
CMS Manual System

Pub. 100-08 Medicare Program Integrity

Department of Health & Human Services (DHHS)
Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)

Transmittal 59

Date: NOVEMBER 28, 2003

CHANGE REQUEST 2937

I. SUMMARY OF CHANGES:

NEW/REVISED MATERIAL - EFFECTIVE DATE: 1/1/2004

*IMPLEMENTATION DATE: 1/5/2004

Disclaimer: The revision date and transmittal number apply only to red italicized material. Any other material was previously published and remains unchanged.

II. SCHEDULE OF CHANGES (R = REVISED, N = NEW, D = DELETED)

R/N/D	CHAPTER/SECTION/SUBSECTION/TITLE
N	3/ 4.1.1/B-F/ Documentation Specifications for Areas Selected for Prepayment or Postpayment MR
R	5/1.1.2/ Written Orders

III. FUNDING: *Medicare contractors only:

These instructions should be implemented within your current operating budget.

IV. ATTACHMENTS:

X	Business Requirements
X	Manual Instruction
	Confidential Requirements
	One-Time Notification

Business Requirements

Pub. 100-8	Transmittal: 59	Date: November 28, 2003	Change Request 2937
------------	-----------------	-------------------------	---------------------

I. GENERAL INFORMATION

A. **Background:** N/A

B. **Policy:** N/A

C. **Provider Education:**

Contractors shall inform affected provider communities by posting either a summary or relevant portions of this instruction on their websites within two weeks of the issuance date of this instruction. In addition, this same information shall be published in your next regularly scheduled bulletin. If you have a listserv that targets the affected provider communities, you must use it to notify subscribers that information about signature requirements is available on your Web Site.

II. BUSINESS REQUIREMENTS

"Shall" denotes a mandatory requirement

"Should" denotes an optional requirement

Requirement #	Requirements	Responsibility
2937.1	The contractor shall not deny claims on the basis of type of signature type submitted, with an exception for Durable Medical Equipment Certificates of Medical Necessity.	Contractor

III. SUPPORTING INFORMATION AND POSSIBLE DESIGN CONSIDERATIONS

A. **Other Instructions:** N/A

X-Ref Requirement #	Instructions

B. **Design Considerations:** N/A

X-Ref Requirement #	Recommendation for Medicare System Requirements

C. **Interfaces:** N/A

D. Contractor Financial Reporting /Workload Impact: N/A

E. Dependencies: N/A

F. Testing Considerations:

IV. OTHER CHANGES

Citation	Change
N/A	

V. SCHEDULE, CONTACTS, AND FUNDING

Effective Date: 1/1/2004 Implementation Date: 1/5/2004 Pre-Implementation Contact(s): Dan Schwartz Post-Implementation Contact(s): Regional Offices	These instructions should be implemented within your current operating budget
--	--

Medicare Program Integrity Manual

Chapter 3 - Verifying Potential Errors and Taking Corrective Actions

Table of Contents *(Rev. 59, 11-28-03)*

- 1 – Introduction
 - 1.1 – Provider Tracking System (PTS)
 - 1.2 – Evaluating Effectiveness of Corrective Actions
- 2 – Verifying Potential Error and Setting Priorities
 - 2.1 – Determining Whether the Problem is Widespread or Provider Specific
 - 2.2 - Administrative Relief from Medical Review and Benefit Integrity in the Presence of a Disaster
- 3 – Provider Education
 - 3.1 – Provider Contacts By the BI Unit
 - 3.2 – Article
- 4 -- Overview of Prepayment and Postpayment Review for MR Purposes
 - 4.1 – Determinations Made During Prepayment and Postpayment MR
 - 4.1.1 -- Documentation Specifications for Areas Selected for Prepayment or Postpayment MR*
 - 4.1.2 – Additional Documentation Requests (ADR) During Prepayment or Postpayment MR
 - 4.1.3 – Completing Complex Reviews
 - 4.1.4– Handling Late Documentation
 - 4.2– Denials
 - 4.3 – Documenting That A Claim Should Be Denied
 - 4.4 – Internal MR Guidelines
 - 4.5 – Types of Prepayment and Postpayment Review
 - 4.6 - Spreading Workload Evenly
 - 4.7 - New Provider / New Benefit Monitoring
 - 4.8 - Review That Involves Utilization Parameters
- 5 – Prepayment Review of Claims For MR Purposes
 - 5.1 – Automated Prepayment Review
 - 5.1.1 – Prepayment Edits
 - 5.2 – Categories of MR Edits
 - 5.4 – CMS Mandated Edits
- 6 – Postpayment Review of Claims For MR Purposes
 - 6.1 – Postpayment Review Case Selection
 - 6.2 – Location of Postpayment Reviews
 - 6.3 – Re-adjudication of Claims
 - 6.4 – Calculation of the Correct payment Amount and Correct Subsequent Over/Underpayment
 - 6.5 – Notification of Provider(s) and Beneficiaries of the Postpayment Review Results
 - 6.6 – Provider(s) Rebuttal(s) of Findings

