From: Steve Murdock

Posted At: Saturday, April 03, 2004 8:45 PM

Posted To: spywareworkshop2004

Conversation: SysAdmin's Commentary about spyware Subject: SysAdmin's Commentary about spyware

## Greetings,

I just encountered this thread in a broadband forum and feel it necessary to add my commentary. As a system admininstrator for one small area of a multi-national enterprise company I encounter these "additions" to our desktop systems on a regular basis. As efficient as the overall protection for us is, it still cannot prevent these invasions of our equipment. I have also encountered them on our home/church network.

Our users can surf the internet during breaks, or during searches for specific information, or parts, for instance. However, there is no reason for some advertising agency to track what these systems are viewing as they are not the property of the end user. Since these "additions" to our systems occur without any warning, or CHOICE, I feel fully justified in hunting them down and using Blocking Lists, or anti-SPYWARE software, to prevent or kill them.

No matter the prattling of "our software is benign and harmless..." by the purveyors of these intruders; the "additions" can just as easily be turned to reporting far more than "the user just typed 'Tennis'! Quick, go tell Marketing at Wilson Sports" whether it be passwords, or access to local systems or any other choice of those whose life pleasure is "hacking", as used in the current lexicon, not the historical.

The systems here at home are running behind a hardware firewall, a software firewall, two different Anti-Virus programs and 2 anti-Spyware programs. Updates are checked almost every day. Why? My wife can not afford to have her on-line ministry shutdown! In my business the saying is "If you are not paranoid about security in this job ... you will be in the next one!" Regretabley, at work I can't use all of the free/shareware available that I use here.

Why is it that these "additions" are being called "drive-by shootings" by so many? Why is it that they have to resort to stealth to obtain the information about where we go, view, and do? Regardless of what the EULA's of many softwares state ... we are only leasing the usage of the product.. the fact still remains that this putty colored metal box adjacent to me, and all of it's contents, is still MY property, MY domain, My data, My choice as to what there is that others can know about it.

Please, in the workshop, turn away from the buzzwords, the pleas about stifling progress, new e-business being stillborn; yada, yada. Especially, "We are making the Internet Free!!" Oh, give me a break. It is bad enough that most of my time is spent fighting off and rooting out the results of some bored geeks with a programming gift. Why should I have to Clean my systems of the offal these marketing "research" companies continuously attempt to deposit everywhere. It feels like walking under all of the pigeons in St Peters square.

Just Think Opt-In; Just Talk Opt-In. That is what should be my Right! That is what should be the Right of all those who access the Internet: Opt-In. If users are so lazy as to say yes to the blandishments of the "goodness" these marketing "additions" will do for them; then, they can Opt-In and experience all of the emails and pop-up ads and who knows what else that this ...... stuff.... brings them. These "additions" should not be allowed without asking permission of the system owner.

I have said ... more than enough,

Opt-In Steve Murdock CA