From Obiaf, Marabant Yossel loopertion Division

Per Commendant

The Chief, Office of Perchant Perine Selvty

Sehj: Merize Bosni of Impostigation; explosion and fire on gasoline barge R.T.C. 107, Gulf Merining Company Dook, Gretas, La., on 11 May, 1952, with loss of life

- 1. Persuant to the provisions of Title 46 C.F.R. Fart 136, the record of the Hurino Board convened to investigate subject casualty, together with its Findings of Fact, Opinions and Recommunications, has been reviewed and is forwarded herewith.
- On the morning of 11 May 1952, the tank barge RYC-112 was moored singly and the tesk barges REG-107, RTG-109 and the motor towest MESEL WARRICH alongwide each other, were moored at the Gulf Refining Terminal at Gretna. Louisians. The weether was clear with a northwesterly wind, alight chop, and good visibility. The RTO-112 was in the process of discharging its cargo of gasoline, the PRC-10? and REC-209 were being propered for the discharging of similar cergo. With the RRC-172 discharging into a common dock-discharging line to which, discharge commontions, from the RTC-107 and RTC-109 had been made, the gate valve on the common dock discharge line was evidently oracked by the dockma, which permitted the gasoline being pusped from the RTC-112 to back up through the discharge lines on the RYC-107 and RTC-109. There was no valve or blind flange at the end of the discharge hooinsp on the HTC-iO9 so that the backed-up gazoline spilled traveled across the deck and its vapors were ignited by the fire in the galley store of the toward moored alongside. Explacions and fire ensued, resulting in the deaths of three persons, serious injecy to two persons, and estimated decays of approximately \$180,000.

. .

The Board water the following Findings of Fact:

"1. On 11 May 1952 at or about 0620 (CST) the tank barge RTC-109, while preparing to discharge a cargo of gasoline to the Gulf Refining Company Terminal at Gretna, Louisiana, suffered a vapor explosion as a result of which fire spread to the MV REBEL WARRIOR, the RTC-107, the RTC-112 and the terminal dock causing vapor explosion on the RTC-107, RTC-112 and considerable fire damage to the MV REBEL WARRIOR, the RTC-109 and to the terminal dock. Three men employed by the Black Warrior Towing Company, owners of the vessels, were lost and two other employees severely burned. Bodies of two of the persons have been recovered.

## "2. The vessels involved were:

- (a) T/B RTG-107, official number 176463, built in 1942, steel hull, 9200 bbls., 619 gross tons, owned by Black Warrior Towing Go.
- (b) T/B RTG-109, official number 176465, built in 1942, steel hull, 9200 bbls., 619 gross tons, owned by Black Warrior Towing Co.
- (c) T/B RTC-112, official number 176468, built in 1942, steel hull, 9200 bbls., 619 gross tons, owned by Black Warrior Towing Co.
- (d) MV REBEL WARRIOR, official number 260893, Wilmington, Del., built in 1950, 68.01 gross tons, length 55', beam 20', depth 7', steel hull, pusher type towboat, crew 7, uninspected vessel, owned by Black Warrior Towing Co., New Orleans, La.
- "3. At the time of the casualty the weather was clear with a Northerly wind of from 15 to 20 miles per hour, slight choppy water in river, visibility good.
- "4. At about 0315 (CST) 11 May 1952 the RFBEL WARRIOR, being piloted by Walter Lester Kirk, moored her tow at the Gulf Refinery Terminal at Gretna, Louisiana as follows: RTC-107 moored port side to the dock at the up river section. RTC-109 moored port side to the starboard side of the RTC-107. RTC-112 moored port side to the dock at its down river section approximately 150 feet astern of RTC-107. The tug REBEL WARRIOR secured bow to stern of RTC-112. All vessels headed up river.

"5. The refinery dock canelets of four berget accord and to and and to pile chasters on the inchers side parallel to the leves. Monarous cargo lines are installed above dook and largebules of the bargue consisting of steel pipe with steel reinforced fissible rubber sectious systemize the gap between dock sections, one of which was identified by the terrinal as the Wood Wiff Line. At opproximately the mid length of such berge forming the dook a branch line leads from each cargo line ending in a gate valve to which hoses are balted. Out off valves ere installed in the main cargo line between successive branch lines. A fused knife switch which is bonded to each cargo line and to a bress rod driven in the river bottom is located in the may of each set of brench lines for the purpose of alactrically bonding tank vessels during cargo operations. A 6" x 6" tisher guard piece runs the length of the dook appreciately two feet above the water line and serves as a permenent ferder. A catualk leads to the leves from the up-river section of the dock. The deck of the dock is approximately seven feet above the water line.

