paper, it doesn't mean that
7	HIPAA's not going to have implications for how you at
8	least manage some of the information, particularly if you
9	end up mailing it, by disk, or transferring it out.
LO	So, I think he needs to understand that just by
L1	putting technology in place, it's not going to cause all
L2	these solutions to have to come to bear.
L3	MR. PURCELL: He obviously doesn't understand
L4	this just today. We're in character development now, but
L5	the way they're operating today, they're not getting a
L6	lot more requirements if they make any kind of transition
L7	than they're under already, transition or no.
L8	MR. ADLER: So, what I think I'm hearing you
L9	say is that we have to make this part of the solution
20	MR. PURCELL: Yes, I think so.
21	MR. ADLER: not an obstacle to data sharing
	Mr. ADDER: Not all obstacle to data shalling

the system, but that data handling practices, privacy

the way the solution is presented.

management, training, infrastructure have to be part of

23

24

25

	60
1	MR. PURCELL: I agree, yeah. I think these are
2	challenges that GO already has in the off-line world that
3	they're not addressing just because it's not the way
4	they've done business before.
5	As they transition into the digital world, it's
6	not a new obligation. It's just that the obligation
7	becomes a little more apparent.
8	MS. GRANT: We need to help them assess what
9	they're doing now, see whether they need to change any of
10	that, before they transfer all of this to the automated
11	world.
12	MR. PAROBY: And that's an issue you just
13	hit upon it. Take any organization worldwide. They try
14	to find the silver bullet they try to find the quick
15	fix. They try to get a software package or a consultant
16	to do something to take them to the next generation.
17	However, 99.9 percent of them don't know their
18	current state, don't know the risks they have, don't know
19	the environment that they're operating in, don't know the
20	rules, don't know the regulations, and in many cases,
21	they're afraid to take that step to find out where they
22	are and find out what they're doing right or wrong.
23	MS. LEVIN: Larry, you've been waiting.
24	MR. PONEMON: This is like my dinnertime

conversation with my family. I have to really fight to

25

1 get that word in.

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2 Two things.

Number one, we're supposed to be a group of 3 consultants, and it's interesting. We do consulting 4 because we think we know all of the answers. 5 6 mentioned something that was critical to this whole process -- alignment, understand the value, talk to 7 8 people. I'm thinking of my mother, who is now 82 years 9 She's going to kill me for saying that, but she is 82, and she lives in a retirement community in Arizona. 10 11 She calls herself the little old lady from Tucson, and 12 she has a website -- I'm serious -- called 13 littleoldladyfromtucson.org. This lady is like an 14 Internet nut.

For her, the number one issue is convenience, convenience. She loves it. The number two issue is cost savings. She loves it.

Number 19 on her list is privacy and data protection, because she'll say, look, I'm 82, I'm going to die, my data is useless, I don't care, exploit it.

But to some folks, data protection is the sleeping giant, right? It's what, Gary, you were talking about, that you may not even see the risk. So, what you have to do, as part of this team, after we align and understand what the real issues are, then we need to

1	educate businesses, because they may be completely
2	insensitive to the data protection risk.

3 MR. ALHADEFF: I'm going to get a little 4 structural.

MR. CLAYTON: May I just make one point?

One of the things I think we also need to at least approach with GO in this meeting is you don't have to do it all at once.

There are things you can do now. I don't know what we would start with, but it seems to me that part of the initial effort is what the heck do you want first and how do we help you get there. Going to Larry's comment about what do people need, they may have six communities of Larry's moms that are all technically savvy, using the Internet, and that would dictate one path. They may have someone like my father who has never seen a computer. We just need to understand the situation, and they need to be able to give us some roll-in, if you will.

MR. ALHADEFF: Larry's mom can do the training sessions.

We've got a short amount of time before we're going to have to start meeting with GO, so I want to get to the issue of how we're going to structure our concepts. We've been a little bit all over the map, and we've heard that there have to be concepts of how to

bring out the benefits. We have to somehow educate them about the risks and then somehow provide them the concept of a path forward.

Do we think there's a better approach in terms of how we present this? Do you start with the stick and move to the carrot? Do you start with the carrot and move to the stick? Do you not talk about one in the first meeting and the other at another meeting? What do you think?

MR. CLAYTON: In one sense, you've got a willing audience here that a man clearly is excited about a possibility, and I hate to put a damper on that by starting off with -- you're doing bad things, you're going to have risk, et cetera.

My sense would be we ought to play to the positives -- the cost savings, the benefits, the increased community, return on investment, and as part of that, a cost analysis, just what's it going to cost, what are the risks?

I would hate to start with the cost and the risk before we get to understanding what the benefits are.

MR. CHAUM: Unfortunately, I'm not going to be able to be representing the firm there, but I think one of the big selling points might be a real nice service

1	that we could offer to the actual residents to protect
2	them in this managed manner, and I hope someone from our
3	team will
4	MR. PURCELL: Yes. Can we split that out? I
5	mean there are some categories of operational
6	efficiencies here. One is their administration. What
7	about their billing system? What about their provision
8	of services for their medications, for their convenience
9	items, for their community time schedules, all of that
10	kind of thing? Then there are their operational
11	communications within the network of the community.
12	So, you've got the internal community network.
13	Then you've got the inter-network between these different
14	six communities, including the Canadian facility, for
15	operational efficiencies.
16	That includes supply chain management and all
17	that kind of thing.
18	Then you've got the residents interacting with
19	each other in that inter-community and the residents
20	interacting outside of that community.
21	So, I guess there's four different interactions
22	going on there, you know, the administration internal,
23	the administration inter-network, the community internal,
24	and the community inter-network.
25	MR. ALHADEFF: That's one thing we haven't

1	discussed. Ivan's never brought up the requirements that
2	we've identified as things that he might need to do
3	because of external legislation and things of that
4	nature.
5	So, I think we're going to have to figure out
6	how to address that, but Richard's raised a very
7	important point, which is point three.
8	He's never talked about whether the communities
9	could talk to each other and whether, within a community
10	and across communities, there's any benefit he can bring.
11	Do you think that's something we should be
12	emphasizing to him?
13	MS. GRANT: If they don't bring that up, I
14	think we should.
15	MR. ALHADEFF: You know, those are the kind of
16	things you were talking about earlier, David, about
17	having anonymous communications.
18	I would assume when you're talking about
19	personal communications inside the community, though,
20	you're getting to less anonymous, or are you.
21	MR. CHAUM: I think the residents could
22	correspond with each other under first names or something
23	like that, in a way that was partly anonymized to the
24	outside world. I think we can have suggestion boxes, for
25	example, as a way for residents to communicate

anonymously with the organization itself that might be very helpful.

MR. PURCELL: How else are Gary's dad and Larry's mom going to get together? A lot of these communities want community. We've got to be careful, because to a certain degree we've heard in some of these conferences we've been to that privacy is a middle-aged problem. A lot of our parents' generation and our younger generation care less about these kinds of issues than perhaps we do. So, we have to be very careful to make sure we understand what this community really does want, whether it's anonymous communication or not.

