



February 2001

Maximizing the Success of Chief Information Officers

Learning From Leading
Organizations



G A O

Accountability * Integrity * Reliability

Preface

Information technology (IT) has become integral to providing government services, and the management of information in the federal government has moved out of the back office and off the mainframe into the home and office and onto the Internet. As the federal government fully embraces e-commerce and other leading-edge implementations of IT that benefit citizens, leadership in managing the government's information resources becomes of paramount importance. The development of new service approaches and the enhancement of old ones in this new information era require the active participation of information management organizations from the beginning.


The efficient, effective, and innovative use of information technology requires a level of leadership and focus that goes beyond what would be provided in a technical support function. Congress recognized the need for greater leadership in information management and technology in the Clinger-Cohen Act of 1996, which mandated the position of chief information officer (CIO) for executive departments and agencies. This act and other laws define the general responsibilities of the CIO and many of the processes required to manage information in the federal government.

Virtually all of the major executive agencies have appointed CIOs, and many have taken positive steps toward the implementation of important information management processes specified by law. To reap the full benefits of information management reform, federal agencies must utilize the full potential of CIOs as information management leaders and active participants in the development of agency strategic plans and policies. The CIOs themselves must meet the challenges of building credible organizations, and developing and organizing information management capabilities to meet agency mission needs.

This guide is intended to assist federal agencies in maximizing the success of CIOs. Principles and practices gleaned from the case studies presented in our guide offer concrete suggestions on what agency executives can do to ensure the effectiveness of their CIO organizations. The guide does not address all of the responsibilities which fall to federal agency CIOs - only those which have parallels in the private sector. Moreover, we find that practices used by federal agency CIOs tend to differ from those used by leading organizations. We did not study the reasons for these deviations specifically, but they likely result from the context in which federal CIOs operate. Both operational and structural aspects of the CIO's environment can vary significantly in the federal sector versus the private sector. Rather than dwell on differences, our study shows that there is much common ground between public and private CIO organizations on which to build efforts for improvement. The specific key conditions and strategies described in this guide can be used as suggestions for federal CIOs to apply or adapt to their environments, where appropriate.

We would like to thank the Private Sector Council and the leading practice organizations we selected for our study, which are listed on page 59, for providing us with the information about their practices and assisting us in producing this executive guide. We would like to thank members of GAO's Executive Council on Information Management and Technology for their comments and suggestions in the development of this guide. We would also like to thank the individuals who provided helpful comments on the exposure draft of this guide.¹

This guide was prepared under the direction of Lester Diamond, Assistant Director, Information Technology Management Issues, who can be reached at (202) 512-7957 or DiamondL@GAO.GOV. Key contributors were Tamra Goldstein, Sondra F. McCauley, and Tomas Ramirez.

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "David L. McClure". The signature is written in a cursive, flowing style.

David L. McClure
Director, Information Technology Management Issues

¹ *Executive Guide: Maximizing the Success of Chief Information Officers* (Exposure Draft) (GAO/AIMD-00-83, March 31, 2000).

Contents

	Page
Preface	1
Federal Information Management Reform	5
Overview of Fundamental Principles	7
The Federal CIO Environment Today	12
Critical Success Factor 1: Align Information Management Leadership for Value Creation	17
Principle I: Recognize the Role of Information Management in Creating Value	18
Principle II: Position the CIO for Success	23
Critical Success Factor 2: Promote Organizational Credibility	29
Principle III: Ensure the Credibility of the CIO Organization	30
Principle IV: Measure Success and Demonstrate Results	35
Critical Success Factor 3: Execute CIO Responsibilities	41
Principle V: Organize Information Resources to Meet Business Needs	42
Principle VI: Develop Information Management Human Capital	47
Using This Guide	52
Appendix I: Federal Legislation Affecting Information Management	55
Appendix II: Objectives, Scope, and Methodology	58
Appendix III: Related GAO Documents	60
Appendix IV: Selected CIO Resources	61
Appendix V: Selected Books and Articles	63
Appendix VI: Selected Information Management Reports and Guidance	65
Appendix VII: Project Adviser Acknowledgments	67

Figures		Page
Figure 1:	Six Principles and Key Characteristics of CIO Management in Leading Organizations	8
Figure 2:	Critical Success Factors and Organizational Relationships	9
Figure 3:	Steps for Transforming Business Operations Using Information Management	21
Figure 4:	Matrix Management Organization in a Leading Organization	26
Figure 5:	Performance Measurement Framework	38
Figure 6:	Comparison of Decentralized, Centralized, and Hybrid Structures	43
Figure 7:	Strategy for Securing Human Capital in Leading Organizations	47

Table

Table 1:	Comparison of Leading Practices and Federal CIO Management Practices	14
----------	--	----

Federal Information Management Reform

The rapid pace of technological change and innovation in the current information age poses wide-ranging opportunities for improved information management² and enhanced performance in achieving agency missions and goals. At the same time, however, the proliferation of technology has brought with it a range of thorny issues surrounding managing and integrating complex processes, computer equipment, and telecommunications networks. In its oversight role, Congress has established a series of laws to define the role of information management in government and to mandate basic processes to manage the government information technology (IT) investment. This section provides a brief overview of that legislative history.

The federal government's management of its information resources to date has produced mixed results. Consistent with reform legislation, agencies have taken constructive steps to implement modern IT strategies, systems, and management practices and policies directed toward achieving cost savings, increasing productivity, and improving the timeliness and quality of federal service delivery. To the extent that the nearly \$27 billion in annual planned obligations for information technology can be invested and managed more wisely, federal programs will operate more effectively and at less cost.

For years, Congress has been working to increase the effectiveness of information and technology management in the federal government. An early effort was the Brooks Act, enacted in 1965, which called for centralized oversight of federal information technology acquisitions by the General Services Administration (GSA). The Paperwork Reduction Act of 1980 (PRA) applied life cycle management principles to information management and focused on reducing the government's information-collection burden. To help accomplish this, PRA designated senior information resources manager positions in the major departments and agencies with responsibility for a wide range of functions including information resource planning, budgeting, organizing, controlling, training, and ensuring the absence of duplication in information systems. PRA also created the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs within the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) to provide central oversight of information management activities across the federal government.

In the 1990s, Congress enacted additional laws holding agencies accountable for effective management of public information resources. In particular, the Chief Financial Officers (CFO) Act of 1990 and the Government Management Reform Act of 1994 spelled out an ambitious agenda to remedy the government's lack of useful, relevant, timely, and reliable financial information. For the government's major departments and agencies, these laws (1) established senior-level CFO positions, (2) required annual financial statement audits, and (3) set expectations for more modern systems to support integrated management of budget, accounting, and program information. The Government Performance and Results Act of 1993—commonly known as GPRA or the Results Act—required that agencies set strategic goals, measure performance toward those goals, and report on their progress. Effective implementation of the Results Act hinges on agencies' ability to produce meaningfully integrated information to manage performance and measure results.

²In this guide, information management refers to all aspects of the management of all information resources, including technology, funds, human capital, and management processes, as well as the underlying information. IT refers to technology used to support the management of information.

Further, amendments to the PRA in 1995 required that agencies indicate in strategic information resources management plans how they are applying information resources to improve the productivity, efficiency, and effectiveness of government programs, including improvements in the delivery of services to the public.

With this increased focus on agency accountability also came recognition of the need to elevate the agencies' information management positions to more strategic, executive, levels, comparable to the CFO positions created in 1990. The Clinger-Cohen Act of 1996 amended the PRA, renaming and elevating former information resources manager positions to executive-level CIOs who report directly to the agency head and have information management as a primary responsibility. The new information management leaders are accountable for not only the range of information management activities outlined in the PRA, but also for more strategic IT functions such as developing architectures, managing portfolios, and measuring the performance of information technology investments. Among other things, the Clinger-Cohen Act also (1) required senior executive involvement in IT decision-making, (2) imposed much-needed discipline in acquiring and managing technology resources, (3) called for the redesign of inefficient work processes before investing in technology, and (4) repealed the Brooks Act, eliminating GSA's central acquisition authority. Primary procurement responsibility now rests directly with federal agencies.

Together with a number of other laws enacted over the past several years to foster improvements in such areas as financial management, acquisition, and computer security, this legislation discussed above composes a statutory framework for achieving performance-based management and accountability in not just information management, but overall federal management.³ (Appendix I provides a list of these key federal laws affecting information management.) As the executive leaders for information and technology management, federal CIOs have a key role in helping their agencies fulfill many of the provisions embodied in this management reform framework.

Even with the guidance provided by OMB for establishing the new information technology management leadership positions, agencies face distinct challenges in effectively positioning federal CIOs and supporting organizations to ensure that information management adds value in their business/mission performance.⁴ CIOs in the federal sector face structural and cultural hurdles generally not found elsewhere. Some of these additional challenges are described in the final section of this guide.

³*Managing for Results: The Statutory Framework for Performance-Based Management and Accountability* (GAO/GGD/AIMD-98-52, January 28, 1998) and *Managing for Results: The Statutory Framework for Improving Federal Management and Effectiveness* (GAO/T-GGD/AIMD-97-144, June 24, 1997).

⁴OMB guidance on implementing federal CIO positions includes Memorandum for the Heads of Executive Departments and Establishments, "Implementation of the Information Technology Management Reform Act of 1996," M-96-20, April 4, 1996; and Memorandum for the President's Management Council, "What Makes a Good CIO?," June 28, 1996.

Overview of Fundamental Principles

The basis for this guide is the belief that federal agencies could benefit from examples set by a few leading organizations whose CIO organizations have gained a reputation for outstanding information management in their enterprises. This work is intended to provide pragmatic guidance that federal agencies can consider in determining how best to integrate CIO functions into their respective organizations. Our target audience includes senior federal executives and managers, although our observations can also provide insights for senior information management officials throughout the public and private sectors. (Appendix II provides more details on the objectives, scope, and methodology of our work.) Based on interviews with private-sector and state CIOs and other research, we have developed a framework of critical success factors and leading principles. The balance of this guide describes this framework and its application to CIOs in the federal government.


CIOs of leading organizations we interviewed described a consistent set of key principles of information management that they believed contributed to the successful execution of their responsibilities. These principles touch on specific aspects of their organizational management such as formal and informal relationships among the CIO and others, business practices and processes, and critical CIO functions and leadership activities. The specific nature of these principles varied depending on the organization's mission, size, culture, and other factors, but each underlying key principle was consistently observed.

The CIOs interviewed considered these principles instrumental because they address critical organizational and operational aspects of the CIO's role. Notably, the principles address senior executives' responsibility for creating an effective management context for their CIOs, as well as the CIOs' responsibilities for building credibility and organizing information technology and management to meet business needs. The practices are not new ideas in the general management of organizations, but rather are the application of well-founded principles in the maturing area of information technology and management.

These principles are most effective when implemented together in a mutually reinforcing manner. As ad hoc efforts, each individual principle addresses a single aspect that is necessary, but is not sufficient for success by itself. The failure to execute a single principle may render the others less effective. Further, although there is no precedence among the principles, organizational conditions may make it more feasible to address one principle before another. For example, the chief executive officer (CEO) may "position the CIO for success" in advance of hiring a new CIO while the other principles await the CIO's attention.


Figure 1 illustrates the six fundamental principles described by the CIOs interviewed during the development of this guide. In addition, for each principle, several key characteristics of organizations that successfully execute these principles are listed. These key characteristics can provide insights into what constitutes successful CIO organizations.

Figure 1: Six Principles and Key Characteristics of CIO Management in Leading Organizations



Evaluating the intent of the six principles, we observed that they naturally fell into three distinct sets, which we refer to as critical success factors. Figure 2 illustrates the six principles and their relationship with the three critical success factors and their respective organizational foci.

Figure 2: Critical Success Factors and Organizational Relationships



^a COO-Chief Operating Officer

Understanding the six principles in terms of critical success factors is particularly useful because of characteristics that are shared by principles within the same success factor. Following is a brief description of each critical success factor.

Align Information Management Leadership for Value Creation

The principles under the first critical success factor, “Align Information Management Leadership for Value Creation,” advocate the need to recognize the role of information management in creating value and positioning the CIO for success; both of these principles address issues of senior executive support. Both principles require that the leaders of the enterprise embrace the critical role information management can play in the success of the organization and the leadership role the CIO must play in order for information technology and management to meet its potential. The first principle addresses the acceptance of this premise by senior executive management, and the second ensures that the CIO has the organizational legitimacy to execute his or her role.

