MVI 16 September 1952 (HAROLD REINAUER - C-1 Rd)

From: Chief, Merchant Vessel Inspection Division

To: Commendant

Via: Chief, Office of Merchant Marine Sefety

Subj: Marine Board of Investigation; explosion on MV tanker HAROLD REINAUER, Boston, Messachusetts, 7 May 1952

- 1. Pursuant to the provisions of Tible 46 C.F.R. Part 136, the record of the Marine Board convened to investigate subject casualty, together with its Findings of Fact, Conclusions, Opinions and Recommendations, has been reviewed and is forwarded herewith.
- 2. On 7 May 1952, the motor tanker HAROLD REINAUER of \$18 g.t. was moored alongside a work barge at Chelsea, Mass. Her Ro. & No. 2 and No. 3 cargo tanks were empty but not gas-free, and her No. 4 tank was flooded with water. The waist on the port side of the navigating bridge with two intervening vertical non-cargo spaces between it and the deck boundary of No. 4 tank was being repaired involving burning and welding operations. At 1500 an emplosion of undetermined ignition origin cocurred, which caused the wessel to sink. As a result of this casualty, 3 persons were injured. The weather conditions were fair with NW wind of between 25 and 35 miles velocity.
- 3. The Board made the following Findings of Fact:
  - while tied up alongside a work barge alongside the dock at 215 marginal Street, Chelsea, Mass., suffered an explosion in cargo tanks Nos. 1, 2 and 3, resulting in the blowing open of these tanks, the sinking of the vessel alongside the dock and severe injury to three men, one of whom was the chief mate of the vessel, the others being a dock employee and a member of the crew of another company-owned vessel.
  - \*2. That the tankship HAROLD REIMAUER, official number 251600, gross 818 tons, not 556 tons, owner Reimauer Oil Transportation Corp. of 75 Federal Street, Boston, Mass., was built in Brocklyn, New York in 1947 and was last regularly inspected by the Coast Guard at Boston, Mass., on 26 February 1952.

- "3. That the tenkship HAROLD REINAUER was a conventional vessel of welded construction having four cargo tanks aft of the forecastle, each of these tanks being speareted longitudinally by an oil-tight bulkheed, which in effect made a total of eight cargo tanks.
- "4. That the tankship HAROLD REINAUER had her engine room aft, the pump room being just forward of the engine room and her pilot house was located on the superstructure deck above the pump room and the after half of No. 4 cargo tenk.
- "5. That the dimensions of the HAROLD REIMAUER were: length, 192.5"; been, 32'; depth, 15'.
- \*6. That the propulsion of the HAROLD REIMSURR consisted of a single propeller driven by a 2-cycle, single-acting, 5-cylinder diesel diesel engine having direct drive.
  - "7. That the vessel had completed the discharge of a carge of about 800 bbls. of 110/145 octame eviation gasoline at Quenest Point, Rhode Island, on the evening of 5 May 1952, at which time the vessel sailed for Boston, Ness.
  - "E. That on route Boston, Mass., beginning about 6:00 a.m., 6 May 1952, the cargo tanks and lines were washed only once with cold salt water about 3', but not filling the tank completely, and then pumping the tank out.
  - "9. That the sides of the cargo tanks were not washed down.
  - "10. That the vessel arrived in Boston about 10:25 a.m., 6 May 1952, and tied up, port side alongside the company's work barge, which was itself tied up slongside the dock at 215 Marginal St., Chelson, Mass.
  - "11. That the master of the HAROID HEIMANER, John M. Pyre, was ordered on the merning of 7 May 1952, by the Marine Superintendent, an employee of the Reinauer Cil Transportation Corporation, Thomas G. Warren, to completely fill No. 4 cargo tank with water in order that repair work could be accomplished.
  - \*12. That No. 4 cargo tank was completely filled with water and run over and then secured and that all tank domes were degred down hand tight and checked and all valves on pipe lines were secured.
  - "13. That the repair work consisted of removal of the damaged plate by burning and the installation of a new vertical plate by welding on the outboard port side and forward port wing of the bridge.

- "I4. That staging and ladders were set up on the port side of the bridge and complete ony-acetylene burning and electric welding equipment were placed on the bridge on the morning of 7 May 1952.
- "15. That the house for the oxy-acetylene cutting torch were led from the gas bottles on the barge to the torch on the post wing of the bridge.
- "No. That the welding cable (hot wire) was led from the welding machine on the barge through a wide door on the barge in the vicinity of No. 1 cargo tank across the vessel and connected to the electrode holder on the port wing of the bridge.
- "17. That the welding cable (ground wire) from the welding machine on the barge was secured to a  $1/4^{\circ}$  x  $4^{\circ}$  continuous steel strap extending around the work barge.
- "IS. That the welding cable (ground wire) to the ship was secured to a standhish holder near the after part of No. 2 port eargo tank, a good electrical connection being made by means of a C clump, the other end of this ground wire being connected electrically with safficient slack to the ground strep on the after end of the work barge.
- "19. That the port wing of the bridge was not down and a fresh unter home was kept running on the main dock in the vicinity of No. 4 test.
- "20. That the second engineer of the HAROLD RETHAUER, John Kevlik, at about 10:30 a.m., 7 May 1952, cut out with a torch a vertical steel plate measuring about 3' x 3' on the port wing of the bridge.
- "21. That the second engineer of the MAROLD REINAUER also had the welding machine in operation on the morning of 7 May 1952, but the machine was secured prior to moon.
- "22. That the second engineer, John Kevlik, at about 1:30 p.m., on 7 May 1952, tack-welded a vertical piece of angle iron on the corner of the port wing of the bridge, using about one-third of an electrode.
- "23. That the vessel was not gas-free, and a gas-free certificate was not obtained as required by 46 CFR 35.01-1.
- \*24. That the Officer in Charge, Marine Inspection, was not notified as required by 46 CFR 30.01-10.