MS. GRANT: And you know, it may not be one community either. It may be that there are differences in the different parts of the country in the U.S. where these are located, as well as in Canada -- differences between the residents in terms of how they view privacy, and I think that's important to get at, as well.

MR. ALHADEFF: I think we've got some issues that were being fomented on this end of the table.

MR. CLAYTON: One of the other things that I think we need to just talk about -- and we talk about these people as though they're fungible residents -- is accessibility and issues related to that.

You may have people, in this community,

1	particularly, with poor vision, poor hearing, an
2	inability to really access some of what's available
3	through the Internet. We've got to be able to at least
4	understand what those issues are. Secondly, as you said,
5	Richard, he hasn't given us any information so far about
6	whether the communities are communicating among
7	themselves, what the telecommunications systems are, what
8	sorts of lines they have. I know that they have cable
9	modems they're trying to put out, but those raise issues
10	by themselves.
11	So, I think we need to get a little better
12	sense of really how do they hope to communicate if
13	they're trying to form one community?
14	MR. PURCELL: Yes. Accessibility is a good
15	point, Gary, because when we pitch this company, they've
16	already got to be living with regulatory overheads,
17	right?
18	By telling them that there are additional
19	regulatory overheads they may not be aware of, it's not
20	new to them. They have accessibility and ADA regulation
21	that they must be under and be used to.
22	MR. ALHADEFF: They have someone already who
23	does compliance, but his compliance has not, so far, been
24	HIPAA or Gramm-Leach-Bliley.
25	His compliance has been because they have some

1	pharmaceuticals and things of that nature. It's more on
2	the insurance side of life where they've been filing,
3	because they actually haven't been operating the
4	pharmaceutical entity within the group.
5	But it strikes me that we raise an important
6	point about the residents' expectations. In some ways,
7	are we projecting some protections onto them that they
8	might not want?
9	Susan started out saying we need to survey
10	them. David has pointed out that we need to offer them
11	the choice of how they want to communicate. I think we
12	have to be very careful not to indicate to them that we
13	know of a solution that's good for them which they may
14	not decide is good for them.
15	So, do we have a technological and policy
16	architecture that's going to be flexible enough to offer
17	them a broad range of solutions, or does that just become
18	cost prohibitive?
19	MR. ADLER: So, you're saying that we want to
20	offer them a foundation or a tool kit that they can use
21	themselves to determine how they would like their
22	information used.
23	MR. PURCELL: Well, I'd be careful with that.
24	MR. ADLER: Instead of imposing a regime or
25	even trying to pre-survey people and base a regime on

survey answers, where consent and preference is always

changing, you're saying build that into a proposal which

says here's a preference and consent management platform

you can use to determine how the company, on an ongoing

basis, treats your communication.

MS. LEVIN: A menu.

MR. ADLER: Right.

MR. ALHADEFF: I think that works as long as we're sure that it covers all the needs. Larry's mom is fine. She can navigate the menu. She'll re-code it for you, in fact.

But Gary's dad -- if the menu doesn't look like what he sees at a restaurant, he's not going to be interested in it.

MS. LEVIN: Also, I think Susan mentioned that a lot of consumers may not have an awareness of the data flows, and Larry mentioned that, too, lack of awareness of the data flows and what that may mean. So, how do you build that educational effort into helping them make choices?

MR. PURCELL: Well, let's be careful on the pitch, too, because although Larry's mom might not care about her data and any breach of her data might not affect her personally because of her own values, it certainly might affect this company and its brand.

1	So if we're going to pitch this as being
2	something important to their brand and differentiating
3	their brand and therefore more of a value proposition,
4	more attractive to the marketplace, we've got to be
5	careful not to position it such that we say that these
6	people can do whatever they want, because if they do and
7	something goes bad
8	MR. PURCELL: It's less the individual's
9	problem, perhaps, than it is the company's problem.
10	MR. CLAYTON: And particularly if they all have
11	Internet where they're all e-mailing each other about
12	Larry's mom just having her check stolen.
13	MR. ADLER: Well, presumably there's a business
14	goal here, right?
15	They want to put this infrastructure in place
16	to make their facility more desirable for customers to
17	live in, and make it easier for customers to buy
18	pharmaceutical products and medical services.
19	You know, as Larry said there's a convenience
20	factor here for the technology.
21	That goes hand in hand with the fact that it's
22	not an isolated environment. The people living there are
23	going to be exposed through the technology to the outside
24	world, and they're going to have both positive and
25	negative experiences online, and that will shape the way

they view their service provider.

So, that provision of flexibility from the service provider sets a different example that can be used as -- going to Gary's point about the benefits -- the market differentiation, the way an organization markets itself, realizing that by providing broad-band, cable modem, Internet access, Golden Oldies is acting like an ISP, as a service provider to its patients, to its customers. So, what should we present?

We can talk about all the challenges the organization has to surmount, the new challenges that this technology requires them to think about, and in doing so, the new opportunities in meeting those challenges, that the technology may provide from a market differentiation perspective or from the perspectives of customer loyalty, retention, increased service provision. There are a multitude of facets that we can turn around here.

MR. ALHADEFF: I just want to highlight one question that was raised here, which I think is a very important question, and it was also raised when we talked about the fact that there might be HIPAA obligations and Gramm-Leach-Bliley obligations. You said they might be operating as an ISP. If you operate as an ISP, that is a whole set of new regulations that you are subject to.

1	If you operate in any way as a covered entity
2	under HIPAA, that's a whole new set of regulations you're
3	subject to. If you can be considered a financial
4	institution, although they probably won't be considered a
5	bank, they might be subject to the FTC's coverage under
6	Gramm-Leach-Bliley. That's a whole other set of
7	regulatory obligations.
8	Do we want to suggest to him limitations on his
9	business model to keep him out of those regulatory
10	obligations?
11	Gary?
12	MR. CLAYTON: We know they're a confederation,
13	but we don't really understand if they're one company, if
14	they're multiple companies, where they're incorporated.
15	There are going to be issues about the ability to even
16	share some of this data absent residents' permissions and
17	other things, unless we understand that.
18	Since this is an initial meeting, we need to
19	make it clear that, one, data protection is an ongoing
20	issue that he's going to have to deal with. It's not
21	something he bites off all at once and that ends it.
22	Two, it's going to very much depend on his
23	business goal and what's the demand within his community.
24	And three, there are some options he has. He
25	can use us. He can use others. He can do bits and

1 pieces of things.

We can work with him on partnering to come out with those ideas, but I think we have to suggest that there are some things that he's got to think of.

For example, we haven't even really covered his insurance issues, his risk issues by taking on some of these new things, and how does he get coverage. But we won't know those until we understand a lot more, which I would suggest we can help him with in the process of learning about --

MS. GRANT: Exactly.

