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#### Abstract

The first three years of this study were used to collect pre-hatchery baseline data on the fishery. The Spokane Tribal Hatchery began stocking kokanee and rainbow trout in 1991 and Sherman Creek Hatchery began stocking by 1992. The estimated number of kokanee $(13,986)$ harvested in 1993 was similar to harvest numbers in the previous years, but the number of rainbow trout $(403,277)$ and walleye $(337,413)$ harvested doubled from estimates made in past years. Related studies have identified that stocking hatchery origin kokanee fry into Lake Roosevelt does not translate into returning adults based on coded wire tag returns. Of all the coded wire tagged kokanee recovered in 1993, $1 \%$ were from fry releases and the rest were yearling releases. However, $72 \%$ of the coded wire tagged kokanee considered available for harvest were fry. The stocking of yearling kokanee began in 1992, totaling approximately 140,000 yearlings. The yearlings were not expected to begin entering the creel until 1993 with the main harvest in 1994. As a result, it was too early to speculate on the effect of stocking yearlings instead of fry on the creel. The 1993 rainbow trout harvest escalated due to some of the reasons were: (1) the number of angler trips doubled in 1993 from previous years; (2) the number of rainbow trout released was greater than in years past and (3) the spring drawdown in 1993 was relatively small (limiting entrainment). The increased number of walleye harvested was believed to be from the increased angler pressure. Kokanee salmon and rainbow trout growth appeared to be similar to previous years. The growth of walleye (when determined by back calculations from scales) was less than in year past, but the average size of walleye in .the creel increased. The feeding habits of kokanee, rainbow trout and walleye in 1993 were similar to previous years. Kokanee salmon and rainbow trout fed mainly on daphnia spp. and chironomids, and walleye mainly feed on fish. Overall, the fishery appears to be building in the number of fish caught and the number of anglers utilizing the lake. Food habits and growth suggest that kokanee and rainbow had ample food but the reduced walleye growth may have been the result of food shortages. Data collected in 1994 will help determine whether walleye food was scarce.


## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We gratefully acknowledge John Hisata (Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife), and Jerry Marco (Colville Confederated Tribes), for advise and coordination in all aspects of this study. Creel clerks William Matt Sr. (Spokane Tribe of Indians), Jim Meskan (WDFW), and Leroy Williams (Colville Confederated Tribes), for collection of creel data. We thank Amy Voeller, Mike Thatcher, Sam Abrahamson, Del Brown, Hank Etue, Bart Kieffer, William Matt Jr., and Tim Peone for their help in the collection of field data and laboratory analysis. We thank Hank Etue and Del Brown for equipment repair and maintenance.

We acknowledge the following individuals for their support: Kelly Cash (NPS), and Gary Kuiper (NPS), who provided camping at no cost to the project; Marty Blum, Roy Graffis, Winn Self, and students from Hunters High School who provided assistance in rainbow trout net-pen tagging operations.

This project was supported by a contract from the U.S. Department of Energy, Bonneville Power Administration (BPA), Contract number DE-8 179-88BP91819; BPA project number 88-63. Additional financial support for this project was provided by a grant from the U.S. Department of Interior, Bureau of Indian Affairs to the Upper Columbia United Tribes (UCUT) to fund the operation of the UCUT Fisheries Research Center at Eastern Washington University (Grant No. P12614208001). Capitol equipment for this project was supplied by the UCUT Fisheries Center.

Special thanks goes to Charlie Craig (BPA, Division of Fish and Wildlife), who served as BPA project manager, Larry Goodrow (Executive Director, Spokane Tribe of Indians and UCUT Administrator), and Carol Evans (Accountant, Spokane Tribe of Indians), for administration of these contracts.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

ABSTRACT ..... ii
ACKNOWLEDGMENTS ..... $1 f 1$
1.0 INTRODUCTION ..... 1
1.1 Project History ..... 1
1.2 Kokanee and Rainbow Stocking History ..... 2
1.31993 Study Objectives ..... 3
2.0 MATERIALS AND METHODS ..... 5
2.1 Study Area ..... 5
2.2 Creel Survey Design and Procedures ..... 5
2.3 Fisheries Surveys and Relative Abundance ..... 13
2.4 Age, Back Calculations and Condition Factors ..... 14
2.5 Feeding Habits ..... 15
3.0 RESULTS ..... 17
3.1 Creel Survey ..... 17
3.2 Fisheries Surveys and Relative Abundance ..... 28
3.3 Age, Back Calculations and Condition Factors ..... 31
3.4 Feeding Habits ..... 34
4.0 DISCUSSION ..... 37
4.1 Historical Stocking and Lake Operations ..... 37
4.2 Creel Survey Trends ..... 39
4.2.1 Rainbow trout ..... 39
4.2.2 Kokanee salmon ..... 42
4.2.3 Walleye ..... 43
4.3 Growth and Feeding ..... 45
5.0 RECOMMENDATIONS AND RESEARCH NEEDS ..... 46
LITERATURE CITED ..... 47
APPENDIX A Creel Data A-1
APPENDIX B Fisheries Surveys ..... B-I
APPENDIX C Feeding Habits ..... C-I

### 1.0 INTRODUCTION

### 1.1 Project History

The Lake Roosevelt Monitoring Program (Monitoring Program) began to collect baseline fisheries data in 1988. Data collected from 1988 through 1990 prior to Sherman Greek and Spokane Tribal Hatcheries going on line was considered baseline pre-hatchery data. Tasks of the Monitoring Program were: to conduct a year round reservoir wide creel survey; sample the fishery by electroshocking boat during spring, summer and fall; collect limnological parameters on the lake (i.e. temperature, pH , redox); collect food availability data (e.g. zooplankton); and to collect fishery diet, length, weight and age information. The data generated from sampling was analyzed to determine food availability, utilization and preferences, growth rates, and angler use information (e.g. harvest). The 1988 / 89 and 1990 annual reports contain pre-hatchery fisheries data and their accompanying analyses (Peone et al. 1990, and Griffith and Scholz 1991).

Large scale stocking of kokanee salmon began in 1991. Data collected from 1991 to present was considered post-stocking information. Data collection methods used during post-stocking were similar to those used in pre-stocking. The annual reports for these years contain a comparison of the fishery before and after the stocking of kokanee into Lake Roosevelt. These reports focus on changes in the lake biota and fishery, attempting to identify whether changes were due to kokanee stocking, lake operations or other unforeseen reasons (Thatcher et al. 1993, and Thatcher et al. 1994).

An additional aspect was added to the scope of the Monitoring Program during 1991. In order to determine the best kokanee stocking strategy, a better understanding of kokanee imprinting was needed. Since imprinting and smoltification are believed to be linked, physiological tests to determine kokanee smoltification timing and extent were conducted. The goal of these experiments was to develop imprinting methods which maximized the homing of kokanee back to release sites. Field tests were also conducted by exposing kokanee at different life stages to various imprinting chemicals. These artificially imprinted fish were then coded wire tagged prior to stocking. Later, kokanee adults were collected during the spawning period and checked for tags to determine which life stage exposed to imprinting chemicals homed better to its release site. Scholz et al. (1992 and 1993), and Tilson et al. (1994) wrote supplemental reports to the Monitoring Program on kokance
imprinting and recommend kokanee stocking strategies which maximize homing to egg collection sites.

During 1991, another project was started on Lake Roosevelt called the Lake Roosevelt Data Collection Project (Data Collection Project). This project was funded through the Systems Operation Review process which sought to develop an operational scenario of the Federal Columbia River Hydropower System which minimized impacts to all stakeholders of the Columbia River. The objective of the Data Collection Project was to build a biological model of the lake to determine which lake operation best suited the biota of the lake. The Monitoring Program and the Data Collection Project were dependent upon one another for data in order to complete each project's respective analysis. As a result, much of the data reported by the Monitoring Program is also reported by the Data Collection Project. The Monitoring Program was primarily concerned with kokanee and the effect of stocking on the ecosystem, while the Data Collection Project was involved in studying the effect of lake operations on the biota. Griffith et al. (1991), Griffith and McDowell (1993) and Voeller (1996) wrote the annual reports for the Data Collection Project.

### 1.2 History of Kokanee and Rainbow Trout Stocking

From 1988 to 1990, kokanee reared at the Ford Hatchery by the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife were stocked into Lake Roosevelt. Approximately 750,000 kokanee fry were stocked into Sherman Creek and 100,000 kokanee fry were stocked into the Spokane River at Little Falls Dam each year during July or May. Rainbow trout (Oncorhynchus mykiss) fry were provided to the Lake Roosevelt Net Pen Program by the Spokane Hatchery (WDFW operated) from 1986 to 1990. The number of rainbow trout provided by the Spokane Hatchery began at 50,000 increasing to 276,500 by 1990 . The rainbow trout were stocked in net pens during October. The rainbow trout held in the net pens until May or June and then released as yearlings. The Net Pen Program was operated by the Lake Roosevelt Developmental Association, a nonprofit volunteer group.

The Spokane Tribal Hatchery went on line in 1990 and began stocking kokanee and rainbow trout into Lake Roosevelt in 1991. The Sherman Creek Hatchery began rearing and releasing kokanee in 1992. Construction and operation of these hatcheries was funded by the Bonneville Power Administration as partial mitigation for the loss of anadromous salmon and steelhead. The loss occurred in the early 1940's when the Grand Coulee Dam
was installed. The dam was not equipped with a fish ladder, thus blocking the migration path of anadromous salmon and steelhead. The blockage caused the permanent loss of anadromous stocks upstream from the dam.

The Spokane Tribal hatchery was a full production facility operated by the Spokane Tribe and located on their reservation. The Sherman Creek Hatchery was a part time (spring to fall) rearing facility operated by the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife and located near Kettle Falls, Washington. The Sherman Creek Hatchery imprinted juvenile kokanee to the creek water, then released the juveniles and collects eggs from returning adults. The collected eggs were transferred to the Spokane Tribal Hatchery for incubation and rearing. A portion of the kokance reared in the Spokane Tribal Hatchery were transferred to Sherman Creek Hatchery in early Spring for imprinting and later released. The hatcheries original production goals were 8 million kokanee salmon fry for release into Lake Roosevelt and 500,000 rainbow trout fry for the Lake Roosevelt Net Pen Program. However, due to a limited water supply at the Spokane Tribal Hatchery, approximately 2.5 million kokanee and 250,000 rainbow trout fry have been released annually.

### 1.31993 Study Objectives

Objectives of the Lake Roosevelt Monitoring for 1993 were as follows:

1) Year round reservoir wide creel survey of Lake Roosevelt;
2) Fishery surveys by electrofishing and gill nets during May, August and October to obtain relative abundance and meristic measures;
3) Determine diet of kokanee, rainbow trout and walleye;
4) Back calculate length at age using scales from kokanee, rainbow trout and walleye; and
5) Compare and contrast data collected during 1993 with previous years to identify changes in the fishery.

### 2.0 MATERIALS AND METHODS

### 2.1 Study Area

Lake Roosevelt is a mainstem Columbia River impoundment formed by the installation of Grand Coulee Dam in 1939 (Figure 2.1). Filled in 1941, the reservoir inundated 33,490 hectares at a full pool elevation of $393 \mathrm{~m}(1,290 \mathrm{ft})$ above mean sea level. It has a maximum width of 3.4 km and a maximum depth of 122 m (Stober et al. 1981).

### 2.2 Creel Design and Procedures

A two-stage probability sampling scheme was used to determine annual fishing pressure, catch-per-unit-effort (CPUE), and sport fish harvest by species on Lake Roosevelt (Lambou 1961;1966, Malvestuto 1983). Creel surveys were conducted at Spokane and Colville tribal campgrounds and National Park Service boat launches for a total of 48 survey locations (Figure 2.1).

Three creel clerks were employed to interview anglers at access points along Lake Roosevelt according to monthly schedules for an average of 21 days per month for each creel clerk. Creel schedules consisted of instantaneous pressure counts of the entire reservoir and effort counts at access points. Schedules were constructed by dividing each month into weekday and weekend/holiday stratum. Four weekdays and four weekend/holidays were randomly selected to schedule pressure counts and remaining days were scheduled as effort counts. Days were stratified into a.m. (sunrise to 12:00) and p.m. (12:00 to sunset) time periods. Four air flights (one flight per stratum) were scheduled to coincide with pressure counts. Index cards printed with major access locations were randomly drawn from a hat to establish the schedules. Similar cards were also used to randomly determine the date, time of day, and major access location to be checked by any given creel clerk. Location cards were used once for weekend/holiday stratum and twice for weekday stratum. Effort count schedules were different for each creel clerk.

During each a.m. and p.m. instantaneous pressure count, boat trailer and shore angler counts were recorded at all access points along the reservoir by all three creel clerks. No


Figure 2.1. Map of Lake Roosevelt, Washington. " 4 " indicates fish sampling stations.
interviews were performed during instantaneous pressure counts. The number of boats on the water and the number of shore anglers were counted concurrently during aerial surveys of the reservoir using a Cessna 172 aircraft.

During each a.m. and p.m. effort count, boat trailers and shore anglers were counted. Interview data collected included: angler type, hours fished, completed nip, satisfaction, zip code of origin, target species, and number of fish caught and released. Fish harvested were identified to species, measured in millimeters, weighed in grams and examined for floy tags, fin clips, and physical markings such as eroded pectoral and pelvic fins, and stubbed dorsal fins. Physical marks were used to differentiate rainbow trout of net-pen or hatchery origin from wild fish. Scale samples were collected from representative kokanee, rainbow trout, and walleye, and stomach samples were collected from kokanee.
Additionally, incoming boaters were surveyed to determine the number of boats angling and the number of anglers per boat.

A correction factor accounting for boats on the water versus boat trailer at access points was developed by conducting aerial surveys. The correction factor was used to estimate the number of boat anglers on Lake Roosevelt for each stratum. The formulas below were used to determine the number of boat anglers per day for each day type (weekday or weekend/holiday) and section (creel clerk areas: see Figure 2.1) strata:

Boat count data from air flights was compared to boat trailer counts on land by creel clerks on the same day to develop a boat correction factor for each stratum per month. The products of the following formula were averaged by stratum with 1990 through 1993 data to obtain yearly means:

$$
C F_{b}=\left(\frac{B_{a}}{B_{c}}\right)
$$

## Where:

$C F_{b}=$ boat trailer correction factor for each stratum per month,
$\boldsymbol{B}_{\boldsymbol{a}}=$ boat count from air survey for each stratum; and $\boldsymbol{B}_{\boldsymbol{C}}=$ number of boat trailers counted by creel clerks during air flights for each stratum.

The number of boats on the reservoir for each stratum per month was calculated by the formula:

$$
T_{b}=\left(C_{b t}\right)\left(C F_{b}\right)
$$

Where:

$$
\begin{aligned}
T_{b}= & \text { number of boats on the water for each stratum per } \\
& \text { month, } \\
C_{b t}= & \text { mean boat trailer count from pressure counts for each } \\
& \text { stratum per month; and } \\
C F_{b}= & \text { boat trailer correction factor for each stratum per } \\
& \text { month. }
\end{aligned}
$$

The number of boats fishing for each stratum per month was calculated by the formula:

$$
B_{f}=\left(T_{b}\right)\left(\% B_{f}\right)
$$

Where:

$$
\begin{aligned}
B_{f} & =\text { number of boats fishing for each stratum per month, } \\
T_{b} & =\text { number of boats on the water for each stratum per } \\
\%_{f f} & =\begin{array}{l}
\text { month; and } \\
\\
\\
\\
\text { (number of is in decimal form). }
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
$$

The adjusted mean number of boat anglers per day for each stratum per month was estimated using the formula:

$$
X_{d}=(A d)\left(B_{f}\right)
$$

Where:

$$
\begin{aligned}
X_{d} & =\begin{array}{l}
\text { adjusted mean number of anglers per boat per day for } \\
\text { each stratum per month; }
\end{array} \\
\boldsymbol{A d} & =\begin{array}{l}
\text { mean number of anglers per boat from effort counts for } \\
\text { each stratum per month, and }
\end{array} \\
\boldsymbol{B f}_{f} & =\text { number of boats fishing for each stratum per month. }
\end{aligned}
$$

Statistical sampling formulas were used to calculate stratum estimates and confidence intervals for angling pressure, CPUE and harvest (Lewis 1975, Wonnacott and Wonnacott 1977, Mendel and Schuck 1987, and Williams et al. 1989).