Promote Organizational Credibility

While the first success factor refers to legitimacy at a strategic planning level, this success factor addresses a more operational level. The principle that addresses the need to ensure the credibility of the CIO organization and the principle that encourages measuring success and demonstrating results, if executed successfully, will lead to the confidence of those with operational responsibility in the enterprise. Without credibility, the CIO organization will struggle to be accepted as a full participant in the development of new organizational systems and processes.

Execute CIO Responsibilities

The last critical success factor, “Execute CIO Responsibilities” addresses the need to organize information resources to meet business needs and to develop the associated human capital. These two principles provide the foundation for the CIO’s effectiveness in carrying out the CIO organization’s specific responsibilities. Once executive management endorses the centrality of the CIO organization and becomes a partner in the development of new systems, the CIO organization must execute its responsibilities successfully.

The last section in figure 2, “Organizational Foci,” illustrates how both principles within a single critical success factor require the same organizational units to collaborate in their execution. Both principles within a critical success factor also focus on the same organizational units as targets of their implementation. For example, in the case of, “Promote Organizational Credibility,” both principles rely on the collaboration of senior executives and division heads for success and have as their target the senior management of the enterprise.

The common features within a critical success factor can become especially significant as the CIO, and other players, plan the execution of the six principles described in this guide. As this group plans its strategy, it can utilize the commonality among principles to link initiatives and utilize the synergy between related efforts. For example, while organizing information resources to meet enterprise needs and developing human capital are distinct initiatives, they share extensive areas of commonality. Executing both principles in

conjunction with each other can create opportunities for efficiency and effectiveness not otherwise available.

Finally, the organization of principles into critical success factors illustrates the extent to which the work of a successful CIO must extend throughout the enterprise. In particular, the role that the CEO and other senior managers play in ensuring the success of the CIO should be noted. While it is the responsibility of the CIO to execute the specific responsibilities of his or her position, it became clear to us during our case studies that the successful CIO relies extensively on both vertical and horizontal relationships within the enterprise in order to carry out these responsibilities.

This executive guide includes examples from our case study organizations as well as information from selected federal organizations, which helped us confirm the applicability of our findings to federal government experience. At the conclusion of each principle, we provide a case study to describe the principle in practice at one of the organizations we visited, as well as strategies to consider when implementing the principle. Although this guide focuses on fundamental practices rather than detailed guidance, the examples illustrate and complement much of the specific guidance contained in similar and related GAO documents cited in appendix III.

The Federal CIO Environment Today

The CIO position in the federal government is still evolving. Agencies are learning how a CIO can help improve effectiveness and efficiency and better realize the benefits of their information resources. Current federal CIOs are learning how to carry out their responsibilities in the federal environment with all of the incumbent expectations and constraints. Both the agencies and the CIOs are working to meet the letter and intent of the Clinger-Cohen Act and associated legislation effectively. The principles offered in this guide are intended to provide insight into what CIOs at leading organizations consider critical to their success, and provide advice to federal CIOs and senior agency management as they work to improve the use of information technology and management in the federal government.

The federal CIO faces an environment that includes many of the elements encountered by CIOs interviewed for this guide. At the same time, the federal CIO faces additional challenges as a result of specific legislative responsibilities (e.g., records management and defined contracting requirements). The federal CIO is also subject to a funding process that is more complex and uncertain than in most other organizations. The effect of the appropriations process and the highly distributed management structures found in several federal agencies tend to move some of the control of processes having to do with information management away from the CIO. Together, these characteristics, among others, differentiate the federal CIO environment from other environments. These differences, and their impact on the framework developed in this guide, will be discussed in the “Using this Guide” section. The following discussion focuses on the common elements among the private, state, and federal sectors, and the application of the framework across all three.

In a series of one-on-one interviews with half of the Federal CIO Council, we found that federal organizations face many of the same issues as their private-sector and state government counterparts. Specifically, federal organizations must overcome the challenges of effectively linking information technology and management to agency missions, positioning and legitimizing information management leadership, measuring performance, and building capabilities and skills. Our meeting with five members of the Federal Small Agency CIO Council and a number of independent studies provide similar conclusions.⁵ The six principles that emerged from our discussions with private-sector and state government CIOs also describe the general areas that federal CIOs agreed needed to be addressed. However, the specific approaches to executing those principles tended to differ among the various sectors.

⁵*The Federal Chief Information Officer: Third Annual Top Ten Challenges Survey*, Association for Federal Information Resources Management, November, 1998; *Implementing Best Practices*, Capital Planning and IT Investment Committee, Federal CIO Council, June 1998; *The Impact of Change: Clinger-Cohen Act Implementation, Laying the Foundation for Year 2000 and Beyond*, Eighth Annual ITAA Survey of Federal CIOs, Grant Thornton LLP, December 1997; and *IAC/CIO Task Force Draft Report*, Federal Chief Information Officer’s Working Group and Industry Advisory Council, July 9, 1996.

In three of the principle areas, the level to which practices of leading private versus federal organizations have evolved is significantly different. For example, in principle I, while leading organizations generally include their CIOs in executive business decision-making, in the federal government setting information management is still often viewed as a support function rather than a strategic activity. Leading organizations also consider various leadership models and position their CIOs at a clear, executive level, as in principle II. In contrast, federal CIO implementation is in more nascent stages, lacking criteria for matching CIO types with organizational needs. Further, in principle V, while leading organizations are flexible in reassigning staff and structuring capabilities across business and technology lines, federal staffing practices and organizational structures are less flexible in nature.

Performance measurement (principle IV) and information management human capital development (principle VI) are two areas that private, state, and federal CIOs all agreed must be addressed in order for the CIO and the supporting organization to be successful. Practices used by both the private and public sectors in the area of performance measurement are still evolving. In both performance measurement and human capital development, practices used by the federal CIOs differed from those of CIOs in leading organizations, though federal CIOs were actively trying to address the issues. Differences in the approaches used probably resulted from specific constraints in the federal CIO environment, including a focus on nonfinancial program benefits, rather than financial return on investments.

Credibility building, principle III, is the one area in which CIOs in both the public and private sector have all adopted similar practices. The precise application of the practices depended on the specific contexts of their organizations, but the approaches were consistent. It may be noted that this is one of the few principles that CIOs may address themselves, without regard to organizational constraints or CEO support. Of course, as stated earlier, the effectiveness of this principle is moderated by the extent to which the other principles have been implemented.

The following table summarizes the practices of our sample organizations in each principle area and compares them with practices in the federal CIO environment.

Table 1: Comparison of Leading Practices and Federal CIO Management Practices

Critical Success Factor	Principle	What a Leading Organization Does	What the Federal Government Does
Align Information Management Leadership for Value Creation	Recognize the Role of Information Management in Creating Value	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> CEOs and governors ensure that the CIO organization is a key business player CIO is a full participant in the executive decision making process 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Information management generally still viewed as a support function instead of a strategic activity CIO is not always involved in strategic and policymaking decisions
	Position the CIO for Success	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Defines clear CIO role and authorities Matches CIO type and skills set with business needs Forges CIO partnership with CEO and other senior executives 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Does not always clearly define CIO role or authority Does not always match CIO selection with agency needs Does not always provide executive support for the CIO position
Promote Organizational Credibility	Ensure the Credibility of the CIO Organization	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> CIO builds credibility through effective leadership, good working relationships, track records, and partnering with customers and peers 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Uses practices similar to leading organizations
	Measure Success and Demonstrate Results	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Strong links exist between business objectives and performance measures Performance management structure still evolving 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Weak links between agency goals and information technology and management performance measures Required annual performance plans still in early stages
Execute CIO Responsibilities	Organize Information Resources to Meet Business Needs	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Reassigns staff as needed to best serve interests of customers Structures the organization along business lines as well as information management functional areas 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Tries to meet needs of customers with a fixed organizational structure Structures the organization primarily along information management functional areas
	Develop Information Management Human Capital	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Maintains up-to-date professional skills in technology management Outsources entry-level positions but largely hires at all levels of experience 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Provides limited amount of training in technology management Assumes entry-level staff will remain in federal service as a career

In terms of critical success factors, federal CIO organizations tend to trail the CIOs interviewed for this guide in the “Align Information Management Leadership for Value Creation” and “Execute CIO Responsibilities” factors. The successful execution of these two critical success factors depends to a great extent on officials other than the CIO. In the first success factor, the CIO depends to a great extent on the other senior executive officers to support the inclusion of the CIO in critical strategic discussions. In the other factor, the federal CIO tends to be constrained by organizational attributes typical of the federal sector. These attributes include, but are not limited to, relatively little flexibility in financial reward systems and highly distributed organizational structures in a number of federal agencies.


This is not to say that there are no examples of progress in the federal sector in either of these two success factors. The federal response to the year 2000 computing challenge created an opportunity in many agencies for CIO and program organizations to partner in responding to specific agency mission needs. This partnering took place at the senior executive level and contributed to the success of the federal Y2K effort. In addition, the CIO Council, the Office of Personnel Management, and individual agencies have been working together to develop new approaches to compensating and retaining information technology and management workers.

It is interesting to note that the remaining critical success factor, “Promote Organizational Credibility,” is executed about the same within all sectors, since all sectors approach principle III similarly, and no sector executes principle IV well. As noted, it is within this critical success factor that the CIO is able to operate with the greatest individual flexibility.


Table 1 indicates that a gap exists between the practices of federal CIOs and CIOs of leading organizations. Areas in which gaps exist should be examined carefully to understand the basis for the differences as well as opportunities for greater implementation of the principles. It is possible that the business context for federal CIOs is sufficiently different from that of CIOs in leading organizations that lessons learned may not be applicable. Some of these differences are described in the final section of this guide. At the same time, an understanding of the information technology and management practices of leading organizations could contribute to the development of improved CIO management practices in the federal sector.

The following sections describe each of the general principles and practices discovered in our work with leading CIO organizations.

Critical Success Factors



Principles



Organizational Foci

	<i>Participants</i>	
Senior executive management, especially the CEO	CIO peers and senior management	CIO organization
	<i>Collaborators</i>	
CEO, CFO, COO	Senior executives and division heads	Client and CIO organizations

Critical Success Factor 1: Align Information Management Leadership for Value Creation

The CIO and supporting organization must have active support and commitment at the very top of the enterprise or they will remain limited and tangential to the business, despite their potential contribution to mission accomplishment. This first critical success factor focuses on the role of the senior executive of the enterprise in developing a culture that includes the CIO in senior-level decision making and that assumes the potential of IT in creating value for the enterprise. Executive leadership is essential to the successful execution of this factor.

A common theme among the CIOs we interviewed was that the message of the importance of IT to the organization must be communicated at the highest levels. Senior executives must embrace the central role of technology, and the CIO must be a full participating member at the table with them as business strategy is discussed. This behavior begins with the CEO, who sets the example for senior and mid-level executives and, through them, the rest of the organization. In addition, the participation of the CIO in long-range strategic planning is necessary to take full advantage of the opportunities IT can provide and to ensure that the technology infrastructure is in place as business strategies develop.

Principle I: Recognize the Role of Information Management in Creating Value

“The CIO’s ability to add value is the biggest single factor in determining whether the organization views IT as an asset or a liability.”

Key Characteristics

- Information management organizational functions and processes are incorporated into the overall business process
- Mechanisms and structures are adopted that facilitate an understanding of information management and its impact on the organization’s overall strategic

Instituting an effective CIO organization does not start with selection or placement of an information technology and management leader, nor does it begin with establishing a structure for managing information resources and activities. Rather, it begins with consideration on the part of executive-level managers of the role of IT and how vital it is to accomplishing mission objectives. It also entails thinking about ways to incorporate the information technology and management leader in the executive-level management structure and create an environment that facilitates business/technology sharing and exchange of ideas. Moreover, CEOs and governors can set powerful examples through their own strong relationships with CIOs. Such relationships symbolize the importance of information technology and management within their organizations.

Key Characteristics

Senior executives have primary responsibility for setting the business context for their CIOs and formulating strategies for integrating information technology and management into their business operations. Executives of leading organizations no longer regard technology management as a separate support function and instead strive to understand how investments in information resources are made and how they integrate with other investments and the overall business vision. These executives also increasingly focus on the management, operations, program and service delivery benefits, and performance of their major strategic information systems. CEOs have a key role in setting the example for the rest of the agency to follow in seeking to understand information technology and management concepts and appreciating the strategic role that information technology and management can play in helping to accomplish business objectives. Viewing information and technology not just as assets to manage, these CEOs assign their information technology and management leaders a prominent role in business decision making. Recognizing the business transformation potential of IT, these executives also position their CIOs as change agents with responsibility for applying technology to achieve major improvements in fundamental business processes and operations. With CEO support, the CIOs are in a good position to have significant impact on not just IT, but the entire business enterprise.