MR. CLAYTON: -- what the customers want.

MS. GRANT: Yes. I think we need to sell him an assessment as the first phase of this, helping him assess how he's operating now, what the people who work there need and want, what the people who live there need and want. From there, we can go to step two, presenting him with the obligations that are attached to those, the opportunities, the benefits, and so on, all under the general sales pitch that the direction that he's heading in is potentially a great direction for the people who work there and who live there in terms of providing them all with better services and benefits.

MS. LEVIN: We might also want to make him aware of all the governmental resources and non-

governmental resources available to him to help educate staff. There are some free resources that they might want to avail themselves of.

4 MR. PURCELL: We'll charge you commission on those.

One thing that I want to make clear -- how do we pitch this? We will be going into this meeting soon.

It seems to me that -- just to throw out a straw man here -- one of the things we can do is we can essentially paint a big picture. First, say we're very glad to see that your mind's open to this, here's how good it can get. Then start peeling that into the increments and categories we've been talking about and say, here's what to do for a foundation, here's how you build up this model that we're painting here, and this may be a a four-or-five-year deal and it may take quite a while to get where you want to go.

MR. ALHADEFF: Yes. I have a concern. I've met the CEO once, and he reminds you a little of the '60s -- he still has his ponytail and he wants to do the right thing. He thinks he's doing a good job, and he's really suspicious. He's already told us he's been suspicious of consultants trying to sell him multi-year contracts.

MR. CLAYTON: We clearly need to tell him that maybe at the end of this process he decides not to do

1	some of this or any of this. We're all acting like this
2	is a given, that it might be better for them, and that
3	they all want it. He may find that it's not a solution
4	he can afford and not one that he wants and it doesn't
5	really give him what he needs.

So, in addressing that, we have to be open to all possibilities, both pro and negative.

MS. LEVIN: Susan's point, though, of thinking about it in terms of pieces is something I'd like you to think about.

MR. PONEMON: Just one point. For those people in the room who have been on either this side, the consulting side, or on the client's side, you know that assessment is an evil word.

No one wants to spend real economic resources on assessment.

If we're trying to sell something, going in with the assessment is going to be difficult unless there's some pain, unless that organization has experienced a problem, such as a violation of GLB or HIPAA or some embarrassment factor.

So, assessment is the right place to start, but we might have to think about doing it differently. We might have to bake it into the overall value proposition and project.

MR. ALHADEFF: Let me do a little wrap up

before we run into part two. I think we've identified a

number of the risk factors. We've indicated that because

he's a bit enthusiastic to begin with, we don't want to

start him off with the negatives. We want to pitch early

to the positives.

But we're going to have to raise the negatives before we pitch the assessment, because he's going to have to figure out that there's pain if he doesn't go through this. Then, after the assessment, based on the interaction, I think we're going to have to develop a little bit of this during the first meeting as it goes along. One of the things we're going to need is to get more information than what we have and how that works. We have done a little bit of a brainstorming prior to this meeting.

And by the magic of photocopying, in your packets, there is concept piece of some slides which will include some of the challenges of privacy impact assessment, some of the solutions that may also be available, as well as some of the deployment considerations and factors.

Now we will magically morph -- Richard is going into 1960 as we speak. We will be morphing into the various role-playing positions, and I believe on the

1	hypothetical outline, you've got the roles which we're
2	going to be assuming for part two.
3	Here's our CEO, Richard, who is
4	MR. PURCELL: Hey, Joe. How are you doing,
5	man?
6	MR. ALHADEFF: Good man. Dude.
7	We've got Larry, who is our chief operating
8	officer.
9	We've got David, who is our chief financial
10	officer.
11	Susan is actually director of communities.
12	I'm their outside legal counsel.
13	And we've got our consulting team amazing
14	how we're split up this way which is Gary and Steve on
15	the consulting side and then Steve again should we use
16	Steve and Steven just to differentiate? Steve, who is
17	our technology consultant guru on this deal. With that,
18	I'm going to turn it over to the consultants, who may
19	want to figure out the pitch, and you can use the
20	materials as if they have the hand-outs.
21	MR. PAROBY: Well, to start out thank you
22	for our first meeting.
23	You raised a lot of issues. It seems you want
24	to go in the right direction, using technology, using
25	enablers. Our first thought in synthesizing some of the

information from our first gathering is that we certainly don't have all the answers to the questions that we need in order to go forward with what I'll call a full fledged proposal or a solution. Some of the challenges that you're going to be facing as you move into technology and move into the next era with Golden Oldies are some privacy challenges, some security challenges.

And although a lot of organizations think they know where they are with respect to their information practices and technology needs -- one of our value propositions is to consider your vision, your goals, your objectives, and your desires -- where do you want to be in six months? Where do you want to be in a year? Where do you want to be in five years?

And then map that back from your vision and your strategy to where we are today and take a look at the current state and then help you design a framework as you go forward, using any kind of enabler -- it may be technology. We need to first build the platform from where you are today to where you want to get to in that time-frame.

Now, that takes various forms. You need to involve certain people. You need to look at current regulations. You need to look at things affecting you like HIPAA laws. You need to look at the Canadian

regulations, because you do have operations there, and that first initiative can be done in many ways.

You can do an audit. You can do a current state assessment.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

One way is to bring in key people from Golden Oldies -- yourself, legal counsel, privacy officer, technology experts -- and actually work through that process to determine what their thinking is as far as where they want to be, where you want to be with your vision and your goals, and map that against where you are, and in a very cost-effective, short time-frame determine that current state. We can use that as the baseline to be sure that, as you go forward with respect to technology, innovation, trying to get costeffectiveness factored into it -- to look at how you can get a return on that investment, both tangible and intangible. Tangible return means we're going to do this actually more cost-effectively, we're going to do it more efficiently, we're going to save money on purchases, we're going to grow efficiently. But intangible return is how that's going to affect the brand from a security, privacy, technology standpoint.

How are you going to be a key differentiator as you grow?

MR. PURCELL: Well, growing is everything for

1	us.	You	asked,	where	do	we	want	to	be,	and	where	we	want
2	to be	e is	profita	able a	nd (cont	tinuir	ngly	pro	ofita	able.		

One of the goals we have over five years is to grow this organization.

We have five communities here in the United States, and we just acquired one in Canada about a year ago. We want to grow both sides of the border, and we think there are some other opportunities, too, south of the border, as well.

So, we've already had a certain amount of regulation that we've dealt with, but when you talk about the chief privacy officer and the technology and everything, you're looking at it right here.

I mean this is it. We're not huge right now, but we're going to grow.

What we want to do is grow effectively and kind of slowly.

Larry is our operations guy, and my task to him is make sure everything is just as efficient as can be, and he's told me -- and what I told Joe when we met at that tech show -- we're not very efficient. We're shoving paper and disks and stuff like that to each other.

Security -- it doesn't sound very secure right now, so I'm not so sure what you're going to sell me

1 there.

figure out that risk.