For each day (weekday or weekend/holiday) and section (creel clerk) stratum the following formulas were used to determine the number of hours sampled for each stratum per month:

$$
N_{s}=\left(D_{s}\right)\left(H_{d}\right)
$$

Where:

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rl}
N_{s} & =\text { number of hours for each stratum per month; } \\
D_{s} & =\text { number of days per month within the }
\end{array}\right\}
$$

The number of hours sampled for each stratum per month was estimated using the formula:

$$
n=\sum_{i=1}^{D_{s}}\left(H_{c i}\right)
$$

Where:

$$
\begin{aligned}
n= & \text { number of hours sampled for each stratum per month; } \\
D_{S}= & \text { number of days per month within each stratum; and } \\
H_{C i}= & \text { mean number of hours creeled per day for each stratum } \\
& \text { per month. }
\end{aligned}
$$

The number of shore anglers per day for each stratum per month was estimated using the formula:

$$
X d=\sum_{i=1}^{P d}(S p i)
$$

Where:
$\boldsymbol{X} \boldsymbol{d}=$ mean number of shore anglers per day for each stratum per month from pressure counts;
$P_{\boldsymbol{d}}=$ number of pressure counts conducted for each stratum per month; and
$S_{p i}=$ total number of shore anglers counted during pressure counts for each stratum per month.

The mean number of anglers (boat or shore) for each stratum per month was estimated using the formula:

$$
X_{s}=\left(X_{d}\right)\left(D_{s}\right)
$$

Where:
$X_{\boldsymbol{S}}=$ mean number of anglers for each stratum per month;
$X_{\boldsymbol{d}}=$ mean number of anglers for each stratum per day; and
$D_{S}=$ number of days per month within the stratum.

The standard deviation of anglers (boat or shore) for each stratum per month was estimated using the formula:

$$
S_{s}=\left(S_{d}\right)\left(D_{s}\right)
$$

Where:
$S_{S}=$ standard deviation of anglers for each stratum per
month,
$S_{d}=$ standard deviation of anglers per day for each stratum

$D_{S}=$ per month; and

The mean number of angler hours per angler for each stratum was estimated using the formula:

$$
H_{a}=\left(\frac{T_{h}}{A_{i}}\right)
$$

Where:

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rl}
H_{a}= & \text { mean number of angler hours per angler for each } \\
T_{h}= & \text { stratum per month, } \\
\text { total hours spent fishing for each stratum per month; } \\
\text { and }
\end{array}\right]
$$

Pressure was estimated for day stratum (week day or weekend/holiday) and stratum time (a.m. or p.m.) for boat and shore anglers for each month by the formula:

$$
P E_{s}=\left(\frac{N_{s}}{n}\right)\left(X_{s}\right)\left(H_{a}\right)
$$

where:

$$
\begin{aligned}
P E_{S} & =\text { pressure estimate for each stratum per month; } \\
N_{S} & =\text { number of hours for each stratum per month; } \\
n & =\text { number of hours sampled for each stratum per month; } \\
X_{S} & =\text { mean number of anglers for each stratum per month; } \\
H_{a} & =\begin{array}{l}
\text { and } \\
\\
\\
\\
\text { stratum number of angler hours per angler for each } \\
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
$$

The variance of the pressure estimate for each stratum per month was calculated by:
where:

$$
V P E_{s}=\left(\frac{N_{s}}{n}\right) S_{s} 2
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
V P E_{S} & =\text { variance of pressure estimate for each stratum per } \\
& \text { month, } \\
N_{\boldsymbol{S}} & =\text { number of hours for each stratum per month; } \\
n & =\text { number of hours sampled for each stratum per month, } \\
& \text { and } \\
S_{S} & =\begin{array}{l}
\text { standard deviation of mean number of angler hours for } \\
\\
\\
\text { each stratum per month. }
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
$$

Ninety-five percent confidence intervals for each stratum per month were calculated by:

## C.I. $=P E \pm \sqrt{\left(V P E_{s}\right) 1.96}$

where:

$$
\begin{aligned}
C . I .= & 95 \% \text { confidence intervals for each stratum per month; } \\
P E= & \text { pressure estimate for each stratum per month, and } \\
V P E_{S}= & \text { variance of the pressure estimate for each stratum } \\
& \text { per month. }
\end{aligned}
$$

Monthly angler pressure and $95 \%$ C.I. estimates were calculated by summing the eight stratum values for angler pressure and summing the $95 \%$ C.I. Annual angler pressure and $95 \%$ C.I. estimates were calculated by summing monthly angler pressure estimates and 95\% C.I. estimates. If data gaps existed in any strata the quarterly average was used to fill the gap.

Studies by Fletcher (1988) and Malvestuto et al. (1978) have shown that CPUE values calculated independently from complete and incomplete nip data are not statistically different. Therefore, complete and incomplete angler trips were used to compute CPUE for fish species in each stratum CPUE was calculated independently for fish captured (kept and released) and fish harvested (kept) for each stratum for the month by the formula:

$$
\text { CPUE }=\left(\frac{F}{T_{h}}\right)
$$

where:
CPUE = Catch per unit effort of a particular fish species for each stratum per month;
$\boldsymbol{F}=$ number of fish captured (harvested) for each stratum per month; and
$T_{h}=$ total hours spent fishing for each stratum per month.

Monthly CPUE of a particular fish species was calculated by dividing the total catch for the entire month (all stratum) by the total angler hours (all stratum). Annual CPUE values of a particular fish species were calculated by averaging the monthly values.

Harvest of fish species was determined for each stratum per month by the formula:

$$
\text { Harvest }=\left(H_{c p u e}\right)\left(P E_{s}\right)
$$

where:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { Harvest }= & \begin{array}{l}
\text { harvest of a particular fish species for each stratum per } \\
\text { month, }
\end{array} \\
\text { Hcpue }= & \begin{array}{l}
\text { number of fish harvested of a particular fish species for } \\
\\
\\
\\
\text { each stratum per month for each stratum per month; } \\
\text { and }
\end{array} \\
P E_{S}= & \text { pressure estimate for each stratum per month. }
\end{aligned}
$$

Monthly harvest estimates for a particular fish species by stratum were combined to calculate a total monthly harvest estimate. Monthly harvest estimates were combined to calculate annual estimates for each fish species.

Data compiled by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service in 1980 and 1985, showed a typical angler spent $\$ 23.00$ /fishing trip in 1980 and $\$ 26.00$ /fishing trip in 1985 in inland waters of Washington State (USFWS 1989). To calculate current dollar amount spent by anglers per trip, the 1985 cost per fishing trip was adjusted for inflation using the regional consumer price index (CPI). The following formula was used:

$$
D_{93}=\left(\frac{C_{85} x C_{93}}{D_{85}}\right)
$$

where:

$$
\begin{aligned}
D 93= & \text { dollar value per fishing trip for the Lake Roosevelt } \\
& \text { Fishery in 1993; } \\
C 85= & \text { regional CPI for 1985; } \\
C 93= & \text { regional CPI for 1993; and } \\
D 85= & \text { dollar value per fishing trip for the Lake Roosevelt } \\
& \text { Fishery in } 1985(\$ 26.00) .
\end{aligned}
$$

The 1993 dollar value was multiplied by total number of angler trips in 1993 to provide an estimate of the economic value of the fishery. The number of angler trips per month was determined by dividing the total number of angler hours per month by the average length of a completed fishing trip for the month. Annual angler trips were calculated by summing monthly angler trip values.

### 2.3 Fisheries Surveys and Relative Abundance

Fishery samples were collected at nine index stations in the reservoir, which included: Kettle Falls, Gifford, Hunters, Porcupine Bay, Little Falls Dam, Seven Bays, Keller Ferry, Sanpoil, and Spring Canyon (Figure 2.1). Fishery data was collected at each index station over 24 hour periods. Principle target species included kokanee salmon, rainbow trout, and walleye, although all fish were captured in proportion to their relative abundance.

Relative abundance surveys were performed in littoral areas and tributaries by electrof' ishing 10 minute transects along 0.5 km of shoreline using SR-180 and SR-23 electrofishing boats (Smith Root, Inc., Vancouver, WA) according to procedures outlined by Reynolds (1983) and Novotany and Prigel(1974). Voltage was adjusted to produce a pulsating DC current of approximately 5 amperes. Fish were collected using dip nets and placed into live wells on the boat for examination and data collection. A minimum of six 10 minute transects were performed at each sample station.

Additional relative abundance surveys were performed in pelagic zones with bottom and surface monofilament gillnets using methodologies described by Hubert (1983). The following gillnets were used: two horizontal surface set gillnets measuring 61 m in length by 6.1 m deep, with four 15.2 m long panels graded from 1.3 to 7.6 cm stretch mesh; and two horizontal bottom set gillnets measuring 61 m in length by 6.1 m deep, with four 15.2 m long panels graded from 1.3 to 8.9 cm stretch mesh. Gillnets were set in early afternoon (2:00 p.m.), checked at sunset, and pulled at 10:00 p.m. Nets were managed this way to collect fresh fish for stomach samples.

Fish captured were identified by species using the taxonomic key of Wydoski and Whitney (1979). Total lengths were measured to the nearest millimeter using a metric measuring board and scale samples were removed from target fish species to determine age and growth. Target species were weighed to the nearest gram using an electronic balance. Sexes were determined when possible. Stomach samples were collected from representative sizes of target species. Remaining fish were marked with floy tags and released.

### 2.4 Age, Back Calculations and Condition Factor

In the field, scales were taken from appropriate locations for each species as described by Jearld (1983) and placed in coin envelopes labeled with fish number, length, weight, location, date, and species for later analysis. In the laboratory, back-calculation measurements and age class of each fish were determined simultaneously. To obtain data, scales were removed from the envelope and placed between two microscope slides. Slides were then placed in a Realist Vantage 5, Model 3315 microfiche reader. Scale images were projected onto the screen and a non-regenerated, uniform scale was selected to determine age and back calculate length at age. Age was determined by counting the number of annuli (Jearld 1983). For back calculations, the annulus distance was measured from the origin of the scale to the last circuli of each respective annulus. Each measurement was made under constant magnification to the nearest millimeter.

Lee's back-calculation method was used to determine the length of the fish at the formation of each annulus (Carlander 1950, 1981; Hile 1970). However, due to a small number of samples the " y " intercept was assumed to be zero.

Back-calculations were computed using the formula:

$$
L_{i}=a+\left(\frac{L_{c}-a}{S_{c}}\right) S_{i}
$$

where:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& L_{i}=\text { length of fish (in } \mathrm{mm}) \text { at each annulus formation; } \\
& a=\text { intercept of the body-scale regression line; } \\
& L_{c}=\text { length of fish (in mm) at time of capture; } \\
& S_{c}=\text { distance (in mm) from the focus to the edge of the } \\
& S_{i}=\text { scale; and } \\
& \text { scale measurement to each annulus. }
\end{aligned}
$$

Condition factors were determined for each fish to serve as an indicator of fish condition (Hile 1970, Everhart and Youngs 1981). Condition factor describes how a fish adds weight in relation to incremental changes in length. The relationship is shown by the
formula:

$$
K_{\pi Z}=\left(\frac{w}{l^{3}}\right) 10^{5}
$$

where:
$K_{T L}=$ condition factor,
w = weight of fish (g); and
$l=$ total length of fish (mm).

### 2.5 Feeding Habits

Fish stomachs were collected from kokanee, rainbow, and walleye at each index station. Additional kokanee stomachs were obtained by creel clerks from anglers throughout the year. Stomachs from representative sizes of fish were collected by making an incision into the body cavity, cutting the esophagus, and pinching the pyloric sphincter. The esophagus was clamped to keep prey items from being expelled and the stomach was placed in $10 \%$ formalin.

In the laboratory, stomachs were transferred to a $70 \%$ isopropyl alcohol solution. Contents were identified and enumerated by taxa using the taxonomic keys of Brooks (1957), Ward and Whipple (1966), Borror et al. (1976), Ruttner-Kolisko (1974), Edmonds et al. (1976), Wiggins (1977), Pennak (1978, 1989), and Merritt and Cummins (1984). Food organisms were identified using a Nikon SMZ- 1B dissecting microscope equipped with a fiber optics illumination system and 5 mm ocular micrometer.

Dry weights were obtained by drying sorted stomach contents in an oven at $105^{\circ}$ for 24 hours on a cellulose pad and weighing them on a Sartorius Model H51 analytical balance to the nearest 0.0001 g (Weber 1973, APHA 1976). Weight values were combined for each age class, annual mean and standard deviation.

Index of relative importance values were used to compensate for numerical estimate biases that tend to overemphasize small prey groups consumed in large numbers and weight estimate biases that overemphasize large prey items consumed in small numbers (Bowen 1983). The index of relative importance (George and Hadley 1979) was calculated using the formula:

$$
R l_{a}=\frac{100 A l_{a}}{\sum_{a=1}^{n} A l_{a}}
$$

where:

$$
\begin{aligned}
R I_{a}= & \text { relative importance of food item a; } \\
A I_{a}= & \text { absolute importance of food item a (i.e., frequency of } \\
& \text { occurrence }+ \text { numerical frequency }+ \text { weight frequency } \\
& \text { of food item a); and } \\
n= & \text { number of different food types. }
\end{aligned}
$$

Relative importance values range from zero to $100 \%$ with prey items near zero being relatively less important than those prey items near one hundred percent.

Diet overlap was calculated to determine the degree to which intra and inter species competition exists in Lake Roosevelt. Fish diet overlaps were computed by using the overlap formula of Morisita (1959) as modified by Horn (1966). Overlap values were based upon indices obtained from IRI calculations. Overlap index was expressed in the equation:

$$
C_{x}=\frac{2 \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(P_{x i} x P_{y i}\right)}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} P_{x i} 2+\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{y i} 2}
$$

where: $\quad C_{X}=$ overlap coefficient;
$n=$ number of food categories;
$P_{x i}=$ proportion of food category (i) in the diet of species x ; and
$P_{y i}=$ proportion of food category (i) in the diet of species y.
Overlap coefficients were computed using IRI values in the equation for the variables Pxi and Pyi. Overlap coeffkients range from 0 (no overlap) to 1 (complete overlap). Values of less than 0.3 are considered low and values greater than 0.7 indicate high overlap (Peterson and Martin-Robichaud 1982). High diet overlap indices may indicate competition if food items utilized by the species are limited (MacArthur 1968), or there may be an abundant food supply and therefore competition does not exist.

### 3.0 RESULTS

### 3.1 Creel Data

The angler pressure (hours fished) estimates for Lake Roosevelt are reported in Table 3.1 by section and month. Appendix A reports the pressure estimates by the lowest stratification levels.

The results of the creel analyses are reported for the time period December 1992 through November 1993. December 1992 was included in this report so that quarterly averages could be used to fill data gaps at the lowest stratification level. Quarters were split into December 1992 through February 1993 (winter), March 1993 through May 1993 (spring), June 1993 through August 1993 (summer), and September 1993 through November 1993 (fall). Quarters were established based on historic weather trends and angler use of the fishery. For example, a quarterly average was used is no boat anglers were surveyed during the month of January on a weekend in Section 1, but boat trailers were counted at the access points during the weekends. Since no boat anglers were surveyed, we were unable to estimate the average number of hours fished by boat anglers on a weekend in January without using some other means to estimate the number of boat angler hours. As a result, the quarterly average was used to fill the data hole, "weekend boat angler".

Pressure estimates made for Section 1 during the months of March and Augusts were not estimated from data collected during those months. The data was misplaced, so we used the average between the month prior to and immediately after March and August to obtain a pressure estimate for that month.

During the months of March and May in Section 2 few data points were collected causing an inflation in the estimated hours spent fishing in Section 2. Thus, Section 2 March and May estimates must be viewed with caution.

The number of angler trips to Lake Roosevelt were estimated by dividing the estimated number of angler hours fished by the mean trip length for each section and month (Table 3.2). The total number of trips estimated from the period December 1992 through

Table 3.1. Total monthly angler pressure estimates in hours ( $\pm \mathbf{9 5 \%}$ CI), by creel section on Lake Roosevelt from December 1992 through November 1993.

|  | Section |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | Total |
| Dec | $3,120 \pm 216$ | $32,470 \pm 681$ | $1,310 \pm 89$ | $36,900 \pm 986$ |
| Jan | $1,637 \pm \mathbf{2 1 3}$ | $9,721 \pm 1,242$ | $2,439 \pm 4 \%$ | $13,79741,951$ |
| Feb | $2,305 \pm 265$ | $14,621 \pm 2,203$ | $14,543 \pm 1,273$ | $31,469 \pm 3,741$ |
| Mar | $2,327 \pm 229$ | $228,846 \pm 7,919$ | $11,720 \pm 915$ | $242,89349,063$ |
| Apr | $4,450 \mathrm{f} 213$ | $66,906 \pm 2,091$ | $20,262 \pm 517$ | $\mathbf{9 1 , 6 1 8} \pm \mathbf{2 , 8 2 1}$ |
| May | $30,835 \pm 1,134$ | $376,943 \pm 8,866$ | $122,763 \pm 5,501$ | $\mathbf{5 3 0 , 5 4 1} \pm \mathbf{1 5 , 5 0 1}$ |
| Jun | $32,828 \pm 1,218$ | $47,671 \pm 2,200$ | $205,096 \pm 6,126$ | $285,595 \pm 9,544$ |
| Jul | $30,295 \pm 1,573$ | $49,877 \pm 2,278$ | $108,073 \pm 4,398$ | $188,245 \pm 8,249$ |
| Aug | $24,785 \pm 1,085$ | $19,145 \pm 803$ | $321,251 \pm 9,856$ | $\mathbf{3 6 5 , 1 8 1} \pm \mathbf{1 1 , 7 4 4}$ |
| Sep | $14,305 \pm 763$ | $46,018 \pm 3,731$ | $82,320 \pm 4,700$ | $142,643 \pm 9,194$ |
| Oct | $11,497 \pm 711$ | $461,586 \pm 8,851$ | $24,292 \pm 993$ | $497,375 \pm 10,555$ |
| Nov | $14,140 \pm 756$ | $51,210 \pm 1,855$ | $8,576 \pm 829$ | $73,926 \pm \mathbf{3 , 4 4 0}$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 7 2 , 5 2 4} \pm \mathbf{8 , 3 7 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 4 0 5 , 0 1 4} \pm \mathbf{4 2 , 7 2 0}$ | $\mathbf{9 2 2 , 6 4 5} \pm \mathbf{3 5 , 6 9 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 , 5 0 0 , 1 8 3} \pm \mathbf{8 6 , 7 8 9}$ |

* estimated by averaging the months prior to and after the marked month.