Uc.

\*6. As soon as the berges were spotted the dock crew, consisting of John A. Hepting, Sr., Yard Forenan; Forrest A. Lendry, Vinia A. Legiano and Joseph B. Rindogeker, and the toubout crew with W. R. Lemmy, Port Engineer for Black Marrior Towing Co., Inc., commonded connecting hopes from the 107 to the dock Good Gulf Line. RTG-107 was electrically bonded to the dock but no bond was made between 107 and 109 and no fenders were in place between these barges. The cross than proceeded to the 112, secured the bonding cable, connected her dispharge to the Good Gulf Line, started her pump and commenced discharging cargo at about 0515. At that time the REBL WARRIOR moved up alongside the starboard quarter of the 109 and charged the starting eir tank of the 107, then shifted the air hose to the starting air acquardator on the 109 and made the flexible cargo hose connection between the RTC-107 and RTC-109. There was no electrical bond nor fundors between the REBEL WARRIOR and the 109. The position of the REGIL HARRIOR was such that the galley door on its port side was approximately seven feet forward and four feet outboard of the starboard and of the atherstahip discharge line on the RfC-109. The starboard end of this discharge line was fitted with a 45-degree elbow and was unblanked. There was no closure device on this pipe and the open end pointed in the direction of the gallay door. The cargo discharge line from the RTC-107 and the RTC-109 to the gate valve at the dock connection was a continuous line with no intervening valves or restrictions.

M. The proceeding with a constant to being and the Brothadia dispositive from the electronic constitution for electronic descriptions at the doct relier. In I may and he. Durayey occupied with species compovalves on the Mit, and Chery attending to the nir best. Thuses said Masterling, decknowle, and terrisotte, the Cook word-in the galley onting treatfast. The follow stand door was open but the document durwas closed. The galley excess, a grevity food oil burning above, was in operation. Theres, having finished Broakfoot, openal the gelicy door and pass goed in a spilling from the open aterboard and of the discharge line of the ANO-109. At the same inclust, Larry, Duntar and Curry wars proposeding oft on the MC-109 in that order and were in the riginity of the Ma. 3 tanks. Heither the pump on the 187 or the 109 had been exerted at this time. Curry now the goseline milling from the discharge line. At this instant Mr. Lewlone was on the dock near the valve to which the cargo bass from the 107 was connected. The imphore side of this gate valve was under pressure of approximately 50 p.s.i. created by the pumping of gasoline from the RTC-112 into this Good Gulf Line. All intervening valves were in the open position. Approximately 2000 barrels of gesoline had been discharged from the RC-112.

"8. At approximately 0615, shortly after the gasoline commenced spilling from the 109, ignition took place, followed by explosion and fire in the vicinity of the technost and the stern of the 109. Within an instant a second explosion, which blev off the deck and starbused side of the 107's aft rake compartment occurred. Fire enveloped the 109, 107 and the dock. This was later followed by emplosion of the forward tanks on the 112, which had been partially discharged.

69. With the first two explosions Carry and Thomas were knecked down and considerably burned but escaped to the laves. Dunavey managed to get to the laves unharmed. Lampy, Marisotte and Besterline disappeared and the bodies of the latter two have since been recovered. Lency is still missing and presumed dead. LeBlanc escaped to the laves with alight turns. Mirk and Taylor, who were on board the tug at the time of the emplosion, got the REBEL WARRIOR underway and extinguished the fire which had been sanfined to her port side in the vicinity of the galley door. The RTC-112 was taken in tow by salvage craft and pulled out into the stream, her pump still discharging gasoline overboard.