- 6.7 – Recovery of Overpayments
- 6.8 – Evaluation of the Effectiveness of Postpayment Review and Next Steps
- 6.9 – Postpayment Files
- 7.1 – Reversed Denials Pending Further Action by Law Enforcement
- 8 – Overpayment Procedures
 - 8.1 – Overpayment Assessment Procedures
 - 8.1.1 – Definition of Overpayment Assessment Terms
 - 8.2 – Assessing Overpayment When Review Was Based on SVRS
 - 8.3 – Assessing Overpayment or Potential Overpayment When Review Was Based on Limited Sample or Limited SVRS Sub-sample
 - 8.3.1 – Contractor Activities to Support Assessing Overpayment
 - 8.3.2 – Conduct of Expanded Review Based on SVRS and Recoupment of Projected Overpayment by Contractors
 - 8.3.3 – Consent Settlement Offer Based on Potential Projected Overpayment
 - 8.3.4 – Consent Settlement Budget and Performance Requirements (BPR)
 - 8.4 – Voluntary Repayment During an Active Fraud Investigation
 - 8.4.1 – Procedures for the Benefit Integrity (BI) and Medical Review (MR) Units on Unsolicited/Voluntary Refund Checks
 - 8.5 – Coordination with Audit and Reimbursement Staff
- 9 – Suspension of Payment
 - 9.1 – When Suspension of Payment May Be Used
 - 9.1.1 – Fraud or Willful Misrepresentation Exists – Fraud Suspensions
 - 9.1.2 – Overpayment Exists But the Amount is Not Determined – General Suspensions
 - 9.1.3 – Payments to be Made May Not be Correct – General Suspensions
 - 9.1.4 – Provider Fails to Furnish Records and Other Requested Information – General Suspensions
 - 9.2 – Procedures for Implementing Suspension of Payment
 - 9.2.1 – CMS Approval
 - 9.2.2 – The Notice of Intent to Suspend
 - 9.2.2.1 – Prior Notice Versus Concurrent Notice
 - 9.2.2.2 – Content of Notice
 - 9.2.2.3 – Shortening the Notice Period for Cause
 - 9.2.2.4 – Mailing the Notice to the Provider
 - 9.2.2.5 – Opportunity for Rebuttal
 - 9.2.3 – Claims Review and Case Development During the Suspension Period
 - 9.2.3.1 – Claims Review
 - 9.2.3.2 – Case Development
 - 9.2.4 – Duration of Suspension of Payment
 - 9.2.5 – Removing the Suspension
 - 9.2.6 – Disposition of the Suspension
 - 9.2.7 – Contractor Suspects Additional Improper Claims
 - 9.3 – Suspension Process for Multi-Region Issues
 - 9.3.1 – DMERCs
 - 9.3.2 – Other Multi-Regional Contractors
- 10 – Referral of Cases to Other Entities for Action

10.1 – Referral of Cases to OIG/OI

10.1.1 – Referral of Potential Fraud Cases Involving Railroad Retirement Beneficiaries