November 1993 was 594,508 angler trips. The greatest number of trips regardless of section were during May with 121,578 trips, October with 93,267 trips and 84,688 trips in August. A total of 28,868 trips were made in Section 1, 325,784 angler trips in Section 2 and 239,856 trips in Section 3.

Table 3.3 reports the harvest rates by catch per unit effort (CPUE) for fisk harvested during 1993. The annual mean harvest rate for rainbow trout was 0.0162 HPUE ( 6.2 angler hrs/fish); 0.079 HPUE (12.7 angler hrs/fish) for walleye; 0.063 (15.8 angler

Table 3.2. Angler trip estimates by section based on angler hours (hrs) and average trip length for Lake Roosevelt from December 1992 through November 1993.

|  | Section | Mean Trip Length | No. Angler Hours | No. Angler Trips |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| December | 1 | 4.88 | 3,120 | 639 |
|  | 2 | 2.73 | 32,470 | 11,915 |
|  | 3 | 2.49 | 1,310 | 527 |
| January | 1 | 4.96 | 1,637 | 330 |
|  | 2 | 2.82 | 9,721 | 3,443 |
|  | 3 | 2.28 | 2,439 | 1,071 |
| February | 1 | 4.61 | 2,305 | 500 |
|  | 2 | 1.26 | 14,621 | 11,619 |
|  | 3 | 2.45 | 14,543 | 5,948 |
| March | 1 | 4.87 | 2,327 | 478 |
|  | 2 | 4.53 | 228,846 | 50,532 |
|  | 3 | 1.94 | 11,720 | 6,045 |
| April | 1 | 5.46 | 4,450 | 816 |
|  | 2 | 2.35 | 66,906 | 28,496 |
|  | 3 | 2.39 | 20,262 | 8,479 |
| May | 1 | 5.84 | 30,835 | 5,283 |
|  | 2 | 4.86 | 376,943 | 77,618 |
|  |  | 3.17 | $122,763$ | 38,677 |
| June |  | 6.24 | 32,828 | 5,261 |
|  | 2 | 3.69 | 47,671 | 12,924 |
|  | 3 | 7.33 | 205,096 | 27,984 |
| July | 1 | 6.10 | 30,295 | 4,967 |
|  | 2 | 3.71 | 49,877 | 13,429 |
|  | 3 | 3.04 | 108,073 | 35,534 |
| August | 1 | 6.21 | 24,785 | 3,989 |
|  | 2 | 3.51 | 19,145 | 5,453 |
|  | 3 | 4.16 | 321,251 | 77,246 |
| September |  | 6.28 | 14,305 | 2,277 |
|  | 2 | $3.30$ | $46,018$ | 13,927 |
|  | 3 | 3.11 | 82,320 | 26,486 |
| October | 1 | 5.91 | 11,497 | 1,946 |
|  | 2 | 5.55 | 461,586 | 83,100 |
|  | 3 | 2.96 | 24,292 | 8,221 |
| November |  | 5.94 | 14,140 | $2,382$ |
|  | 2 | 3.84 | 51,210 | 13,328 |
|  | 3 | 2.36 | 8,576 | 13,328 3,638 |
| Total |  | 4.09 | 2,500,181 | 594,508 |

hrs/fish) for smallmouth bass and 0.007 HPUE (142 angler hrs/fish) for kokanee. Section 3 had the quickest harvest rate for rainbow trout ( 0.338 HPUE ( 3.0 angler hrs/fish) ) and smallmouth bass ( 0.053 HPUE (18.9 angler hrs/fish)). Section 1 had the quickest mean annual harvest rate for walleye ( 0.129 HPUE (7.8 angler hrs/fish)) and Section 2 had the shortest for kokanee (0.013 HPUE (73 angler hrs/fish)).

The harvest/catch per unit of effort reported in this paper is somewhat missleading because the total number of angler hours spent fishing regardless of target species was used. The reason angler hours were not split by target species was to maintain consistency between previous reports. As a result, the number of hours spent fishing per fish harvested was over-estimated (Thatcher et al. 1992, 1993). For example, anglers fishing for kokanee were unlikely to harvest sturgeon or smallmouth bass. On the other hand, anglers fishing for kokanee were likely to catch rainbow trout. Furthermore, anglers targeting rainbow trout were likely to catch walleye or kokanee. Appendix A contains CPUE data by section, month and species.

The 1993 catch (kept and released fish) estimates were similar to harvested estimates (Table 3.4). There were two major differences between catch and harvest rates. The first was walleye harvest was 0.079 HPUE ( 12.7 angler hrs/fish), but catch was 0.120 CPUE (8.3 angler hrs/fish). The difference between the harvest and catch rates for walleye were due to a slot limit enforced by the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife. The slot limit was walleye between 16 and 20 inches had to be released and only one fish over 20 inches could be kept. This meant that alphough it took 8.3 hours to catch a walleye anglers had to release those which were not in the slot limit. Therefore, harvest was higher at 12.7 hrs/fish. The second difference between catch and harvest rates was the catch rate for fish other than kokanee, rainbow trout, walleye, smallmouth bass and sturgeon increased from greater than 1,000 angler hours per "other fish" harvested to 250 hours spent per "other fish" caught. A majority of the fish in the "other" category were yellow perch, but suckers, carp and lake whitefish were also in the catch. This means that the majority of other species caught were "trash fish".

The largest contribution to the fishery in terms of harvest (kept only) numbers were rainbow trout $(398,943$ fish) followed by walleye $(307,663)$ and smallmouth bass $(103,687)$. Kokanee harvest estimates were 13,960 fish. The majority of the rainbow trout $(238,533)$, kokanee $(7,435)$ and smallmouth bass $(94,232)$ harvested were in Section

Table 3.3. Harvest (kept fish) catch per unit effort (HPUE) by section from December 1992 through November 1993 at Lake Roosevelt.

|  | Section |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | Annual |
| kokanee | $<0.001$ | 0.013 | $\mathbf{0 . 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 0 7}$ |
| rainbow trout | $\mathbf{0 . 0 3 9}$ | 0.110 | $\mathbf{0 . 3 3 8}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 1 6 2}$ |
| walleye | 0.129 | $\mathbf{0 . 0 9 5}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 7 9}$ |
| smallmouth bass | $\mathbf{0 . 0 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 5 3}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 6 3}$ |
| sturgeon | $<0.001$ | 0.000 | 0.000 | $<\mathbf{0 . 0 0 1}$ |
| other species | 0.001 | 0.000 | 0.000 | $<\mathbf{0 . 0 0 1}$ |
| Annual HPUE | $\mathbf{0 . 0 2 9}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 3 8}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 6 9}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 5 2}$ |

Table 3.4. Catch (kept and released fish) catch per unit effort (CPUE) by section from December 1992 through November 1993 at Lake Roosevelt.

|  | Section |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | Annual |
| kokanee | $<0.001$ | 0.013 | $\mathbf{0 . 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 0 7}$ |
| rainbow trout | $\mathbf{0 . 0 3 9}$ | 0.112 | $\mathbf{0 . 3 4 5}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 1 6 5}$ |
| walleye | 0.210 | 0.133 | 0.016 | $\mathbf{0 . 1 2 0}$ |
| smallmouth bass | 0.011 | 0.014 | 0.183 | $\mathbf{0 . 0 6 9}$ |
| sturgeon | 0.001 | 0.000 | 0.000 | $<\mathbf{0 . 0 0 1}$ |
| other species | $\mathbf{0 . 0 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 0 4}$ |
| Annual CPUE | $\mathbf{0 . 0 4 4}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 4 7}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 9 3}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 6 1}$ |

3. The majority of walleye $(268,588)$ harvested were in Section 2 . Section 1 was the only section where sturgeon (66) were harvested. The estimated number of fish harvested with $95 \%$ confidence intervals are reported in Table 3.5. Appendix A also reports harvest by section, month and species.

The number of fish in the catch (kept and released) was similar to the harvest numbers. The two places of deviation were the walleye due to the slot limit and the other fish categories due to catching "trash fish". Table 3.6. identifies the catch numbers by section and species with $95 \%$ confidence intervals. Appendix A reports catch by section, month and species.

The lengths and weights with standard deviations by section and are reported in Table 3.7. The kokanee and rainbow trout observed in the creel appeared to be larger in Section 1 and 3 in comparison to Section 2. Walleye were larger in Section 1 than in Section 2 or 3. The

Table 3.5. Number of fish harvested (kept), with $\pm 95 \%$ confidence intervals, for Lake Roosevelt during December 1992 through November 1993.

|  | Section |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 |  |
| kokanee | $\begin{array}{r} 27 \\ ( \pm 1) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 6,498 \\ ( \pm 464) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 7,435 \\ ( \pm 322) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 13,960 \\ & ( \pm 787) \end{aligned}$ |
| rainbow trout | $\begin{array}{r} 7,071 \\ ( \pm 421) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 153,339 \\ ( \pm 4,689) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 238,533 \\ ( \pm 11,559) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 398,943 \\ ( \pm \mathbf{1 6 , 6 6 9}) \end{array}$ |
| walleye | $\begin{array}{r} 26,232 \\ ( \pm 1,098) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 268,588 \\ ( \pm 6,677) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 12,843 \\ & ( \pm 447) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 307,663 \\ ( \pm \mathbf{8 , 2 2 2}) \end{array}$ |
| smallmouth bass | $\begin{array}{r} 267 \\ ( \pm 15) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 9,188 \\ ( \pm 264) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 94,232 \\ ( \pm 3,577) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 103,687 \\ \mathbf{( \pm \mathbf { 3 } , \mathbf { 8 5 6 } )} \end{array}$ |
| sturgeon | $\begin{array}{r} 66 \\ ( \pm 3) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0 \\ ( \pm--) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0 \\ ( \pm--) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 66 \\ ( \pm 3) \end{array}$ |
| other species | $\begin{array}{r} 296 \\ ( \pm 11) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0 \\ ( \pm--) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0 \\ ( \pm--) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 296 \\ \mathbf{( 1 1 )} \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| Annual Harvest | $\begin{array}{r} 35,093 \\ ( \pm 1,628) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 448,777 \\ \mathbf{( \pm 1 2 , 3 2 8 )} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 353,042 \\ ( \pm 15,905) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 836,912 \\ ( \pm 29,861) \end{array}$ |

mean length of walleye in Section 1 had a mean length of $378 \mathrm{~mm}(\mathrm{n}=474)$ for fish in the smaller than 16 inch slot limit and fish harvested in the 20 inches slot limit had a mean length of $551 \mathrm{~mm}(\mathrm{n}=27)$. The mean length of walleye in Section 2 and Section 3 was smaller than walleye caught in Section 1. In Section 2 walleye smaller than 16 inches had a mean length of $319 \mathrm{~mm}(\mathrm{n}=73)$ and walleye larger than 20 inches had a mean length of $591 \mathrm{~mm}(\mathrm{n}=4)$. In Section 3 the mean length of walleye was $354 \mathrm{~mm}(\mathrm{n}=37)$ for walleye in the 16 inch or smaller slot limit and $508 \mathrm{~mm}(\mathrm{n}=1)$ for the 20 inch or larger slot limit.

Table 3.8 identifies the percent of anglers satisfied with the fishery by species, section and season. Based on annual figures a majority of anglers are satisfied with the

Table 3.6. Number of fish caught (kept and released), with $\pm \mathbf{9 5 \%}$ confidence intervals, for Lake Roosevelt during December 1992 through November 1993.

|  | Section |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 |  |
| kokanee | $\begin{array}{r} 53 \\ ( \pm 3) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 6,498 \\ ( \pm 464) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 7,435 \\ ( \pm 322) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{1 3 , 9 8 6} \\ & ( \pm 789) \end{aligned}$ |
| rainbow trout | $\begin{array}{r} 7,130 \\ ( \pm 423) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 153,750 \\ ( \pm 4,708) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 242,397 \\ ( \pm 11,710) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 403,277 \\ ( \pm 16,841) \end{array}$ |
| walleye | $\begin{array}{r} 43,407 \\ ( \pm 1,809) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 278,571 \\ ( \pm 7,135) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 15,435 \\ & ( \pm 525) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 337,413 \\ ( \pm 9,469) \end{gathered}$ |
| smallmouth bass | $\begin{array}{r} 2,747 \\ ( \pm 118) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 10,420 \\ & ( \pm 321) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 187,151 \\ ( \pm 6,602) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 200,318 \\ ( \pm 7,041) \end{array}$ |
| sturgeon | $\begin{gathered} 138 \\ ( \pm 6) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0 \\ ( \pm--) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0 \\ ( \pm--) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 138 \\ ( \pm 6) \end{array}$ |
| other species | $\begin{array}{r} 409 \\ ( \pm 16) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,438 \\ ( \pm 66) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3,050 \\ ( \pm 137) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4,897 \\ (\mathrm{f} 219) \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| Annual Catch | $\begin{array}{r} 54,991 \\ ( \pm 2,453) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 461,842 \\ ( \pm 12,927) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 455,438 \\ ( \pm 19,297) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 972,271 \\ ( \pm 34,677) \end{array}$ |

kokanee ( $78 \%$ ), rainbow trout ( $58 \%$ ) and walleye ( $65 \%$ ) fisheries. However, the rainbow fishery in Section 1 did not appear to be doing well. The majority of rainbow trout anglers in Section 1 were not satisfied. Also, summer and fall periods were less satisfying periods than spring and winter for kokanee anglers regardless of section.

Of all the anglers who fished on Lake Roosevelt during 1993, 61\% targeted rainbow, 29\% targeted walleye, $5 \%$ targeted kokanee and the rest targeted other species (Table 3.9). The winter fishery consisted of mostly rainbow trout with a few kokanee anglers and no walleye anglers. The winter fishery appears to be primarily centered around Section 2. In spring, the rainbow fishery began tailing off in Section 1 and the walleye fishery began

Table 3.7. Annual numbers ( $\mathbf{n}$ ), mean lengths ( mm ) and weights ( g ) with standard deviations for all fish harvested on Lake Roosevelt from December 1992 through November 1993.

|  | Kokanee | Rainbow | Walleye | Smallmouth Bass | Sturgeon | Yellow Perch |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sec 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ln | 1 | 177 | 548 | 11 | 12 | 7 |
| Wt | 1,829 967 | $913 \pm 38243 \pm 62$ | $464 \pm 197300 \pm 54$ | $261 \pm 102186 \pm 54$ | 1422 -- | $276274 \pm \pm 19$ |
| Sec 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | 8 | -- |  |
| Ln | $4591 \pm 94$ | $4181 \pm 261$ | $332 \pm 72$ | $257 \pm 47$ | -- | -- |
| Wt | $1,038 \pm 554$ | $921 \pm 358$ | $290 \pm 183$ | $252 \pm 162$ | -- | -- |
| Sec 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| n | 31 | 1,085 | 42 | 166 | -- | -- |
|  | $494 \pm 48$ | $483 \pm 63$ |  |  |  |  |
| k | $1,069 \pm 331$ | $1,068 \pm 195$ | $428366+569203$ | $184 \pm 238238 \pm 94$ | -.. | ... |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| n | 45 | 1,474 | 672 | 185 | 1 | 7 |
| Ln | $486 \pm 66$ | $471 \pm 72$ | $382 \pm 60$ | $187 \pm 59$ | 1422 | $274 \pm 19$ |
| Wt | $\mathbf{1 , 0 7 7} \pm 415$ | $833 \pm 253$ | $441 \pm 203$ | $239 \pm 203$ |  | $276 \pm 76$ |

Table 3.8. Percent of anglers satisfied with the fishery by species, section and season from December 1992 through November 1993.