Following the CEO’s lead, members of the senior executive team learn to value the advice of the CIO in setting business directions and developing strategies for improving organizational capabilities and competitiveness. They seek to embrace fundamental information technology and management principles and work with their CIOs to develop a shared vision of the role of IT within the business context. They engage in dialogue on

ways that technology can be incorporated to improve business processes, outputs, and outcomes. They incorporate information management as an intrinsic part of their business planning and decision making processes, discussing the benefits and risks associated with specific strategies for improving service, reducing cycle time, or reducing costs.

In leading organizations, senior managers make joint decisions on information resources, formulate business plans, and set performance expectations. Increasingly, managers make IT investment decisions based on the value of the investments to their enterprises, not just to a specific business unit or function. By asking strategic and operational questions at the beginning of the planning and evaluation period, senior managers gain a better understanding of the potential benefits and value of IT. Information and technology managers in leading organizations are also adopting processes that help them quantify and align projects with their organizations' business planning and measurement processes. They produce plans that link to overall business plans and assign managers to act as liaisons between business units and CIO organizations. Increasingly, managers also focus on measuring reliability, responsiveness, and customer satisfaction, which in the eyes of senior management are just as important as strictly financial measures.

Leading organizations work to create environments that are conducive to sharing ideas on how information technology can support the businesses and vice versa. They adopt formal mechanisms and structures that facilitate the ability of their businesses and information technology and management leaders to understand and communicate one another's issues and work together to accomplish a shared business vision. These mechanisms and structures include forums, councils, and boards for discussion and exchange on business and technology issues. Such forums help promote organizationwide perspective and facilitate the ability to achieve consensus or stakeholder buy-in to business/technology directions. For example, one state has a strategic planning forum that brings together major stakeholders statewide to identify strategic and tactical issues, including IT issues confronting the state. The state prepares a strategic planning document based on this stakeholder input. This process has helped to integrate information management into overall business planning by aligning IT products and services with business functions and linking technology to the state's overall strategic direction.

To further support the business/technology collaboration, leading organizations adopt a common business language, skillfully avoiding technical jargon and instead using language that general managers and legislatures can understand. They use analogies, terminology, and processes that help fuse business and technology interests and ideas together. Other strategies for business/technology learning include informal activities such as newsletters, presentations, reports, and service-level results placed in common areas to communicate the effectiveness of their CIO organizations. Leading organizations further the two-way exchange of ideas and perspectives by bringing in experts from the field to advise or educate managers on recent trends and developments in both the business and technology arenas. Realizing that attitudes, expectations, and culture seldom change quickly, they plan for whatever time and resources are necessary to create a common ground and organizational cohesiveness. Their efforts go a long way in shoring up commitment from across the organization to strategies for achieving common goals.

Leading organizations also focus on hiring managers who bring a hybrid of business and technical expertise to the organization. One large multinational corporation uses “technical facilitators” to support its initiatives. In the past, this company had experienced problems in sharing information resources. Managers in the different lines of business were unwilling to share information resources because each felt his or her particular line of business was unique. Because technology was becoming critical to future success in this business sector, top managers were increasingly assigned to support and manage the company’s internal information management functions. Several of the managers assigned had both business and technology acumen and had the ability to raise business issues from a technical perspective in a nonthreatening manner. In resolving business issues, they were sometimes able to identify more efficient technical opportunities.

Case Study: Recognizing the Role of Information Management in Creating Value


Due to changes in market conditions and requirements for increased productivity through using common components, a large manufacturing company decided that it needed to make major changes in the way it managed IT to support the business. The company had outsourced its information management function, but lacked the infrastructure to provide strategic direction, discipline, and overall management of information management to ensure optimal implementation and cost. Given this situation, the company was faced with a proliferation of legacy systems and inefficient business processes built around them. The company also met with bureaucratic business resistance to change to a common information systems environment.

By way of improvement, senior executives adopted a new IT strategic direction and focus that tracked back to the company’s business priorities– “common, lean and fast, global, and growth.” Senior management then hired a CIO as a change agent, reporting to the Vice Chairman and the company’s senior decision making council, and gave him responsibility for transforming the IT function, thereby making him an enabler of the function and an integral part of the business strategy.

The CIO recruited an IT management team that understood both the business and technical sides of the enterprise. He instituted a matrix management organization, creating a leadership structure that provided flexibility for meeting future information management needs as well as maintaining existing IT systems. The new IT organization became responsible for such strategic activities as participating in the development of overall business strategies; prioritizing IT requirements; generating IT business plans; setting technical and architectural standards; managing user interfaces, outsourcing contracts, suppliers, and systems engineering; and allocating IT resources. To assess performance, the IT organization instituted several sets of measures that link directly to the business objectives and priorities defined by the CEO in the company’s strategic plan.

The major steps that the company took to improve, are illustrated in figure 3, below.

Figure 3: Steps for Transforming Business Operations Using Information Management



Strategies to Consider

Instituting information technology and management as a support function separate from the business is an ineffective and outdated model. Leading organizations recognize the role of IT in supporting mission accomplishment and seek to integrate it with business operations. The following outlines the strategies that senior executives in leading organizations commonly use to promote information management leadership involvement in business decision making and maximize the benefits from their IT investments.

Focus on efforts to incorporate the CIO organization into the overall business by

- ensuring executive leadership and commitment for the CIO organization, both at the career and political levels;
- embracing the CIO as a full participant of the executive management team;
- developing structured approaches for exploring the broad range of opportunities and strategies in information technology available to enhance the business; and
- focusing technology initiatives on creating value and providing the information needed by internal and external customers.

Provide an atmosphere that supports executive understanding of IT by

- creating and using formal and informal executive communication channels to make the business case for integrating information management into organizationwide decision-making; and
- emphasizing returns and metrics that clearly link information management with an organization's business needs.

Principle II: Position the CIO for Success

“There is no cookie-cutter approach, so knowing what fits in an organization is key to finding the right CIO to match with the organization.”

Key Characteristics

- The CIO model is consistent with organizational and business needs
- The roles, responsibilities, and accountability of the CIO position are clearly defined
- The CIO has the right technical and management skills to meet business needs
- The CIO is a full participant on the executive management team

There is no one right way to establish a CIO position. Diversities in corporate missions, structures, cultures, and capabilities prohibit a prescriptive approach to information management leadership. There are nonetheless a number of practices and alternative strategies that senior executives in leading organizations use to help define and institute their CIO positions to effectively meet business needs. This section examines those practices, providing pragmatic guidance that other organizations can also consider in determining how best to integrate CIO functions into their respective organizations.

Key Characteristics

Senior executives in leading organizations recognize that a one-size-fits-all solution to establishing information management leadership does not exist. Rather, they take responsibility for ensuring that their CIO models are consistent with the business, technical, and cultural contexts of their enterprises. Executives do so by examining their internal environments and asking a series of questions about the problems that need fixing, how information technology and management can help, and how a CIO might best fit within their management structures to guide technology solutions. The answers to these questions help them choose from a range of alternative CIO approaches.

Specifically, by defining mission improvement objectives, senior executives determine whether their organization needs a CIO who is a networking/marketing specialist, business change agent, operations specialist, policy/oversight manager, or any combination thereof. Studying existing IT capabilities helps define the structure and responsibilities of the new CIO organization. Considering the centralized or decentralized nature of the enterprise helps determine the corporate CIO’s authority level and how the CIO shares responsibility with other managers across the agency. Further, appreciating organizational culture and change readiness helps define the pace and extent to which CIOs can accomplish business transformation.

Business executives keep in mind that initial CIO models adopted should not be set in stone, but may have to be adjusted over time as their enterprises grow or mature. For example, while a company may need a business strategist to build a new IT capability, over time another type of CIO may be better suited to sustaining operations. This evolution in the CIO role is also reflected by the introduction of variant leadership positions in information management (i.e., chief knowledge officers or chief technical

officers) that diffuse responsibility across several senior-level managers. For example, one industry organization that we visited has multiple product line CIOs. The most senior information management executive positioned at a level above these CIOs asserts that he is not the “corporate CIO” and does not want to be. With the belief that one person cannot embody all the knowledge needed to effectively direct information technology and management in an organization, this executive uses an executive-level technology committee as a forum for building consensus for IT initiatives.

In conjunction with determining their CIO models, senior executives clearly define up front the roles, responsibilities, and accountability of their CIOs for enterprisewide information management, better enabling their CIOs to operate effectively within the parameters of their positions vis-à-vis those of their senior management counterparts (i.e., CFO, COO). Typically, CIOs serve as a bridge between top managers, IT professionals, and end users. CIOs provide leadership and vision, focusing senior executives on high-value information technology issues, investments, and decisions. They may also serve as business change agents, challenging conventional approaches and developing new methods and systems for delivering mission benefits. The case study at the end of this section provides an example of a CIO hired specifically to help transform information management and business operations.

In this strategic capacity, CIOs take the lead role in integrating information and technology management and performance across the entire information life cycle. They are responsible for such activities as planning, setting standards and policies, and designing and managing architectures to guide introduction of technology products and services. While not all CIOs necessarily have hands-on responsibility, their purview may also incorporate any or all of the operational elements of information and technology management, such as data processing, infrastructure management, and systems acquisition.

Senior executives provide their CIOs with the authority they need to effectively carry out their diverse responsibilities. Executives ensure this by giving the CIO a key role in IT investment decision-making, providing budget control, or ensuring leadership backing for information technology and management programs and initiatives. Formally documenting or, in the case of public-sector organizations, legislating, CIO roles and responsibilities can help in managing performance and expectations of both the enterprise and the CIO. For example, the position of one state government CIO that we interviewed was based on a specific statute establishing the CIO at the cabinet level and assigning clear-cut responsibilities for funding and overseeing IT operations statewide.

While there is no single template for doing so, senior executives in leading organizations apply consistent criteria in selecting their CIOs. The most obvious criterion is relevant IT expertise. Rather than being technical experts specifically, their CIOs intuitively understand IT principles and trends and act as strategists, applying technology and approaches skillfully to help resolve or overcome daunting business challenges. But even while IT expertise is important, their CIOs are business managers as well, with experience in administrative, financial, and corporate management. Such experience better enables the CIOs to work with business managers to build a shared vision for meeting mission needs. For instance, one state government CIO attributed his success to his breadth of experience across a variety of financial, retail, and IT units, which facilitates his ability to

get buy-in from stakeholders in the state. Additional proficiencies critical to CIO success include leadership ability, innovation and flexibility, effective communications skills, interpersonal skills, and political astuteness. The weight that senior executives assign to each of these criteria in selecting a CIO depends on the information management leadership model and the needs of the enterprise.

CIOs are no longer tied to a single functional unit—i.e., the “IT shop.” Instead, they are positioned as senior executives with the ability to strategically view and apply IT to the best advantage of the enterprise. CIOs generally report to and partner with their agency heads, forging relationships that ensure high visibility and support for far-reaching information management initiatives. As active members of the CEOs’ executive teams, these CIOs are well situated to provide advice and direction, integrate IT with the business vision, and take part in high-level decision-making. Active participation in executive processes and committees facilitates the CIOs’ ability to build effective executive-level working relationships.


Case Study: Positioning the CIO for Success

In 1996, this manufacturing company instituted a CIO position to help build its information management capability after deciding to split its former internal IT service provider off as an independent business. For years, the manufacturing company had relied on a wholly owned subsidiary to provide IT products and services. With minimal IT talent left in the company following the split-off, the CIO had to create a new IT leadership staff.

The company used a consultant to search for a CIO to build the in-house information management capability. Essentially, the company wanted a CIO with IT expertise, strong management skills, and background experience in managing large, centralized companies. The current CIO exceeded their requirements. He came highly recommended due to his prior success in transforming two other companies and his skill in outsourcing, which would be needed to manage the manufacturer's continued reliance on information technology contract services. The CIO accepted the position only after obtaining senior executives' commitment to his vision for transforming not just information technology and management, but processes in the entire company.

Senior executives set the CIO up to succeed. They positioned him as a member of the senior decision-making board, reporting to the Vice-Chairman of the company. They gave him the flexibility to bring in managers from the outside and set up a matrix management organization consisting of multiple business sector CIOs aligned with functional CIOs across the company, all reporting to the corporate CIO. They required that any IT initiative include collaboration between a sector CIO and a process-responsible CIO, as illustrated in figure 4. Senior executives also made the CIO the final authority on all IT budget, operations, and process management issues. During the period from 1996 through 1999, the CIO has been effective in lowering projected annual IT costs, for 1999 the total reduction was over \$450 million, when taking into account both cost avoidance and cost reduction, while enhancing the provision of IT services to the company.