- "25. That wind was blowing from the northwest between 25 und 35 miles per hour.
- \*26. That the serviceable condition of the welding cable (hot wire) was questionable due to several places having been taped.
- \*27. That the galley range was out at about 1:00 p.m., on the afternoon of 7 May 1952.
- \*25. That the vessel was secured by adequate lines to the dock and the barge and that there were three adequately spaced funders between the ship and the barge.
- \*29. That four members of the crew were painting in the galley.
- "30. That the paint locker of the MAROLD REIMAUKR contained so open containers of paint or turpentine or of rage outside of suitable containers, but that there was an open container near the forecastle door which contained some paint that had been this man with turpentine and mixed on the morning of 7 May 1952.
- "31. That smoking was allowed on the service barge."
- "32. That just prior to the emplosion, the master of the HABCED REINAUER was on the barge observing the lathe operator and the chief mate, Gevil Bethel, and Mr. William P. Hashett, a member of the erew of the LUCY, were in the office in the after end of the barge.
- "33. That just prior to the explosion the second engineer, John Revlik, and Mr. George A. Newell, an employee of the Reinsmer Transportation Corp. were measuring a new plate on the wing of the bridge preliminary to fitting same in place.
- "34. That at 3:00 p.m., there was a series of three loud emplosions and the tank tops of No. 1 port cargo tank, No. 2 port and starboard tanks and No. 3 port tank were blown into the street and the harbor, the hull in the vicinity of the cargo tanks was pushed out and the vessel sank alongside the barge in shallow water.
- "35. That the explosion occurred within the cargo tanks.
- "36. That a length of 8" discharge line landed on the after end of the house on the barge and crushed it, seriously injuring the chief mate, Mr. Cecil C. Bethel, and Mr. William P. Hackett.

- \*37. That the Bilot house windows on the HAROLD REINAUCR were blown in.
- "38. That the engine room and purp rooms remained watertight as did the forecastle bulkheed.
- "39. That No. 4 cargo tank received minor demage.
- \*40. That Mr. George A. Nevell who was on the wing of the bridge was knocked down and received a badly lacerated face and was punctured about the face with flying scale.
- "41. That there was no fire, but some smake was seen coming from the forecastle after the explosion.
- "42. That twolve short pieces of welding electrodes were picked up on the port wing of the HAROLD HEINAUER's bridge shortly after the accident.
- \*43. That the electrode holder in the port wing of the bridge contained a partially used electrode.
- "44. That the oxy-acetylene torch was found on the port wing of the bridge with both the oxygen and acetylene valves in the open condition at about 9:00 a.m., on 8 May 1952.
- "45. That Mr. George A. Newell, who was the helper for the second engineer, John Kevlik, stated that there was some oxy-acetylene burning being carried on about fifteen minutes prior to the explosion.
- \*46. That extensive welding on the pump room vent pipe and galley exhaust line on the port side of the well dock had been accomplished on 23 April 1952.
- "47. That the repairs made to the vessel were made in accordance with instructions of the Marine Superintendent who was acting for the owner."
- 4. The Board made the following Conclusions and expressed the following Opinions:
  - "1. The actual cause of the casualty was indeterminate, however, the following sources of vapor igniting were present in the order of probability that they might have caused the accident:
    - a. Use of the oxy-acetylene cutting torch on the port wing of the bridge.

- b. Use of the ere-welding equipment on the port wind of the bridge.
- e. Grounding of the het welding leed on the ship's structure since this wire had insulation breaks covered with electricism's tape and at least one of the insulated connectors on this wire was in poor condition.
- d. The loosening of the ground lead of the welding machine where it was attached to the ship with a C class.
- e. Smoking on the work burge which was alongside the ship and and abson of the cargo tanks.
  - f. A carelessly tossed lighted eigenstte from the sidewalk with the them prevailing wind could have landed on the ship.
  - g. Due to the vessel's surging in gests of wind or the wake of passing vessels, a structural failure such as a gasest or other number carrying away in an empty tank created a spark to cause ignition.
- "2. The method of 'washing out' eargo tamks as precticed on the HAROLD REINAUER was extremely imadequate, and in no case rendered the tanks gas-free.
- "3. That the master and the owners of the HAROLD RETNAURR neglected to carry out the requirements of Section 35.01-1, Tank Yessel Requirements, 1 July 1951....'Riveting, welding, burning or like fire producing operations shall not be undertaken within or on the boundaries of bulk eargo spaces or spaces, adjacent thereig until an inspection is made to determine that such operations can be undertaken with mafety.'
  - NOTE: By definition the word 'adjacent' means lying near, close or contiguous, neighboring or berdering on. Objects are adjacent when they lie close to each other but not necessarily in actual contact. Webster's Dictionary, unabridged, 2nd Edition.