Our technology guy is our CFO, our money guy.

David is the guy that does this for me -- he makes sure

that the numbers add up but also that we're not running

liabilities and risks beyond what we need. Joe helps him

When you talk about what the community needs -we serve a group of residents here. They're our
customers, and everything we do is focused on their
benefit.

Susan is the one who needs to take care of what they need.

Let's start with Susan. You respond first, because what Steven was talking about mostly is what our customers are going to want and how their lives are going to get better.

MS. GRANT: Well, the community directors for the various communities have gotten together and talked about all of the exciting things that we could do for the residents with new technology and also how we can just share information amongst the community directors better about activities and share ideas for things to do.

The potential here is so great, but what we really need to do is probably have some meetings with the residents, which we haven't done yet, to talk about these

things and find out more about what our ideas and what their ideas are and what any of their concerns may be.

I know just in talking amongst ourselves, one of the things that one of the directors brought up to me is that no matter what we do with technology in terms of serving our residents better, we also have to remember that we need to offer them just as good service off-line. We can't force everybody to go online to communicate with us or to get the things that they need. We still have to keep on improving the services that we offer in other ways, too. The other thing is that we all feel like we need a lot more training not only for our residents about how to use all this stuff but also for ourselves.

MR. PURCELL: I think that's true. We didn't make this company happen. We don't establish this because people are being put away.

These people have their own lives. They're independent.

We do everything we can in this community to make sure they have their independence.

So it's really important to us that our residents get empowered with using these tools.

A lot of them already know this stuff better than some of us do, but a lot of them don't, and they share a lot with each other.

1	But what we found is we had a few problems.
2	Somebody who was considered a resident expert was giving
3	bad advice to others. What we need is a program that
4	lets everybody get the same information and clears out a
5	lot of the myth that has been circulating.
6	MR. PONEMON: As the chief operating officer,
7	I'd like to talk about the bottom line because the CEO
8	only looks at things from a positive side, like most
9	CEO's.
10	So, from the bottom line side of the universe,
11	let me just tell you, just within the four walls here
12	we are not being videotaped, are we?
13	Because I want you to know we are in violation
14	of the law right now. The good news is, because we're
15	not networked or connected, no one really worries that
16	much about it. But on the other hand, we just want you
17	to know that we believe that we're in violation of all of
18	these regulations and laws right now, not deliberately,
19	but we know somewhere out there these laws exist. You're
20	just going to have to help us walk through it, because we
21	don't want to do this only to find out that we're the
22	subject of a great investigation by the FTC.
23	MS. GRANT: Yes. You mentioned HIPAA. I don't
24	know what that is.

For The Record, Inc. Waldorf, Maryland (301)870-8025

Do you know what that is?

25

1	MR. CLAYTON: Well, you raise a couple of good
2	points, and it's not surprising to find that you're
3	violating some provisions of the law. A lot of companies
4	are, either knowingly or unintentionally.
5	We're not legal counsel. We're not here to
6	give you advice on that. Certainly we can help you in
7	some of those areas.
8	But one of the things I think you need to look
9	at and, stressing some of the positives that your CEO has
10	brought up is, you clearly are involved in your
11	communities, you clearly want to serve them and you want
12	to do good things. One of the things that strikes me, as
13	you suggested, is to understand, one, how you can have
14	immediate impact by improving your own internal
15	operations. That may answer some of your COO's problems.
16	How do you do billing? How do you share
17	information? What are the ways you connect among your
18	various communities?
19	And we typically talk about data flows and
20	network design, but how are you passing information,
21	either information about people or information about
22	things or information about events, back and forth, and
23	really, how do you talk?
24	Because what it boils down to is, it's people
25	to people, and all we're doing is using technology as an

1 enabler to get you there.

The second thing is you may find that things
that you thought were going to be a benefit from
technology may not be.

You may have to make a business decision. Is it cost effective? Is it going to help you reach your goal? And you may find that you've got to do some training not only of yourselves but of your community to clearly understand what the opportunities are and how to use it and how to impact it.

And one way to do that might be for us to work with you on understanding how to improve your own internal operations first and, as part of that, do the outreach to the community where we understand what they want, what their issues are. One of the urban myths you're going to have to address is the concern that they have about technology being a positive but also a negative. You've got the reality that, in a small community, you're much like a community bank.

While you're very close to your customers, if one thing goes wrong, it's just like your neighbor breaching a confidence.

You hurt your reputation, you hurt your community, and people will get upset with you, particularly if you made representations.

But going to Larry's concerns about privacy violations or HIPAA violations, there are a number of laws at the state level, at the Federal level, and outside of the United States that regulate how you can gather, use, share, and transmit information.

It's particularly regulated in areas where the information is very sensitive, such as health care, and if you're involved in billing or collection, or if you're going to be providing other services where you've got physicians providing information or helping pharmaceutical needs and the like, you very well may be regulated about how you can use and how you collect information, what do you have to do.

Going to your profitability issue, you clearly want to do things to cover your own risk on this. That may be something we can help you with in the process, but it means that we've got to marry the business goals that you've got, which are real, which are concrete, which are clear in your mind, with a lot of things that you don't perhaps understand that we can work with you on about how you get the information you need to make the decisions.

MR. PURCELL: Okay. So help me out with this, because we have a lot of elderly people here. They have a lot of health issues, and we have this whole list of physicians who come here. They provide services here in

1	our clinics, but we don't keep the data. That's the
2	doctors' stuff.
3	But we have access to some of the data, bec

But we have access to some of the data, because if somebody has a medical problem, we have to have a certain level of access to understand who their doctor is, what their last treatment was, that kind of thing. We have some medical facilities here for medications, too, where we dispense medications.

But that's the doctors' problem, not mine, right? I mean I don't understand how that's my problem.

MR. ALHADEFF: We haven't done this without any legal thought. We have secured the information appropriately, because there are lap-top locks on all of the lap-tops, and I think, Ivan, you've got everybody's password on your computer, just so that we know where it is.

MR. PURCELL: Yes.

MS. GRANT: And the file cabinets are locked.

MR. PONEMON: But actually, there is one other thing. We do sell information to large pharmaceutical companies. Did you know that?

That's how they're actually getting some clinical enrollment and all sorts of things.