Figure 4: Matrix Management Organization in a Leading Organization



Strategies to Consider

In the absence of a single model for instituting a CIO, senior executives take precautions to ensure that their information management leadership positions are appropriately defined and implemented to meet their unique business needs. The following is an outline of the strategies that senior executives in these organizations use to determine the types of CIOs they need, select individuals to carry out these roles, and position them as effective and influential members of the senior executive decision-making team.

Determine the CIO model by

- examining the current environment and identifying what the enterprise expects to accomplish through information management before establishing a CIO position to lead improvements; and
- making the CIO type (i.e., business strategist, marketing specialist, policy and oversight manager, operations specialist, etc.) consistent with the enterprise's mission, history, current environment, culture, and change readiness.

Define clear roles and accountability for the CIO by

- delineating CIO roles and responsibilities vis-à-vis those of other senior managers;
- ensuring that the CIO has the authority needed to be effective; and
- documenting CIO roles, responsibilities, and accountabilities to help manage expectations and performance.


Select a CIO with the right skills set by

- choosing someone with information technology and management expertise and the potential to help in business transformation, consistent with the CIO model selected; and
- ensuring that the individual also has the leadership and communications skills and other proficiencies needed to effectively carry out the CIO position.


Make the CIO a business partner by

- having the CIO partner with other senior executive managers,
- empowering the CIO to work with other senior executives to discuss and decide among alternative IT products and strategies for meeting business needs, and
- ensuring that the CIO is involved in strategy discussions at the highest levels so that he or she can lead the enterprise in using information management to corporate advantage rather than merely responding to client requests.

Critical Success Factors



Principles



Organizational Foci

	<i>Participants</i>	
Senior executive management, especially the CEO	CIO peers and senior management	CIO organization
	<i>Collaborators</i>	
CEO, CFO, COO	Senior executives and division heads	Client and CIO organizations

Critical Success Factor 2: Promote Organizational Credibility

The second critical success factor focuses on the CIO's ability to establish the CIO organization as a central player in the enterprise. The legitimacy of the CIO and the CIO organization must be developed for the CEO's message of information technology and management's central role to be accepted and for the CIO organization to become a full participant in formulating corporate strategy.

Both principles in this critical success factor pertain to the demonstration of the CIO organization as an entity that can complete critical projects successfully and contribute to the well being of the enterprise. This effort is largely the responsibility of the CIO, and the focus is lateral and downward. The CIO must create an environment in which the ability of the CIO organization to contribute to the success of the enterprise is recognized. Success, to be appreciated, has to be demonstrable and measurable. If the CIO is not able to demonstrate that he or she deserves the support of the CEO and makes a valuable contribution to the corporate mission, the CIO will not be effective as a full participant in the corporate decision-making process.

Principle III: Ensure the Credibility of the CIO Organization

“While placement of the CIO position at a high level within the organization may carry some weight, the CIO generally must earn credibility by making things happen.”

Key Characteristics

- The CIO has a legitimate and influential role in leading top managers to apply information technology and management to meet business objectives
- The CIO has the commitment of line management and its cooperation and trust in carrying out projects and initiatives
- The CIO accomplishes quick, high-impact, and visible successes in balance with longer term strategies
- The CIO learns from and partners with successful leaders in the external information technology and management community

Instituting a CIO position consistent with organizational needs and finding a capable leader to fill the job are no guarantee of CIO success. Rather, the burden of ensuring information technology and management effectiveness shifts from senior executives to the CIO and his or her supporting organization. Given the relative newness of the position vis-a-vis the rest of the business, the CIO is faced with having to gain the attention and respect of managers at all levels across the organization and build the support and cooperation needed to effectively execute the information management leadership role. The following is a discussion of the strategies that CIOs in leading organizations use to legitimize their roles and successfully collaborate with their business counterparts to guide IT solutions to meet mission needs.

Key Characteristics

CIOs in leading organizations recognize that providing effective information management leadership and vision is a principal means of building credibility for their CIO positions. CIOs do this in a number of ways. Foremost, they do not manage IT in a vacuum, but rather make sure that the information management program is well integrated with what senior executives want to accomplish. CIOs work with their executive peers to jointly produce a vision educating senior managers on the strategic value of IT, providing advice and direction, and setting expectations of what can be achieved. CIOs express this vision in business rather than technical terms, and in such a manner as to generate enthusiasm, buy-in, and motivation for managers to strive together toward the achievement of common goals. Further, CIOs participate on executive committees and boards that provide forums for promoting and building consensus for IT strategies and solutions. We found this to be true for each of the case study organizations that we visited. Having achieved senior management interest and backing, CIOs can leverage this support as needed to help ensure cooperation for carrying out information technology and management and business change initiatives across the enterprise.

Effective CIOs, and their supporting organizations, do not set out to force their ideas and solutions on their business counterparts. Instead, they seek to bridge the gap between technology and the business by networking informally, forming alliances, and building friendships that help ensure support for information technology and management. CIO organizations then work *with* rather than *for* the businesses, getting them involved in projects and driving ownership and accountability to line management, rather than to the IT shop. For example, in one case study, the state legislature placed the CIO organization in charge of managing the planning, funding, and implementation of a project to develop a single telecommunications network to serve the state's entire education community. Rather than a technical challenge, the project has been a huge coordination effort, requiring that the CIO organization overcome rivalries and achieve the commitment and cooperation of traditionally autonomous education sectors.

CIOs retain the support of their business colleagues by following through on commitments to effectively lead business transformation projects, provide needed IT products and services, and train and educate the user community. Through it all, CIOs strive to maintain open communications and build trust. They do so by being accessible to the businesses, listening to user feedback, and focusing on user needs.

CIOs recognize that balancing short-term successes with longer-term business change initiatives is key to keeping their business customers satisfied. In the initial months of tenure, CIOs set out to understand their enterprises' needs and tackle tough issues (i.e., runaway projects and crisis situations such as year 2000 management) that demand immediate attention or could pose immediate obstacles to success. In the short term, they also focus on building relationships, addressing business imperatives (i.e., process streamlining and consolidation), and demonstrating success by promptly providing high-impact products and services (i.e., commercial off-the-shelf software and desktop equipment) that allow them to achieve positive and visible accomplishments fairly quickly. CIOs recognize that showing interim results concurrent with more protracted efforts such as multiyear systems developments, "big-ticket" infrastructure projects, or business process reengineering can have significant positive impact on CIO credibility.

Often, CIOs outline plans of attack or roadmaps to help guide them in effectively implementing their short- and long-term strategies. Documenting their courses of action helps them manage schedules and expectations and provides baselines against which to assess progress and performance. These CIOs are careful not to get caught in the cycle of continual planning, but take steps to ensure effective progression from planning to implementation. They return to their plans iteratively, updating them as progress is made and business needs evolve.

Finally, CIOs in leading organizations recognize that there is too much going on in the area of information technology and management for them to absorb all of the issues alone. Rather than allowing their technology ideas and programs to stagnate, they keep abreast of changes in the fast-paced environment that might be applied to enhance capability and improve mission performance in their own organizations. They do so through avid reading, working with vendors, and following market directions. CIOs also benchmark, partner with, or seek advice from successful peers and competitors on initiatives that provide opportunities for exchanging ideas, sharing capability and expertise, and achieving mutual benefits in the larger information technology and management community. For example, one state CIO with whom we met said that he values the

guidance received from a CIO advisory board of private industry representatives, convened by the governor to facilitate learning from business organizations. This CIO also partners with a major IT corporation on a project to acquire standard desktop equipment for all agencies under the governor's purview. Participation in key councils, advisory groups, and government, trade, and professional associations such as the Industry Advisory Council and the National Association of State Information Resource Executives is also useful for exchanging ideas, sharing information, and identifying new ways to meet common challenges.

Case Study: Ensuring the Credibility of the CIO Organization

This state's Justice Network (JNET) illustrates how implementation of many of the practices discussed in this section has enhanced the credibility of the CIO and his supporting organization. JNET is a highly successful project started by the CIO organization enabling agencies to jointly develop a single, secure, web-based system to support administration of criminal justice across the state. The project responds to the governor's priority for consolidated agency projects, thereby ensuring high-level support for CIO efforts. The CIO organization conceived the idea for JNET after receiving multiple requests from criminal justice agencies for funding to develop redundant systems. The organization identified the joint project as a good opportunity to save on costs, share information, and reduce redundancy and errors by making it possible to enter new offender information only once as subjects proceed through the criminal justice process. Historically, the state's justice agencies have been highly autonomous and distrustful of outsiders. Prior attempts to get the agencies to work together failed. IT managers recognize that success with the current initiative goes a long way in increasing CIO credibility with the state agencies.

The CIO organization launched JNET by bringing together stakeholders from across the state in a series of meetings over the course of 2 months to establish a vision for a shared system that would also meet individual justice agency information needs. Under executive order, a senior-level leadership committee, including the CIO, is responsible for establishing JNET policy, direction, and standards and for authorizing the release of JNET funds. A steering committee consisting of justice agency representatives works with information management professionals and consultants to refine project details. Its biweekly meetings provide a good opportunity for the CIO organization to build relationships with the state agencies and for agency representatives to get acquainted and learn about one another's operations and data resources. With central responsibility for controlling contracts and funding drawn from the agencies' budgets, the CIO organization is credited with being the "glue" that holds JNET together. A JNET office, established to administer the project on a day-to-day basis, also reports directly to the CIO.

Under CIO guidance, JNET has been planned as a multiphase, multiyear development effort with interim products and results. The CIO organization has helped agencies successfully complete a pilot phase to prototype initial JNET content and applications, also demonstrating the CIO organization's ability to help deliver on commitments. Three additional phases involve testing the system's basic data-sharing function and adding new capabilities such as data importing, on-line processing, and document management. Initially, the justice agencies thought JNET was a bad idea; agency representatives were pessimistic and merely went through the motions of working together. They posed such resistance that at one point, the CIO had the lieutenant governor make a surprise visit to a steering committee meeting to oversee project progress, demonstrate senior management support, and ensure agency cooperation toward meeting common objectives. Once the agencies saw the operational prototype and the project's potential, they realized that their individual sacrifices had paid off.

Today, JNET continues to grow in scope and popularity. Along with it, CIO credibility has increased. The CIO organization is currently working with several counties to help link them with JNET. Next steps include instituting JNET at the local level and ultimately partnering with other states to construct a nationwide justice network. JNET's success has served to legitimize and increase the value of the CIO function to its business counterparts. Now, other agencies, including the departments of Health and Public Welfare, also want to work with the CIO organization on similar cross-functional information management initiatives.

Strategies to Consider

While senior executives are responsible for creating the environments and positions likely to ensure CIO success, it is the responsibility of the CIOs themselves to make that success a reality. Regardless of all the promising skills, strategies, and technologies they may bring to bear, no CIO can be effective without first building credibility with business executives, IT professionals, and user communities alike to ensure commitment and support for their information management leadership and initiatives. The following practices, commonly used by CIOs in leading organizations to build credibility, can also be considered and applied by CIOs in federal departments and agencies to better legitimize their positions and help ensure success in their individual business/cultural environments.

Provide information management leadership and vision by

- ensuring that the vision encompasses senior management priorities,
- educating top managers on the value of information technology and management in helping to accomplish mission objectives,
- articulating the vision in business terms to facilitate line management understanding and achievement of buy-in, and
- using senior management discussion and decision-making forums as opportunities to build consensus for IT programs and initiatives.

Establish effective working relationships by

- networking informally and forming alliances with other senior managers to help defuse potential opposition and build commitment to new technology directions;
- getting managers from the business side of the enterprise involved and accountable for information management projects;
- fulfilling commitments to provide effective IT goods and services; and
- establishing open communications and feedback mechanisms, such as surveys and questionnaires, as a way to build trust.

Balance quick successes with long term impact by

- setting priorities and distinguishing between short term, high-impact initiatives and longer term objectives that require more vested interest; and
- outlining a plan or strategy for accomplishing these priorities in an efficient and effective manner.

Leverage external information technology and management expertise by

- keeping abreast of technological change and incorporating new products and strategies in the enterprise's IT program as appropriate,
- forming partnerships and building off of the success and expertise of external CIO peers, and
- networking and participating in forums in the larger community to debate and identify ways to address common information technology and management issues and concerns.

Principle IV: Measure Success and Demonstrate Results

“Measurement determines what one pays attention to. The things that are measured become relevant; the things that are omitted are out of sight and mind.”

