A Plan for Data Management of Oceanic Observations Within the NOAA Global Carbon Cycle Program

Report of the Data Management Workshop Pacific Marine Environmental Laboratory Seattle, Washington 25-26 October 2001



DRAFT-- DO NOT CITE OR QUOTE A Draft Plan for Data Management of Oceanic Observations Within the NOAA Global Carbon Cycle Program

Report of the Data Management Workshop Pacific Marine Environmental Laboratory 25-26 October 2001

Edited by Richard A. Feely and Christopher L. Sabine

Table of Contents

1.0	Executive Summary	3
2.0	Introduction	5
3.0	The CO ₂ Science Team	6
4.0	Discrete Water Column Measurements	9
5.0	Autonomous and Semi-Autonomous Measurements	10
6.0	The Data Management Groups	13
6.1	The National Data Center	13
6.2	The World Oceanographic Data Center	14
7.0	Data Accessibility for Carbon Cycle Ocean Measurements	15
8.0	Coordination with International Programs	17
	Appendix I. Acranyms	19
	Appendix II. Meeting Agenda	20
	Appendix III. Participants	22

1.0 Executive Summary

The primary goal of the Data Management Workshop was to formulate a plan for data policy, institutional arrangements, and mechanisms for dealing with new and historical oceanic CO_2 data sets. The overall objective is to provide the scientific community with easy access to high-quality historical and near real-time CO₂ and related data sets from the large-scale ocean carbon observing system proposed in the LSCOP report. The workshop consisted of 31 scientists, data managers, and program administrators from federal agencies, universities and private research institutions. Two major data types were considered in the workshop: (1) discrete data from the large-scale Repeat Hydrography Program and time series stations; and (2) highfrequency data streams from shipboard underway measurements and autonomous measurements from fixed moorings and drifting buoys. The workshop participants advocated the formation of a CO₂ Science Team involving CO₂ scientists and data managers to collaborate in the development of standardized procedures for data reporting and quality assessment. They also emphasized the need for rapid data distribution to the scientific community via the Internet and CD-ROMs. The data access system must include a "Live Access Server" for on-line browsing that will include graphics tools to provide plots and visualizations of the data.

The following outline lists the data management requirements for producing QCed versions of these data, and for assuring their easy access to the scientific community. Rough estimates of the associated costs are included.

- Discrete Data (including measurements made on discrete samples at time-series stations)

 a. Processing of shipboard data
 - CO₂ Science team will coordinate CO₂ measurement program, establish measurement protocols and data formats, and run intercomparison exercises as necessary to ensure highest-quality measurements (\$30-40K/yr).
 - One data management person on each survey cruise will be responsible for merging and initial QC of all shipboard data.

- b. Production of locally QCed data.
 - PI will be responsible for post-cruise processing of shipboard data.
 - CO₂ Science team will assist PIs by developing techniques and tools for post processing and will oversee and coordinate public access to locally QCed data (\$25K/yr).
- c. Production of Regionally QCed data.
 - The National Data Center will be primarily responsible for assessing quality of new data sets with respect to other high-quality data sets in that region. They will also serve research quality data to public (\$50K/yr).
 - CO₂ Science team will assist the National Data Center in quality assessment by providing scientific oversight.
 - The World Oceanographic Data Center will archive all data products and assist in data quality assessment (\$50K/yr).
 - A "Live Access Server" will be developed to distributed the data via the Internet (\$50K/yr).
- 2) Semi-autonomous and autonomous underway and buoy data
 - a. Processing of data
 - CO₂ Science team will coordinate CO₂ measurement program, establish measurement protocols and data formats and run inter-comparison exercises as necessary to ensure high-quality measurements (\$30-40K/yr).
 - CO₂ Science team will assist PIs by developing techniques and tools for post-measurement data-quality assessment, but PI will be responsible for implementing these procedures as part of their measurement responsibilities.
 - Data will be transferred to National Data Center within one month of reaching the PI.
 - b. Distribution of data and production of integrated datasets
 - Data Management Group will be responsible for checking that the data received from the PI are correctly formatted.
 - The National Data Center will make data available publicly as soon as practical (typically within 1 week of receipt) (\$50K/yr).
 - CO₂ Science team will assist Data Management Group by providing scientific oversight and indicating how best to integrate such datasets.
 - The World Oceanographic Data Center will ultimately archive and distribute all national and international data products (\$50K/yr).
 - A "Live Access Server" will be developed to distributed the data via the Internet (\$50K/yr).