24 MS. GRANT: We are? I didn't know that.

MR. PURCELL: You've got to start attending the

1	meetings, Larry.
2	MR. PONEMON: Is that a problem?
3	MR. ADLER: Ivan, we've talked about building a
4	health care portal for the six residents' organizations
5	so that we can
6	MR. PURCELL: A portal? What's that?
7	MR. ADLER: That's that collection of
8	information on one screen.
9	MR. PURCELL: Oh, just a main thing? Okay.
10	MR. ADLER: Right. Where different hospitals
11	and insurance companies and pharmacies and residents and
12	physicians and patients can all communicate about the
13	same common groups of information to streamline
14	communication among the organizations.
15	And even though we may not ultimately hold that
16	information ourselves, we're nevertheless going to be the
17	conduit, providing discrete access through our portal,
18	through that window, to all those different application
19	service providers, and our customers are still going to
20	look to us as the custodians of their data, because we're
21	providing the access to the hospital, to the doctor
22	group, to the insurance company, to the different
23	communities.
24	MR. PURCELL: Can you find some reliable people

who won't let me down, then? Because this is a brand

25

1	image for me. If they mess up, then my chance of getting
2	my seventh or eighth community is pretty bad.
3	MR. ADLER: Right. For us, our business is
4	people. We build a community for people to come and live
5	and enjoy their retirement, but from an IT infrastructure
6	perspective, it's about data. As soon as we transform
7	all the information we collect about people into the
8	systems where they can gain this new convenient access to
9	information, we now have this enormous responsibility
10	outside of the regulatory regime, because our customers
11	are looking to us
12	MR. PURCELL: Okay. So, now you're
13	MR. ADLER: to protect their information.
14	MR. PURCELL: You're telling me it can be more
15	efficient, but it sounds like there's a big cost to that
16	efficiency.
17	Is this really worthwhile? Why don't I just
18	keep doing what I'm doing?
19	MR. PAROBY: One of the things we're going to
20	suggest to you to consider as a go-forward strategy
21	and I'll dumb it down. It will be really simple.
22	First we need to
23	(Laughter.)
24	MR. PAROBY: Consulting 101.
25	You have to think in two camps.

1	First of all, you're serving a community.
2	You're serving people.
3	What are their demands? What do they want?
4	What don't they want from their standpoint?
5	These are people who may or may not want to be
6	empowered. They may or may not want privacy and
7	security. So, let's figure that out.
8	That could be surveys. That could be
9	interviews. That could be focus groups. Pretty simple
10	stuff.
11	The next simple thing is to take your goals and
12	your vision, as we set up earlier. Where do you want to
13	be in a period of time? What do you want to look like?
14	What do you want your brand to be? Do you want the
15	seventh facility, the eighth facility, the tenth? Do you
16	want to go overseas?
17	Take that, with what your residents want, and
18	map an interface with who you are impacted by
19	pharmaceuticals, health care what regulations, what
20	impacts them, their families, their grandchildren,
21	whomever and look at a phased and structured approach,
22	starting with the people, looking to technology to enable
23	it, and a very simple plan.
24	As I said, what do you want to do versus what
25	they want.

1	If you want to do something that the residents
2	don't want you to do, it's not going to be cost-
3	effective, and it will hurt your brand.
4	So, first, what's your goal? What is the
5	residents' vision for life as they live within your
6	community? And take that and map it.
7	MR. PONEMON: Let me just jump in here. I talk
8	to our customers.
9	These are elderly folks, and if they can get a
10	coupon, an e-coupon by providing a whole bunch of their
11	data, they love it.
12	They don't complain at all. They get a 20-cent
13	or 50-cent coupon. They're willing to provide all of the
14	personal information the pharma companies and the health
15	product companies want.
16	So, I don't see any problem in just selling
17	that information, because it's beneficial to them. Are
18	you saying that, by doing this, we're going to take away
19	what is potentially of value to our end customer?
20	MR. CLAYTON: Well, you may well have to take
21	some of it away, to tell you the truth.
22	One of the issues you have is do you need to do
23	something differently?
24	You recognize that there are laws that may
25	regulate what you're doing, and the answer is why would

1	you want to do it?
2	Some of the laws, like HIPAA, actually have
3	criminal sanctions.
4	If you're intentionally violating provisions of
5	the law, there are criminal sanctions that can be
6	involved. Those can be serious, and they're enforced by
7	the government. It may well be that you need to comply
8	regardless of whether you move forward or not.
9	Secondly, you may or may not even have risk
10	coverage for some of the things that you're talking about
11	doing.
12	If there's exposure, you may not be adequately
13	protected. One sure way not to get your seventh home or
14	community is to get sued for what you're doing that may
15	be in violation of the law and cause you a problem that's
16	not covered.
17	MR. PURCELL: Joe, I need a briefing on this
18	HIPAA thing, later on, okay?
19	MR. CHAUM: And I'm very, very concerned about
20	the liabilities, of course, and so, I think one thing we
21	should be doing is getting rid of all data that we
22	absolutely have no real essential need for.
23	Maybe we could make a few bucks selling some in
24	the future.
25	We had some vague thoughts we might be able to

	93
1	really analyze the data and help with our marketing or
2	something, but this has never panned out.
3	So, I think we should behave like my local
4	library.
5	They've decided now they want to destroy all
6	information so that the FBI won't get hold of it. We
7	should have a very effective program to make sure that we
8	absolutely get rid of everything we don't need.
9	On the other hand, I think we should look at
10	trying to make money off of offering some features as a
11	choice to our residents and their visitors and maybe even
12	to their families to communicate with them, giving them
13	some value.
14	MR. PURCELL: That's cool, David, but make
15	sure, because Larry and I really need some information to
16	make sure we know how to structure our deals. We've got
17	some opportunities to buy a couple of other communities
18	coming up, and we have to know how to do that.
19	I don't want you to get rid of so much
20	information that we get stuck and I can't even go
21	forward.
22	MR. CHAUM: We'll just keep it in the
23	aggregate.
24	MR. ADLER: I just want to say, as a technology

advisor, that when we build this portal, it's a two-way

1 street.

On one side, we're going to collect a lot more information than we've ever had before, because electronically, we're going to give people the ability to submit more information than they've ever been able to in the past.

And that means that we are going to have more people from more places accessing more information faster, easier, cheaper.

That's going to be good for the brand, because that's going to increase, through word of mouth and on the Internet, the opportunities for our business to grow and expand.

This portal will become an advertising platform for the company.

On the other side, we've now got this new security and privacy requirement, because we've got to make sure, for all those people who are submitting information, that they're only submitting the right information and that only the right people are gaining access to the right applications and to the right data for the right reasons.

We have got to keep track of all of that, because we do not ever want it to turn out that the portal we created to allow people to have access to more

information allows the wrong people to access the wrong information at the wrong time, because that will blow up in our face.

So, we have an opportunity, but we also have a challenge.

MS. GRANT: It strikes me that we really need to look at what we do.

I wasn't aware that we were marketing that medical information. I'm not sure the residents really understand that.

I'm thinking about another program that we run. It's the find-a-book program, where the residents tell each of the community directors what books they're interested in having in the communal library, and then when we go to flea markets or tag sales or used book stores, we pick up those books inexpensively and put them in the library. We've got file cards in each of the offices with the names of specific people that have recommended specific books.

But it seems to me that if we were to put all this information online, maybe we would want to step back and think about do we really need the names associated with specific books or could we just post to everybody the fact that we have added new books to the libraries without having it linked to actual people?

I'm starting to get nervous when I think about all the information that we have about the residents and what they like to do and so on, and I'm not sure that everybody wants to share that.