Key Characteristics

- Managers engage both their internal and external partners and customers when defining measures
- Managers at all levels ensure that technical measures are balanced with business measures
- Managers continually work at establishing active feedback between performance measurement and business processes

In many organizations the value of information technology and management is considered intangible—difficult to measure and mired in terms of “soft dollars” or “strategic assets.” For this reason, in the past, few organizations embarked on programs to measure the effectiveness of IT systems. However, it has become increasingly evident that without a measurement process where results can be demonstrated, not only is information management at a disadvantage when competing for scarce resources, but also when making its case in support of efficiency and effectiveness initiatives. For the CIO organization to be viewed as part of the business, a structured process needs to be in place to measure success and demonstrate results to the organization. This section discusses the approaches that leading organizations use to measure performance and results.

Key Characteristics

While there is no standardized approach to performance measurement, leading organizations strive to understand and measure what drives and affects their businesses and how to best evaluate results. These organizations have generally struggled with identifying and adopting measures to assess the value of IT, but have been able to put some measures in place to help demonstrate performance. They use measures for a variety of purposes. The measures are a vehicle for communicating with senior management and stakeholders in the areas the organization deems important. Measures also serve as vital management and decision-making tools, providing information that can be used to make improvements in business outcomes and service delivery.

Many managers told us that the measures that capture the most attention from senior management are simple ones—or at least simple in terms of how they are expressed. In a number of instances, the organizations we visited used uncomplicated terms to communicate measures, but the underlying concepts were quite involved and required a good understanding of IT and business fundamentals. These organizations collapsed a lot of information into a form that effectively communicated the success or failure of information technology and management activities and, in the case of the latter, expanded on the issues and supplied additional information. For example, one international organization, involved in several product lines, measures its performance in line with the following organizational business priorities:

- Maximize performance (i.e., improve service and reduce IT and management costs)
- Improve business processes (i.e., IT projects and E-commerce)
- Increase team contributions
- Create a leading-edge electronic communications process

Even though these measures seem simple, a considerable amount of time, effort, and data are involved in amassing and assessing the results tied to these business priorities.

To establish joint ownership for performance management, organizations strive to construct measures jointly with their stakeholders, customers, managers, and CIO staff. They work together to achieve a common understanding of goals, metrics, and anticipated outcomes that are easy to understand but are aimed at adding value. Managers told us that performance measurement systems work best when combined with established measures that reflect customer/stakeholder needs and the activities of employees that are directly involved in information management. The CIO organization's responsibility does not end with the establishment of measures, nor does the CIO organization have sole responsibility for technological success. As a practical matter, those responsible for judging the success of programs and their supporting functions should agree on the measures used and become involved in monitoring the outcomes.

Although approaches vary, leading organizations develop measures with a focus toward improving not only internal IT performance but also external relationships with technology users and the overall business. Organizations balance various technical measures to ensure that IT products and services are deployed in the most effective and efficient ways and lead to desired business results. They focus on monitoring short- and long-term IT measures that directly affect business activities and produce real business value. This means that IT activities must be directly related to company relationships with customers, clients, and suppliers, and also affect business results, such as direct costs or market share.

Leading organizations use performance measures that focus on business outcomes such as customer satisfaction levels, service levels, and in some instances total requests satisfied. For example, one state agency commissioner requires that his departments develop tactical plans for all areas, not just IT. All executives participate in the planning process. The performance measures are broken down to meaningful levels as a way of holding the "IT shop" and other departments accountable for the services they provide. IT goals and objectives are incorporated into the plans and IT performance outcomes are provided to the commissioner and his executive staff on a quarterly basis. This exercise has served to build credibility and help demonstrate the value that IT adds to the organization. The existence of performance measures has also made a difference in how agencies behave because the documentation they provide serves to make them accountable.

To properly collect and analyze information, leading organizations develop measurement systems that provide insight into their IT service delivery and business processes. The establishment of an information feedback system allows organizations to link activities and functions to business initiatives and management goals. This feedback, in turn, leads to increased IT productivity and organizational effectiveness. One state we visited established an information services board to develop statewide policy standards and to monitor projects as part of its portfolio management process. The board, however, has

increasingly become more involved in monitoring and making recommendations on troubled IT projects. Some of the lessons learned from the board's project reviews are that:

- the board needs to get involved early in monitoring and overseeing projects before considerable funds are spent on the projects;
- long-term, high-cost projects are no longer sustainable because sponsors tend to be unable to sustain long-term support;
- projects are best managed in a limited-commitment, phased approach; and
- projects should quickly demonstrate results.


Once planning and decision-making structures are in place and performance results can be used as part of the decision-making process, organizations are in a better position to ensure that goals and objectives clearly link and align with IT performance measures. Leading organizations also assess the readiness of their organizations to use IT measures and their receptiveness to data collection, measurement, and analysis. Further, they nurture a philosophy that is positive toward performance management and measurement, and they view measures as a way to focus on business value and customer satisfaction.

In summary, managers at the organizations we studied cautioned that IT performance measurement is in its infancy and measurement techniques are still evolving, partly due to changes in technology. Most of the organizations are continually looking for ways to improve their IT measurement systems as a means of supporting achievement of organizational goals.

Case Study: Measuring Success and Demonstrating Results

To measure its information technology (IT) and management initiatives, one state instituted a performance measurement process (illustrated in figure 5) that is driven by an IT strategic plan. The plan sets forth the goals and strategies needed to support the state’s entities in developing IT plans, in using information resources, and in defining IT performance measures. The IT strategic plan also aligns very closely with the state’s strategic plan, that sets forth four broad goals for the use of IT within the state: (1) improve service delivery; (2) make information more accessible; (3) use IT to improve productivity; and (4) invest in people, tools, and methods. The IT strategic plan also incorporates stakeholder involvement by including perspectives by state agency executives, legislators, educators, and other stakeholders on the use of the state’s IT resources. Also included in the plan is information from the state’s biennial IT performance report. This report evaluates the state’s progress toward meeting the last biennium’s IT Strategic Plan goals and includes a summary of strategic and operational performance measures. These measures, in part, are the result of the state’s previous IT strategic plan and are meant to measure how well IT resources are being used to achieve the state’s overall strategic goals and operational (technical) objectives. These results are published in the State’s Biennial IT Performance Report and are used to benchmark the state’s information management services. The state legislature also uses this information to allocate future funding.

Figure 5: Performance Measurement Framework



Strategies to Consider

Performance measurement is a critical step in ensuring results and success from any project, but especially from information technology and management initiatives whose value is often difficult to capture. While performance measurement is still evolving in principle and in practice, leading organizations have pinpointed a number of strategies that have proven useful in gauging the impact and benefits of their IT investments. These strategies are discussed below.

Engage internal and external stakeholders in defining and managing IT performance by

- ensuring that mission delivery and IT performance measures are integral to strategic management and decision-making processes; and
- establishing internal and external customer groups to periodically review, validate, and accept IT performance measures.


Ensure that processes are in place to balance business and technical measures by

- developing specific technical performance measures for IT products and services and balancing them with business-driven measures, and
- demonstrating that the performance measurement data generated are reliable and useful.


Establish an effective data collection and performance feedback process by

- developing well-designed performance data collection methods;
- establishing a limited set of outcome-based performance measures that link to mission outputs and outcomes; and
- utilizing concise, understandable performance reporting tools and techniques and conducting performance measurement reviews, as needed.

Critical Success Factors



Principles



Organizational Foci

<i>Participants</i>		
Senior executive management, especially the CEO	CIO peers and senior management	CIO organization
<i>Collaborators</i>		
CEO, CFO, COO	Senior executives and division heads	Client and CIO organizations

Critical Success Factor 3: Execute CIO Responsibilities

The CIO and supporting organization are ultimately responsible for successfully executing their role in the enterprise's mission. How central this role is to the strategic plans of the enterprise will depend on each of the first two critical success factors, but support from the top and all efforts to build credibility will be futile unless the CIO organization is run effectively. If the CIO organization is not able to execute its responsibilities, and if it is not able to play the critical role for which it has been developed, the corporation will learn to work around it, or it will be replaced.

There are many aspects to successfully organizing and running a CIO organization. However, this critical success factor, as well as the underlying principles, focuses on the elements that leading organizations believe are most central to the CIO's responsibility. Determination of the CIO organization structure must fall to the CIO, as he or she is the senior executive of that unit. Aligning the CIO organization with the needs of the enterprise is critical to the satisfaction of those needs. Communicating enterprise requirements to staff and making appropriate decisions to meet the needs of the enterprise are the responsibility of the CIO. As the CIO organization's representative in strategic decision-making forums, the CIO must be the translator of those strategies into CIO organization initiatives.

Along with technology, human capital is the central resource the CIO has to execute his or her responsibilities. While the CIO is accountable for and reviews technology decisions, staff from business areas may develop most of the investment proposals. Human capital plans for the information management and technology area are seen as the particular responsibility of the CIO. In the current IT environment, technology has become a commodity. The human capital involved in applying that technology to achieve the mission of the enterprise is a resource that requires the CIO's attention. The hiring, retention, and training of information management and technology personnel is seen by leading organizations as a fundamental principle of good CIO practice.

Principle V: Organize Information Resources to Meet Business Needs

“While the CIO is important, it is the operating environment for the entire organization that will make it successful.”

Key Characteristics

- The CIO organization has a clear understanding of its responsibilities in meeting business needs
- The extent of decentralization of information resources and decision-making is driven by business needs
- The structure of the CIO organization is flexible enough to adapt to changing business needs
- Outsourcing decisions are made based on business requirements and the CIO organization’s human capital strategy
- The CIO organization executes its responsibilities reliably and efficiently


Developing a CIO organization is an ongoing process that demands a clear understanding of the organization’s responsibility for helping meet business needs. This responsibility, along with parent business processes, market trends, internal legacy structures, and available IT skills, drives decisions as to the structure of the CIO organization and how the organization is aligned with the rest of the enterprise. Ultimately, the CEO controls the assignment of information technology and management functions to the CIO, the CIO organization, and other organizational units. Once these decisions are made, the CIO organization must provide effective, responsive support through efficient allocation of resources and the day-to-day execution of its responsibilities. This principle examines the practices that leading organizations commonly use in establishing CIO organizations to effectively meet their mission needs.

Key Characteristics

It is the duty of a CIO to manage expectations and help ensure that all members of a CIO organization have a clear understanding of their responsibilities. In leading organizations, evolving business processes play a key role in determining how these information management responsibilities are structured and adapted to meet changing needs. We found that leading organizations quickly reallocate and make information resources available on a routine, sometimes daily or hourly basis to address changes in business processes. External factors, such as market trends, changing technology, and available skills as well as internal legacy structures and corporate ventures, also influence how a CIO organization is formed, aligned, and adjusted to help support the rest of an enterprise. For example, one organization that we studied had experienced two mergers that required the company to quickly integrate the new businesses and restructure to meet growing business needs. Human resources systems were consolidated and new corporate structures were quickly defined to ensure continued support to the enlarged customer base. The company remains prepared to restructure to meet ever-changing business requirements.

In lieu of establishing either completely centralized or decentralized CIO organizations, leading organizations manage their information resources through a combination of such structures. In this hybrid, the CEO assigns central control to a corporate CIO and supporting CIO organization, while delegating specific authority to each business unit for managing its own unique information management requirements. The corporate CIO and supporting CIO organization centrally formulate policies and standards for all IT-related activities. They also centrally manage architectures and a core set of infrastructure components to provide common IT services to the entire corporation. The corporate CIO works with CIOs or other information managers in each of the business units to ensure efficient, reliable, and interoperable technology for the entire corporation. The following figure illustrates traditional centralized and decentralized organizational structures, in comparison with the hybrid combination used by leading organizations today.

Figure 6: Comparison of Decentralized, Centralized, and Hybrid Structures



Leading organizations decide, as part of a sourcing strategy, whether to provide specific information technology and management services with in-house staff or external providers. An organization's sourcing strategy is part of a larger human capital development strategy, which is discussed in principle VI. The shortage of skilled IT workers in the current market environment is often a major reason for leading organizations to outsource. The CIO and decision-making authorities decide what type of work is appropriate to outsource and what type of work is best performed internally. Typically, leading organizations cultivate long-term skills such as contract management, project management, and security management, while outsourcing short-term skills such as application development. For example, one state capital that we visited is home to over 600 software companies. IT skills are in great demand which made hiring by the state difficult, so this CIO looked for alternatives to in-house software development and management. It made sense for the state to outsource tactical functions such as help desks and mainframe management.