2.0 Introduction

The U.S. Carbon Cycle Science Plan (Sarmiento and Wofsy, A U. S. Carbon Cycle Science

Plan. USGCRP, Washington, D.C., 69 pp., 1999), developed under the auspices of the U.S.

Global Change Research Program (USGCRP), represents the beginning of intensive planning for the next decade of global carbon cycle research in the United States. This document provides an evaluation of the then-current state of knowledge of carbon processes in the atmosphere and oceans including its anthropogenic aspects, and suggests a course of coordinated federal action for advancing carbon cycle science.

The Plan emphasizes the need for coordinated and complementary programs of basic and applied research from the U.S. Federal agencies with interests and responsibilities in global carbon cycle science. As a direct outgrowth of this original Plan, the U.S. Carbon Cycle Science Program (CCSP) was established to foster community interest and planning in the area of carbon cycle research. Under the auspices of the CCSP, a recent NOAA report "A Large-Scale CO_2 Observing Plan: Oceans and Atmosphere" (LSCOP) by Bender et al. (2001) provides a US implementation plan for large-scale observations of CO_2 and ancillary properties in the atmosphere and oceans in direct support of upcoming research programs, such as CLIVAR and the CCSP.

In support of this effort the NOAA Office of Global Programs commissioned a workshop at the Pacific Marine Environmental Laboratory of NOAA on the requirements for data management, synthesis and assimilation of the potentially very large numbers of oceanic CO_2 and related observational data that will become a part of the ocean component of the CCSP. The primary goal of the Data Management Workshop was to formulate a plan for data policy, institutional arrangements, and mechanisms for dealing with new and historical oceanic CO_2 data sets. The ultimate objective is to provide the oceanographic community with easy access, via the internet and CD-ROMs, to high-quality near real-time CO_2 and related physical, chemical and biological data sets from the large-scale ocean carbon observing system proposed in the LSCOP report. It will also be desirable to provide equivalent access to high-quality historical (pre-2001) data that can be used for comparison purposes.

The workshop consisted of 31 scientists, data managers, and program administrators from

federal agencies, universities and private research institutions. The workshop was divided into nine plenary talks and nine discussion sessions, each lead by a discussion leader and rapporteur (see attached agenda and participant list). Each discussion leader was responsible for a written report from which this summary was assembled. Two major data types were considered in workshop: (1) discrete data such as will come from the large-scale CO₂/CLIVAR Repeat Hydrography Program (see http://www.aoml.noaa.gov/ocd/repeathydro/); and (2) data streams from semi-autonomous shipboard measurements and from autonomous measurement systems on fixed moorings and drifting buoys. The following summarizes the workshop recommendations for these activities.

3.0 The CO₂ Science Team

The idea for a CO_2 Science Team comes from the highly successful CO_2 science team (supported by DOE and NOAA) that participated in the WOCE Hydrographic Program global survey of CO_2 . This science team will be responsible for standardizing the techniques used for both the discrete and autonomous measurement programs. The workshop participants felt that is was important for the science team to be formed as soon as possible and to meet regularly throughout the program. One of the first tasks of this science team would be to generate a document that clearly outlines:

- the standard set of analytical methods that will be used,
- standardized data formats,
- minimum metadata reporting requirements,
- procedures for quality control and assessment
- steps for post processing,

• standardized guidelines for flagging data.

This document will provide the guidelines for US participants in the program. This document should be published and distributed widely to the international community. The hope is that this document will encourage international partners that are also making carbon measurements on repeat sections, VOS ships, moorings and drifting buoys to adopt similar protocols. If they do, it will make future merging and quality assessment of such non-US data sets much easier. International partners that are interested in working with the US should also be invited to participate in and contribute to the CO₂ Science Team. Evaluation of developing technologies and outreach programs, such as methods comparison studies, should also be a part of the CO₂ Science Team's purview. These activities will help ensure that the program stays state-of-the-art and will encourage international participation and collaboration.

The workshop participants noted that the science team approach could work well for other elements of the repeat section and autonomous measurements programs and that the CO_2 Science Team should make a point to include discussion of the hydrographic, nutrient, and tracer components in their meetings. The group generally did not feel that one large multi-component meeting would be the most efficient, but noted the need for clear coordination and communication between the science teams responsible for the various components.