|  | Kokanee |  | Rainbow trout |  | Walleye |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Section | Yes | No | Yes | No | Yes | No |
| Winter |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| One | -- | -- | 44\% | 65\% | -- | -- |
| Two | -- | -- | 91\% | 56\% | -- | -- |
| Three | 100\% | 0\% |  | 9\% | -- | -- |
| Spring |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Two |  | -- | 22\% | 78\% | 50\% | 50\% |
| Three | 100\% | 0\% | 91\% | 9\% | -- | -- |
| Summer |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| One | -- | -- | 43\% | 57\% | 70\% | 30\% |
| Two | 50\% | 50\% | 76\% | 24\% | 31\% | 69\% |
| Three | 59\% | 41\% | 70\% | 30\% | 100\% | 0\% |
| Fall |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| One | -- | -- | 41\% | 59\% | 69\% | 31\% |
| Two | 50\% | 50\% | 85\% | 15\% | 100\% | 0\% |
| Three | -- | -- | 11\% | 89\% | -- | -- |
| Qrtly Totals |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Winter | 100\% | 0\% | 63\% | 37\% | $\cdots$ | - |
| Spring | 100\% | 0\% | 78\% | 22\% | 66\% | 34\% |
| Summer | 57\% | 43\% | 66\% | 34\% | 64\% | 36\% |
| Fall | 50\% | 50\% | 31\% | 69\% | 66\% | 34\% |
| Annual Total | 78\% | 22\% | 58\% | 42\% | 65\% | 35\% |

Table 3.9. Percent of anglers targeting various fish species by section and season on Lake Roosevelt from December 1992 through November 1993.

| Quarter Section | Kokanee | Rainbow | Walleye | Other* | \% angling |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Winter |  |  |  |  |  |
| One | 0\% | 100\% | 0\% | 0\% | 6\% |
| Two | 0\% | 100\% | 0\% | 0\% | 31\% |
| Three | 7\% | 93\% | 0\% | 0\% | 6\% |
| Spring |  |  |  |  |  |
| One | 0\% | 19\% | 79\% | 2\% | 20\% |
| Two | 0\% | 72\% | 28\% | 0\% | 10\% |
| Three | 11\% | 89\% | 0\% | 0\% | 20\% |
| Summer |  |  |  |  |  |
| One | 0\% | 15\% | 84\% | 1\% | 39\% |
| Two | 8\% | 18\% | 74\% | 0\% | 39\% |
| Three | 9\% | 76\% | 7\% | 8\% | 39\% |
| Fall |  |  |  |  |  |
| One | 0\% | 57\% | 16\% | 26\% | 36\% |
| Two | 34\% | 56\% | 10\% | 0\% | 20\% |
| Three | 0\% | 100\% | 0\% | 0\% | 36\% |
| Qrtly Totals |  |  |  |  |  |
| Winter | 3\% | 97\% | 0\% | 0\% |  |
| Spring | $7 \%$ | 64\% | 28\% | 1\% |  |
| Summer | 5\% | 41\% | 50\% | 4\% |  |
| Fall | 4\% | 70\% | 11\% | 15\% |  |
| Annual Total | 5\% | 61\% | 29\% | 5\% |  |

picking up in Section 3. During the summer period, walleye anglers made up the majority in sections 1 and 2 and rainbow trout angler were the primary anglers in Section 3.
Kokanee anglers primarily utilized Section 2 and 3. During fall there was an increased number of kokanee anglers at Section 2, rainbow anglers also increased and the number of walleye decreased independent of section.

Table 3.10 shows the economic value of the sport fishery based on total number of angler trips of 594,504 at $\$ 34.77$ for each trip. The economic value was $\$ 20,671,043$.

Table 3.10 Economic value of the sport fishery at Lake Roosevelt during
December 1992 through November 1993.

|  | $\mathbf{1 9 8 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 3}$ |
| :--- | ---: | :---: |
| Consumer Price Index | $\$ 167.87$ | $\mathbf{\$ 2 2 4 . 5 0}$ |
| Dollars Spent per Angler Trip | $\mathbf{\$ 2 6 . 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 3 4 . 7 7}$ |
| Number of Angler Trips |  | $\mathbf{5 9 4 , 5 0 4}$ |
| Economic Value of Fishery | $\mathbf{\$ 2 0 , 6 7 1 , 0 4 3}$ |  |

### 3.2 Fisheries Surveys

Electrofishing and gillnet sets were used to estimate the relative abundance of each fish species in Lake Roosevelt. The most common fish species was the largescale sucker (Catostomus macrocheilus) at $46 \%$ based on all fish sampled (Table 3.11). The second most abundant fish was walleye (11\%) and yellow perch (11\%) followed by smalhnouth bass ( $9 \%$ ) and rainbow trout (11\%). Kokanee made up $1 \%$ of the sample.

The catch per unit effort, based on duration of effort only, was determined for electrofishing and gillnet surveys (Table 3.12). These efforts were from all sampling periods during 1993. The annual sampling effort was 833 minutes electrofishing and 5,646 minutes of gillnetting making a grand total of 6,479 minutes sampling effort. Unfortunately, mechanical breakdowns limited the frequency of sampling in 1993, resulting in missing all by one site in August and five of the nine sites in November. Hence, caution must be taken when comparing annual relative abundance and CPUE data with previous or future years. Appendix B lists the number captured, relative abundance, and CPUE by site, month and species.

Table 3.11 Relative abundance of fish collected by electrofishing boat and gillnets in Lake Roosevelt during 1993.

| Family species | $\begin{gathered} \text { Common } \\ \text { Name } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Electro- | Gillnet | Annual |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Catostomidae Catostomus macrocheilus | largescale sucker | 46\% | 16\% | 45\% |
| Centrarchidae Microptuerus dolomieui | smallmouth bass | 9\% | 0\% | 9\% |
| Cottidae Cottus beldingi | piute sculpin | 3\% | 0\% | 3\% |
| Cyprinidae Acrocheilus alutaceus Prychocheilus oregonensis | carp <br> squawfish | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \% \\ & 8 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \% \\ & 0 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{1 \%} \\ & \mathbf{8 \%} \end{aligned}$ |
| Gadidae Lota lota | burbot | 0\% | 7\% | cl \% |
| Ictaluridae Ictalurus nebulosus | brown bullhead | <1\% | 0\% | cl \% |
| Percidae Stizostedion vitreum vitreum Percaflavescens | walleye yellow perch | $\begin{aligned} & 11 \% \\ & 11 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 35 \% \\ 5 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 11 \% \\ & 11 \% \end{aligned}$ |
| Salmonidae | brown trout | $1 \%$ | 0\% | 1\% |
| Salmo trutta | brook trout | <1\% | 0\% | $<1 \%$ |
| Oncorhynchus tshawytscha | chinook salmon | <1\% | 0\% | <1\% |
| Oncorhynchus nerka | kokanee salmon | 1\% | 2\% | 1\% |
| Coregonus clupeaformis | lake whitefish | <1\% | 33\% | 1\% |
| Prosopium williamsoni | mt. whitefish | $<1 \%$ | 0\% | <1\% |
| Oncorhynchus mykiss | rainbow trout | 9\% | 2\% | 9\% |

Table 3.12. Catch per unit effort based on time (minutes) for fish captured by electrofishing boat or gillnets during 1993.

|  | Electrofish |  | Gillnet |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | CPUE | No. | CPUE | No. | CPUE | No. |
| largescale sucker | 1.203 | 1,002 | 0.001 | 7 | 0.156 | 1,009 |
| smallmouth bass | 0.248 | 207 | 0.000 | 0 | 0.032 | 207 |
| cothls spp. | 0.074 | 62 | 0.000 | 0 | 0.010 | 62 |
| carp | 0.026 | 22 | 0.000 | 0 | 0.003 | 22 |
| squawfish | 0.220 | 183 | 0.000 | 0 | 0.028 | 183 |
| burbot | 0.000 | 0 | 0.001 | 3 | 0.000 | 3 |
| brown bullhead | 0.004 | 3 | 0.000 | 0 | 0.000 | 3 |
| walleye | 0.280 | 233 | 0.003 | 5 | 0.038 | 248 |
| yellow perch | 0.294 | 245 | C0.001 | 2 | 0.038 | 247 |
| brown trout | 0.019 | 16 | 0.000 | 0 | 0.002 | 16 |
| brook trout | 0.002 | 2 | 0.000 | 0 | 0.000 | 2 |
| chinook salmon | 0.001 | 1 | 0.000 | 0 | 0.000 | 1 |
| kokanee salmon | 0.017 | 14 | 0.000 | 1 | 0.002 | 15 |
| lake whitefish | 0.001 | 1 | 0.002 | 14 | 0.002 | 15 |
| mountain whitefish | 0.002 | 2 | 0.000 | 0 | 0.000 | 2 |
| rainbow trout | 0.241 | 201 | C0.001 | 1 | 0.031 | 202 |
| Totals | 2.634 | ,194 | 0.008 | 43 | 0.345 | 2,237 |

### 3.3 Age, Back Calculations and Condition Factor

Length, weight and condition of kokanee collected by electrofishing or gillnet surveys are . reported in Table 3.13. The back calculated length at age is reported in table 3.14. The low number of kokanee sampled during 1993 resulted in limited length, weight, condition or back calculation data. Based on the two fish sampled condition appeared to be normal as was length and weight.

Table 3.13. Lengths, weights, and condition factors (mean $\pm$ standard deviation) of kokanee salmon collected during 1993.

| Age | n | Length (mm) | Weight (g) | Condition Factor |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{0 +}$ | - | $-\mathbf{I} \mathbf{L}-$ | $-*-$ | $- \pm-$ |
| $1+$ | - | $- \pm-$ | $- \pm-$ | $- \pm-$ |
| $2+$ | - | $-\mathrm{f}-$ | -+- | $- \pm-$ |
| $3+$ | 2 | $462 \pm 123$ | $1,025 \pm 248$ | $1.03 \pm 0.17$ |

Table 3.14. Back calculated fork length (mean $\pm$ standard deviation) of kokanee salmon sampled during 1993.

|  |  | Back Calculated Fork Length (mm) | at Annulus |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cohort | n | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| 1992 | - | --+ | -+- | -+- |
| 1991 | - | $- \pm-$ | $- \pm-$ | $- \pm-$ |
| 1990 | 2 | $190 \pm 4$ | $321 \pm 75$ | $444 \pm 19$ |

The lengths, weights and condition factors of rainbow trout collected during 1993 are identified in Table 3.15. The results of the back calculated length of fishfor each age based on scales are in Table 3.16. Rainbow trout collected during 1993 appeared to have quick growth rates and good condition factor. The back calculated lengths suggest that more recent cohorts had faster growth rates than less recent cohorts. The reason for the increased growth rates were not known. This rainbow trout length data was based primarily on net pen fish. A few wild rainbow were sampled in the SanPoil River.

Table 3.15. Lengths, weights, and condition factors (mean $\pm$ standard deviation) of rainbow trout collected during 1993.

| Age | n | Length $(\mathbf{m m})$ | Weight $(\mathbf{g})$ | Condition Factor |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $0+$ | 13 | $167 \pm 59$ | $134 \pm 80$ | $1.52 \pm 0.66$ |  |  |
| $1+$ | 7 | $222 \pm 40$ | $153 \pm 53$ | $1.29 \pm$ | 0.26 |  |
| $2+$ | 6 | 382 | $\pm 84$ | $595 \pm 286$ | $1.07 \pm$ | 0.35 |
| $3+$ | 11 | 428 | $\pm 32$ | $862 \pm$ | 142 | $1.10 \pm$ |

Table 3.16. Back calculated fork length (mean $\pm$ standard deviation) of rainbow trout sampled during 1993.

|  | Back Calculated Fork Length (mm) at Annulus |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cohort | n | $\mathbf{1}$ | 2 | 3 |
| 1992 | 7 | $154 \pm 18$ | $-\mathrm{f}-$ | --+- |
| 1991 | 6 | $150 \pm 63$ | $296 \pm 96$ | $\pm-$ |
| 1990 | 11 | $137 \pm 35$ | $230 \pm 40$ | $348 \pm 28$ |
| Grand |  |  | $\mathbf{1 4 5} \pm \mathbf{3 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 5 4} \pm 70$ |
| Mean |  |  |  | $348 \pm 28$ |

Mean Annual
Growth (mm) $145 \quad 109 \quad 94$

The length, weight and condition factor of walleye sampled by electrofishing and gillnet sets are summarized in Table 3.17. Back calculated length using scales by cohort is reported in Table 3.18. These tables suggest that walleye were in good condition. Back • calculations suggest that more recent cohorts were growing faster than less recent cohorts.

Table 3.17. Lengths, weights, and condition factors (mean $\pm$ standard deviation) of walleye collected during 1993.

| Age | n | Length (mm) | Weight (g) | Condition | Factor |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| O+ | 10 | $189 \pm 30$ | $65 \pm 38$ | $0.89 \pm$ | 0.24 |
| 1+ | 11 | $267 \pm 39$ | $176 \pm 79$ | $0.87 \pm$ | 0.19 |
| $2+$ | 8 | $382 \pm 49$ | $441 \pm 230$ | $0.74 \pm$ | 0.28 |
| $3+$ | 13 | $419 \pm 31$ | $663 \pm 171$ | $0.89 \pm$ | 0.12 |
| 4+ | 9 | $467 \pm 48$ | $992 \pm 344$ | $0.94 \pm$ | 0.14 |
| 5+ | 6 | $551 \pm 71$ | 1,607 $\pm 631$ | $0.92 \pm$ | 0.10 |
| 6+ | 1 | $535 \pm$ - | 1,480 $\pm$ - | $0.97 \pm$ | - |

Table 3.18. Back calculated fork length (mean $\pm$ standard deviation) of walleye sampled during 1993.

| Cohort | Back Calculated Fork Length (mm) at Annulus |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | n | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| 1992 | 11 | $146 \pm$ | $25 \pm$ | $\pm$ | $\pm$ | $\pm$ | $\pm$ |
| 1991 | 8 | $166 \pm 31$ | $307 \pm 36$ | $\pm$ | $\pm$ | $\pm$ | $\pm$ |
| 1990 | 13 | $158 \pm 25$ | $256 \pm 43$ | $346 \pm 44$ | $\pm$ | $\pm$ | $\pm$ |
| 1989 | 9 | $123 \pm 24$ | $221 \pm 27$ | $297 \pm 34$ | $394 \pm$ | $\pm$ | $\pm$ |
| 1988 | 6 | $116 \pm 31$ | $233 \pm 39$ | $323 \pm 60$ | $417 \pm 39$ | $491 \pm 63$ | $\pm$ |
| 1987 | 1 | $84 \pm$ - | $133 \pm$ - | $203 \pm$ - | $296 \pm 70$ | $380 \pm$ - | $455 \pm$ - |
| Grand |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mean |  | $144 \pm 32$ | $251 \pm 51$ | $\mathbf{3 2 1} \pm 53$ | 397 f 58 | $475 \pm 71$ | $455 \pm$ - |
| Annual |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Growth |  | 144 | 107 | 70 | 76 | 78 | - |

### 3.4 Feeding Habits

Feeding habits were based on fish sampled during electrofishing and gillnet sets. A total of 21 kokanee, 73 rainbow trout and 47 walleye stomachs were collected and the contents of the stomachs were enumerated by taxa. The annual index of relative importance (IRI) is reported in Table 3.19 for each species regardless of age by food item. Appendix C lists the index of relative importance, percent of food items by number and weight and the frequency of food item occurring for each fish species and age.

Kokanee's primary food item was Daphnia spp. based on the IRI. The IRI indicates that Daphnia spp and chironmonidae larvae were the two most important food items for rainbow trout. Walleye's most important food items were fish and chironmidae pupa.

Diet overlap analysis predicted that kokanee and rainbow trout overlap was 0.84 (highmoderate overlap). Kokanee and walleye diet overlap was 0.35 (low overlap) and rainbow and walleye diet overlap was 0.57 (moderate overlap).