On the other hand, there are responsibilities such as IT planning and oversight that must remain in-house. As the state contracts out more of its information technology and management functions, it is also essential that it have good contract management expertise.

Along with effective allocation of available resources, leading organizations execute their information management responsibilities reliably and efficiently. Technology is highly integrated with the business processes in these organizations because technology is viewed as an enabler for the business, not just a tool. The organizations make IT investment decisions based on business case analyses and return-on-investment projections. Consistent with a fundamental strategic information management approach, the organizations also focus on continuous process improvement. The organizations provide reliable information management capabilities on a daily basis, but also look to the future by pursuing new initiatives that show how technology can improve the business of tomorrow. For example, one state CIO that we interviewed said that his role was to identify enterprisewide and strategic initiatives to improve state information management. One initiative involved establishing strategic direction, guidelines, and standards for instituting electronic commerce in the state government. Electronic commerce was to be used for such activities as renewing licenses and paying taxes.

Leading organizations simplify projects by producing incremental deliverables that quickly show success and demonstrate the impact of effective CIO management while still focusing on long-term objectives. As discussed in principle III, carrying out successful projects is expected in leading organizations, and adds to the credibility of the CIO and the CIO organization.

Case Study: Organizing Information Resources to Meet Business Needs

Implementing a combination of centralized and decentralized information management enables leading organizations to effectively support their business operations. One leading organization implements a combination of centralized and decentralized IT and structures to best meet the needs of its three diverse lines of business—an international services division, an international industry division, and a retail division. The organization uses a centralized IT infrastructure with decentralized development efforts to provide efficiency and security for its corporate customers. Efficiency is the number one priority of the organization in terms of dollars spent as well as technology performance.

The organization has CIOs in each of its three business units. Each business unit makes IT investment decisions based on business requirements and the technology available to support those requirements. For example, desktop platforms and software vary among business units depending on the unique needs of each business area. The work in the business units is all performed in-house and is not outsourced, as business expertise is considered a core competency. The business CIOs work together to determine how IT can be used to reach customers across business lines. The international services and international industry divisions have some common requirements based on the international nature of the two divisions. The international industry division and the retail division have common requirements based on the specific industry. The CIOs of these divisions work together, leveraging opportunities for shared IT products and services so that each unit can invest fewer dollars to accommodate common needs.

The corporate IT organization's role is to aggregate needs across business units and provide solutions that can be integrated to serve the entire corporation. Common components of each business area include data centers, human resources, payroll, a financial architecture, and a common desktop environment. Standard processes and tools, such as contingency planning, interdependency identification, protocols, and risk management, are used to coordinate multiple business areas. These standard utilities evolved through two corporate mergers and are now institutionalized across the corporation. The mergers required that the organization quickly adopt new organizational structures and address new business requirements. The standard processes and policies developed, applied, and improved as a result of the mergers provide this organization with the flexibility it needs to adapt to future changes in responsibility.

This organization is constantly looking to improve its IT investment processes. All technology investments are justified using business case analyses. The decision-making process for establishing business cases recently evolved to include competitive needs. Competitive needs were not considered when this organization initially postponed electronic commerce initiatives due to low return-on-investment projections. The organization fell behind the competition in providing this service and the delay may have cost it customers. As a result, competitive needs are now considered part of the decision-making process.

Strategies to Consider

An effective CIO organization is a dynamic structure, responding not only to business, mission, and cultural requirements, but also to rapidly changing technologies, elusive skills, and competing resources in the external market environment. Leading organizations recognize the myriad forces driving their IT capabilities. The following is an outline of the strategies that these organizations consider in deciding how to effectively structure, source, and execute their technology management operations.

Create a clear understanding of responsibilities within the organization by

- articulating a common description of responsibilities to all levels of the CIO organization, and
- assigning responsibilities to parts of the organization based on skills and organizational structure.

Use a combination of centralized and decentralized organizational structures by

- centrally formulating policy and standards for all IT-related activities, and providing common IT services through a centrally managed infrastructure; and
- delegating authority to the business units to manage individual information management requirements.

Create an adaptable organizational structure by

- redeploying internal resources to quickly address changing business and customer requirements.

Select appropriate sourcing strategies by

- considering outsourcing noncore responsibilities to address the shortage of skilled IT workers in the current market environment, and
- keeping core competencies in-house.

Execute CIO responsibilities efficiently by

- providing reliable and efficient IT services and products,
- making IT investment decisions based on business case analyses and return-on-investment, projections, and
- producing incremental deliverables to demonstrate results while still focusing on long-term objectives.

Principle VI: Develop Information Management Human Capital

“Providing good benefits packages and building core competencies are other ways of attracting, stimulating, and retaining IT workers—especially among today’s ‘self-preservation-minded generation-Xers.’”

Key Characteristics


- The CIO organization identifies the skills necessary to effectively implement IT in line with business needs
- The CIO organization develops innovative ways to attract and retain talent
- The CIO organization provides training, tools, and methods for skilled IT professionals to use in performing their duties

As is true with the other principles, the business requirements of an enterprise drive decisions related to the specific types of resources needed to implement technology successfully. External market forces and internal legacies influence the types of skills available to a CIO organization. Given these realities, the CIO organization must provide an effective, responsive IT workforce to help accomplish missions and goals. This principle discusses the strategies that leading organizations use to assess their skill bases and attract, recruit, and retain IT professionals.

Key Characteristics

Leading organizations develop human capital strategies to assess their skill bases and recruit and retain staff who can effectively implement technology to meet business needs. Figure 7 provides an overview of the strategy that leading organizations use to secure information management human capital.

Figure 7: Strategy for Securing Human Capital in Leading Organizations



Leading organizations assess their IT skills on an ongoing basis to determine what expertise is needed to meet current responsibilities and support future initiatives. They can evaluate the skills of their employees using methods provided by entities such as Carnegie Mellon University’s Software Engineering Institute⁶ and the Information

⁶ Curtis B. Hefley, W.E. & Miller, S. (1995). People Capability Maturity Model. [Technical Report CMU/SEI-95-MM-02]. Pittsburgh, PA: Software Engineering Institute, Carnegie Mellon University.

Technology Association of America. Needed skills are compared with existing capabilities in the organization to determine gaps in the IT skills base. For example, the state university at one of our case study locations had conducted a study revealing continuing gaps in the state's ability to recruit and retain IT workers vis-à-vis industry. In response to the governor's initiative to expand the state's IT workforce, proposals were made for a program to recruit and fund college and university students willing to study technology management as a prelude to becoming part of the state government's labor force. Strengthening the skills and capabilities of IT professionals through training and innovative hiring practices is part of a formula for building information technology and management capabilities.

Leading organizations sometimes use surveys that compare missing capabilities with market availability to determine what skills to acquire through hired professionals. When professionals with the necessary skills cannot be hired, these organizations supplement the existing workforce with external information resources. More specifically, they cultivate expertise in their internal workforces, while outsourcing skills that are available from multiple sources at lower cost. Leading organizations may even choose to replace labor with technology when they cannot hire the skilled professionals they need. Core information management functions include project management, security management, and contract management practices that can apply to a variety of projects. The various staffing and sourcing strategies provide leading organizations with dynamic workforces that can quickly carry out these functions to meet changing business needs.

Studies forecast an ever-increasing shortage of IT professionals, presenting a great challenge for both industry and the federal government. Organizations are finding it difficult to retain staff when their competitors can always offer higher salaries. For example, one state government CIO organization that we studied experienced a 22 percent turnover in IT professionals. Despite having higher technology wages than any other state in the country, the state remains at a disadvantage in competing with industry and must rely on alternative strategies and incentives to attract and retain skilled workers.

While benefits, recognition, and challenging responsibilities are also useful in securing staff, leading organizations identify training as a major nonsalary incentive for attracting and retaining skilled IT professionals. Leading organizations dedicate an increasing percentage of their IT budgets to training. Sometimes such funds are devoted to retraining existing nontechnical personnel to supply them with IT expertise. For example, one industry case study organization sponsors a 3-month course to retrain about 2,000 legacy employees in project management skills. The company also offers a range of formal classroom training, less formal workshops, and informal mentoring programs. Given the change management environment for IT in this company, staff always wants and needs to be in a learning mode. Similarly, another industry CIO told us that he provides IT training through a program that pays new employees 50 percent of their salaries while they attend school. Upon completion of training, the employees each earn 75 percent of their salary during an initial performance evaluation period, and full salary at the end of that period.

While managers in leading organizations are accountable for creating opportunities for their employees' training, individual staff are responsible for taking advantage of those opportunities. In general, leading organizations provide training as part of a changing high-tech work environment that includes state-of-the-art tools and methods allowing skilled IT workers to perform their jobs to the best of their ability.

We identified additional strategies that leading organizations use to enhance their information management workforces. Specifically, these organizations bring in employees with desirable skills from across the enterprise to work in conjunction with IT professionals, thereby maximizing the capability of their technical resources. These employees make up cross-functional teams that provide an appropriate mix of business expertise and IT skills to accomplish the various tasks of a project. Working together, the members reflect the interests of not just information technology and management, but the user community and the project's stakeholders, and provide a holistic blend of technical, project management, value management, budget, finance, and procurement skills and capabilities to meet mission needs.

Case Study: Developing Information Management Human Capital

Using a variety of staffing and sourcing strategies provides leading organizations with dynamic workforces that can quickly meet changing business needs. One leading company's CIO said that recruiting information management workers with special skills in areas such as data networks and systems administration is extremely competitive. To be successful in recruiting, his organization has devised different offer packages to attract employees. A package might include accelerated salary schedules or stock options. Once hired, the company sends these employees back to college for IT training and invests in them. This CIO acknowledges, however, that his company does not spend enough on training. Currently, approximately 1 percent of the IT operating budget is devoted to training.

This organization also provides training to new employees through a program that pays 50 percent of the employee's salary while he or she attends school. Upon completion of training, the employee enters a performance evaluation period during which the employee earns 75 percent of his or her salary. After successfully completing the performance evaluation period, the employee then begins earning a full salary.

The organization increased its salary base to compete with other companies in retaining and attracting talented information management workers. Besides salaries, company managers view a good working environment and awards and recognition as essential for retaining employees. Recently, the CIO took over 400 employees and guests to a five-star hotel for an evening out to celebrate the group's accomplishments. When senior managers appreciate business accomplishments, they are willing to spend funds for staff recognition. This CIO admits that his IT workers are constantly asked to work long hours and undergo a lot of stress though they get little in return for the amount of work they do.

This CIO believes that money is not the only motivator for IT staff. He feels that an important element to managing staff is finding ways to recognize individuals and say "thank you." When the thank you comes from inside the organization, it goes a long way. The CIO uses different means to show his appreciation. For instance, his staff publishes a monthly appreciation newsletter through the Intranet. The CIO believes there is big payoff in these types of activities and the costs are minimal, if any. The CIO also views time off as a good incentive bonus because it does not cost the company very much. Other incentives his group has undertaken include taking staff out for lunch or handing out \$100 American Express checks. In today's environment, organizations have to be creative. One of the managers created a thank you toolkit to show her appreciation to her staff. The CIO states, "If you don't have a lot of money to spend, you have to ask yourself, what are the little things you can do to show your appreciation."

Strategies to Consider

Given the increasing shortage of IT professionals in the current market environment, securing an effective, responsive technology management workforce is a challenging task for both business and government organizations alike. Leading organizations have identified the following strategies that help in assessing their IT skills and recruiting, retaining, and utilizing talent to meet their business needs. These organizations consider and apply the various strategies as appropriate within the organizational, financial, and cultural parameters of their individual business and government enterprises.

Assess the skill base by

- determining expertise needed to perform information management responsibilities, and
- identifying gaps between skills available and skills needed.

Identify innovative ways to attract talent by

- providing good benefits packages, and
- building core competencies.

Provide training, tools, and methods to help retain expertise, including

- directing an increasing percentage of the budget to fund training,
- evaluating staff to make sure they are achieving the desired technical skills,
- holding managers accountable for providing training opportunities for their staffs, and
- providing a high-tech environment of tools and methodologies for skilled IT professionals.

Employ alternative methods and sources for supplying talent, including

- outsourcing and supplementing the existing workforce with external expertise,
- bringing in employees with desirable skills from across the enterprise to work with and help maximize the capability of information technology and management professionals, and
- replacing labor with technology.