These meetings should be held at regular intervals to encourage coordination between the CO_2 community and other U.S. and international communities. Such periodic meetings would also allow the CO_2 scientists to adapt the program strategies and approaches over time to take advantage of developing technology, or new information gained from the ongoing program. There should be enough flexibility built into the program to allow for decisions as to which section lines will be occupied by which group, or to adapt to constantly changing VOS ship schedules and unforeseen opportunities for participation in non-US cruises.

The CO_2 Science Team would also facilitate the processing of shipboard data to the locally QCed level. It was pointed out that at-sea data processing is the most effective but can be expensive. At a minimum, there should be a data management person on board - for the entire shipboard analysis program, not just CO_2 . The CO_2 Science Team will assist the PI by providing data and metadata requirements, standards, etc., and by helping the PI to oversee the process. Thus, the PIs doing individual cruises must include the cost of such data processing in their proposals to conduct the at-sea work.

It was suggested that some level of quality assessment could be performed most efficiently at a central facility, perhaps in collaboration with the data management group(s). The workshop participants also agreed that the data should be released to the public as quickly as possible after a cruise. One problem is that the carbon data cannot be fully processed until the hydrographic and nutrient data are available. It was noted that the routine hydrographic and nutrient data should be available in near final form within 5 weeks of cruise completion. Given that time frame, the group agreed that the discrete carbon data could be made available within 6 months of cruise completion and autonomous data could be made available within about 1 month of data collection. The data should be served on the World Wide Web with the necessary caveats about the data quality at that point. PIs will be encouraged to submit data reports that can be cited by the data users.

4.0 Discrete Water Column Measurements

The goal of the water column data management discussion was to develop a structure that will facilitate the timely processing and public release of high-quality data associated with the repeat survey program outlined in the LSCOP report. The proposed occupation of 15 lines over a 10-year repeating cycle will be a lower level of effort than the 1990's global CO_2 survey, but the long time-frame and ambitious objectives of the program make the need for a good data

management plan important from the outset. The plan must be able to maintain the continuity of the program while at the same time keeping the flexibility to incorporate improvements in data collection and analysis techniques and be able to respond to surprise findings. The workshop participants emphasized the importance of keeping the PIs involved in the processing of the data even after the cruise, working in concert with the data management group. They thus proposed a two-stage data management structure. This structure is designed to accommodate three basic data types:

- Shipboard Data Complete data set resulting from a single cruise. These data would include the CO₂ measurements and other appropriate ancillary information available at the completion of the cruise.
- Locally QCed Data Complete data set that has undergone post cruise processing and has been examined for consistency with other parameters collected on that cruise. The PI will have the primary responsibility for this work in collaboration with the CO₂ Science Team.
- Regionally QCed Data Complete data set, for which the "locally QCed" data has been further examined for consistency with other data from that region and is pronounced suitable for melding with other "regionally QCed" data sets (perhaps after some identified adjustment has been applied).

The workshop participants advocated that the CO_2 Science Team would facilitate the production of high-quality shipboard and locally QCed data as a first stage of the proposed dataprocessing scheme. The regional level of data assessment will be then primarily handled through the data management center(s) in collaboration with the CO_2 Science Team.

By dividing the data processing into two distinct processes to be handled by two communicating groups, we can standardize the approaches and reduce duplicated efforts. The group felt that higher level data products, such as the production of gridded carbon fields from the regionally QCed data, were still research issues at this point and should not yet be put into an "operational" structure.

DRAFT-- DO NOT CITE OR QUOTE 5.0 Autonomous and Semi-Autonomous Measurements

The LSCOP report envisions a variety of autonomous and semi-autonomous measurement systems, particularly for the determination of the $p(CO_2)$ of the surface ocean and of a variety of ancillary properties. These devices range from shipboard systems (*e.g.*, $p(CO_2)$ systems on Volunteer Observing Ships) that measure surface ocean properties while a ship is underway, moorings and drifting buoys along with their associated measurement systems. The proposed increase in the number of such systems over the next decade will greatly improve our surface seawater $p(CO_2)$ database.

The focus of discussions at the Workshop was thus on how to implement a data system that would provide rapid availability of calibrated data of a known quality, even as the number of measurement systems supplying such data increases with time. The workshop participants felt that, in a well-functioning system, it should be possible to have the results made available to other scientists within 1 month of the date when the data "reaches dry land". For autonomous shipboard systems, this would be the date when the full data set is recovered from a shipboard system, *e.g.*, at the end of a round-trip; for a buoy-mounted system it would be the date when the data were telemetered to the operator from the instrument.