"10. Itsalamicu of the dods revealed that all fiexible comestions in cargo lines tebresa the dock berges were burned off. The cargo hose from the 107 was still secured to the dock valve with its outhoard and lying across the cargo limes and a broken flango bolted to that ami. The valve was alosed and sect which bed been left on its parts by the fire was undistumbed indicating the valve to be as it was during the fire. That portion of the valve body outboard of its gate contained gasoline erd upon discennecting the hose from the valve a small quantity of gaseline spilled from the connection. Examination of the barges revealed that the RTC-109 suffered fire damage only, no explosion occurred within any of its compartments. Fump engine controls were in the 'off' position. The starting air accumulator valve was in the 'off' position and an air hose connection, from which the hose had parted, was connected to the sterboard end of the accumulator. RTC-107 suffered explosion and fire damage. Entire deck over aft rake compartment missing and pumping unit and discharge lines blosm edrift and destroyed. RTC-112, forward tanks badly ruptured. The REBEL VARRIOR suffered minor fire danage on the port side and very alight fire damage within the galley proper. Estimates of damage are as follows:

MY REDEL WARRIOR	\$ 650.00	
T/B RTC-107	23,885.00	
T/B RTC-109	650.00	
T/B RTC-112	19,725.00	
Cargo lost or destroyed		•
(7000 bbls. @ \$5.00)	35,000.00	
		\$79,910.00
GULF REPINING COMPANY	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	**
Piping	\$50,000.00	•
Pontoon Barges	35,000.00	
Pilo clustors & fenders	15,000,00	
		\$100,000.00
Combined Total -		\$179,910.00

"Il. The following personnel casualties are attributed to the explosion:

WILLIAM R. LAWEY, Kenner, Louisiana Port Engineer for Black Warrior Towing Co., Inc. License No. 72152, Chief Engineer, Notor Vessels not ever 1000 gress tons. Missing, Presumed deed. HOLAN MARISETTE, 81 Avenue A, Frichard Lene, Prichard, Ala. Gook on MV RESEL WARRIOR. Killed, body recovered.

BLANCH BASTERLING, 505 Maryland St., Mobile, Ala. Killed, body recovered.

GEORGE L. GURRY, 255 Church Street, Mobile, Ala. Relief Engineer, MV REBEL WARRIOR. First and Second degree burns.

JOHN THOMAS, 1114 Greffer Street, Hervey, Louisdana Deckhand on MV REBEL WARRIOR. First and Second degree burns."

VINIA A. LE BLANC, 616 Chalmette Street, Hervey, Ia. Dockman for Gulf Refining Coupeny. Shock.\*

## The Board expressed the following Opinions:

to randand

4.

"1. From the testimony at hand it is evident that the 107 and 109 were not ready to discharge cargo, the inspection of condition of piping, valves, electrical bond, etc., having not been completed, and with the exception of the testimony of Mr. LeRlane all witnesses agree that neither of the pumps on the 107 nor 109 had been started, and condition of the equipment after the explosion seems to beer out this point. Considering the presence of gasoline in the valve body onto which the 107 had been connected and the relatively small amount of gasoline which was seen to spill from the open end of the discharge line by Thomas and Curry it is unreasonable to assume that this gasoline was delivered by the pump on the RTC-109. Bearing in mind that the valve body in question is at least seven feet higher than the pump on the RTC-109 and that the same line has an 8-inch open end at pump level, any pump pressure capable of delivering gasoline seven feet uphill to the valve would have dumped a tremendous quantity of gasoline from the open and of the discharge line and with considerable force of discharge. Yet, the testimony of witnesses and the actual damage incurred on the REBEL WARRIOR definitely negates any such assumption. It is the opinion of the Board that Devine's testimony concerning these points was both evesive and mechanically unsound. The Board was present and persomally exemined the liquid in the valve body and found no evidence of water mixed with the gasoline or of a leaky valve. Therefore, the only reasonable explanation for the presence of gasoline in the discharge line from the 109 and 107 is that the valve on the Good Gulf Line was momentarily opened at a time when it was subject to the discharge pressure from the RTC-112, and though Mr. LaBlanc

sepects, decided with three distinctions that he are leakers alone the value greatly discredit leakers and therefore their cats that such did beppen. In addition to this, believe's explanation as to viv to was positive the purp on the LV was operating is extracely flings. He incises he saw so exhaust, that no problemy was operating on the tag (though he later agrees that the compressor was recrited) and that he could hear the purp and could see its operator. Houses, from his denomination of the location of the purp operator both varially and by sketch the location given is far remote from the position at which a person must be to start and operate the purp. Further, the preponderance of testinony conclusively shows that there was no person in the invadicle vicinity of the purpley machinery at this time.