10.1.2 – Cases Requiring Immediate Referral to OIG/OI

10.1.3 – Contractor Actions When Cases Are Referred to and Accepted by OIG/OI

10.1.3.1 – Suspension

10.1.3.2 – Denial of Payments for Cases Referred to and Accepted by OIG/OI

10.1.3.3 – Recoupment of Overpayments

10.1.4 – OIG/OI Case Summary and Referral

10.1.5 – Actions to be Taken When A Fraud Case is Refused by OIG/OI

10.1.5.1 – Continue to Monitor Provider and Document Case File

10.1.5.2 – Take Administrative Action on Cases Referred to and Refused by OIG/OI

10.1.5.3 – Refer to Other Law Enforcement Agencies

10.2 – Referral to State Agencies or Other Organizations

10.3 – Referral to PROs

11 – Administrative Sanctions

11.1 – The Contractor’s Role

11.2 – Authority to Exclude Practitioners, Providers, and Suppliers of Services

11.2.1 – Basis for Exclusion Under §1128(b)(6) of the Act

11.2.2 – Identification of Potential Exclusion Cases

11.2.3 – Development of Potential Exclusion Cases

11.2.4 – Contents of Sanction Recommendation

11.2.5 – Notice of Administrative Sanction Action

11.2.5.1 – Notification to Other Agencies

11.2.6 – Denial of Payment to an Excluded Party

11.2.6.1 – Denial of Payment to Employer of Excluded Physician

11.2.6.2 – Denial of Payment to Beneficiaries and Others

11.3 – Appeals Process

11.4 – Reinstatements

11.4.1 – Monthly Notification of Sanction Actions

12 – Civil Monetary Penalties Law (CMPL)

12.1 – Background

12.1.1 – Basis of Authority

12.1.2 – Purpose

12.1.3 – Enforcement

12.1.4 – Administrative Actions

12.1.5 – Documents

12.2 – CMP Authorities

12.2.1 – CMPs Delegated to CMS

12.2.2 – CMPs Delegated to OIG

12.3 – Referral Process

12.3.1 – Referral Process to CMS

12.3.2 – Referrals to OIG

12.4 – CMS Generic CMP Case Contents

12.5 – Additional Guidance for Specific CMPs

12.5.1 – Beneficiary Right to Itemized Statement

12.5.2 – Medicare Limiting Charge Violations

13 – Monitor Compliance

13.1 – Resumption of Payment to A Provider –Continued Surveillance After
Detection of Fraud

4.1.1 -- Documentation Specifications for Areas Selected for Prepayment or Postpayment MR

(Rev. 59, 11-28-03)

The contractor may use any information they deem necessary to make a prepayment or postpayment claim review determination. This includes reviewing any documentation submitted with the claim as well as soliciting documentation from the provider or other entity when the contractor deems it necessary and in accordance with PIM Chapter 3, Section 4.1.2.

A -- Review of Documentation Submitted with the Claim

If a claim targeted for prepayment or postpayment review (including automated, routine, or complex) contains a modifier indicating that additional documentation is attached or was submitted simultaneously with an electronic claim, the contractor must review the documentation before denying the claim. There are two exceptions to this rule. Contractors may deny without reviewing attached or simultaneously submitted documentation (1) when clear policy serves as the basis for denial, and (2) in instances of medical impossibility (see PIM Chapter 3, §5.1).

NOTE: The term "clear policy" means a statute, regulation, NCD, coverage provision in an interpretive manual, or LMRP specifies the circumstances under which a service will always be considered non-covered or incorrectly coded. Clear policy that will be used as the basis for frequency denials must contain utilization guidelines that the contractor considers acceptable for coverage.

B -- Signature Requirements

Medicare requires a legible identity for services provided/ordered. The method used (e.g. hand written, electronic, or signature stamp) to sign an order or other medical record documentation for medical review purposes in determining coverage is not a relevant factor. Rather, an indication of a signature in some form needs to be present. Do not deny a claim on the sole basis of type of signature submitted.

Providers using alternative signature methods (e.g. a signature stamp) should recognize that there is a potential for misuse or abuse with a signature stamp or other alternate signature methods. For example, a rubber stamped signature is much less secure than other modes of signature identification. The individual whose name is on the alternate signature method bears the responsibility for the authenticity of the information being

attested to. Physicians should check with their attorneys and malpractice insurers in regard to the use of alternative signature methods.

All State licensure and State practice regulations continue to apply. Where State law is more restrictive than Medicare, the contractor needs to apply the State law standard. The signature requirements described here do not assure compliance with Medicare conditions of participation.

Note that this instruction does not supersede the prohibition for Certificates of Medical Necessity (CMN). CMNs are a term of art specifically describing particular Durable Medical Equipment forms. As stated on CMN forms, "Signature and date stamps are not acceptable" for use on CMNs. No other forms or documents are subject to this exclusion.