Table 3.19. Index of relative importance for rainbow trout, walleye and kokanee from fish collected during 1993. '-.' indicates no organisms found.

| Inder of Relative Importance |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PREY ITEM | Kokanee | Rainbow | Walleye |
| Osteichthyes |  |  |  |
| Cottidae | -- | 4.60 | 9.22 |
| Cyprinidae | .. | -- | 2.23 |
| Percidae | -- | -- | 7.99 |
| Salmonidae | -- | -- | 7.77 |
| Unidentified fish | -- | -- | 18.74 |
| Cladocera |  |  |  |
| L. kindtii | $\stackrel{--}{\text {-- }}$ | 0.73 | $\stackrel{--}{17.29}$ |
| Daphnia spp. | 90.84 | 50.96 | 17.29 |
| Eucopepoda |  |  |  |
| E. nevadensis | -- | 0.72 | -- |
| Decapoda $\begin{gathered}\text { Astacidae }\end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |
| Astacidae Basommatophora | -- | -- | 0.61 |
| Basommatophora Physidae |  |  |  |
| Phipterasidae | -- | 1.07 | -- |
| Chironomidae pupa | 3.87 | 9.63 | 19.76 |
| Chironomidae larvae | 3.15 | 12.78 | 5.82 |
| Chironomidae adult | -. | 0.34 | -- |
| Simuliidae larvae | -- | 0.35 | -- |
| Trichoptera |  |  |  |
| Limnephilidae | -- | 0.72 | 0.62 |
| Leptoceridae | -- | 0.35 | -- |
| Hydropyschidae | -- | 1.06 | -- |
| Hemiptera |  |  |  |
| Corixidae | -- | 4.23 | 2.05 |
| Notonectidae | -- | 0.34 | - |
| Plecoptera |  |  |  |
| Capniidae | -- |  | 0.59 |
| Pteronarcydae | .- | 0.53 | -- |
| Ephemeroptera |  |  |  |
| Baetidae | -- | 0.78 | 4.32 |
| Ephemerellidae | -- | 0.43 |  |
| Leptophlebiidae | -- | 0.35 | -- |
| Odonata |  |  |  |
| Anisoptera | -- | -- | 0.59 |
| Zygoptera | -- | .- | 0.59 |
| Coleoptera |  |  |  |
| Elmidae | -- | 0.52 | -- |
| Oligochaeta |  |  |  |
| Lumbriculidae | -- | -- | 0.61 |
| Hydrachnellae |  |  |  |
| Hydracharina | 2.14 | 2.05 | -. |
| Terrestrial | -- | 4.93 | -- |
| A. gammeras | -- | 1.06 | 1.18 |
| Decapoda | -- | 1.47 | -. |

### 4.0 DISCUSSION

The main objective of this study was to monitor and evaluate the effects of stocking Sherman Creek and Spokane Tribal Hatchery reared kokanee salmon and rainbow trout into Lake Roosevelt on the ecosystem and the fishery. Sub-objectives were to identify stocking strategies which: maximize the number of hatchery kokanee and rainbow trout harvested or captured by anglers; maximize the collection of kokanee eggs at egg collection facilities and maximize the quality of fish harvested (large size and good condition). We evaluated the effects of the stocking program on the fishery by comparing data collected prior to stocking Spokane Tribal and Sherman Creek Hatcheries fish (pre hatchery) with data collected after stocking began (post hatchery).

### 4.1 Historical Stocking and Lake Operations

There were two general factors effecting the recruitment of hatchery origin rainbow trout and kokanee salmon into the fishery. The first was stocking strategies controlled by the Hatchery Coordination Team (Team). One member each from the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife (WDFW), the Colville Confederated Tribes and the Spokane Tribe of Indians made up the Team. The Team's job was to determine: the number of fish stocked; the size of fish stocked; the time of year to stock the fish; where in the lake to stock the fish and method of stocking (eg. by truck). The other variable, not under Lake Roosevelt Fish Managers control, was lake operations. Mother nature, economics and politics (ie. rainfall, snow pack, power demand, irrigation) controlled the operation of the lake. Stocking protocols and lake operations for the past six years are reviewed in the following.

Stocking of rainbow trout began 1986 when the WDFW started supplying rainbow trout fry to the Lake Roosevelt Net Pen Program (operated by a volunteer organization, Lake Roosevelt Development Association). Table 4.1 indicates the number and the source of rainbow trout provided to the net pen operators. By July of 1988, the WDFW began stocking kokanee into the lake (Table 4.2). The kokanee were stocked at Sherman Creek (760,000 fry) and at Little Falls Dam on the Spokane River (141,000 fry). WDFW continued stocking approximately the same number of kokanee at Sherman Creek and Spokane River in 1989 and 1990 as in 1988. The Spokane Tribal Hatchery went on line in 1990 and began releasing rainbow trout and kokanee in 1991. Sherman Creek went on line

Table 4.1 Summary of hatchery origin rainbow trout released into Lake Roosevelt from 1988 though 1993.

| Year | Hatchery | Number |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1986 | Spokane (WDFW) | 50,000 |
| 1987 | Spokane (WDFW) | 80,000 |
| 1988 | Spokane (WDFW) | 150,00 |
| 1989 | Spokane (WDFW) | 175,00 |
| 1990 | Spokane (WDFW) | 276,500 |
| 1991 | Spokane Tribal | 326,461 |
| 1992 | Spokane Tribal | 424,395 |
| 1993 | Spokane Tribal | 446,798 |

Table 4.2 Summary of hatchery origin kokanee released into Lake Roosevelt from 1988 though 1993.

| Year | Hatchery | Number | Life Stage | Size (\#/lb) |
| :---: | :---: | ---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1988 | Ford | 872,150 | fry | 500 |
| 1989 | Ford | 861,442 | fry | 280 |
| 1990 | Ford | $1,025,400$ | fry | 247 |
| 1991 | Spokane Tribal | $1,674,577$ | fry | 119 |
| 1992 | Spokane Tribal | 71,256 | yearling | 9 |
| 1992 | Spokane Tribal | 819,220 | fry | 158 |
| 1992 | Sherman Creek | 68,552 | yearling | 22 |
| 1992 | Sherman Creek | $1,099,000$ | fry | $616^{a}$ |
| 1993 | Spokane Tribal | 21,190 | yearling | 7 |
| 1993 | Spokane Tribal | $1,024,293$ | fry | 225 |
| 1993 | Sherman Creek | 72,508 | yearling | 15 |
| 1993 | Sherman Creek | 675,572 | fry | 228 |
| size transferred from Spokane Tribal Hatchery not at release. |  |  |  |  |

and began releasing kokanee in 1992. Once the new hatcheries were operational, close to 450,000 rainbow trout and 2 million kokanee were released annually.

Lake operations for the time period 1988 through 1993 are depicted in Figures 4.1 and 4.2. Figure 4.1 identifies the monthly mean lake elevation above sea level in feet. Figure 4.2 shows the monthly mean water retention time of Lake Roosevelt in days. Generally, when the elevation of the lake falls below 1,240 feet elevation the water retention time falls below thirty days, however, this was dependent of the volume of water flowing into and out of the lake. The years 1989 and 1991 were the two years between 1988 and 1993 which were considered to be extraordinarily bad for the fishery (Thatcher et al. 1993, 1994). The lake elevation fell below 1,240 feet and the water retention time was below 30 days.

Griffith and Scholz (1991) and Thatcher et al.'s (in press) 1991 and 1992 annual reports have identified that water retention times less than thirty days have had dramatic effects on the biota of Lake Roosevelt. The zooplankton population decreased and the entrainment of fish out of the lake through Grand Coulee Dam increased. The resulting decrease of fish food (zooplankton ) and decrease of fish (fish entrainment) negatively impacted the fishery.

### 4.2 Creel Survey Trends.

### 4.2.1 Rainbow trout

The rainbow trout stocked via net pens were recruited into the fishery the first year of stocking. Tagging studies of net pen rainbow trout showed that a large majority of the rainbow trout were harvest that same year stocked and a small portion were harvested the next year (Peone et al. 1990, Griffith and Scholz 1991, Griffith et al. 1992, Griffith and McDowel 1993, Voeller 1996, Thatcher et al. 1993, and 1994).

Table 4.3 reports the estimated number of rainbow trout caught and harvested. From 1989 through 1993 there was a steady increase in the number harvested. However, in 1991 the number of rainbow harvested dipped slightly. The reason for the dip was believed to be due to the large spring drawdown of that year (Thatcher et al. 1993, 1994). The drawdown caused a decrease in the number of rainbow harvested for two reasons. First,


Figure 4.1 Lake elevations for Lake Roosevelt from 1988 through 1993.


Figure 4.2 Water retention times for Lake Roosevelt from 1988 though 1993
the lowered lake level from the drawdown dewatered a majority of the boat ramps surrounding the lake limiting angler access. Secondly, the decreased water retention time (a result of the drawdown) caused entrainment of rainbow through Grand Coulee Dam (Griffith and McDowell993). The limited time spent by anglers fishing for rainbow and the loss of available rainbow trout for harvest resulted in a decrease in the catch and harvest.

The 1993 rainbow trout harvest escalated to over twice the number estimated in previous years. We believe the 1993 harvest estimate was greater than past years for three reasons. First, the number of rainbow trout provided by the hatcheries to the net pen program steadily increased over the years. Second, the number of angler trips to the lake has almost doubled, and third the spring drawdown was small.

### 4.2.2 Kokanee Salmon

The recruitment of hatchery origin kokanee has not been as successful as the rainbow trout. The kokanee stocked in 1988 through 1991 were small fry ranging from 500 fish per pound to 119 fish per pound. The 1992 annual report of this study established that walleye were clustering at stocking sites. The walleye collected at kokanee stocking sites were full of newly stocked kokanee fry (Thatcher et al. 1994). The impact of the walleye on the survival of stocked kokanee has not been quantified, however, we suspect walleye have a significant impact on the newly stocked kokanee fry population.

Kokanee did not enter the creel until they were approximately 300 mm or greater in length. Kokanee 300 mm long were generally age two or older suggesting that kokanee released as fry must survive in Lake Roosevelt for 1.5 years before recruitment to the creel.
Experimental work conducted by Tilson et al. (1994), Scholz et al. (1992 and 1993) identified that kokanee go through a partial smoltification phase yearlings during spring. During the smoltification process kokanee have the urge to swim downstream. The time period in which the kokanee have the urge to swim downstream coincides with the spring drawdown. Therefore, kokanee stocked as fry were vulnerable to the fast flowing currents of the spring drawdown and entrainment resulted. Further evidence of kokanee fry's inability achieve a harvestable size comes from the coded wire tagging studies conducted by Tilson et al. (1994) and Scholz et al. (1992 and 1993). Of all the coded wire tagged fish collected, only ( $1 \%$ ) of the recovered fish were stocked as fry. The rest ( $99 \%$ ) were
stocked as yearlings (post smolts). Yet, $72 \%$ of the kokanee stocked with coded wire tags were fry and $28 \%$ were yearlings (Tilson et al. 1994).

The number of kokanee harvested from 1989 to 1991 was building in number, but the number of kokanee harvested in 1992 diminished by a third (Table 4.3). A reason for the reduced harvest in 1992 may be the severe drawdown of 1991. The drawdown may have entrained a majority of the year class that would have entered the creel in 1992. The number of kokanee harvested in 1993 appeared to be increasing slightly, but it was still half of what was estimated for 1991's harvest. However, the number of kokanee harvested may vary from year to year due to the design of the creel instead of drawdowns. Creel clerks have limited contact with kokanee anglers. Many anglers fish past dark while one of the assumptions of the creel survey was fish were only caught from sunrise to sunset. As a result, creel clerks shift ends at dark. The random design of the creel survey also limits the number of kokanee seen by the clerks (less than 100 a year). Spring Canyon was the most frequent access point for angler harvest of kokanee, however, less than a fifth of the creel clerks time was spent at Spring Canyon. This reduced the creel clerks chances of contacting an angler with kokanee. The creel clerks limited contact with kokanee anglers may be amplifying the variance of the harvest estimates. As a result, the laws of probabilities may be changing the kokanee harvest estimates rather than actual changes in the number kokanee harvested.

### 4.2.3. Walleye

The estimated harvest of walleye for 1993 was double in comparison to previous years (Table 4.3). In general, the number of walleye harvested appears to be increasing over time. Walleye have not been stocked into the lake and therefore, the increased number of harvested walleye was the result of increased pressure (angler trips). The harvest per unit effort supports this idea. The HPUE has remained relatively constant over the last five year. Therefore, the same rate of harvest was occurring but the number of anglers had increased resulting in more walleye kept.

Table 4.3 Summary of angler trips, number of fish caught and harvested, Catch and harvest per unit of effort and mean lengths of kokanee, rainbow trout and walleye from 1989 through 1993.

|  | $\mathbf{1 9 8 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 3}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Angler Trips | 179,871 | 171,725 | $\mathbf{3 9 8}, 408$ | 291,380 | 594,508 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No. Caught |  |  |  |  |  |
| kokanee |  | 17,756 | 31,651 | 8,146 | 13,986 |
| rainbow |  | 81,560 | 81,529 | 167,156 | 402,277 |
| walleye |  | 116,473 | 231,813 | 163,995 | 337,413 |

No. Harvested

| kokanee | 11,906 | 17,515 | 31,651 | 8,021 | 13,960 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| rainbow | 65,515 | 79,683 | 73,777 | 140,609 | 398,943 |
| walleye | 80,626 | 82,284 | 168,736 | 118,863 | 307,663 |

## CPUE

| kokanee | 0.04 | 0.03 | 0.06 | 0.03 | 0.01 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| rainbow | 0.16 | 0.13 | 0.20 | 0.22 | 0.17 |
| walleye | 0.20 | 0.11 | 0.11 | 0.15 | 0.12 |

## HPUE

| kokanee | 0.04 | 0.02 | 0.06 | 0.03 | 0.01 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| rainbow | 0.15 | 0.12 | 0.20 | 0.18 | 0.16 |
| walleye | 0.09 | 0.08 | 0.08 | 0.11 | 0.08 |

Mean Length

| kokanee | 411 | 391 | 361 | 436 | 486 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| rainbow | 403 | 346 | 348 | 422 | 471 |
| walleye | 447 | 376 | 397 | 361 | 382 |

### 4.3 Growth and Feeding.

Peone et al. (1990) examine the growth of Lake Roosevelt kokanee, rainbow trout and walleye, in comparison to growth of these species in area lakes. The comparison was made using back calculated lengths from scales. Peon et al. concluded that fish in Lake Roosevelt grew to a larger size at a young age than fish in area lakes. Their statement still hold true in 1993 for rainbow trout and kokanee. However, their statement does not hold true for walleye. The back calculated length of walleye sampled in 1993 was below the walleye length average of area lakes by approximately three centimeters per year of life. However, the back calculated lengths of walleye for 1993 may be misleading. Samples of walleye scales were taken while electrofishing by boat. In 1993, sampling by boat was limited due to mechanical failures. As a result few walleye were collected for growth analysis $(\mathrm{n}=48)$ and these fish were many sampled in May during the spawning period. The back calculations may not be accurate due to the scale absorption which accompanies the spawning period. In addition, walleye observed in the creel exhibited an increase in the mean length of walleye harvested which reinforces the presumption that growth measurements were not accurate.(Table 4.3).

The feeding habits of rainbow trout, kokanee and walleye have not significantly changed over the years. Rainbow trout and kokanee salmon mostly utilize daphnia and chironomids. Walleye fed primarily on fish as adults and chironomids as juveniles. The diet overlap among rainbow trout, kokanee and walleye were similar to previous years. Rainbow and kokanee had a high diet overlap ( 0.84 ) meaning kokanee and rainbow trout used the same food where as kokanee and walleye did not use the same food $(0.35)$. Rainbow and walleye diet overlapped moderately (0.57). Diet overlap helps predict species which may compete for food provided food was limited. However, food does not appear to be limited. If food was limited we would expect to see a decrease in growth rates or poor condition factors. Nether of these have occurred.

Feeding habits and growth analysis both suggest that rainbow trout and kokanee populations had ample food. This statement was substantiated by the fact that both species had excellent condition factors ( 1.03 for kokanee and 1.25 for rainbow). On the other hand, walleye growth appeared to be slowing and the availability may be the cause. The condition factor of walleye was 0.89 which was no different than past years. Results from 1993 and 1995 will determine the accuracy of walleye growth and help determine if food was limiting.

### 5.0 RECOMMENDATIONS AND RESEARCH NEEDS

1) Quantify the impact of walleye on newly stocked kokanee. This will give us a better estimate of the actual number of kokanee stocked into the lake after walleye have reduced the population.

2 ) Record origin of every fish sampled so that comparisons can be made between hatchery origin and wild origin fish. We were unable to determine the number of hatchery and wild origin kokanee harvested.

3 ) Evaluate the scientific design of the creel survey and methods used to compute indices.