Achievement of this rapid turnaround will require investments both in the data acquisition systems and in the data management areas. Investments in instrument design and day-to-day operating procedures should be aimed at minimizing the need for further "data processing"; *i.e.*, ensuring that the resulting measurements of $p(CO_2)$ and of any ancillary parameters are well-calibrated and of known quality. Initial investments in data management should focus on developing increased automation of the data-quality assessment procedures used to evaluate such measurements. As the program continues, it is envisaged that the "unit cost" of these measurements will decrease with time, as a consequence of the increased reliability of the instrumentation and the automation of the data handling.

To make this practical, data must be stored in a standardized format that makes all the

measurements (including those of ancillary parameters), together with their associated metadata, accessible electronically. Once the data and metadata are in this standard form, they can be passed as a package to a Data Management Group who will have the responsibility for verifying the data format and for making the data available to the community as quickly as is practical.

Achieving this utopian vision thus requires clear documents describing:

- (a) The details of the proposed standardized data format, specifying what constitutes essential ancillary information (*e.g.*, time, place, *S*, *T*, ...).
- (b) The details of an electronically searchable metadata structure describing such data.
- (c) The data-quality assessment procedures that are used to select (or flag) potentially problematic results.
- (d) The data submission schedule, and the role of the Data Management Group in handling and distributing these data, and in preparing any regional data products.

The workshop participants recommended that the CO_2 Science Team should take the responsibility for preparing these documents in concert with a designated Data Management Group. This initial set of documents would then be updated as needed, and would be made openly available to potential participants, both from the US and abroad.

The necessary focus on measurement quality will also require documentation of the various measurement techniques being used, including details of their calibration and quality control procedures. Wherever practical an attempt should be made to standardize the measurement procedures being used by different groups, and to work to improve their efficiency.

Furthermore, it will be essential to implement a structured system of inter-laboratory method comparisons to ensure that the various measurement systems being employed in the field are capable of yielding comparable results. The intent would be to have the Data Management structure available to those contributors (both US and international) who are prepared to work to

conform to the proposed reporting formats, and who can adequately document their procedures and data quality.

Ultimately, as sufficient data are gathered to make basin-scale maps of time-varying properties such as surface $p(CO_2)$, it will be necessary to advise the Data Management Group how best to integrate the various datasets to achieve such a goal. At this time, this task is still a research project and needs significant scientific input from individuals with regional knowledge. However, it seems likely that as the years go by, it may become practical for such datasets to be prepared by the designated Data Management Group in collaboration with the CO_2 Science Team.

6.0 The Data Management Groups

A national data center, such as the Carbon Dioxide Information and Analysis Center (CDIAC), and the world oceanographic data center (e.g. NODC) should be involved in the data management process at all levels. They will participate in the CO_2 Science Team meetings described above and will provide assistance in generating the locally QCed data wherever possible. Regional QC of the data will be primarily performed by the data centers. As soon as the locally QCed data are released to the public, they will also enter into this second level of QC processing. At this point, they will also work closely with the CLIVAR hydrographic data center to ensure that the carbon data are properly integrated with the other hydrographic and tracer measurements.

There was consensus on the need for two complimentary organizations/facilities for the processing and archival of carbon data and related parameters. Specifically, the workshop participants recommend the following responsibilities for the existing organizations:

6.1 The National Data Center

A National Data Center should provide a short to medium term repository for carbon data. Their duties and responsibilities would include: acquiring carbon data from investigators, acquiring/confirming associated metadata, format conversions, quality control/quality assurance with feedback from investigators, version control for submitted datasets providing data access "tools" as appropriate, preparation and distribution of datasets and data products to meet requirements by the carbon data community.

These data will be evaluated with respect to both US and international data, and both modern and historical data. Although the National Data Center will have primary responsibility for processing and maintaining the regionally QCed research-quality data sets, NODC will be directly involved at all levels of processing; lending their unique skills to this work. Once all parties are satisfied with the quality of these data they will be posted to the National Data Center WWW site as a research-quality data set, available along with other US and international research-quality data.

At this point, the preliminary locally QCed data will be removed from the National Data Center web site to minimize confusion over different data versions. the National Data Center will also produce their printed Numeric Data Packages (NDPs) describing the regionally QCed data sets, to ensure availability of data even to those without web access. Over the long-term both NODC and the National Data Center will maintain the research-quality data sets. The role outlined above also takes advantage of strong links with international investigators and will help with the integration of atmospheric, terrestrial and ocean carbon data at the research level.