A Sign of the Control of t

CHEST WAST

TO BE HERE CAN

- "2. An expannetion of the fire desage to the HTG-109 and to the HEREL WARRYOR showed a burned path, believed to be that taken by the genoline, which extends from a point beneath the starboard end of the discharge line forward and outboard for a distance of approximately ten feet at which point it ends at the barge's dock edua. From the description of the position in which the RETEL WARRIOR was moored elongside the RTC-109 this point is ballered to have been eleca of the galley door. Innemed as the top of the buliarit rail of the tug slopes inward from the guard reil, the gosoline did not flew over onto the deck of that vessel but rem into the river between the two halls. Thomas' toatinony and the disposition of fire demand on the tag bear this cut. It is resocnable to presume that eddy air currents on the les side of the boat, caused by a moderate Northerly breeze striking her storboard side, wested the vapore from this spill into the galley where they were ignited by the galley fire and flashed back to the spill which then applicaded. During this time the galley percen door was held open by Thomas and the Cook, and even though there is a strong indication that the Gook what off the fuel to the galley stove, it being a gravity feed burner, continued to burn and thus must be considered as the most probable source of ignition. There is no indication of there having been a vapor explonica within the gelley and fire damage to the REBEL WARRIOR appears to have resulted from the flash of the first explosion.
- \*3. Home of the witnesses were able to testify as to the condition of the manhole to the aft rake compartment of the RTC-107 but evidence was given that a considerable period of time had

is your dings to director of this compartment was improved. the physical regulations of the compartment to viewed efter the explosion definitely above that a translation explosion occurred there. The satire deck end a portion of the sterboard able bounding this tank was blown off and all the remaining bounding plating in bedly bulged. Machinery which had been installed on the dock over this compartment was catepulted into the air and the purp and engine assembly lauded on dock a considerable distance sway. Therefore, the atmosphere within this compartment must have been of a highly explosive consistency. The prosence of a small except of gusoline in this compartment coupled with the fact that excopt for one 2-1/2" goovernok vent the compartment was out off free any outside ventilation could have easily generated such an explosive mixture and the board is of the opinion that this actually happened and that the best of the explosion and fire alongside the RTC-109 and on the surface of the vater around both barges caused this mixture to flow from the gooseneck vent, become ignited and free back through the vent. Similarly, the discharging of 2,000 barrels of cargo from the forward tanks of the 112 produced an explosive atmosphere within these tanks which was ignited in a like numer.

- "4. A major contributing factor in this casualty was the absence of a blind flange on the sterboard end of the discharge line on the barge RTC-109. Although the tanker regulation 46 CFR 32.50-15(d), which requires blind flanges or valves to be fitted on cargo loading and discharging connections, does not apply to this barge by reason of its having been constructed prior to July 1, 1951, it has for years been a practice both on board barges and at cargo terminals to drain and blank off such connections at the completion of loading or discharge operations and failure on the part of responsible persons to carry out this safety procaution at the termination of bar previous cargo discharging operation constitutes an act of neglect. Furthermore, the failure of any person responsible for the safe operation of these barges to detect this act of emission or if detected to install blanks over the connections constitutes even greater neglect.
- "5. The Board is also of the opinion that the complete lack of understanding between the personnel of the terminal and of the carrier as to overlapping responsibilities for effecting required safejuards and as to what particular person was in a supervisory papalaty is an extremely unhealthy condition. In addition to this,

the san being concentration on a technical cally one men, this san being concentration on a technical and whose explorant is not in a supervisory capacity but rather as a 'dock men,' in attachance on the dock at a time when three teak barges were to discharge their cargo into a common line, connot by any stratch of the inagination be considered a safe practice. It is falt that both of these conditions contributed directly to the casualty.

