## **ISSUES IN LITIGATING HOSPITAL MERGERS**

Presentation to FTC/DOJ Hearings on Health Care and Competition Law and Policy

> Robert F. Leibenluft Hogan & Hartson L.L.P. March 29, 2003

> > 1



### **Presentation overview**

- Background, biases and caveats
- What explains the government losing streak?
- What should the enforcers do? Some modest suggestions



# What explains the government losing streak?

- Cases raise extremely difficult "traditional" antitrust issues
- "Litigation risk" increased by several factors
  - Non-profit status overlay
  - Underlying skepticism about antitrust and health care



– "Home court" disadvantage

## Difficult "traditional" antitrust issues



## **Geographic market**

- Catch-22 of "dynamic analysis"
  - "Hard facts," such as patient flow data, reflect only historical evidence
  - Future-oriented look tends to be speculative and anecdotal
- Rigid application of E-H tests
- Critical loss analysis usually suggests
  very broad markets



### **Product market**

- Competition at the "low end" from freestanding centers and doctors' offices
- Competition at the "high end" from regional referral centers
- Single specialty hospitals
- "Anchor" hospitals



## **Competitive effects**

- At issue (subject to price competition) is only a small minority of hospital patients
- Role of health plans, employers and consumers complicates the competitive story
- Analysis tends to ignore much of the focus of hospital competition, which is on doctors, quality, and expanding scope of services and technology
- Hospitals' pledge to limit price increases can dull apparent need for enforcement action



### Efficiencies

- Widespread perception that consolidation could address inefficiencies and overbedding
- The "Medical Arms" race



## **Increased "litigation risk"**



## **Non-profit status**

- Perception that nonprofits act differently
- Nonprofit hospitals often are highlyregarded locally
- Some empirical research suggests nonprofits behave differently than for-profits
- Not all nonprofits are alike



## Skepticism about antitrust and health care

- Common belief that health care is "different"
- Locally controlled non-profits, in particular, are perceived to be different
- Skepticism that competition in health care will necessarily result in best quality/price for consumers
- "Managed care backlash" against likely complainants (health plans)



### Home court disadvantage

#### • Unlike with most merger challenges

- Typical hospital PI case will be tried in the backyard of the merging parties
- Judge is likely to have first-hand experience with product at issue, and may have high regard for local community hospitals
- Local judges typically have little experience with merger law or sophisticated antitrust/economic analysis



### What should the enforcers do?

#### **Eleven modest suggestions.**



## 1. Do not abandon the field

- In the absence of state regulation, competitive markets are essential
- State enforcers and private litigation cannot fill the void
- Vigilant enforcement even with relatively few cases – can provide an important sentinel effect



# 2. Do not underestimate complexities to be analyzed

- Extremely challenging to
  - Identify potential "problems"
  - Identify cases that the enforcers can win
- Staff and management must keep current on developments in the industry
- Staff and management must push hard on theories and evidence
- Do not try to fight the "last war"



# 3. Build – and retain – relevant agency expertise

- Among lawyers
- Among economists
- Outside consultants



# 4. Increase communication with health plans and employers

- Key to identifying problem areas
- Key to developing crucial evidence



# **5. Increase communications** with the hospital community

- Crucial to fully understanding hospital competition
- Important to explain agency mission



# 6. Increase communications with other government entities

- These include payers
  - Medicare; Medicaid and other government payers
  - They play key roles in hospital behavior
  - Changes in government policy may affect importance of competitive markets
- Agency for Health Research and Quality
- Also important for FTC and DOJ to coordinate with each other



## 7. Continue research agenda

- Vital to both understanding and explaining competition issues and possible enforcement actions
- Collaborate with health service researchers
- Issues include
  - Market definition
  - Characteristics of nonprofits
  - Nature of hospital competition
  - Ability to achieve efficiencies
  - **FTC/DOJ Hearings are an excellent start**



## 8. Take into account non-price issues

- Quality competition
- Competition for physicians
- Competition involving new technology and expanded services



### 9. Work with state enforcers

- Local authorities have "ear to the ground"
- Federal enforcers
  - Will almost certainly lose if opposed by state
    AG
  - Will be substantially strengthened by state AG support



# 10. Continue hospital merger retrospective

- Could be very informative, if
  - done in a methodologically sound way
  - results are publicly available
- Could lead to more informed government actions
- Could help provide guidance to private industry and practitioners



### 11. Choose battles very carefully