C -- Review of Documentation Solicited After Claim Receipt

The process whereby a contractor requests additional documentation after claim receipt is known as "development." Providers selected for review are responsible for submitting medical records requested of them by the contractor within established timeframes. Development requirements are listed below in Section 4.2.1.

D -- Requirements That Certain Tests Must Be Ordered By The Treating Physician

Effective November 25, 2002, [42 CFR 410.32\(a\)](#) requires that when billed to any contractor, all diagnostic x-ray services, diagnostic laboratory services, and other diagnostic services must be ordered by the physician who is treating the beneficiary for a specific medical problem and who uses the results in the management of the beneficiary's specific medical problem.

E – Diagnosis Requirements

Section 1833(e) of the Act provides that no payment may be made "under this part unless there has been furnished such information as may be necessary in order to determine the amounts due such provider or other person . . ." Contractors may require information, in accordance with the requirements below whenever they deem necessary to make a determination listed in section 4.1 and thus to determine appropriate payment.

Some provider types are required to submit diagnosis codes on all claims while other provider types are required to submit diagnosis codes only if such information is required by an LMRP.

- **Claims Submitted by Physicians or §1842(b)(18)(C) of the Act Practitioners Must Contain Diagnosis Codes**

Section [1842 \(p\)\(1\)](#) of the Act states that each claim submitted by a physician or §1842(b)(18)(C) of the Act practitioner "shall include the appropriate diagnosis code (or codes)..." *For services from physicians and §1842(b)(18)(C) of the Act practitioners submitted with an ICD-9 code that is missing, invalid, or truncated, contractors must return the billed service to the provider as unprocessable in accordance with MCM §3005.4(p) or MIM §3605.3.*

- **Claims Submitted By All Other Provider Types Must Contain Diagnosis Codes If Such Codes Are Required By An LMRP (effective 7/1/02)**

In order to address potential abuse or overutilization, contractors can require that ICD-9 diagnosis codes be submitted with each claim for the targeted service. This information is used in determining whether the services are covered and correctly coded. Effective April 1, 2002, contractors may require ICD-9 diagnosis codes to be submitted by all non-physician billers with every claim for a targeted service only if such a requirement appears in an LMRP for that service. Contractors must educate providers about this requirement beginning no later than January 1, 2002. This outreach should occur via website bulletin articles, etc.

For individual non-physician providers who are identified due to unusual billing practices, fraud referrals, etc., contractors may also require ICD-9 diagnosis codes to support the medical necessity of all or some claims submitted by the targeted entities, even if no LMRP exists requiring such codes.

For services submitted with an ICD-9 diagnosis code that is missing, incorrect or truncated as indicated above, contractors must return the billed service to the provider as unprocessable.

***F* -- Requirements for Lab Claims**

The American Medical Association's (AMA) 1998 edition of the Current Procedural Terminology (CPT) established three new and one revised Organ or Disease Oriented laboratory panels. Since these panels are composed of clinically relevant groupings of automated multichannel tests there is a general presumption of medical necessity. If there is data or reason to suspect abuse of the new panel codes, contractors may review these claims. Should contractors determine the need to develop a LMRP for laboratory panel codes, develop these policies at the panel code level. In some instances of perceived abuse of the new panel codes, you may review the panel and deny component tests on a case-by-case basis or evaluate the need for the component level test.

Medicare Program Integrity Manual

Chapter 5 – Items and Services Having Special DMERC Review Considerations

Table of Contents

(Rev. 59, 11-28-03)