4 ) Attempt sampling kokanee by beam trawl in late fall. Electrofishing and gillnet samples have not successfully sampled zero and yearling age kokanee. Beam trawl would enable use to collect baseline fisheries data (ie. growth and diet) on age zero and yearling kokanee
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## APPENDIX A

## Creel Survey Data

Table A. 1 Correction factor for boat trailers counted by creel to boats counted by air per quarter in 1993.

| STRATA | 1990 | YEAR |  | 1993 | $\begin{gathered} 1990-1993 \\ \text { MEAN } \pm \text { STDEV } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1992-1993 \\ \text { MEAN } \pm \text { STDEV } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| WINTER Dee-Feb | 3.49 | 1.92 | 2.01 | 2.57 | $2.50 \pm 0.72$ | 2.2910 .39 |
| SPRING Mar-May | 3.02 | 3.74 | 1.08 | 1.52 | $2.34 \pm 1.25$ | $\mathbf{1 . 3 0} \pm \mathbf{0 . 3 1}$ |
| SUMMER Jun-Aug | 3.71 | 3.17 | 1.10 | 1.01 | $2.25 \pm 1.40$ | $1.06 \pm 0.06$ |
| FALL Aug-Nov | 1.46 | 3.13 | 1.17 | 1.02 | $1.70 \pm 0.97$ | $1.10 \pm 0.11$ |
| ANNUAL Dee-Nov | v 2.9 | 22.99 | 1.34 | 1.53 | $2.19 \pm 0.88$ | $\mathbf{1 . 4 4 \pm 0 . 1 3}$ |

Table A. 2 Correction factor for boat trailers counted by creel to boats counted by air in 1993. Split by weekday (WD) and weekend (WE) strata.

|  |  | YEAR |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| STRATA |  | $\mathbf{1 9 9 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 3}$ | MEAN $\pm$ STDEV | MEAN $\pm$ STDEV |

Table A. 3 Section 1 pressure estimates in hours for boat anglers in 1993 with intermediate calculations.

| STRATA |  | Correct. factor | ```Mean boat trailers for the day``` | \% of boats fishing | \# angler/ boat | \# of angler/ boat S.D. | Corrected mean angler | $\begin{gathered} \text { Corrected } \\ \mathbf{x} \text { angler } \\ \text { sd } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| December | WD | 1.60 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 2.0 | 0.8 | 3.2 | 1.3 |
|  | WE | 2.67 | 0.80 | 1.00 | 2.0 | 0.8 | 4.3 | 1.7 |
| January | WD | 1.60 | 0.10 | 1.00 | 2.0 | 0.8 | 0.3 | 0.1 |
|  | WE | 2.67 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 2.0 | 0.8 | 5.3 | 2.1 |
| February | WD | 1.60 | 0.50 | 1.00 | 2.0 | 0.8 | 1.6 | 0.6 |
|  | WE | 2.67 | 0.90 | 1.00 | 2.0 | 0.8 | 4.8 | 1.9 |
| March | WD | 1.46 | 1.10 | 0.93 | 2.0 | 0.8 | 3.0 | 1.2 |
|  | WE | 1.28 | 2.80 | 0.59 | 2.0 | 0.8 | 4.2 | 1.7 |
| April | WD | 1.46 | 1.70 | 1.00 | 1.9 | 0.5 | 4.7 | 1.2 |
|  | WE | 1.28 | 4.80 | 1.00 | 2.3 | 0.6 | 14.1 | 3.7 |
| May | WD | 1.46 | 6.00 | 0.97 | 2.1 | 1.0 | 17.9 | 8.5 |
|  | WE | 1.28 | 25.00 | 1.00 | 2.2 | 0.5 | 70.4 | 16.0 |
| June | WD | 0.90 | 14.50 | 0.82 | 2.3 | 0.9 | 24.5 | 9.6 |
|  | WE | 1.20 | 37.20 | 0.67 | 2.0 | 0.5 | 59.8 | 15.0 |
| July | WD | 0.90 | 11.80 | 0.85 | 2.1 | 0.8 | 19.0 | 7.3 |
|  | WE | 1.20 | 47.50 | 0.54 | 2.2 | 0.9 | 67.1 | 27.4 |
| August | WD | 0.90 | 10.40 | 0.84 | 2.0 | 0.8 | 15.7 | 6.3 |
|  | WE | 1.20 | 31.60 | 0.59 | 3.3 | 0.9 | 72.7 | 19.9 |
| September | WD | 1.07 | 9.00 | 0.69 | 2.0 | 0.8 | 13.3 | 5.3 |
|  | WE | 1.21 | 15.70 | 0.82 | 2.2 | 1.0 | 34.2 | 15.6 |
| October | WD | 1.07 | 12.00 | 0.96 | 1.9 | 0.9 | 23.3 | 11.0 |
|  | WE | 1.21 | 9.80 | 0.71 | 1.6 | 0.5 | 13.4 | 4.2 |
| November | WD | 1.07 | 7.20 | 1.00 | 1.6 | 0.5 | 12.3 | 3.9 |
|  | WE | 1.21 | 11.80 | 1.00 | 1.6 | 0.5 | 22.8 | 7.1 |
| Annual | WD | 1.26 | 6.28 | 0.92 | 2.0 | 0.8 | 11.6 | 4.7 |
|  | WE | 1.59 | 15.74 | 0.83 | 2.1 | 0.7 | 31.1 | 9.7 |

Table A. 4 Section 2 pressure estimates for boat anglers in 1993 with intermediate calculations.

| STRATA |  | Correct. factor | ```Mean boat trailers for the day``` | \% of boats an fishing | $\begin{gathered} \# \\ \text { angler/ } \\ \text { g boat } \end{gathered}$ | \# of angler/ boat S.D. | Corrected mean angler | $\begin{gathered} \text { Corrected } \\ \begin{array}{c} \mathrm{x} \text { angler } \\ \text { sd } \end{array} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| December | WD | 1.60 | 4.80 | 1.00 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 15.4 | 0.0 |
|  | WE | 2.61 | 7.20 | 1.00 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 38.4 | 0.0 |
| January | WD | 1.60 | 1.40 | 1.00 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 4.5 | 0.0 |
|  | WE | 2.67 | 3.60 | 1.00 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 19.2 | 0.0 |
| February | WD | 1.60 | 0.50 | 1.00 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 1.6 | 0.0 |
|  | WE | 2.67 | 0.90 | 1.00 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 4.8 | 0.0 |
| March | WD | 1.46 | 13.60 | 1.00 | 2.5 | 0.7 | 49.6 | 13.9 |
|  | WE | 1.28 | 53.80 | 1.00 | 2.3 | 1.5 | 158.4 | 103.3 |
| April | WD | 1.46 | 14.00 | 1.00 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 40.9 | 0.0 |
|  | WE | 1.28 | 22.00 | 1.00 | 2.6 | 0.8 | 73.2 | 22.5 |
| May | WD | 1.46 | 13.80 | 1.00 | 2.0 | 0.9 | 40.3 | 18.1 |
|  | WE | 1.28 | 43.30 | 1.00 | 2.2 | 0.9 | 121.9 | 49.9 |
| June | WD | 0.90 | 37.80 | 0.78 | 2.0 | 0.5 | 51.7 | 13.3 |
|  | WE | 1.20 | 37.80 | 0.78 | 2.1 | 0.7 | 74.3 | 24.8 |
| July | WD | 0.90 | 37.70 | 0.47 | 2.0 | 0.5 | 32.0 | 8.0 |
|  | WE | 1.20 | 66.80 | 0.78 | 2.2 | 0.7 | 137.6 | 43.8 |
| August | WD | 0.90 | 46.50 | 0.22 | 1.5 | 0.7 | 13.8 | 6.4 |
|  | WE | 1.20 | 116.50 | 0.22 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 61.5 | 0.0 |
| September | WD | 1.07 | 54.00 | 1.00 | 2.0 | 0.9 | 115.6 | 52.0 |
|  | WE | 1.21 | 33.00 | 1.00 | 2.6 | 0.5 | 103.8 | 20.0 |
| October | W D | 1.07 | 33.50 | 1.00 | 2.0 | 1.0 | 71.7 | 35.8 |
|  | WE | 1.21 | 39.50 | 1.00 | 2.6 | 0.5 | 124.3 | 23.9 |
| November | WD | 1.07 | 8.70 | 0.96 | 2.0 | 1.0 | 17.9 | 9.0 |
|  | WE | 1.21 | 14.00 | 1.00 | 2.6 | 0.5 | 44.0 | 8.5 |
| Annual | WD | 1.26 | 22.19 | 0.87 | 2.0 | 0.7 | 37.9 | 13.0 |
|  | WE | 1.59 | 36.53 | 0.90 | 2.3 | 0.7 | 80.1 | 24.7 |

Table A. 5 Section 3 pressure estimates in hours for boat anglers in 1993 with intermediate calculations.

| STRATA |  | Correct. factor | ```Mean boat trailers for the day``` | \% of boats fishing | $\begin{gathered} \# \\ \text { angler/ } \\ \text { boat } \end{gathered}$ | \# angler/ boat S.D. | Corrected mean angler | Corrected <br> $x$ angler sd |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| December | WD | 1.60 | 0.40 | 1.00 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 1.3 | 0.0 |
|  | W E | 2.67 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 5.3 | 0.0 |
| January | WD | 1.60 | 0.20 | 1.00 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 0.0 |
|  | W E | 2.67 | 1.80 | 1.00 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 9.6 | 0.0 |
| February | WD | 1.60 | 5.80 | 1.00 | 1.5 | 0.7 | 13.9 | 6.5 |
|  | W E | 2.67 | 7.30 | 1.00 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 39.0 | 0.0 |
| March | WD | 1.46 | 8.40 | 1.00 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 12.3 | 0.0 |
|  | W E | 1.28 | 18.90 | 1.00 | 2.2 | 0.9 | 53.2 | 21.8 |
| April | WD | 1.46 | 13.90 | 1.00 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 40.6 | 0.0 |
|  | W E | 1.28 | 9.40 | 1.00 | 2.6 | 0.8 | 30.7 | 10.0 |
| May | WD | 1.46 | 26.80 | 1.00 | 2.9 | 0.8 | 113.5 | 31.3 |
|  | W E | 1.28 | 73.20 | 1.00 | 2.9 | 0.7 | 271.7 | 65.6 |
| June | WD | 0.90 | 66.50 | 0.72 | 2.2 | 0.9 | 94.8 | 38.8 |
|  | W E | 1.20 | 118.80 | 0.24 | 2.6 | 1.9 | 87.1 | 63.7 |
| July | WD | 0.90 | 78.10 | 0.72 | 2.9 | 0.8 | 147.9 | 40.8 |
|  | W E | 1.20 | 86.40 | 0.24 | 3.0 | 0.6 | 73.1 | 14.6 |
| August | WD | 0.90 | 118.50 | 0.22 | 3.7 | 2.1 | 86.8 | 49.3 |
|  | W E | 1.20 | 131.00 | 0.24 | 3.5 | 0.7 | 129.3 | 25.9 |
| September | WD | 1.07 | 52.40 | 1.00 | 2.5 | 0.8 | 140.2 | 44.9 |
|  | W E | 1.21 | 66.90 | 1.00 | 2.9 | 0.6 | 234.8 | 48.6 |
| October | WD | 1.07 | 12.50 | 1.00 | 2.8 | 0.4 | 37.5 | 5.4 |
|  | W E | 1.21 | 22.60 | 1.00 | 2.8 | 0.5 | 16.6 | 13.7 |
| November | WD | 107 | 3.40 | 1.00 | 3.0 | 0.0 | 10.9 | 0.0 |
|  | W E | 1.21 | 2.20 | 1.00 | 2.9 | 0.5 | 7.7 | 1.3 |
| Annual | WD | 1.26 | 32.29 | 0.89 | 2.4 | 0.5 | 58.4 | 18.1 |
|  | WE | 1.59 | 44.96 | 0.81 | 2.6 | 0.6 | 84.8 | 22.1 |

Table A. 6 Section 1 angling pressure estimates in hours for 1993 with intermediate calculations.


Table A. 6 Continued.


Table A. 6 Continued.


Table A. 6 Continued.

| STRATA | $\begin{gathered} \text { Hours } \\ \text { per } \\ \text { day } \\ \text { (naut) } \\ \text { Hd } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Days } \\ \text { per } \\ \text { month } \\ \text { (cal) } \\ \text { Ds } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Hours <br> per month Ns | $\qquad$ | Time correction factor $\mathrm{Ns} / \mathrm{n}$ | Angler hours per angler Ha | Mean anglers per day Xd | Mean anglers per month $\mathrm{x} \mathrm{~s}$ $\qquad$ | $\begin{gathered} \pm \\ \text { anglers } \\ \text { per } \\ \text { day } \\ \text { Sd } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Pressure } \\ \text { estimate } \\ \text { per } \\ \text { month } \\ \text { PE } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Variance of pressure estimate per month VPE | $\begin{gathered} 95 \% \\ \text { C.I. } \\ \text { per } \\ \text { month } \\ \text { CI } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NOVEMBER WEEKDAY |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Shore | 9.20 | 21 | 193.20 | 54.02 | 3.58 | 3.11 | 0.5 | 10.5 | 0.7 | 14.7 | 117 | 773 | 39 |
| Boat | 9.20 | 21 | 193.20 | 54.02 | 3.58 | 5.83 | 12.3 | 258.3 | 3.9 | 81.9 | 5,386 | 23,991 | 217 |
| WEEKEND |  | 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Shore | 9.20 | 9 | 82.80 | 12.00 | 6.90 | 2.80 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 8.0 | 72.0 | 14 | 35.770 | 265 |
| Boat | 9.20 |  | 82.80 | 12.00 | 6.90 | 6.09 | 22.8 | 205.2 | 7.1 | 63.9 | 8,624 | 28,174 | 235 |
| TOTAL | 9.20 | 30 | 276.00 | 66.02 |  |  | 36.1 | 474.7 | 19.7 | 232.5 | 14,140 | 88,707 | 756 |
| ANNUAL TOTAL | 146.8 | 363.0 | 4,444.0 | 1,193.0 |  |  | 554.3 | 6,993 | 229.0 | 2,984 | 172,524 | 1,635,891 | 8,375 |

Table A. 7 Section 2 angling pressure estimates in hours for 1993 with intermediate calculations.

|  | STRATA | $\begin{gathered} \text { Hours } \\ \text { per } \\ \text { day } \\ \text { (naut) } \\ \text { Hd } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Days } \\ \text { per } \\ \text { month } \\ \text { (cal) } \\ \text { Ds } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Hours per month Ns | Hours creeled per month $\mathbf{n}$ | Time correction factor Ns/n | Angler hours per angler На | Mean anglers per day Xd | Mean anglers per month x S | $\begin{gathered} \pm \\ \text { anglers } \\ \text { per } \\ \text { day } \\ \text { Sd } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \pm \\ \text { anglers } \\ \text { per } \\ \text { month } \\ \text { S S } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Pressure } \\ \text { estimate } \\ \text { per } \\ \text { month } \\ \text { PE } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Variance of pressure estimate per month VPE | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{C} \stackrel{95 \%}{\text { per }} \\ \text { month } \\ \text { CI } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | DECEMBER WEEKDAY |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Shore | 8.40 | 22 | 184.80 | 26.14 | 7.07 | 2.29 | 11.5 | 253.0 | 7.5 | 165.0 | 4,096 | 192.471 | 614 |
|  | Boat | 8.40 | 22 | 184.80 | 26.14 | 7.07 | 2.91 | 15.4 | 338.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 6.970 | 0 | 0 |
|  | WEEKEND |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Shore | 8.40 | 9 | 75.60 | 6.02 | 12.56 | 2.59 | 30.2 | 271.8 | 1.5 | 13.5 | 8,840 | 2,289 | 67 |
|  | Boat | 8.40 | 9 | 75.60 | 6.02 | 12.56 | 2.91 | 38.2 | 343.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 12.564 | 0 | 0 |
|  | TOTAL | 8.40 | 31 | 260.40 | 32.16 |  |  | 95.3 | 1207.4 | 9.0 | 178.5 | 32,470 | 194,759 | 681 |
|  | JANUARY <br> WEEKDAY |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Shore | 8.83 | 20 | 176.60 | 30.00 | 5.89 | 2.92 | 8.6 | 172.0 | 5.4 | 108.0 | 2,957 | 68,662 | 367 |
|  | Boat | 8.83 | 20 | 176.60 | 30.00 | 5.89 | 2.91 | 4.5 | 90.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1,542 | 0 | 0 |
| 家 | WEEKEND |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Shore | 8.83 | 11 | 97.13 | 24.62 | 3.95 | 2.62 | 24.6 | 270.6 | 28.6 | 314.6 | 2,797 | 390,513 | 875 |
|  | Boat | 8.83 | 11 | 97.13 | 24.62 | 3.95 | 2.91 | 19.2 | 211.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2,425 | 0 | 0 |
|  | TOTAL | 8.83 | 31 | 273.73 | 54.62 |  |  | 56.9 | 743.8 | 34.0 | 422.6 | 9,721 | 459,175 | 1,242 |
|  | FEBRUARY |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Shore | 10.25 | 19 | 194.75 | 51.42 | 3.79 | 2.62 | 10.8 | 205.2 | 9.0 | 171.0 | 2,036 | 110,755 | 466 |
|  | Boat | 10.25 | 19 | 194.75 | 51.42 | 3.79 | 2.91 | 1.6 | 30.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 335 | 0 | 0 |
|  | WEEKEND |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Shore | 10.25 | 9 | 92.25 | 5.00 | 18.45 | 1.00 | 59.8 | 538.2 | 32.1 | 288.9 | 9.930 | 1,539,896 | 1,737 |
|  | Boat | 10.25 | 9 | 92.25 | 5.00 | 18.45 | 2.91 | 4.8 | 43.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.319 | 0 | 0 |
|  | TOTAL | 10.25 | 28 | 287.00 | 56.42 |  |  | 77.0 | 817.0 | 41.1 | 459.9 | 14,621 | 1,650,651 | 2,203 |

Table A. 7 Continued.