6.2 The World Oceanographic Data Center

The US World Data Center A for Oceanography (NODC/WDC A) should provide the permanent archival for ocean carbon and hydrographic data. The duties and responsibilities would include: acquiring data from data centers, acquiring/confirming associated metadata,

providing quality assessment with feedback to the National Data Center, integration into large oceanographic databases, allowing easy retrieval of general oceanographic data and carbon data, as required, data exchange with other international data centers, and preparation of large datasets, and their distribution to the user community via the Internet and CD ROMs.

This split in activities and responsibilities makes the best use of each organization's strengths, exploiting strong working relationships at the investigator level and NODC's expertise with very large databases and international data exchange. Investigators will not need to interact with both agencies. The two organizations will need to coordinate version control and data exchange procedures to make this process as efficient as possible.

There is also a need to make historical carbon data available to researchers. Many of the important data holdings remain in the hands of a few investigators and are at risk of loss to the community when these investigators retire. The integration of historical data with modern data will need a cautious approach. There are serious known problems with some historical data, due primarily to limitations in methodology, a lack of appropriate standards, and insufficient metadata. There is substantial research required to evaluate the accuracy and precision of some historical datasets and to assess their applicability to questions of changes in the ocean carbon system over time. In the short to medium term, it would be useful to develop an inventory of historical datasets and assign a priority for their recovery and review.

7.0 Data Accessibility for Carbon Cycle Ocean Measurements

The ocean carbon database must be maintained in a manner that makes it accessible to a range of scientists, both inside and outside of the immediate ocean carbon data measurement community. The data should be publicly accessible through the Internet, as well as made available at suitable intervals on media that can be distributed to those that lack Internet access. The web-based user interface for the data should be uniform across data versions, measurement

types, and to the greatest degree possible, should include historical data and international datasets.

Two types of data subsetting and downloading are required: (1) "large-scale" subsetting in which the entire holdings for a particular group of parameters can be queried, based upon constraints such as latitude, longitude, and depth range, date range, and/or seasonality; and (2) "per cruise" subsetting, in which users recover the measurements from a particular cruise or mooring. The downloaded subsets should be made available in a variety of user-specifiable formats. The list of formats to be supported should address the range of applications commonly used by interested communities and may need to be adapted with time as applications change.

The data access system must include on-line browsing and graphics tools that allow users to quickly determine when and where measurements are available and provide plots and visualizations to give the user a "quick look" at the data. Such tools should enable a user to make quick comparisons of repeat sections, underway tracks, and cross-over points. They should enable a user to visualize changes to the data between update versions.

The Live Access Server (LAS) is a proven system that addresses the community's needs with respect to ease of use, uniformity of interface, data download and visualization capabilities, and comparison/fusion capabilities. Both the discrete and underway/mooring data management discussion groups recommended use of LAS as a web interface. Prototype systems are already in operation at PMEL (e.g., http://ferret.wrc.noaa.gov/underway/main.html). Enhancements to LAS are suggested to allow a comparison between measured and gridded data types, integrated presentation of data with metadata, and on-the-fly merging of data from different sources.

Audit tracking of changes to the database is desirable as a tool to locate the source of changes in results that are generated over time. Given the need for continual updates to the databases it is recognized that thorough audit tracking may be a costly demand to place upon the data management system. To keep costs within acceptable bounds it was agreed that check

points ("time stamping") should occur at intervals – either fixed intervals of time or intervals measured in changes to the database. Determination of the intervals to be used will occur only after the initial database management strategy is determined.

Metadata management, which includes free text content such as ship-board logs, should be fully integrated into the data access system. It should be possible to locate specific items of metadata based upon parameter type and cruise (or location/date) without the need for a person to read through large amounts of text.

In addition on-line "fusion" with historical data and databases maintained by international partners should be possible. The long-term responsibility for integration of US ocean carbon measurements with historical and international data sets is the responsibility of the National Ocean Data Center (NODC), however, there is a need for quick-look "fusion" of data sources as a normal part of ongoing research activities. The process of fusion through a Web interface should be designed to ensure that the user is aware that data of varying quality are being merged. The resulting output products (files and graphics) should clearly document the multiple sources of data that were fused.