Using This Guide

The principles and practices we developed based on our interviews with leading organizations in the private sector and state government have enabled us to construct a framework to guide federal CIO organizations. In our discussions with about half of the CIOs of major federal departments and agencies and five CIOs of small federal agencies, we found that they generally agree with the leading organizations on the fundamental management principles for information management and technology. At the same time, we found that the practices used by federal CIOs tend to differ from those used by leading organizations. We did not study the reasons for these deviations specifically, although some likely result from the context in which federal CIOs operate. Both operational and structural aspects of the CIO's environment can vary significantly between the public sector and the private sector.

Rather than dwell on differences, it is more useful to focus on the considerable common ground between public and private CIO organizations to build efforts for improvement. The specific key conditions and strategies described in this guide can be used as suggestions for federal CIOs to apply or adapt to their environments, as appropriate. More generally, the key conditions and strategies can be thought of as addressing specific aspects of the six primary principles, which CIOs from all sectors agree are critical to the successful execution of their responsibilities and realization of the potential benefits of information technology investments. Taken as areas of focus, these aspects may be evaluated by federal CIO organizations and tackled using techniques suited to their situations. Recognition of the differences described above, as well as others, should influence the application of advice provided in this guide. But the advice of CIOs of leading organizations should remain relevant regardless of the specifics of the situation.

The ideas presented in this guide may also provide the foundation for further discussion within the federal CIO community. Many federal CIOs, in the normal course of their own efforts, have already begun working along the lines of the advice provided in this guide. These CIOs have gained valuable insights into applying the practices of leading organizations to the federal sector. The CIO Council, or other organizations of federal CIOs, can create an opportunity for sharing these experiences, using the principles described in this guide as an organizing framework. The challenge of understanding how the federal context influences the effectiveness of the principle may be best met with support from managers who work in the same context.

In addition, the specific key conditions and strategies described in this guide will provide insight when considering areas of future study. For example, specific principles may be investigated more deeply and strategies for implementing a principle, such as developing information technology human capital, may be proposed in more detail. Or those aspects of the federal CIO environment that constrain the federal CIO flexibility and hinder the ability to perform effectively may be examined more closely, and specific strategies to cope with those aspects may be proposed. Understanding how CIOs of leading organizations approach their work, and acknowledging those aspects of the federal CIO environment that limit the ability to implement similar strategies, may prompt congressional and executive board discussions about the need for future legislation and policy changes.

A few dimensions in which the federal and private sector can differ are described below. These examples largely stem from the nature of the public sector in which federal CIOs operate. Many of these examples were mentioned by federal CIOs interviewed for this guide. However, the extent to which the differences create additional constraints on the CIOs depends on how they and agency leaders respond to them.

- Senior executive management in the federal sector can differ significantly from the private sector. The agency head is a political appointee who often is more focused on policy issues than on internal management and operations. This can deny the CIO the “CEO” support that is so critical for the successful integration of information technology into business or mission functions.
- The budget decision-making process used for information technology projects can present particular challenges for the federal CIO not found in the private sector. For example, legislative actions, such as tax law changes and Medicare payment process changes, may require extensive system modifications, and the CIO does not have the flexibility to decide whether or not to pursue them. This ties up resources that might otherwise have been expended differently. In fact, mandated projects often must be funded by money that had been planned for other projects. Long-term investment strategies are difficult because agencies are asked to put together funding requests 18-24 months in advance of funding availability. In addition, IT funds may be contained within the appropriations for a specific program or an overall administrative budget, making them less visible and, if part of discretionary spending, more subject to volatile changes in the federal budget. As a result, the CIO may not have control or direct oversight over much of the IT funding within the agency.
- Personnel decisions in the federal sector are often constrained due to work rules or organizational factors. Current information management job descriptions do not match the occupations recognized in the industry today. Training funds are often limited due to larger budget considerations. Recently, the Office of Personnel Management (OPM) found salaries in the federal government to be lower than in the private sector. On November 3, 2000 OPM implemented a governmentwide policy increasing salaries in several IT categories in an effort to make federal employment more competitive. Because this policy was recently implemented, we cannot yet assess the impact of these changes on federal employment practices.
- The federal CIO may direct an organizational structure in which duties that would typically be a CIO’s responsibility in the private sector are not under his or her direction at all. For example, some federal CIOs are in charge of large policy and oversight functions with little operational responsibility. While this may be an appropriate model, it is critical that any model be matched with the overall needs of the agency in mind.

- The range of responsibilities, as defined by legislation, that accrue to the CIO are very broad in the federal sector, including areas such as records management and Freedom of Information Act requirements, for which there is little parallel in the private sector. While federal CIOs often may not have operational authority for the full range of responsibilities in the legislation, they and their agencies are still subject to oversight by the Congress in many of these areas.

Though the environment faced by a CIO in the federal sector clearly differs from that of CIOs in other contexts, the principles that form the basis for this guide remain relevant. The underlying principles were observed consistently in our sample of leading organizations, and were cited as being critical to the success of their CIOs. Federal CIOs can learn from the successes of these leading organizations and can apply the principles as appropriate in their own organizations. In addition, agency heads and other senior leaders in the federal government can gain an understanding of their roles in executing the critical success factors that must be addressed as CIOs work to meet the letter and intent of the Clinger-Cohen Act and related legislation.

Appendix I

Federal Legislation Affecting Information Management

Federal Financial Management Improvement Act of 1996 (Public Law 104-208) – This Act requires that agency financial management systems comply with federal financial management system requirements, applicable federal accounting standards, and the *U.S. Government Standard General Ledger* (SGL) in order to provide uniform, reliable, and more useful financial information. The act requires that auditors for each of the 24 departments and agencies named in the CFO Act report, as part of their annual audits of the agencies’ financial statements, whether the agencies’ financial management systems comply substantially with federal financial management systems requirements, applicable federal accounting standards, and SGL at the transaction level. The act also requires that GAO report on its implementation annually.

Clinger-Cohen Act of 1996 (Public Law 104-106) – This law is intended to improve the productivity, efficiency, and effectiveness of federal programs through the improved acquisition, use, and disposal of IT resources. Among other provisions, it (1) encourages federal agencies to evaluate and adopt best management and acquisition practices used by both private and public sector organizations, (2) requires agencies to base decisions about IT investments on quantitative and qualitative factors associated with the costs, benefits, and risks of those investments and to use performance data to demonstrate how well the IT expenditures support improvements to agency programs, through measurements such as reduced costs, improved employee productivity, and higher customer satisfaction, and (3) requires executive agencies to appoint CIOs to carry out the IT management provisions of the act and the broader information resources management requirements of the Paperwork Reduction Act. The Clinger-Cohen Act also streamlines the IT acquisition process by eliminating the General Services Administration’s central acquisition authority, placing procurement responsibility directly with federal agencies, and encouraging the adoption of smaller, modular IT acquisition projects.

Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA) of 1995 (Public Law 104-13) – PRA applies life cycle management principles to information management and focuses on reducing the government’s information-collection burden. To this end, PRA designated senior information resources manager positions in the major departments and agencies with responsibility for a wide range of functions. PRA also created the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs within the OMB to provide central oversight of information management activities across the federal government.

Government Management Reform Act of 1994 (Public Law 103-356) – This legislation expands the requirement for a fully audited financial statement under the CFO Act to 24 agencies and components of federal entities designated by the Office of Management and Budget. The act requires the Department of the Treasury to produce a consolidated financial statement for the federal government, which GAO is to audit annually.

Federal Acquisition Streamlining Act of 1994 (FASA) (Public Law 103-355) – This law requires agencies to define cost, schedule, and performance goals for federal acquisition programs (to include IT projects) and monitor these programs to ensure that they remain within prescribed tolerances. If a program falls out of tolerance, FASA requires the agency head to review, take necessary actions, and, if necessary, terminate the program.

Government Performance and Results Act (GPRA) of 1993, Public Law 103-62 – GPRA requires agencies to prepare multiyear strategic plans that describe mission goals and methods for reaching them. The act requires agencies to develop annual performance plans that OMB uses to prepare a federal performance plan that is submitted to the Congress along with the President’s annual budget submission. The agency plans must establish measurable goals for program activities and describe the methods by which performance toward those goals will be measured. The act also requires agencies to prepare annual program performance reports to review progress toward annual performance goals

Chief Financial Officers (CFO) Act of 1990 (Public Law 101-576) – The CFO Act provides a framework for improving federal government financial systems. It centralizes within OMB, through the Deputy Director for Management and the Office of Federal Financial Management, the establishment and oversight of federal financial management policies and practices and requires OMB to prepare and submit to Congress a governmentwide, 5-year financial management plan. The act also requires the 24 major agencies to have CFOs and deputy CFOs and lays out their authorities and functions. Further, the act sets up a series of pilot audits under which certain agencies are required to prepare agencywide financial statements and subject them to audit by the agencies’ inspectors general.

Computer Security Act of 1987 (Public Law 100-235, as amended by Public Law 104-106) – This law addresses the importance of ensuring and improving the security and privacy of sensitive information in federal computer systems. The act requires that the National Institute of Standards and Technology develop standards and guidelines for computer systems to control loss and unauthorized modification or disclosure of sensitive information and to prevent computer-related fraud and misuse. The act also requires that all operators of federal computer systems, including both federal agencies and their contractors, establish security plans.

Federal Managers' Financial Integrity Act (FMFIA) of 1982 (Public Law 97-255) – FMFIA requires agencies to establish internal accounting and administrative controls in compliance with standards established by the Comptroller General. The act also requires that OMB establish, in consultation with the Comptroller General, guidelines that the agencies shall follow in evaluating their systems of internal accounting and administrative controls.

government Information Security Reform (P.L. No. 106-398, Div. A, Title X, subtitle G) - This legislation amends 44 U.S.C. Chapter 35 by enacting a new subchapter on "Information Security." The Security Act requires the establishment of agency-wide information security programs, annual agency program reviews, annual independent evaluations of agency programs and practices, agency reporting to OMB, and OMB reporting to Congress. The Act covers programs for both unclassified and national security systems, but exempts agencies operating national security systems from OMB oversight. The Security Act is to be implemented consistent with the Computer Security Act.

Government Paperwork Elimination Act (GPEA) (P.L. No. 105-277, Div. C, Title XVII) - GPEA requires that by 2003 federal agencies provide, where practicable, for the option of submitting, maintaining, or disclosing information in electronic form as a substitute for paper, and for the use and acceptance of electronic signatures.

Privacy Act of 1974 (Public Law 93-579) – The Privacy Act protects the privacy of individuals identified in information systems maintained by federal agencies by regulating the collection, maintenance, use, and dissemination of information by such agencies.

Freedom of Information Act of 1966 (Public Law 89-554) – This law established the right of public access to government information by requiring agencies to make information accessible to the public, either through automatic disclosure or upon specific request, subject to specified exemptions.

Appendix II

Objectives, Scope, and Methodology

The objective of our research was to determine how several leading organizations have implemented their CIO positions and supporting management infrastructures. We were interested in identifying effective CIO management practices used across a variety of organization types and structures. In doing so, we also sought to develop specific case study information on how CIOs have helped improve the effectiveness of their organizations' business operations. We have used this information to develop suggested guidance to assist federal agencies in effectively integrating newly created CIO functions into their respective organizations.

We synthesized a great deal of literature and research on CIO organizations to provide ideas on effective practices in information technology and management. This body of knowledge served as a foundation for designing our project approach. We then conducted case studies at a number of private and public organizations. We have found that case studies provide an abundant source of information describing management practices and the intellectual background that led to the development of those practices. Case studies also provide the flexibility to pursue particularly rich avenues of inquiry as they develop during interviews. Finally, they are also an excellent means of communicating the essence of practices that have worked well by capturing the context as well as the specific practice.

We identified candidate organizations for our study based on awards and recognition from professional organizations and publications over the past several years. We conducted multi-day visits to organizations that agreed to participate in our study to learn

- each organization's approach to selecting, positioning, and defining the roles and responsibilities of its CIO;
- techniques for instituting IT policies and standards, managing technical personnel and financial resources, building customer/supplier relationships, and measuring the performance of IT organizations in meeting business needs; and
- strategies for promoting and facilitating business and organizational change through IT.

We visited three private and three public sector organizations recognized as leaders in successfully managing information and technology investments to create value and improve business performance. We selected private organizations across a range of dimensions, including type of business, number of employees, and revenues. All private organizations contacted had received recognition by professional organizations and publications, corporate executives, or independent researchers. Our selection of state organizations was based on recognition by professional publications, state CIOs, and the National Association of State Information Resource Executives (NASIRE). In particular, NASIRE awards recognition to states whose systems have made important contributions to the operations of state governments. The following organizations participated in our study:

- Commonwealth of Pennsylvania
- State of Texas
- State of Washington
- Chase Manhattan Bank
- General Motors Corporation
- J.C. Penney

We also interviewed the former CIO of the state of California and the current CIO at U.S. West Communications, although we did not conduct comprehensive case studies at these entities.