|  | STRATA | $\begin{gathered} \text { Hours } \\ \text { per } \\ \text { day } \\ \text { (naut) } \\ \text { Hd } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Days } \\ \text { per } \\ \text { month } \\ \text { (cal) } \\ \text { Ds } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Hours per month Ns | Hours creeled per month $\qquad$ n | Time correction factor Nsln | Angler hours per angler На | Mean anglers per day $\mathrm{Xd}$ | Mean anglers per month x s | $\begin{gathered} \pm \\ \text { anglers } \\ \text { per } \\ \text { day } \\ \text { Sd } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\stackrel{ \pm}{\text { anglers }}$ <br> per month <br> S S | $\begin{gathered} \text { Pressure } \\ \text { estimate } \\ \text { per } \\ \text { month } \\ \text { PE } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Variance of pressure estimate per month VPE | $\begin{gathered} 95 \% \\ \text { C.I. } \\ \text { per } \\ \text { month } \\ \text { CI } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { MARCH } \\ \text { WEEKDAY } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Shore | 11.97 | 21 | 251.37 | 22.20 | 11.32 | 1.50 | 16.0 | 336.0 | 2.2 | 46.2 | 5.707 | 24,168 | 218 |
|  | Boat | 11.97 | 21 | 251.37 | 22.20 | 11.32 | 5.71 | 49.6 | 1041.6 | 13.9 | 291.9 | 67,344 | 964.781 | 1,375 |
|  | WEEKEND |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Shore | 11.97 | 8 | 95.76 | 4.75 | 20.16 | 3.67 | 58.2 | 465.6 | 22.5 | 180.0 | 34,448 | 653.184 | 1,131 |
|  | Boat | 11.97 | 8 | 95.76 | 4.75 | 20.16 | 4.75 | 158.4 | 1267.2 | 103.3 | 826.4 | 121,347 | 13,768,009 | 5,195 |
|  | TOTAL | 11.97 | 29 | 347.13 | 26.95 |  |  | 282.2 | 3110.4 | 141.9 | 1344.5 | 228,846 | 15,410,142 | 7,919 |
|  | APRIL <br> WEEKDAY |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Shore | 13.68 | 22 | 300.96 | 15.00 | 20.06 | 1.50 | 7.4 | 162.8 | 6.2 | 136.4 | 4,900 | 373.290 | 855 |
|  | Boat | 13.68 | 22 | 300.96 | 15.00 | 20.06 | 2.50 | 40.9 | 899.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 45,134 | 0 | 0 |
|  | WEEKEND |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Shore | 13.68 | 8 | 109.44 | 10.70 | 10.23 | 1.60 | 14.5 | 116.0 | 12.0 | 96.0 | 1.898 | 94,262 | 430 |
|  | Boat | 13.68 | 8 | 109.44 | 10.70 | 10.23 | 2.50 | 73.2 | 585.6 | 22.5 | 180.0 | 14,974 | 331,388 | 806 |
|  | TOTAL | 13.68 | 30 | 410.40 | 25.70 |  |  | 136.0 | 1764.2 | 40.7 | 412.4 | 66,906 | 798,940 | 2,091 |
|  | MAY <br> WEEKDAY |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Shore | 15.20 | 20 | 304.00 | 5.00 | 60.80 | 1.50 | 11.9 | 238.0 | 7.0 | 140.0 | 21,706 | 1,191,680 | 1,528 |
|  | Boat | 15.20 | 20 | 304.00 | 5.00 | 60.80 | 6.00 | 40.3 | 806.0 | 18.1 | 362.0 | 294.029 | 7,967,475 | 3.952 |
|  | WEEKEND |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Shore | 15.20 | 11 | 167.20 | 21.54 | 7.76 | 3.15 | 43.7 | 480.7 | 29.0 | 319.0 | 11.756 | 790.083 | 1,244 |
|  | Boat | 15.20 | 11 | 167.20 | 21.54 | 7.76 | 4.75 | 121.9 | 1340.9 | 49.9 | 548.9 | 49,452 | 2,339,257 | 2.141 |
|  | TOTAL | 15.20 | 31 | 471.20 | 26.54 |  |  | 217.8 | 2865.6 | 104.0 | 1369.9 | 376,943 | 12,288,495 | 8,866 |
|  | JUNE <br> WEEKDAY |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Shore | 16.02 | 22 | 352.44 | 46.20 | 7.63 | 2.00 | 1.6 | 35.2 | 2.5 | 55.0 | 537 | 23,076 | 213 |
|  | Boat | 16.02 | 22 | 352.44 | 46.20 | 7.63 | 3.80 | 51.7 | 1137.4 | 13.3 | 292.6 | 32,972 | 653.118 | 1.131 |
|  | WEEKEND |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Shore | 16.02 | 8 | 128.16 | 21.53 | 5.95 | 2.27 | 9.2 | 73.8 | 6.5 | 52.1 | 997 | 16,149 | 178 |
|  | Boat | 16.02 | 8 | 128.16 | 21.53 | 5.95 | 3.72 | 74.3 | 594.4 | 24.8 | 198.4 | 13.165 | 234,365 | 678 |
|  | TOTAL | 16.02 | 30 | 480.60 | 67.73 |  |  | 136.8 | 1840.8 | 47.1 | 598.1 | 47,671 | 926,709 | 2,200 |

Table A. 7 Continued.


Table A. 7 Continued.

| STRATA | Hours <br> per day (naut) Hd | Days per month (cal) Ds | $\begin{gathered} \text { Hours } \\ \text { per } \\ \text { month } \\ \text { Ns } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Hours creeled per month n | Time correction factor Ns/n | Angler hours per angler Ha | Mean anglers per day Xd | Mean anglers per month x s | $\begin{gathered} \pm \\ \text { anglers } \\ \text { per } \\ \text { day } \\ \text { Sd } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \pm \\ \text { anglers } \\ \text { per } \\ \text { month } \\ \text { s s } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Pressure estimate per month PE | ```Variance of pressure estimate per month VPE``` | $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{9 5 \%} \\ \mathbf{C . I .} \\ \text { per } \\ \text { month } \\ \text { CI } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NOVEMBER WEEKDAY |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Shore | 9.20 | 21 | 193.20 | 49.50 | 3.90 | 1.67 | 13.9 | 291.9 | 6.9 | 144.9 | 1.903 | 81,948 | 401 |
| Boat | 9.20 | 21 | 193.20 | 49.50 | 3.90 | 2.43 | 17.6 | 369.6 | 9.0 | 189.0 | 3.505 | 139.420 | 523 |
| WEEKEND |  | 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Shore | 9.20 | 9 | 82.80 | 4.75 | 17.43 | 1.50 | 24.5 | 220.5 | 9.2 | 82.8 | 5,765 | 119,508 | 484 |
| Boat | 9.20 |  | 82.80 | 4.75 | 17.43 | 5.80 | 44.0 | 396.0 | 8.5 | 76.5 | 40,037 | 102,014 | 447 |
| TOTAL | 9.20 | 30 | 276.00 | 54.25 |  |  | 100.0 | 1278.0 | 33.6 | 493.2 | 51,210 | 442,890 | 1,855 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { ANNUAL } \\ & \hline \text { TOTAL } \end{aligned}$ | 146.8 | 363 | 4,444 | 598 |  |  | 1,788 | 23,018 | 661 | 8,564 | 1,405,012 | 65,220,297 | 42,719 |

Table A. 8 Section 3 angling pressure estimates in hours for 1993 with intermediate calculations.

|  | STRATA | $\begin{gathered} \text { Hours } \\ \text { per } \\ \text { day } \\ \text { (naut) } \\ \text { Hd } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Days per month (cal) Ds | Hours per month Ns | Hours creeled per month <br> n | Time correction factor $\mathrm{Ns} / \mathrm{n}$ | Angler hours per angler На | Mean anglers per day Xd | Mean anglers per month x S | $\begin{gathered} \pm \\ \text { anglers } \\ \text { per } \\ \text { day } \\ \text { Sd } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \pm \\ \text { anglers } \\ \text { per } \\ \text { month } \\ \text { s S } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Pressure estimate per month PE | Variance of pressure estimate per month VPE | $\begin{gathered} 95 \% \\ \text { C.I. } \\ \text { per } \\ \text { month } \\ \text { CI } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | DECEMBER WEEKDAY |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Shore | 8.40 | 22 | 184.80 | 25.00 | 7.39 | 2.50 | 0.4 | 8.8 | 0.8 | 18 | 163 | 2,290 | 67 |
|  | Boat | 8.40 | 22 | 184.80 | 25.00 | 7.39 | 2.50 | 1.3 | 28.6 | 0.0 | 0 | 529 | 0 | 0 |
|  | WEEKEND |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Shore | 8.40 | 9 | 75.60 | 15.50 | 4.88 | 2.13 | 0.4 | 3.6 | 0.8 | 7 | 37 | 253 | 22 |
|  | Boat | 8.40 | 9 | 75.60 | 15.50 | 4.88 | 2.50 | 5.3 | 47.7 | 0.0 | 0 | 582 | 0 | 0 |
|  | TOTAL | 8.40 | 31 | 260.40 | 40.50 |  |  | 7.4 | 88.7 | 1.6 | 25 | 1,310 | 2,543 | 89 |
|  | JANUARY WEEKDAY |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Shore | 8.83 | 20 | 176.60 | 15.00 | 11.77 | 1.75 | 0.7 | 14.0 | 1.5 | 30 | 288 | 10,596 | 144 |
| $\underset{\sim}{\underset{A}{D}}$ | Boat | 8.83 | 20 | 176.60 | 15.00 | 11.77 | 2.50 | 0.6 | 12.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 353 | 0 | 0 |
|  | WEEKEND |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Shore | 8.83 | 11 | 97.13 | 22.50 | 4.32 | 2.13 | 6.5 | 71.5 | 11.0 | 121 | 657 | 63.204 | 352 |
|  | Boat | 8.83 | 11 | 97.13 | 22.50 | 4.32 | 2.50 | 9.6 | 105.6 | 0.0 | 0 | 1,140 | 0 | 0 |
|  | TOTAL | 8.83 | 31 | 273.73 | 37.50 |  |  | 17.4 | 203.1 | 12.5 | 151 | 2,439 | 73,800 | 496 |
|  | FEBRUARY WEEKDAY |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Shore | 10.25 | 19 | 194.75 | 33.90 | 5.74 | 1.83 | 7.2 | 136.8 | 7.9 | 150 | 1.438 | 129,431 | 504 |
|  | Boat | 10.25 | 19 | 194.75 | 33.90 | 5.74 | 2.75 | 13.9 | 264.1 | 6.5 | 124 | 4,172 | 87,622 | 414 |
|  | WEEKEND |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Shore | 10.25 | 9 | 92.25 | 10.25 | 9.00 | 2.13 | 6.0 | 54.0 | 9.4 | 85 | 1.035 | 64,414 | 355 |
|  | Boat | 10.25 |  | 92.25 | 10.25 | 9.00 | 2.50 | 39.0 | 351.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 7,898 | 0 | 0 |
|  | TOTAL | 10.25 | 298 | 287.00 | 44.15 |  |  | 66.1 | 805.9 | 23.8 | 358 | 14,543 | 281,467 | 1,273 |

Table A. 8 Continued.
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Table A. 8 Continued.

| STRATA | $\begin{gathered} \text { Hours } \\ \text { per } \\ \text { day } \\ \text { (naut) } \\ \text { Hd } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Days } \\ \text { per } \\ \text { month } \\ \text { (cal) } \\ \text { Ds } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Hours per month Ns | Hours creeled per month n | Time correction factor $\mathrm{Ns} / \mathrm{n}$ | Angler hours per angler На | Mean anglers per day Xd | Mean anglers per month x s | $\begin{gathered} \pm \\ \text { anglers } \\ \text { per } \\ \text { day } \\ \text { Sd } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \pm \\ \text { anglers } \\ \text { per } \\ \text { month } \\ \text { s s } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Pressure } \\ \text { estimate } \\ \text { per } \\ \text { month } \\ \text { PE } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Variance of pressure estimate per month VPE | $\begin{gathered} 95 \% \\ \text { C.I. } \\ \text { per } \\ \text { month } \\ \text { CI } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NOVEMBER WEEKDAY |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Shore | 9.20 | 21 | 193.20 | 40.00 | 4.83 | 1.84 | 9.7 | 203.7 | 7.5 | 158 | 1,810 | 119,814 | 485 |
| Boat | 9.20 | 21 | 193.20 | 40.00 | 4.83 | 2.50 | 10.9 | 228.9 | 0.0 | 0 | 2,764 | 0 | 0 |
| WEEKEND |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Shore | 9.20 | 9 | 82.80 | 9.00 | 9.20 | 1.79 | 11.0 | 99.0 | 7.7 | 69 | 1,630 | 44,183 | 294 |
| Boat | 9.20 | 9 | 82.80 | 9.00 | 9.20 | 3.72 | 7.7 | 69.3 | 1.3 | 12 | 2,372 | 1,259 | 50 |
| TOTAL | 9.20 | 30 | 276.00 | 49.00 |  |  | 39.3 | 600.9 | 16.5 | 239 | 8,576 | 165,256 | 829 |
| ANNUAL TOTAL | 146.8 | 363.0 | 4444.1 | 626.7 |  |  | 1,869 | 26,599 | 579.0 | 8,382 | 922,645 | 67,809,538 | 35,693 |

Table A. 9 Section one catch per unit effort (fish/hour) of the harvest (fish kept) by species and month on Lake Roosevelt from December, 1992 through November, 1993.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Annual |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

$\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}\text { Monthly Mean } & 0.061 & 0.039 & 0.015 & 0.016 & 0.019 & 0.034 & 0.054 & 0.043 & 0.025 & 0.009 & 0.013 & 0.032 & 0.028\end{array}$
*Includes yellow perch, largemouth bass, suckers, squawfish, black crappie, chinook, bullhead, etc...

Table A. 10 Section two catch per unit effort (fish/hour) of the harvest (fish kept) by species and month on Lake Roosevelt from December, 1992 through November, 1993.

*Includes yellow perch, largemouth bass, suckers, squawfish, black crappie, chinook, bullhead, etc...

Table A. 11 Section three catch per unit effort (fish/hour) of the harvest (fish kept) by species and month on Lake Roosevelt from December, 1992 through November, 1993.

| Species | DEC | JAN | FEB | MAR | APR | MAY | JUN | JUL | AUG | SEP | OCT | NOV | Annual Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| kokanee | 0.218 | 0.000 | 0.086 | 0.042 | 0.020 | 0.000 | 0.008 | 0.003 | 0.010 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.008 |
| rainbowtrout | 0.545 | 0.528 | 1.367 | 0.889 | 0.556 | 0.598 | 0.082 | 0.503 | 0.057 | 0.163 | 0.559 | 0.691 | 0.338 |
| walleye | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.027 | 0.003 | 0.015 | 0.025 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.014 |
| smallmouthbass | s 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.00 | 00.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.034 | 0.003 | 0.185 | 0.305 | 0.103 | 0.000 | 0.053 |
| sturgeon | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| other species* 0 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| Monthly Mean | 0.127 | 0.088 | 0.242 | 0.155 | 0.096 | 0.100 | 0.025 | 0.085 | 0.045 | 0.082 | 0.110 | 0.115 | 0,069 |

*Includes yellow perch, largemouth bass, suckers, squawfish, black crappie, chinook, bullhead, etc...

Table A. 12 Section one catch per unit effort (fish/hour) of the total catch (harvest and release) by species and month on Lake Roosevelt from December, 1992 through November, 1993.

*Includes yellow perch, largemouth bass, suckers, squawfish, black crappie, chinook, bullhead, etc...

Table A. 13 Section two catch per unit effort (fish/hour) of the total catch (harvest and release) by species and month on Lake Roosevelt from December, 1992 through November, 1993.

*Includes yellow perch, largemouth bass, suckers, squawfish, black crappie, chinook, bullhead, etc...

Table A. 14 Section three catch per unit effort (fish/hour) of the total catch (harvest and release) by species and month on Lake Roosevelt from December, 1992 through November, 1993.

*Includes yellow perch, largemouth bass, suckers, squawfish, black crappie, chinook, bullhead, etc...