Two classes of gridded data were identified. 1) Gridded reference fields – created through research-driven, proposal funded efforts – should be made available through the data access system in such a way that the observations and gridded products can be compared. 2) For modelers it may be desirable to generate gridded fields on the fly from observations, given suitable user inputs of grid resolution and gridding parameters. The data management system should provide a stable programmers' interface, so it is accessible by machine (other programs) as well as by users. This provides an essential level of adaptability when considering alternative accessibility techniques over the lifetime of the program.

8.0 Coordination with International Programs

There was extensive discussion of the relationship between US and non-US programs.

There was agreement that there was value to international cooperation, although there are some issues such as timeliness of data availability that must be resolved. It was agreed that interagency and national data sets should be made available with data from other countries. Attempts to merge US and non-US data into a centralized database should weigh several factors, the most important being the availability of information necessary to assess the quality of the data. The availability and submission of accompanying metadata is essential to the successful integration of any outside data set. Adherence to data reporting, metadata reporting, and quality control requirements as set forth in this workshop report will help facilitate the successful integration of data from other countries. Just as the workshop participants agreed it was important to evaluate the quality and consistency of U.S. carbon measurements, the participants emphasized the importance of attempting to evaluate the quality and consistency of international measurements.

Discussion and recommendations could be grouped into two categories, those aimed at improving international measurements before data submission to a central database and those aimed at evaluation after submission. Recommendations aimed at improving international measurements directly included making planned "procedures manuals" available to the international community, promoting the availability and use of Certified Reference Materials (CRMs), and establishing formal intercomparison exercises. To aid evaluation of international measurements after submission, it was recommended that international measurements be checked and flagged, using the same strategy adopted for assessing US measurements, and that these assessment records too should become part of the centralized database. Inevitably researchers worldwide will compare US measurements to non-US measurements and these comparisons, where possible, should be reflected in the documentation for the central database.

Appendix I

Acronyms

CDIAC	Carbon Dioxide Information Analysis Center (DOE)
CCSP	U.S. Carbon Cycle Science Plan
CLIVAR	Climate Variability and Predictability (WCRP program)
CO_2	Carbon dioxide
CTD	Conductivity/Temperature/Depth profiler
DIC	Dissolved Inorganic Carbon
DOE	Department of Energy
GOOS	Global Ocean Observing System (WMO)
NOAA	National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration
NODC	National Oceanographic Data Center
NSF	National Science Foundation
OAR	Oceanic and Atmospheric Research (NOAA)
OGP	Office of Global Programs (NOAA)
$p(CO_2)$	partial pressure of carbon dioxide
PMEL	Pacific Marine Environmental Laboratory (NOAA)
ТА	Total Alkalinity
TCO ₂	Total dissolved inorganic carbon
VOS	Volunteer Observing Ships
WOCE	World Ocean Circulation Experiment

Appendix II

Ocean Carbon Cycle Data Management Workshop

Agenda

October 25-26, 2001 Pacific Marine Environmental Laboratory Seattle, Washington

25 Oct		
0800-0830	Coffee	
0830-0840	Welcome	Eddie Bernard
0840-0850	Introduction and Logistics	Dick Feely, Marilyn Roberts
0850-0920	Agency Perspectives: NOAA, NSF, NASA	Lisa Dilling, Mike Johnson,
	Future program directions	Don Rice. Chuck McClain
0920-0945	Overview of Ocean Carbon Programs	Dick Feely
0945-1000	Break	5
1000-1030	Water Column Data and OA/OC Protocols	Plenary Talk: Chris Sabine
1030-1100	Underway Data and OA/OC Protocols	Plenary Talk: Rik
		Wanninkhof
1100-1130	Mooring data and OA/OC Protocols	Plenary Talk: Francisco
		Chavez
1130-1200	Data Assimilation into Models	Plenary Talk: Niki Gruber
1200-1300	Lunch	5
1300-1330	Live Access Servers and Data Management	Plenary Talk: Steve Hankin
1330-1400	Metadata Requirements	Plenary Talk: Margarita
1550 1400	Metadata Requirements	Conkright
1400-1430	OA/OC Procedures at CDIAC	Plenary Talk: Alex Kozyr
1.00 1.00		
1430-1445	Break	
1445-1600	Breakout Group I: Underway data	Discussion leader: Rik
	Requirements	Wanninkhof; Rapporteur:
		Andrew Dickson
1445-1600	Breakout Group II: Water column data	Discussion leader: Chris
	requirements	Sabine; Rapporteur: Jim Swift
1445-1600	Breakout Group III: Mooring data	Discussion leader: Nick
	Requirements	Bates; Rapporteur; Francisco
		Chavez
1600-1615	Break	
1615-1700	Breakout Group Reports	Breakout Group Leaders
1700-1730	General Discussion	Dick Feely
1730	Adjourn	
1900-2100	Dinner at Anthony's Home Port	