MR. CLAYTON: Just a comment.

What we're doing is struggling with one of your major assets, information about your people, and how do you use it. You wouldn't simply start throwing away other assets without doing an assessment of the cost, the risk, the need, and the opportunity associated with it. Until you fully understand the impact that getting rid of information or collecting information or not having it will have on your business, there's no way you're going to effectively reach your goals.

That may be an integral part of your business. You've got issues about employees and how you're using and sharing information, how you're collecting it, and those have to be married.

I'll tell you one thing. You'll never reach the goals that you're seeing of seven, eight, nine or growing across the country with communities unless you fully understand the data flow issue, because it is a valuable asset.

You may be aware that, 10 years ago, most of the wealth of companies was from fixed assets -- brick,

- 1 mortar, and things.
- 2 Today it's technology or information. It's
- 3 intangibles.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

25

You may find that the thing that makes you the best company is what information you have on your community and the ability to use it, and you may well be able to effectively transfer that information to companies by simply going through the correct process of doing it.

So, don't take literally some of the general comments today that you can't do these things.

You've got to look at your data flows. You've got to map it as part of your business. And it's just as essential for you to understand it as a CEO as knowing your money flows. If you want tight control over your money, you'd better follow where your data flows about your individuals, your employees, and others.

MR. PURCELL: So, who does this right?

I mean I'm just a small player here. Who's

20 good at this?

MR. ALHADEFF: I've got a pretty uneasy feeling. I went on the web and looked at their website, and they've got a slick presentation which I don't think we should be paying for. They have this whole thing about different technologies and it's got this bull's eye

1	thing on it. I look at that and I think about Cousin
2	Zeke who runs the facility in Arkansas. He doesn't even
3	understand some of those words.
4	MR. PURCELL: Talk about marrying data.
5	MR. ALHADEFF: How do you guys see us doing all
6	this stuff? I mean spam blockers, SML, whatever that is.
7	MR. PURCELL: I know. What is this stuff?
8	This looks pretty complicated.
9	I mean we're just we're a small group.
10	It's Darryl and his brother, Darryl, right?
11	(Laughter.)
12	MR. PONEMON: Here's the deal, okay? The deal
13	is that we're talking to three other companies, and they
14	will do all of that up-front work for free as long as we
15	buy their technology solution.
16	You talk about all the benefit and value. If
17	you can demonstrate the value so, we give you a dollar
18	and you give us two dollars back, that's valuable. We'll
19	split that two dollars with you.
20	So, would you ever want to work on a
21	contingency fee basis so that you prove the benefits and
22	we pay you? Because one of your other competitors is
23	actually thinking about doing that.
24	MR. ADLER: Well, not only that, but I would
25	say if you take a look at the issues that were identified

in the privacy impact assessment charts, where it identifies from a privacy and security perspective, all the areas that we have discussed that impact your business, it is pretty exhaustive. If you were to try to do this without technology, just with manual policies and procedures, you would be talking about a consulting engagement that certainly would not be pro bono. It would be fairly lengthy. And from an overall operational management perspective, it would be extremely expensive.

So, the cost of the technology investment will be more than offset by the process automation, by taking all of these areas of human interaction, manual procedures, policy enforcement, and building that into IT systems so that human beings don't have to remember it.

And just like we're going to use IT systems to automate our business so that we can expand and increase efficiencies and communication, we want to use the same technology to enable and control the effective and responsible use of information, because we realize from a business perspective that we can't continue to operate in a purely paper-based environment today.

There are these huge efficiencies we can obtain by automating, and that holds true for privacy management, as well as business management.

MR. PURCELL: Well, I'll agree with that,

because David and I have been talking a lot about what we're going to do in terms of expanding. We're even talking about can we go public any day? He's told me there's no way we could ever go public given the infrastructure that we've got built today. So, it's between David and Larry here to figure out what's first?

What I've asked them to do and what I want to know from you is what's first. I can see all this, but it looks like analysis paralysis. We could be six to 12 months just sitting here doing assessments, and that doesn't change anything.

MR. ALHADEFF: Unfortunately, we're at a point where you are saved by the bell on analysis paralysis. We're at a point when we do want to give an opportunity for some interaction with the audience.

I want to point out that we've taken a hypothetical that marries more issues than any one company is likely to be facing at any one time.

We've given them, unfortunately, a well-armed and ornery officer staff to give the consultants a bit of a hard time in terms of what they're trying to pitch.

But the concept here is the solution has to be holistic.

It's not out of anybody's reach, but it's something that has to be done first by understanding what your data flows are, then by doing a phased analysis of how you get

1	from point A to point B with the needs of the company and
2	the needs of the users both in mind as you go forward.
3	So, the end note for our part, before you start
4	to ask your questions, is that technology helps, but
5	you've got to sweat a little, too. The problem is
6	significant, but the solution is doable.
7	And with that, why don't we turn it over to you
8	for some questions? There's a mike in the back of the
9	room.
LO	QUESTION: One thing hit me in the middle of
L1	this role-playing.
L2	Larry mentioned people who are quite happy to
L3	give away private information about themselves in return
L4	for a 50-cent coupon.
L5	So, I was asking myself what is that
L6	information really worth, and I realized I have no idea.
L7	What is the real value of that private information?
L8	MR. PONEMON: There's not a lot of hard data.
L9	The data that exists about how companies monetize
20	information the research is spurious, and there's a
21	lot of variation. But there are some studies that
22	suggest that this information is valuable, and it depends
23	on its application.
24	For example, medical data is deemed to be more
2.5	valuable than, say, financial data, because it's just

harder to come by, and companies like to use it in the product testing, clinical research. CRO organizations, pharmaceutical companies, might actually pay a handsome sum to have more reliable information.

See, it gets back to the basic value issue that Steve was talking about.

We worry about opt-out's -- we have breakage or we went from an 80 percent to a 60 percent, but that's a good fact, because you now know that 40 percent of your population don't want to get a message from you for marketing purposes.

So, the better the information about the customers that are interested, the more effective you are as a company in meeting your revenue and marketing and sales goals.

In answer to your question, there's a lot of talk about how valuable this information is. I just don't see a lot of hard data supporting that value proposition. But I know it exists. It does exist.

MR. ADLER: Of course there are numbers about identity information in the black market.

It depends on who is buying the information.

There was that article in December of last year in which some Long Island companies had somebody steal 30,000 identities, and it was sold for \$2 1/2 million.