1 – Home Use of DME

1.1 – Physician Orders

1.1.1 – Verbal Orders

1.1.2 – Written Orders

1.1.2.1 – Written Orders Prior to Delivery

- 1.1.3 – Requirement of New Orders
- 1.1.4 – CMN as the Written Order
 - 1.1.4.1 - Cover Letters for CMNs
 - 1.1.4.2 - Completing a CMN
 - 1.1.4.3 - DMERC's Authority to Assess an Overpayment and/or CMP When Invalid CMNs are Identified
- 1.1.5 – Nurse Practitioner or Clinical Nurse Specialist Rules Concerning Orders
- 1.1.6 - Physician Assistant Rules Concerning Orders and CMNs - -(Rev. 4, 01-31-01)
- 2 –Documentation in the Patient’s Medical Record
 - 2.1 – Supplier Documentation
 - 2.1.1 – Delivery Method 1 - Supplier Delivers Items Directly to the Beneficiary or Authorized Representative
 - 2.1.2 – Delivery Method 2 - Supplier Utilizes a Delivery/Shipping Service
 - 2.1.3 – Delivery Method 3 - Delivery of Items to a Nursing Facility on Behalf of the Beneficiary
- 3 – Evidence of Medical Necessity
 - 3.1 – Period of Medical Necessity--Home Dialysis Equipment
 - 3.2 – Safeguards in Making Monthly Payments
 - 3.2.1 - Guidance on Safeguards in Making Monthly Payments
 - 3.2.1.1- Pick-up Slips
 - 3.3 - Certificates of Medical Necessity
 - 3.3.1 - Acceptability of Faxed Orders and Facsimile or Electronic Certificates of Medical Necessity
- 4 – Incurred Expenses for DME and Orthotic and Prosthetic Devices
- 5 – Patient Equipment Payments Exceed Deductible and Coinsurance on Assigned Claims
- 6 – Evidence of Medical Necessity - Oxygen Claims
- 7 - Advance Determination of Medicare Coverage (ADMC) of Customized DME
 - 7.1 - Definitions
 - 7.1.1 - Definitions of Customized DME
 - 7.2 - Items Eligible for ADMC
 - 7.3 - Instructions for Submitting ADMC Requests
 - 7.4 - Instructions for Processing ADMC Requests
 - 7.5 - Affirmative ADMC Decisions
 - 7.6 - Negative ADMC Decisions
 - 7.7 - DMERC Tracking

1.1.2 -Written Orders

(Rev. 59, 11-28-03)

Written orders are acceptable for all transactions involving DMEPOS. Written orders may take the form of a photocopy, facsimile image, electronically maintained, or original "pen-and-ink" document *(See Chapter 3, Section 4.1.1 B.)*

All orders must clearly specify the start date of the order.

For items that are dispensed based on a verbal order, the supplier must obtain a written order that meets the requirements of this section.

If the written order is for supplies that will be provided on a periodic basis, the written order should include appropriate information on the quantity used, frequency of change, and duration of need. (For example, an order for surgical dressings might specify one 4 x 4 hydrocolloid dressing that is changed 1-2 times per week for 1 month or until the ulcer heals.)

The written order must be sufficiently detailed, including all options or additional features that will be separately billed or that will require an upgraded code. The description can be either a narrative description (e.g., lightweight wheelchair base) or a brand name/model number.

If the order is for a rented item or if the coverage criteria in a policy specify length of need, the order must include the length of need.

If the supply is a drug, the order must specify the name of the drug, concentration (if applicable), dosage, frequency of administration, and duration of infusion (if applicable).

Someone other than the physician may complete the detailed description of the item. However, the treating physician must review the detailed description and personally sign and date the order to indicate agreement.

If a supplier does not have a faxed, photocopied, electronic or pen & ink signed order in their records before they can submit a claim to Medicare (i.e., if there is no order or only a verbal order), the claim will be denied. If the item is one that requires a written order prior to delivery (see Section 1.1.2.1), the claim will be denied as not meeting the benefit category. If the claim is for an item for which an order is required by statute (e.g., therapeutic shoes for diabetics, oral anticancer drugs, oral antiemetic drugs which are a replacement for intravenous antiemetic drugs), the claim will be denied as not meeting the benefit category and is therefore not appealable by the supplier (see MCM Section 12000 for more information on appeals). For all other items, if the supplier does not have an order that has been both signed and dated by the treating physician before billing the Medicare program, the item will be denied as not reasonable and necessary.

If an item requires a CMN and the supplier does not have a faxed, photocopied, electronic, or pen & ink signed CMN in their records before they submit a claim to Medicare, the claim will be denied. If the CMN is used to verify that statutory benefit requirements have been met, then the claim will be denied as not meeting the benefit category. If the CMN is used to verify that medical necessity criteria have been met, the claim will be denied as not reasonable and necessary.

Medical necessity information (e.g., an ICD-9-CM diagnosis code, narrative description of the patient's condition, abilities, limitations, etc.) is NOT in itself considered to be part of the order although it may be put on the same document as the order.