26-Oct

0800-0830	Coffee	
0830-0930	Should there be one or more central facilities responsible for archiving all carbon and related parameter data? If so, how should the data center(s) be structured to meet the needs of the community?	Discussion leader: Robin Brown; Rapporteur: T H.Peng
0930-1000	How should the data management center(s) interact with the PIs to assure that high quality CO_2 data are being archived? How should the scientists be acknowledged for including their data in the databases?	Discussion Leader: Andrew Dickson; Rapporteur: Chuck McClain
1000-1030 1030-1130	Break Will there be public access to new data sets that still need QA/QC work before inclusion into long- term databases? Should we develop improved www-based tools for data display/distribution? What information should be provided by the server?	Discussion leader: Steve Hankin; Rapporteur: Dave Glover
1130-1300	Lunch	
1300-1400	Should the data management center(s) be responsible for providing gridded data sets for modeling purposes? Will the gridded data sets be developed in a uniform manner?	Discussion leader: Joanie Kleypas; Rapporteur: Bob Key
1400-1430	Should the historical data sets be integrated with the new Carbon Cycle Science Program database? If so, how?	Discussion leader: Robin Brown; Rapporteur: Margarita Conkright
1430-1500	Break	
1500-1530	How should the interagency and national data sets be integrated with data from other countries?	Discussion leader: Tom Boden, Rapporteur; Margarita Conkright
1530-1630 1630	General Discussion and Summary Adjourn	Dick Feely

Notes: Each discussion leader is required to provide a written report of their individual session. Dick Feely and Chris Sabine will summarize the reports into a final workshop document.

DRAFT-- DO NOT CITE OR QUOTE Appendix III List of Participants

Nicholas R. Bates Bermuda Biological Station for Res., Inc. 17 Biological Station Lane Ferry Reach, GE 01 BERMUDA Tel: 441-297-1880 Fax: 441-297-8143 E-mail: nick@sargasso.bbsr.edu

Thomas Boden Oak Ridge National Laboratory P.O. Box 2008, MS 6335 Oak Ridge, TN 37831-6335 USA Tel: 865-241-4842 Fax: 865-574-2232 E-mail: bodenta@ornl.gov

Robin Brown Ocean Science & Productivity Division Institute of Ocean Sciences P.O. Box 6000 Sidney, BC V8L 4B2 CANADA Tel: 250-363-6378 Fax: 250-363-6310 E-mail: BrownRo@pac.dfo-mpo.gc.ca

Alexander S. Bychkov North Pacific Marine Science Organization c/o Institute of Ocean Sciences P.O. Box 6000 Sidney, BC V8L 4B2 CANADA Tel: 250-363-6364 Fax: 250-363-6827 E-mail: bychkov@pices.int

Andrew Dickson Marine Physical Laboratory Scripps Institution of Oceanography University of California, San Diego Mail Code 0902 Kenneth G. Caldeira Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory Mail Stop L-103 7000 East Avenue Livermore, CA 94550 USA Tel: 925-423-4191 Fax: 925-422-6388 E-mail: kenc@llnl.gov

Francisco Chavez Monterey Bay Aquarium Research Institute P.O. Box 628 Moss Landing, CA 95039-0628 USA Tel: 831-775-1709 Fax: 831-775-1645 E-mail: chfr@mbari.org

Margarita Conkright NESDIS HQTR - E/OC5 Bldg: SSMC3 Rm 4350 1315 East-West Hwy Silver Spring, MD 20910-3282 USA Tel: 301-713-3290 x193 Fax: 301-713-3303 E-mail: Margarita.Gregg@noaa.gov

Michael DeGrandpre Department of Chemistry The University of Montana Missoula, MT 59812 USA Tel: 406-243-4118 Fax: 406-243-4227 E-mail: mdegrand@selway.umt.edu