We conducted site visits to each participating organization and obtained supporting documentation, illustrations, and examples. During the visits, we interviewed the CIO, members of the senior executive team, IT managers, and other officials as identified by the host organization, to obtain their individual perspectives on information and technology management issues. Based on the documentation and interviews obtained from our site visits, we compared practices across organizations to identify innovative practices used by individual organizations as well as common practices used across the variety of organizations participating in our study.

We subsequently interviewed 50 percent of the Federal CIO Council members as a means of comparing federal CIO practices with our case study results and ensuring that practices used in the industry and state organizations also addressed the challenges found in the federal government. We selected a mix of federal organizations to visit, taking into consideration their various mission types (civilian, military, or regulatory), centralized and decentralized structures, and prior GAO study results. Further, we met with a panel of CIOs from five small federal agencies to determine whether the practices identified are also applicable across diverse organizational sizes (based on dimensions such as budget, personnel, etc.). These discussions, which are summarized in the section entitled “Current Federal CIO Environment,” helped us identify similarities and differences in the CIO management practices of federal versus leading organizations. The discussions have also enabled us to pinpoint areas where federal agencies can benefit from integrating the practices of such leading organizations in their respective organizations.

Our research was conducted from March through October 1999 and culminated in the issuance of an exposure draft in March 2000. Since March 2000 we received comments from a variety of organizations and individuals. Based on suggestions from the general public we have considered and made changes to the text where appropriate. General consensus of those providing input was that the CIO Guide represented leading practices and that the document was insightful and valuable.

Appendix III

Related GAO Documents

Information Security Risk Assessment: Practices of Leading Organizations (GAO/AIMD-00-33, November 1, 1999).

Executive Guide: Creating Value Through World-class Financial Management (GAO/AIMD-99-45, Exposure Draft, August 1999).

Executive Guide: Leading Practices in Capital Decision-Making (GAO/AIMD-99-32, December 1998).

Executive Guide: Information Security Management: Learning From Leading Organizations (GAO/AIMD-98-68, April 1998).

The Results Act: An Evaluator's Guide to Assessing Agency Annual Performance Plans (GAO/GGD-10.1.20, Version 1, April 1998).

Executive Guide: Measuring Performance and Demonstrating Results of Information Technology Investments (GAO/AIMD-98-89, March 1998).

Agencies' Annual Performance Plans Under the Results Act: An Assessment Guide to Facilitate Congressional Decisionmaking (GAO/GGD/AIMD-10.1.18, Version 1, February 1998).

Business Process Reengineering Assessment Guide (GAO/AIMD 10.1.15, Version 3, May 1997).

Agencies' Strategic Plans Under GPRA: Key Questions to Facilitate Congressional Review (GAO/GGD-10.1.16, Version 1, May 1997).

Assessing Risks and Returns: A Guide for Evaluating Federal Agencies' IT Investment Decision-Making (GAO/AIMD-10.1.13, Version 1, February 1997).

Executive Guide: Effectively Implementing the Government Performance and Results Act (GAO/GGD-96-118, June 1996).

Strategic Information Management (SIM) Self-Assessment Toolkit (Exposure Draft, Version 1.0, October 28, 1994).

Executive Guide: Improving Mission Performance Through Strategic Information Management and Technology (GAO/AIMD-94-115, May 1994).

Meeting the Government's Technology Challenge: Results of A GAO Symposium (GAO/IMTEC-90-23, February 1990).

Appendix IV

Selected CIO Resources

Professional Organizations

Association for Federal Information Resources Management: www.affirm.org

Chief Financial Officers Council: www.financenet.gov

Federal Chief Information Officers Council: www.cio.gov

Government Information Technology Services Board: www.gits.gov

Industry Advisory Council: www.iaonline.org

Information Systems Audit and Control Association and Foundation: www.iasca.org

Information Technology Association of America: www.itaa.org

Information Technology Resources Board: www.itrb.gov

International Federation of Accountants: www.ifac.org

National Association of State Information Resource Executives: www.nasire.org

Society for Information Management: www.simnet.org

Publications

Beyond Computing: www.beyondcomputingmag.com

CIO Magazine: www.cio.com

Federal Computer Week: www.fcw.com

Government Computer News: www.gcn.com

Government Executive: www.govexec.com

InformationWeek: www.informationweek.com

International Data Group: www.idg.com

Sloan Management Review: www.mitsloan.mit.edu/smr/index.html

Research Organizations

Forrester Research, Inc.: www.forrester.com

Foundation for Performance Measurement: www.fpm.com

Gartner Group: www.gartner.com

GIGA Information Group: www.gigaweb.com

International Data Corporation: www.idc.com

IT Governance Institute: www.itgoverance.org/itgi

META Group Inc.: www.metagroup.com

Yankee Group: www.yankeegroup.com

Federal Resources

Federal Acquisition Regulation: www.ARNet.gov/far/

Critical Infrastructure Assurance Office: www.caio.gov

Federal Computer Incident Response Capability: www.fedcirc.gov

Federal Information Processing Standards: www.itl.nist.gov

General Accounting Office: <http://www.gao.gov/>

GSA's Policyworks: www.policyworks.gov

IT Policy On-Ramp: www.itpolicy.gsa.gov

National Partnership for Reinventing Government: www.npr.gov

Office of Management and Budget Homepage: www.whitehouse.gov/omb

Other Resources

Chief Information Officer – Treasury Board of Canada: http://www.cio-dpi.gc.ca/home_e.html

Appendix V

Selected Books and Articles

“Best Practices in Improving IT Staff Competencies,” GIGA Information Group, December 1998.

Blodgett, Mindy, “The CIO Starter Kit: Ten Tools Every New CIO Needs to Succeed,” *CIO Magazine*, May 15, 1999.

Boar, Bernard H., *Practical Steps for Aligning Information Technology with Business Strategies: How to Achieve a Competitive Advantage* (John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, New York, 1994).

Boar, Bernard H., *Strategic Thinking for Information Technology* (John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, New York, 1996).

Bryson, John M., *Strategic Planning for Public and Nonprofit Organizations: A Guide to Strengthening and Sustaining Organizational Achievement* (Jossey-Bass Publishers, San Francisco, California, 1991).

Camp, Robert C., *Benchmarking: The Search for Industry Best Practices That Lead to Superior Performance* (ASQC Quality Press, New York, New York, 1989).

Cortada, James W., *Best Practices in Information Technology* (Prentice Hall PTR, Upper Saddle River, New Jersey, 1998).

Earl, Michael J., and Feeny, David F., “Does the CIO Add Value?” *Informationweek*, May 30, 1994.

Ferris, Nancy, “CIOs on the Go,” *Government Executive*, March 1999.

Government Executive Magazine/Price Waterhouse, *The Manager’s Edge* (National Journal Group, Washington, D.C., 1998).

Hubbard, Douglas, “The IT Measurement Inversion,” *CIO Enterprise*, April 15, 1999.

Mayor, Tracy, “Making a Federal Case of IT,” *CIO Magazine*, July 1, 1999.

Morin, Therese; Devansky, Ken; Little, Gard; and Petrun, Craig, *Information Leadership: A Guide for Government Executives* (PricewaterhouseCoopers, LLP, 1999).

Stephens, Charlotte S., *The Nature of Information Technology Managerial Work: The Work Life of Five Chief Information Officers* (Quorum Books, Westport, Connecticut, 1995).

Stuart, Anne, “The CIO Role: The New IS Role Models,” *CIO Magazine*, May 15, 1995.

Tapscott, Don and Caston, Art, *Paradigm Shift – The New Promise of Information Technology* (McGraw-Hill, Inc., New York, New York, 1993).

Wakin, Dr. Edward, "The Multifaceted CIO," *Beyond Computing*, May 1995.

Wang, Charles B., *Techno Vision II: Every Executive's Guide to Understanding and Mastering Technology and the Internet* (McGraw-Hill, Inc., New York, New York, 1997).

Weill, Peter and Broadbent, Marianne, *Leveraging the New Infrastructure: How Market Leaders Capitalize on Information Technology* (Harvard Business School Press, Boston, Massachusetts, 1998).

Woldring, Roelf, "Choosing the Right CIO," *Business Quarterly*, Spring 1996.

Wreden, Nick, "Executive Forum: Proving the Value of Technology," *Beyond Computing*, July/August 1998.

Appendix VI

Selected Information Management Reports and Guidance

An Analytical Framework for Capital Planning and Investment Control for Information Technology, U.S. General Services Administration, Office of Policy, Planning and Evaluation, Office of Information Technology, May 1996.

“Best IT Practices in the Federal Government,” CIO Council and IAC, October 1997.

Capital Programming Guide, Version 1.0, Supplement to Office of Management and Budget Circular A-11, Part 3: Planning, Budgeting, and Acquisition of Capital Assets, July 1997.

Evaluating Information Technology Investments: A Practical Guide, Version 1.0, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Information Policy and Technology Branch, Office of Management and Budget, November 1, 1995.

Federal Enterprise Architecture Framework, Version 1.1, Federal CIO Council, September 1999.

Federal Information Technology, Executive Order on ITMRA, The White House, July 17, 1996.

Federal IRM Training Roadmap: A Guide for Federal CIOs, (Draft), Federal CIO Council, Education and Training Committee, January 1999

Funding Information Systems Investments, M-97-02, Office of Management and Budget, October 25, 1996.

IAC / CIO Task Force Draft Report, Industry Advisory Council, July 9, 1996.

Implementing Best Practices: Strategies at Work, Federal CIO Council, Capital Planning and IT Investment Committee, June 1998.

Implementing Capital Planning and Information Technology Investment Processes: An Assessment, Federal CIO Council, Capital Planning and IT Investment Committee, Best Practices Subcommittee, May 29, 1998.

“Major System Acquisitions,” Circular No. A-109, Office of Management and Budget, April 5, 1976.

Management of Federal Information Resources, Circular No. A-130, Revised, Office of Management and Budget, February 8, 1996.

Meeting the Federal IT Workforce Challenge, Federal CIO Council, Education and Training Committee, June 1999.

Preparation and Submission of Budget Estimates, Circular No. A-11, Revised, Office of Management and Budget, June 23, 1997.

ROI and the Value Puzzle, Federal CIO Council, Capital Planning and IT Investment Committee, April 1999.

Strategic Plan, Federal CIO Council, Fiscal Year 2000.

The Federal Chief Information Officer: Fourth Annual Top Ten Challenges Survey, Association for Federal Information Resources Management, December 1999.

The Impact of Change: Clinger-Cohen Act Implementation, Laying the Foundation for Year 2000 and Beyond, Eighth Annual ITAA Survey of Federal CIOs, December 1997.

Appendix VII

Project Adviser Acknowledgments

We would like to acknowledge the following individuals whose advice and assistance throughout this project have been invaluable.

Dr. Lynda McDonald Applegate
Professor of Business Administration
Harvard Business School

Thomas V. Fritz
President & Chief Executive Officer
Private Sector Council

Laraine Rodgers
Vice President
Emerald Solutions

Paul Rummell
Senior Partner
KPMG Consulting

(310400)

Ordering Information

The first copy of each GAO report is free. Additional copies of reports are \$2 each. A check or money order should be made out to the Superintendent of Documents. VISA and MasterCard credit cards are accepted, also.

Orders for 100 or more copies to be mailed to a single address are discounted 25 percent.

Orders by mail:

U.S. General Accounting Office
P.O. Box 37050
Washington, DC 20013

Orders by visiting:

Room 1100
700 4th St. NW (corner of 4th and G Sts. NW)
U.S. General Accounting Office
Washington, DC

Orders by phone:

(202) 512-6000
fax: (202) 512-6061
TDD (202) 512-2537

Each day, GAO issues a list of newly available reports and testimony. To receive facsimile copies of the daily list or any list from the past 30 days, please call (202) 512-6000 using a touchtone phone. A recorded menu will provide information on how to obtain these lists.

Orders by Internet:

For information on how to access GAO reports on the Internet, send an e-mail message with "info" in the body to:

info@www.gao.gov

or visit GAO's World Wide Web home page at:

<http://www.gao.gov>

To Report Fraud, Waste, or Abuse in Federal Programs

Contact one:

- Web site: <http://www.gao.gov/fraudnet/fraudnet.htm>
- e-mail: fraudnet@gao.gov
- 1-800-424-5454 (automated answering system)