(1. #**.**4477 - 5.44 53.1

- The presence of the REFEL WARRIOR alongside the barge 109 during its preparation for unloading, although not a very safe and antisfactory condition, was necessary in that it was required to provide starting air to the pump engine on this barge. Neverthalese, the presence of fire in her galley stove at a time when the entrance to the galley was within close proximity of the cargo tanks, vents and pumping equipment and while free communication of atmosphere to and from the galley through its open door existed, is considered to have been an unnecessary hazard which would have been eliminated had provisions of 46 CFR 35.35-20 and 46 CFR 35.35-42 been properly and prudently complied with by responsible persons.
- "7. The Board is also of the opinion that the act of James R. Dunaway, while employed under his duly issued tankerman certificate, of leaving the barge RTG-112 unattended at a time when her pumps were discharging cargo to the dock is unperdonable and though there is little evidence of this having increased the encunt of damage incurred, the potential hazard created by a burning unmanned barge adrift and pumping gasoline into the harbor is considered to be of the greatest peril.
- "6. In the opinion of the Board Mr. Lamey, as Port Engineer for the Black Warrior Towing Company, was in charge of the operation on the barges and was acting under the authority of his duly issued license.
- \*9. The Board &s of the opinion that the estimates of demage to the terminal facilities as submitted by the Gulf Refining Company are exceptive.
- "10. Finally, the Board agrees that there was no failure of equipment, machinery or material that contributed in any way to the casualty; that no personnel of the Coast Guard or any other Government employee were connected directly or indirectly or contributed in any manner to the casualty; that no Government property of any character was damaged or destroyed and that the rescue and fire fighting operations carried out by the salvors and the Coast Guard were highly efficient and preiseverthy.

The Best this is intention to a section

"I. The consideration be given to the need for breaking cataling regulations for the Security of Vessile and Materirent Pacifities to require all enterfront terminals through which exercise of inflormable or contentiable liquide are haulted to exercise complete jurisdiction over the enforcement of existing calaby requirements both with respect to their own facilities and to all respect neural at the facility or contiguous thereto.

nd og med begin Indonésia – geri 200

- "2. That motion 32.50-15(d) of Title 46 CFR, fank Vescel Regulations, he changed to require both a valve and a blind flange on cargo localing and discharge connections and that this be made applicable to all installations regardless of date of construction or conversion.
- "3. That Article 35.35-30, 46 GFE, Tenk Versel Regulations, be amended to read 'TB/ALL' in lies of 'T/ALL' as presently indicated, inamends as Article 35.35-25 is not clear as to requirement of execution of a Reclaration of Inspection on tank barges.
- "A. That action under the provisions of R. S. 4450 be taken against the document held by Jomes R. Dunaway, 2-907467, contificated tankersen.
- "5. That incomech as William R. Lamoy, Port Engineer for Black Warrior Towing Company, has been missing since May 11, 1952 and is presumed dead, no action under R. S. 4450 is recommended."
- %. That no further action be taken and the case be closed."

## BEMARKS

Opinion 4 of the Board, in effect, states that the failure on the part of responsible persons to drain and blank off the discharge line on the RTC-109 at the termination of a provious cargo-discharging operation and the failure of persons responsible for the safe operation of subject barges to detect such failures, constitutes negligenes. The evidence in the record indicates that the outboard end of the discharge line of the RTC-109 was not blanked off at the time she was being prepared to discharge her cargo of gosoline. There is no evidence in the record which indicates definitely whether of not such line was drained or blanked off at the termination of previous loading operations. This opinion of the Board, therefore, is not sustained by the evidence in the record.

(7. Recommendation I of the Board states of follower

That concideration be given to the used for broadening existing regulations for the Security of Vessels and Veterfront facilities to require all exterirons terminals through which cargoss of inflamable or examinate liquids are handled to examine complete jurisdiction over the enforcement of existing safety requirements both with respect to their own facilities and to all vessels more at the facility or contiguous thereto.

This recommendation is understood to here that the Coast Guard should establish regulations severing the safety of vator front terminals handling inflammable or embusible liquid cargoos, and that additional regulations be established covering the safety of tank vessels while onjuged in transfer operations at terminals. The new regulations it is proposed that the terminals will conscise complete control of the terminals and all vessels alongside or contiguous thereto. The precise conditions, operations, organisation, personnel, or material to be covered by the regulations are not indicated in the Board's recommendations.

- The Act of 23 June 1936, A. S. 4417a (46 USC 391a) provides that every vessel that shall have on board any inflemmable or combustible liquid eargo in bulk, shall be considered a steam vessel and subject to all marine safety statutes, regulations and requirements thereunder. This Act further provides that in order to secure effective provision against the hemards of life and property created by any vessels having on board inflammable or combustible liquid cargoos in bulk, the Commundant of the Coast Guard shall essablish such additional rules and regulations as may be necessary with respect to the design and construction, alteration, or repair of such vessels including the amparatructures, balls, places for stowing and carrying such liquid cergo, fittings, equipment, appliances, propulsive machinery, auxiliary machinery, and bollars thereof; and with respect to all materials used in such construction, altoration, or repair; and with respect to the hemdling and stowers of such liquid cargo; the namer of such handling or storage, and the machinery and appliances used in such handling and storage; and with respect to equipment and appliances for lifesaving and fire protection and with respect to the operation of such Yessels; and with respect to the requirements of the manning of such vessels and the duties and quelifications of the officers and crows thereof; and with respect to the inspection of all of the foregoing.
- Gosprehensive regulations covering all phases of tank vessel safety including transfer operations at terminals have been established immediately following the enactment of the above Federal Tanker Act. These regulations are progressively revised when experience is gained through marine casualties, new safety techniques or devices are developed or when otherwise indicated in the interest of safety.