Adjacent—'Lying near or close to; sometimes contiguous. Adjacent implies that the two objects are not widely separated, though they may not actually touch, while adjoining imports that they are so joined or united to each other that no third object intervence.' - Black's Law Dictionary - Third Edition.

- "4. That the pressure vacuum relief valves were not regularly inspected by the ship's force.
- "5. That No. 2 port and starboard cargo tasks blew up at the time of the second explosion and that either port No. 3 or port No. 1 cargo tank blow up at the time of the first explosion.
- "5. That due to the weather conditions it was improbable that may wapers collected would have remained on deck.
- \*7. That eargo tanks Hos. 1, 2 and 3 were in an extremely gassy condition.
- "6. That the filling of No. 4 cargo tank with water was not adequate and considering the extent and location of hot work that was to be accomplished the vessel should have been gas-free.
- \*9. That while this fuel (110/145 octane aviation gasoline) has been considered grade B, it has the reputation of being excessively gassy, and the Board therefore recommends that a study be made to determine whether a rearrangement of the venting system to extend the vents a greater distance above the tank tops would render tank venuels carrying this type of carge safer from internal explosions.
- 5. The Board made the following Recommendations:
  - "I. That Section 35.01-1 of the Tank Vessal Regulations deted 1 July 1951, regarding inspection of tank vessels on which hot Work is to be accomplished, would stipulate that the work should be accomplished under the direction of the Officer in Charge, Marine Inspection to bring this section in line with Section 30.01-10 pertaining to alterations and repair of tank vessels.
  - \*2. That small tank vessels similar to the HAROID REINAUER be equipped with a modified Butterworth system to insure against improperly washed-out cargo tanks.
  - "3. That tank hatch openings should be first dogged down hand tight and then set up with a non-sparking wrench.
  - "4. The Board directed the recorder to prepare charges against the master of the HAROLD REINAUER, John M. Pyre, license No. 59841, for negligence in failing to comply with provisions of Title 46 CFR 30.01-10 and Title 46 CFR 35.01-1."

## **EXPLARES**

- 6. 46 CFR 35.01-1 provides that riveting, welding, burning or like fire-producing operations shall not be undertaken within or on the boundaries of bulk cargo spaces or in spaces adjacent thereto, until an inspechas been made to determine that such operations can be undertaken with safety. The hot work being performed was on the waist of the port side of the navigating bridge with two intervening vertical non-cargo spaces between it and the deck boundary of No. 4 tank which had been filled with water. Under the circumstances due to remoteness the space in which such hot work was being performed cannot be considered adjacent to the bulk cargo tank and hence het work therein cannot be considered in contravention of 46 CFR 35.01-1.
- 7. 46 CFR 30.01-10 captioned "Application of Regulations Governing Alterations or Repairs TB/ALL" provides when miner alterations or miner repairs of tank vessels become necessary, such work shall be under the direction of the Officer in Charge, Marine Inspection, and shall be in accordance with the regulations in effect at the time the vessel was contracted for or built, or in accordance with the regulations in effect for new construction insofar as possible. 46 CFR 30.01-10 relates to major and minor alterations and repairs for which plans and specifications are required under the previsions of 46 CFR 31.10-25.
- 8. Any violation of the requirements of 46 CFR 35.01-1 and 46 CFR 30.01-10 by the MARCLD REINAUER at the time subject easualty occurred would have been technical in nature since the record does not indicate the violation of said sections in any way contribute to the cause of the easualty.
- 9. The Recommendations of the Board, Conclusions and Opinions, paragraph 9, and Recommendations, paragraphs 1 and 2 do not appear to be relevant since the cause for the casualty could not be determined. Such recommendations, however, will be referred to the Merchant Marine Council for consideration.
- 10. Subject to the foregoing remarks, it is recommended that the Findings of Fact, Conclusions, Opinions and Recommendations, of the Marine Board of Investigation be approved.

P. A. OVENDEN

Commendant

16 September 1952 (HAROLD REINAUER - G-1 3d)

FIRESTENDORSEMENT to MVI memorandum of 16 September 1952

34

From: Chief, Office of Merchant Harine Safety

To: Commendant

Subj: Marine Board of Investigation; emplosion on MV tanker HAROLD REIMAUER, Boston, Massachusetts, 7 May 1952

Forwarded, recommending approval.

APPROVED: 24 September 1952

/s/ MERLIN O'NEILL

MERLIN O'NEILL: Vice Adm., U. S. Coast Guard Commandant