1	MR. CLAYTON: And you can look at some of the
2	case law, even the FTC and some the cases they've seen.
3	You can look at the value of what people were willing to
4	sell, some of their data on their customers, particularly
5	financial institutions, some of the early cases there.
6	People got a lot of money for selling it.
7	But I will tell you the value of the data is
8	going to depend upon what is the supply, what's the
9	demand. It's basic economics in one sense, but it also
10	is going to depend on what you can do with it legally.
11	We were hired after the fact, but a large
12	retail organization decided to buy a large company out of
13	the country, and they paid a large amount for it.
14	The company was the largest holder of
15	information about citizens in that country.
16	Lo and behold, that country had data protection
17	laws, and they couldn't export the information and
18	basically couldn't use it without specific opt-in
19	permission. As a result, what was potentially very
20	valuable information was basically worthless, and they
21	overpaid for it.
22	So, to me, it's just typical business analysis
23	issues. I don't think there are hard-and-fast rules and
24	studies about it.
25	For each business, if you walk through the

elements of it, you can come up with a pretty good understanding of the value of the information to your organization even if you can't quantify it specifically.

MR. ALHADEFF: I think you also have to realize that there are two value propositions. There's the value to the organization and the value that the subject, the consumer, would put on the information, and that will vary by country and by culture.

It's probably possible to establish a value proposition in the U.S.

You're probably further away from establishing that in certain parts of Europe and certain other parts of the world, just because the concept of trading information is either less accepted or less common. So, there are issues that are going to come in there.

Don't just think of the value to the company. Understand that there's a value to a customer. And if you want the sharing, then you have to give the appropriate incentive, whether it's that you prove legal compliance in some fashion or whether you give a financial remuneration of some kind for providing the information.

MS. GRANT: And it's not just whether or not there is financial remuneration for the consumer.

In order for the consumer to figure out whether

1	it's worth trading this data for 50 cents off something,
2	the consumer really needs to know what it's going to be
3	used for and by whom.
4	MR. ADLER: And who it's going to be protected
5	by, because again, I go back to the identity theft case,
6	where there's a black market for an identity, and
7	somebody may be willing to pay 60, 100 dollars for what
8	may be used for fraudulent credit cards. But then that's
9	only the first transaction.
10	It's when the fraudulent credit cards are
11	created and your ultimate credit rating, perhaps, is the
12	ultimate determination of the value of the data.
13	MS. LEVIN: On June 18th the FTC is holding a
14	workshop on the costs and benefits of data flows. This
15	information will be coming up then, too.
16	So, let's move on to the next question, and
17	we'll have some more information on the ones you've been
18	asking at the June 18th workshop.
19	QUESTION: This is just a bullet point that was
20	on your outline, and that is California Senate Bill 1386.
21	Could anybody talk about what you would have
22	advised them to do on how to get ready to comply with
23	that?
24	MS. LEVIN: We probably don't have time to
25	answer that. I'm sorry. But if you care to talk with

1	one of the panelists afterwards, perhaps they can give
2	you some guidance.
3	QUESTION: And the other question is nobody
4	really raised the issue of Golden Oldies using behind-
5	the-scenes technology like web bugs and what you would
6	suggest that they might or might not do with that.
7	MS. LEVIN: You mean technology they can put on
8	the computers for their citizens to use?
9	MR. PURCELL: Yes. We didn't address that.
10	QUESTION: And gather information.
11	MS. LEVIN: Oh, I see.
12	MR. PURCELL: We didn't address that largely
13	because we're not doing that at this moment. Golden
14	Oldies hasn't yet deployed that but it's certainly one
15	of the issues that they'd have to address as to what data
16	they're collecting that's personally identifiable and
17	that collection is known to consumer but also,
18	importantly, what data they're collecting in an unknown
19	and undisclosed way. That's very, very important to do.
20	MS. LEVIN: We're going to run a couple minutes
21	into the break and shorten the break up a little bit,
22	because I do want to get to some more of your questions.
23	MR. CHAUM: Let's not forget the other
24	costs of the data, the risk that it might be abused.
25	So, you have to weigh that in the cost. Then

1	there's the financial risk. There's damage to the brand
2	and so forth.
3	There's also the cost that you incur by not
4	being able to say definitively that you don't make
5	certain uses of the data, and that might help you.
6	MS. LEVIN: Next question.
7	MS. PERRIN: I know there's a line-up behind
8	me, so I won't do the full scenario, but I think you're a
9	bit modest.
10	You said you made it complex. You left out one
11	element that I think makes it even more complex. Let's
12	imagine I'm Mary Paininthebutt and my mother, Jane
13	Snowbird, is in your home in Florida and I'm up in
14	Montreal, right? And I have power of attorney, so I'm
15	managing her finances, and I'm managing her health stuff,
16	because she's 85 and she needs me to read her diabetic
17	read-outs and all this. You haven't got a secure
18	facility, and we tried using diskettes, but they kept
19	getting opened at the border by Homeland Security.
20	So, finally, I had to go to other methods to
21	get that data.
22	We tried faxing, too, but that isn't secure.
23	It's even less likely to be.
24	So, I went to your home in Victoria while I was

there for a conference and I got one of the computer

1	geeks that's working in the dining room nobody in the
2	office knew how to run the system and lo and behold,
3	he can get everything and yanked it up to BC. I'm so fed
4	up by now, because my mother is scared and she wants to
5	move home, and I'm saying don't worry, we'll complain,
6	we'll get this all cleared up.
7	So, I've just filed a complaint into the BC
8	privacy commissioner, because once I yank it up in BC, it
9	falls under that jurisdiction.
10	MS. LEVIN: Stephanie, come to panel two after
11	the break, because we will be looking at some of the
12	answers, how technology can help.
13	MR. CLAYTON: And we're going to turn you in
14	for unauthorized access to our computers.
15	MS. PERRIN: Oh, it's all legal. It's all
16	legal.
17	But the element here is that the families are
18	the ones managing a lot of this data, not the guys in the
19	home, and they're the ones that are going to complain.
20	MR. PURCELL: I took a note, but we didn't get
21	to it, about where is the authentication and
22	authorization procedures for data access internally to
23	the company, but we didn't get to that.
24	MS. PERRIN: Well, I'll bet you anything it's

whoever knows how to do it, and that's the computer tech

- 1 kid in the dining room.
- 2 MR. PURCELL: But that's how it would be today,
- yes.
- 4 MR. ALHADEFF: And one thing that we didn't
- 5 want to delve into, which is actually something that
- 6 would address some of your issues, is what's the legal
- 7 and contractual infrastructure between the residence
- 8 communities, the residents, and the administrative staff,
- 9 because some of that will be spelled out, and then what
- are the internal policies that give permissions.
- 11 Part of the problem is this is a group that
- didn't have those internal policies.
- 13 So, it's not even just that the technology
- 14 didn't reflect it. There wasn't a policy to begin with,
- 15 which is even worse.
- 16 QUESTION: Mine is more of a concern, and you
- 17 can address it in whatever free form you wish. It seems
- to me a lot of the issues here are very, very premature,
- that there's really a shaky foundation, and there's some
- 20 fundamental corporate governance issues that need to be
- 21 resolved before you can even get to these stages, like
- does the corporation have a code of ethics, and how does
- that govern how they conduct themselves? How do they
- 24 monitor their code of ethics? How would you advise them
- 25 to address those fundamental cultural and legal issues,