u in mark (2012) (Haring - 1985 in)

Which the salided States Constitution, power to regulate foreign and inter-state conserve in verted in the Federal deversant. Although the Federal and State Covernments may regal to the salides of vessels on the nevigable veters of the United States, the states are excluded from this field was the federal Covernment by at tute and regulation comprehensively covers any phase of a rine safety. The states, by the Constitution, are precluded from exercising my jurisdiction which is covered by or in conflict with the Federal States provisions or regulations and requirements therewere. The Federal Tinker Act and regulations and requirements therewere. The Federal Tinker Act and regulations and requirements theremover all pluses of tank vessel safety. It follows, therefore, that the states, or subdivisions thereof have no authority to establish any safety requirements applicable to task vessels on the nevigable waters of the United States.

'n Greatsateat

waterfront terminals adjacent to the navigable waters of the United States, through which inflamable or combustible li uids are handled to and from tank vessels, are within the jurisdiction of the respective states, counties, municipalities, or subdivisions thereof. The regulation of the location, design, construction, wenning and operation of such terminals is vested in appropriate state authority; except certain limited phases of safety which re under Pederal jurisdiction and authority during periods of emergency or wartime. It is under such conditions that existing regulations for the Security of Vessels and Waterfront Facilities came into being as a function of the Federal Government; but, when the need for such regulations has pessed, and they become inoperative for any of sever 1 reasons, the Federal Government will not exercise jurisdiction over waterfront terminals or conflict with the policy power of the states. At this time it is considered that Subp rt 6.12-3 and P rt 126 of Title 33 C.F.R. are ample in scope, and that no further Port security regulations are needed. Accordingly, It ages not concur with the Bc rd's recommendation.

Approaching the subject in the light of normal percetime operations, it may be observed that there are many diverse interests concerned in the operation of vascels and terminal facilities. The vessels may be operated by owners, the resers, subcharterers, or others in varying legal status. The vessels may be mounted or unmanned, and the crows may be the comployees of the interests concerned withthe vessel, the terminal, or in a status skin to independent contractors, owing no responsibility to either the vessel or the terminal. The same diversities and complexities of interests may prevail with respect to the ownership and operation of the terminal itself.

Contamiant

- Ject of described, as blood for inch and vessel open tions are the subject of described downing the responsibility of the various diverse interests involved. Since, (apart from emergency or wartime c uditions)) no one legal authority has the power to directly regulate the terminal and the vessel as a unit, during normal times a better underst ading and closer cooperation between the terminal and the vessel is necessary in the interests of safety. It would appear nost desirable, therefore, that all contracts or other arrangements covering a captimed open tion of terminal and vessels be implemented by a voluntary safety program covering the terminal and vessel within one unified plan. This should be followed up by actual training, stressing the necessity for integrated understanding, cooper tion and action by all persons on the job to the common end of over 11 s fety.
- 14. Recommendations 2 and 3 of the Board with respect to the proposed assendment of the Tanker Regulations will be referred to the Marchant Marine Council for consideration.
- 15. Subject to the foregoing remarks, it is recommended that the Findings of Fact, Opinions and Recommendations of the Marine Board of Investigation be approved.

/s/P. A. OVENDEN
P. A. OVENDEN

FIRST ETHORSHENT to MVI menor ndum of 27 August 1952

16 October 1952

Fron:

Chief, Office of Merch at Marine S fety

To:

Corpordant

Forwarded, recommending approval.

/s/R. A. SMYTH R. A. SMYTH Acting

AP ROVED: 16 007 1952

/s/ A, C, RICHMOND
A, C, RICHMOND

Rear Admiral, U.S. Coast Guard Acting Commandant