9500 Gilman Drive La Jolla, CA 92093-0902 USA Tel: 858-534-2582 Fax: 858-456-9079

E-mail: adickson@ucsd.edu

Lisa Dilling NOAA/OAR - R/OGP 1100 Wayne Ave., Suite 1210 Silver Spring, MD 20910-5603 USA Tel: 301-427-2089 x106 Fax: 301-427-2073 E-mail: dilling@ogp.noaa.gov

Scott C. Doney Climate and Global Dynamics National Center for Atmospheric Research P.O. Box 3000 Boulder, CO 80307-3000 USA Tel: 303-497-1639 Fax: 303-497-1700 E-mail: doney@ncar.ucar.edu

Rana A. Fine RSMAS/MAS University of Miami 4600 Rickenbacker Causeway Miami, FL 33149-1098 USA Tel: 305-361-4722 Fax: 305-361-4917 E-mail: rfine@rsmas.miami.edu

Marjorie A.M. Friedrichs Center for Coastal Physical Oceanography Old Dominion University Crittenton Hall Norfolk, VA 23529 USA Tel: 757-683-5560 Fax: 757-683-5550 E-mail: marjy@ccpo.odu.edu Los Angeles, CA 90095 Tel: 310-825-4772 Fax: 310-206-3051 E-mail: ngruber@igpp.ucla.edu

Dennis Hansell RSMAS/University of Miami Div. of Marine and Atmospheric Chemistry 4600 Rickenbacker Causeway Miami, FL 33149 USA Tel: 305-361-4078 Fax: 305-361-4689 E-mail: dhansell@rsmas.miami.edu

Dave Glover Woods Hole Oceanographic Institution Dept. of Marine Chemistry & Geochemistry MS #25 Woods Hole, MA 02543 USA Tel: 508-289-2656 Fax: 508-457-2193 E-mail: david@whoi.edu

Nicolas Gruber Center for Earth Systems Research University of California, Los Angeles 405 Hilgard Avenue USA

Michael Johnson NOAA/OAR - R/OGP 1100 Wayne Ave., Suite 1210 Silver Spring, MD 20910-5603 USA Tel: 301-427-2089 x169 Fax: 301-427-2073 E-mail: johnson@ogp.noaa.gov

Robert Key

Geosciences Department Princeton University B80 Guyot Hall Princeton, NJ 08544 USA Tel: 609-258-3595 Fax: 609-258-1274 E-mail: key@wiggler.Princeton.edu

Joanie A. Kleypas UCAR P.O. Box 3000 Boulder, CO 80307-3000 USA Tel: 303-497-1316 Fax: E-mail: kleypas@ucar.edu

Alexander Kozyr CDIAC/Environmental Sciences Division Oak Ridge National Laboratory U.S. Department of Energy Building 1509, Mail Stop 6335 Oak Ridge, TN 37831-6335 USA Tel: 865-576-8449 Fax: 865-574-2232 E-mail: ako@cdiac.esd.ornl.gov

Charles R. McClain NASA/Goddard Space Flight Center Mailstop 970.2, Building 28, Room W107 Greenbelt, MD 20771-0001 USA Tel: 301-286-5377 Fax: 301-286-1755 E-mail: mcclain@calval.gsfc.nasa.gov

Frank Millero RSMAS/University of Miami Division of Marine and Atmospheric Chemistry 4600 Rickenbacker Causeway Miami, FL 33149-1098 USA Tel: 305-361-4731 Fax: 305-361-4689 E-mail: fmillero@rsmas.miami.edu

Raymond G. Najjar Department of Meteorology 503 Walker Building The Pennsylvania State University University Park, PA 16802-5013 USA Tel: 814-863-1586 Fax: 814-865-3663 E-mail: najjar@essc.psu.edu

Tsung-Hung Peng NOAA/AOML - R/AOML/OCD 4301 Rickenbacker Causeway Miami, FL 33149-1026 USA Tel: 305-361-4399 Fax: 305-361-4392 E-mail: peng@aoml.noaa.gov

Detlef B. Stammer Physical Oceanography Research Division Scripps Institution of Oceanography 9500 Gilman Drive La Jolla, CA 92093-0230 USA Tel: 858-822-3376 Fax: 858-534-9820 E-mail: dstammer@ucsd.edu

James Swift WOCE Hydrographic Program Office Physical Oceanography Research Division SIO/University of California, San Diego Mail Code 0214

9500 Gilman Drive La Jolla, CA 92093-0214 USA Tel: 858-534-3387 Fax: E-mail: jswift@ucsd.edu