	110
1	their corporate governance?
2	MR. PONEMON: Can I just chime in, because
3	actually I didn't pay this man to ask that question.
4	MS. LEVIN: I thought you did, though, Larry.
5	MR. PONEMON: Not yet.
6	MS. LEVIN: Sounds like it.
7	MR. PONEMON: But it is all about ethics.
8	Unfortunately, we jump into the compliance and
9	regulatory issues, but it's about responsible information
10	management.
11	We talk about all of these bad companies, but
12	companies are filled with good people, and they're trying
13	to do the right thing.
14	They just need clarity of purpose. They need
15	to understand that it's about responsible information
16	management and not just about something narrowly defined
17	as the privacy thing or the data protection thing or the
18	Canadian the PIBIDA thing once we get into that mind-
19	set, it's gone.
20	It's confusing to most people, and we move on
21	to the next issue.
22	So, I agree completely, it starts with this

ethical respect for a framework that makes sense and that

could be applied globally, and then you could start to

work at the next level of detail about how do you comply

23

24

1 with that framework.

MR. CLAYTON: And part of what you're raising and the data flow analysis -- you'd go through those issues.

Those are things that we clearly would have to understand, because the analysis of what's collected, where it's collected, is it legally collected, what are the risks associated with it, have got to be understood at every juncture of the process. What I would hope a company would get at the end of this initial assessment or analysis paralysis would be a very useful diagram flow, risk report, et cetera, that walks business through almost all of those issues and offers either solutions or at least choices or where you can get other information to make those decisions.

MR. PAROBY: I said I'd dumb it down and make it simple, but one of the things we're seeing in very large organizations and very small organizations -- Larry hates the word "assessment"; I'll say "current state" -- is to issue them a scorecard on their current state, a very simple scorecard, and we've coded it red, yellow, green, to make it simpler yet.

Red is bad, green is okay, yellow is maybe I don't know or in the middle.

Once you establish the ethics, the culture, the

framework -- and this all goes across technology, the

people issues, the corporate governance, the privacy.

You sit down and you look at that at even a board level

and you say, gee, I've got a scorecard, and I'm red over

here with respect to these ethical issues or -- let's

address those first before you implement a solution with

technology.

MR. ALHADEFF: One of the things that you have to think about, especially with smaller companies, is when they start an analysis like this, what you may end up having is a forcing function, because there may be a code of ethics that is actually -- Ivan is the code of ethics.

It is actually the CEO who has the ethos of the company. We actually have a fairly large company considering what a lot of companies actually are, and the code of ethics and a lot of these policies may be things that, if you ask someone, you could get an answer, but if you were to look for it written down in an institutionalized fashion, you'd never find it.

MR. PURCELL: Well, it would be insulting, too, for a small company, to go to somebody and say you need a code of ethics. I'd say, get out of here. I mean you're assuming I don't have ethics.

So, it's in the very, very large companies that

1	have really distributed accountability where I agree that
2	the documentation is more important, but you've got to be
3	careful when you're dealing with the very small, closely-
4	held companies, as well.
5	MS. LEVIN: Okay.
6	Next question?
7	QUESTION: Actually, to pick up on the small
8	company issue, at Trasue, we see a lot of companies who
9	have no understanding of things like CABA and other kinds
10	of regulations that are specific to their own state. The
11	lack of understanding, especially among small companies,
12	of applicable law is a big problem, and I think the FTC
13	and everybody has to find a solution to that.
14	MS. LEVIN: More Education 101.
15	Last question.
16	MR. REEDER: Sure. And it's pretty basic. And
17	that is what is the definition of privacy for you as the
18	CEO of this company?
19	MR. PURCELL: Thanks a lot, Frank.
20	(Laughter.)
21	MR. REEDER: From the sense of what privacy is
22	and what your sense of the expectations of your customers
23	and the world at large about what privacy is, doesn't
24	that draw the line for you as to what protections you
25	provide and how you go about putting your arms around

what you should be doing. Because, on the one hand, FTC 1 2 is dealing with, and Congress is dealing with, the spam 3 issue, and the do-not-call list is about to come out enabling people to do that, lots of work is being done in 4 identity theft.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

For some, that might be enough as far as kind of the privacy intrusion part of it, but isn't there more to it than just that?

MR. PURCELL: Well, I think that blends the prior question on the ethical framework, too, Frank, because I think Ivan Offerforyou is essentially being advised to do a survey and to gauge the attitudes toward privacy and data protection in their client base. That would not necessarily be a voting process to determine an outcome but would rather be an advisory into that ethical framework to say, okay, fine, this is what people expect. Now what am I going to provide within that expectation that's required through regulation and that goes above and beyond that needed for brand, that endures to the brand somehow.

So, I think it's very complicated to say how you define privacy.

Certainly, Larry's mom is going to define privacy in a very different way than either her peer or my high school student who I'm still trying to convince

1	that stealing music on the Internet is not a good thing.
2	So it's very difficult to say here's a
3	definition.
4	I think that it's self-defined, to a certain
5	degree, even in legal terms today.
6	MR. PAROBY: There's an exposure draft that
7	just came out yesterday. It's by the AICPA, and it's
8	entitled "Proposed AICPA CIC Privacy Framework," and they
9	define privacy. They say privacy is defined as the
LO	rights and obligations of individuals and organizations
L1	with respect to the collection, use, retention, and
L2	disclosure of personal information, and they take each of
L3	those major components and they re-define that.
L4	So there is finally a framework, 90 pages in
L5	length, that is starting to at least define it and give
L6	some guidance as to what it is and what you do with it
L7	and what you can't do with it.
L8	MS. LEVIN: We'll probably hear a little bit
L9	more about that later today.
20	I want to thank this panel for one of the most
21	creative presentations I've ever participated in, just
22	fantastic.
23	(Applause.)
24	MS. LEVIN: And we're going to have a short

break. I'll give you seven minutes, till 10 of. There's

1	still some food out there, a bathroom break, and then
2	rush on back. Thanks.
3	(A brief recess was taken.)
4	PANEL 2: Business Tools for Protecting Consumer
5	Information
6	MR. SILVER: This is the second panel. We're
7	going to learn about some technologies currently
8	available to businesses to help them protect their
9	systems and information.
10	Where appropriate, if the panelists feel like
11	it, I'd ask them to perhaps reference the previous
12	hypothetical, if it's natural. References to Larry's mom
13	or Gary's dad will earn extra credit, as well.
14	The biographies of the panelists are in your
15	folders, but I will give brief introductions.
16	Joseph Alhadeff returns from his acting debut
17	in the previous panel. He's with Oracle.
18	Christopher Klaus is from Internet Security

20 Gary Clayton is not here yet, but he's from

21 Privacy Council.

Systems.

22 Christine Varney is counsel to Liberty

23 Alliance.

19

Toby Levin will be assisting me in this panel.

25 She's at the FTC.