Table A. 15 Monthly and annual harvest estimates with $\pm 95 \%$ confidence intervals for all fish species harvested by all anglers on Lake Roosevelt from December, 1992 through November, 1993.

|  | SPECIES | DEC | JAN | FEB | M A R | APR | MAY | JUN | JUL | AUG | SEP | OCT | NOV | TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | kokanee | $\begin{array}{r} 286 \\ \pm 19 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ \pm 0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,256 \\ & \pm 110 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 496 \\ \pm 39 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 409 \\ & \pm 10 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ \pm 0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,676 \\ & \pm 50 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 321 \\ & \pm 13 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4,912 \\ & \pm 168 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4,889 \\ \text { f396 } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ \pm 0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ \pm 0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 13,960 \\ \mathbf{+ 7 8 7} \end{gathered}$ |
|  | rainbow trout | $\begin{aligned} & 13.014 \\ & \mathbf{f} 362 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3,696 \\ & +571 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 21,136 \\ & \pm 1,921 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 10,647 \\ \pm 835 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 72,835 \\ \pm 2,219 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 73,500 \\ \pm 3,293 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 19,935 \\ \pm 646 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 58,400 \\ & \pm 2,405 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 20,187 \\ \pm 643 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 13,654 \\ \pm 778 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 68,731 \\ & \pm 1,643 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 36,219 \\ & \text { f1.714 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 411,954 \\ & \pm \mathbf{1 7 , 0 3 0} \end{aligned}$ |
| $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{s}$ | walleye | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ \pm 0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ \pm 0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ \pm 0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ \pm 0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 50 \\ & \pm 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 257,352 \\ & \pm 6,134 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 22,053 \\ \text { f836 } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 12,972 \\ \pm 631 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 10,740 } \\ \text { f399 } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2,559 \\ & \pm 145 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 107 \\ & \pm 7 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,832 \\ & \pm 69 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 307,665 \\ \pm 8,223 \end{array}$ |
|  | smallmouth bass | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ \pm 0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ \pm 0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ \pm 0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ \pm 0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ \pm 0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{6 , 9 8 0} \\ & \text { f164 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8,142 \\ & \text { f264 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,069 \\ & \pm 48 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 59,782 \\ & \pm 1,837 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 25,091 \\ & \pm 1,433 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2,620 \\ & \pm 110 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ \pm 0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 103,684 \\ +3.856 \end{array}$ |
|  | sturgeon | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ \pm 0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ \pm 0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ \pm 0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ \pm 0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ \pm 0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ \pm 0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 46 \\ & \pm 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ \pm 0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ \pm 0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20 \\ & \pm 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ \pm 0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ \pm 0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 66 \\ & \pm 3 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | other species* | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ \pm 0 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ \pm 0 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ \pm 0 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ \pm 0 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ \pm 0 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 25 \\ \pm 1 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 229 \\ & \pm 8 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 42 \\ \pm 2 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ \pm 0 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ \pm 0 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ \pm 0 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ \pm 0 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 296 \\ \mathrm{fll} \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
|  | Monthly <br> Total | $\begin{gathered} 13,300 \\ \mathbf{+ 3 8 1} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3,696 \\ \mathbf{\pm 5 7 1} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 22,392 \\ \mathbf{+ 2 , 0 3 1} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r}11,143 \\ \pm 874 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 73,294 | 337,857 $\mathbf{5 9 2} \pm 1,8$ | 52,081 $\mathbf{0 6} \pm \mathbf{3}$ | $\begin{array}{r}72,804 \\ \mathbf{0 9 9} \mathbf{~} \\ \hline\end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 95,621 \\ \mathbf{0 4 7} \quad 52 \end{gathered}$ | 46,213 753 | 71,458 $\mathbf{\pm 1 , 7 6 0}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 38,051 \\ \mathbf{1 , 7 8 3} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 837,910 \\ \mathbf{+ 2 9 , 9 2 8} \end{array}$ |

*Includes yellow perch, largemouth bass, suckers, squawfish, black crappie, chinook, bullhead, etc...

Table A. 16 Monthly and annual harvest estimates $\pm 95 \%$ confidence intervals for all fish species surveyed in section 1 of Lake Roosevelt from December, 1992 through November, 1993.

| SPECIES | DEC | JAN | FEB | MAR | APR | MAY | JUN | JUL | AUG | SEP | OCT | NOV | TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| kokanee | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ \pm 0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ \pm 0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{0} \\ \pm 0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ \pm 0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ \pm 0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ \pm 0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ \pm 0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 27 \\ \pm 1 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ \pm 0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ \pm 0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ \pm 0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ \pm 0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 27 \\ & \pm 1 \end{aligned}$ |
| rainbow trout | $\begin{gathered} 1,135 \\ +79 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 385 \\ & \pm 50 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 213 \\ & \pm 24 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 229 \\ & \pm 22 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 446 \\ & \pm 21 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 46 \\ \pm 2 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 211 \\ & \pm 8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1,325 \\ \pm 69 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 732 \\ & \pm 32 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 249 \\ & \pm 13 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 709 \\ & \pm 44 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{2 , 5 2 5} \\ & \pm 135 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{7 , 0 7 1} \\ & \mathbf{f 4 2 1} \end{aligned}$ |
| walleye | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ \pm 0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ \pm 0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ \pm 0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0 \\ \pm 0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 50 \\ \pm 2 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{6 , 0 5 7} \\ & \mathbf{f 2 2 3} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 10,176 } \\ \text { It378 } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{6 , 3 0 9} \\ & \pm 328 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2,889 \\ & \pm 126 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 497 \\ & \pm 27 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 107 \\ & \pm 7 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 149 \\ & \pm 8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{2 6 , 2 3 2} \\ & \pm \mathbf{1 , 0 9 8} \end{aligned}$ |
| smallmouth bass | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ \pm 0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ \pm 0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{0} \\ \pm 0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ \pm 0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ \pm 0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ \pm 0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ \pm 0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 159 \\ & \pm 8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ \pm 0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ \pm 0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 107 \\ & \pm 7 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ \pm 0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 267 \\ & \pm 15 \end{aligned}$ |
| sturgeon | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ \pm 0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ \pm 0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ \pm 0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ \pm 0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ +0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ \pm 0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 46 \\ & \pm 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ \pm 0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ \pm 0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 20 \\ \pm 1 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ \pm 0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ \pm 0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 66 \\ \pm 3 \end{array}$ |
| other species* | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ \pm 0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ \pm 0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ \mathbf{~} 0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ \pm 0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ \pm 0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 25 \\ \pm 1 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 229 \\ +8 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 42 \\ \pm 2 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ \pm 0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ \pm 0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{0} \\ \pm 0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ \pm 0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{2 9 6} \\ & \text { fll } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| Monthly <br> Total | $\begin{gathered} 1,135 \\ \pm 79 \end{gathered}$ | 385 $\pm 50$ | 213 $\pm 24$ | 229 $\pm 22$ | 496 $\pm 24$ | 6,128 f226 | 10,662 f396 | 7,862 $\pm 408$ | 3,621 $\pm 159$ | 766 $\pm 41$ | 924 $\pm 57$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2,674 \\ & \pm 143 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{3 5 , 0 9 5} \\ & \pm \mathbf{1 , 6 2 8} \end{aligned}$ |

*Includes yellow perch, largemouth bass, suckers, squawfish, black crappie, chinook, bullhead, etc...

Table A. 17 Monthly and annual harvest estimates $\pm 95 \%$ confidence intervals for all fish species surveyed in section 2 of Lake Roosevelt from December, 1992 through November, 1993.

*Includes yellow perch, large-mouth bass, suckers, squawfish, black crappie, chinook, bullhead, etc...

Table A. 18 Monthly and annual harvest estimates $\pm 95 \%$ confidence intervals for all fish species surveyed in section 3 of Lake Roosevelt from December, 1992 through November, 1993.

|  | SPECIES | DEC | JAN | FEB | MAR | APR | MA Y | JUN | JUL | AUG | SEP | OCT | NOV | TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | kokanee | $\begin{aligned} & 286 \\ & \pm 19 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ \pm 0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,256 \\ & \pm 110 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 496 \\ & \pm 39 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 409 \\ & \pm 10 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ \pm 0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,676 \\ & \pm 50 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 294 \\ \pm 12 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3,303 \\ & \pm 101 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ \pm 0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ \pm 0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ \pm 0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 7,435 \\ \pm \mathbf{3 2 2} \end{array}$ |
|  | rainbow trout | $\begin{aligned} & 715 \\ & \pm 49 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,288 \\ & \mathbf{f 2 6 2} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 19,879 \\ \pm 1,740 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 10,418 \\ \pm 813 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 11,257 \\ \pm 287 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 73,454 \\ & \pm 3,291 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 16,763 \\ \pm 501 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 54,404 \\ & \pm 2,214 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 18,168 \\ \pm 557 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 13,405 \\ \pm 765 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 13,570 \\ \pm 555 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5,927 \\ +573 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 238,533 \\ & \pm 11,559 \end{aligned}$ |
| $$ | walleye | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ \pm 0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ \pm 0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ \pm 0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ \pm 0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ \pm 0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ \pm 0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5,532 \\ & \pm 165 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 294 \\ \pm 12 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4,955 \\ & \pm 152 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2,062 \\ & \pm 118 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ \pm 0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ \pm 0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 12,843 \\ \mathbf{\pm 4 7 7} \end{gathered}$ |
|  | smallmouth | $\begin{array}{r} \text { bass } 0 \\ \pm 0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ \pm 0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ \pm 0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ \pm 0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ \pm 0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ \pm 0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6,873 \\ & \pm 205 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 294 \\ \pm 12 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 59,460 \\ \pm 1,824 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 25,091 \\ & \pm 1,433 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2,513 \\ & \text { f103 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ \pm 0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{9 4 , 2 3 2} \\ & \pm \mathbf{3 , 5 7 7} \end{aligned}$ |
|  | sturgeon | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ \pm 0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ \pm 0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{0} \\ \pm 0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ \pm 0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ \pm 0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ \pm 0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ \pm 0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ \pm 0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ \pm 0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ \pm 0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ \pm 0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ \pm 0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ \pm 0 \end{gathered}$ |
|  | other species | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ \pm 0 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ \pm 0 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ \pm 0 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{0} \\ \pm 0 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ \pm 0 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ \pm 0 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ \pm 0 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ \pm 0 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ \pm 0 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ \pm 0 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ \pm 0 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ \pm 0 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ \pm 0 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Monthly } \\ & \text { Total } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1,001 \\ \mathbf{\pm 6 8} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,288 \\ \mathbf{f} \quad \mathbf{2} \end{array}$ | 21,134 $\mathbf{2} \pm \mathbf{1 , 8 5 0}$ | 10,914 $\mathbf{+ 8 5 2}$ | $\begin{gathered} 11,666 \\ \mathbf{+ 2 9 8} \end{gathered}$ | 73,454 $\mathbf{+ 3 , 2 9 1}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 30,844 \\ & \mathbf{+ 9 2 1} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 55,286 \\ \mathbf{\pm 2 , 2 5 0} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 85,887 \\ \mathbf{\pm 2 , 6 3 5} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 40,558 \\ \mathbf{2 , 3 1 6} \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 16,083 \\ & \mathbf{+ 6 5 7} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5,927 \\ \mathbf{\pm 5 7 3} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 354,042 \\ \pm \mathbf{1 5 , 9 7 3} \end{gathered}$ |

*Includes yellow perch, largemouth bass, suckers, squawfish, black crappie, chinook, bullhead, etc...

Table A. 19 Monthly and annual catch estimates $\pm 95 \%$ confidence intervals for all fish species surveyed by creel clerks on Lake Roosevelt from December, 1992 through November, 1993.

|  | SPECIES | DEC | JAN | FEB | MAR | APR | MA Y | JUN | JUL | AU G | SEP | OCT | NOV | TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | kokanee | $\begin{array}{r} 286 \\ \pm 19 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ \pm 0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,256 \\ & \pm 110 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 496 \\ & \pm 39 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 409 \\ & \pm 10 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ \pm 0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,676 \\ & \pm 50 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 347 \\ \pm 15 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4,912 \\ & \pm 168 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4,889 \\ & \pm 396 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ \pm 0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ \pm 0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 13,986 \\ \mathbf{\pm 7 8 9} \end{gathered}$ |
|  | rainbow trout | $\begin{gathered} 13,823 \\ \pm 417 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3,696 \\ \pm 571 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 21,136 \\ & \pm 1.921 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 11,391 \\ \pm 893 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 72,937 \\ & \pm 2,222 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 73,500 \\ \pm 3,293 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 22,952 \\ \pm 736 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 58,811 \\ & \pm 2,424 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 20,187 \\ \pm 643 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 13.664 \\ \pm 779 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 68,753 \\ & \pm 1,644 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 36,219 \\ & \pm 1,714 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 403,250 \\ & \pm 16,841 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { p } \\ & \stackrel{N}{2} \end{aligned}$ | walleye | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ \pm 0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ \pm 0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ \pm 0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ \pm 0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 276 \\ & \text { f11 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 261,344 \\ & \pm 6,282 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 34,510 \\ & \pm 1,330 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 22,304 \\ & \mathbf{f 1 . 0 7 9} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 14,019 \\ & \text { It522 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2,829 \\ & \pm 159 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 301 \\ +19 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,832 \\ & \pm 69 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 337,413 \\ \pm 9,469 \end{array}$ |
|  | smallmouth bass | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ \pm 0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ \pm 0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{0} \\ \pm 0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ \pm 0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ \pm 0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7,997 \\ & \pm 210 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 64,688 \\ & \pm 1,966 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 22,217 \\ \pm 917 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 76,380 \\ \text { f2.348 } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 25,102 \\ & \pm 1,434 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3,458 \\ & \text { f144 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 446 \\ \pm 24 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 200,288 \\ \pm 6,947 \end{array}$ |
|  | sturgwn | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ \pm 0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ \pm 0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ \pm 0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ \pm 0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ \pm 0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ \pm 0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 46 \\ & \pm 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ \pm 0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ \pm 0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ \pm 0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ \pm 0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ \pm 0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 46 \\ & \pm 2 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | other species* | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ \pm 0 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ \pm 0 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ \pm 0 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ \pm 0 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ \pm 0 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3,124 \\ +141 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 229 \\ \pm 8 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,522 \\ & \pm 69 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ \pm 0 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 20 \\ \pm 1 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ \pm 0 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ \pm 0 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4,897 \\ \mathrm{f} 219 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
|  | Monthly Total | 14,109 $\mathbf{f} \mathbf{4} \mathbf{3}$ | 3,696 $\mathbf{6} \quad \mathbf{f}$ | 22,391 $3 \quad 3 \quad 7$ | 11,887 $\mathbf{2 , 0 3 1}$ | 73,623 $\mathbf{9} \quad 3 \quad 2$ | 345,966 $\mathbf{+ 2 , 2 4 3}$ | 124,102 $\mathbf{9 2 6} \pm$ | 105,201 $091 \pm 4$, | 115,499 $\pm \mathbf{3 , 6}$ | 46,585 $\mathbf{2} \mathbf{2 , 7 7}$ | 72,523 $\pm \mathbf{1 , 8 0 8}$ | 38,497 $\mathbf{\pm 1 , 8 0 7}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 974,079 \\ \mathbf{\pm 3 4 , 5 7 0} \end{array}$ |

*Includes yellow perch, largemouth bass, suckers, squawfish, black crappie, chinook, bullhead, etc...

Table A. 20 Monthly and annual catch estimates $\pm 95 \%$ confidence intervals for all fish species surveyed in section 1 of Lake Roosevelt from December, 1992 through November, 1993.

*Includes yellow perch, largemouth bass, suckers, squawfish, black crappie, chinook, bullhead, etc...

Table A. 21 Monthly and annual catch estimates $\pm 95 \%$ confidence intervals for all fish species surveyed in section 2 of Lake Roosevelt from December, 1992 through November, 1993.

*Includes yellow perch, largemouth bass, suckers, squawfish, black crappie, chinook, bullhead, etc...

Table A. 22 Monthly and annual catch estimates $\pm 95 \%$ confidence intervals for all fish species surveyed in section 3 of Lake Roosevelt from December, 1992 through November, 1993.

|  | SPECIES DEC | JAN | F E B | MAR | APR | MA Y | JUN | JUL | AUG | SEP | OCT | NOV | TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | kokanee $\begin{array}{r}286 \\ \\ \pm 19\end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ \pm 0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,256 \\ & \pm 110 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 496 \\ & \pm 39 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 409 \\ & \pm 10 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ \pm 0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,676 \\ & \pm 50 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 294 \\ & \pm 12 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3,303 \\ & \pm 101 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ \pm 0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ \pm 0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ \pm 0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7,435 \\ & \mathrm{f} 322 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | $\begin{array}{cc}\text { rainbow trout } & \begin{array}{l}1,524 \\ \text { f104 }\end{array}\end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,288 \\ & \mathbf{f 2 6 2} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 19,879 \\ \pm 1,740 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 11,162 \\ \pm 871 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 11,359 \\ \mathbf{f 2 9 0} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 73,454 \\ & \pm 3,291 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 19,780 \\ \pm 591 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 54,404 \\ & \pm 2,214 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 18.168 \\ \pm 557 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 13,405 \\ \pm 765 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 13,570 \\ \pm 555 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5,927 \\ +573 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 242,397 \\ & \pm 11,710 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\underset{\sim}{p}$ | walleye $\begin{array}{cc}\text { a } \\ & \pm 0\end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ \pm 0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ \pm 0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ \pm 0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 102 \\ & \pm 3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ \pm 0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{6 , 3 7 0} \\ & \text { f190 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 294 \\ & \pm 12 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{6 , 6 0 7} \\ & \pm 203 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2,062 \\ & \pm 118 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ \pm 0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ \pm 0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 15,435 \\ \mathbf{+ 5 2 5} \end{gathered}$ |
|  | smalhnouth bass 0 $\pm 0$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ \pm 0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ \pm 0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ \pm 0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ \pm 0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,017 \\ & \pm 46 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 61,688 \\ & \text { f1.843 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 19,997 \\ \pm 814 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 75,977 \\ & \pm 2,331 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 25,091 \\ & \pm 1,433 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3,351 \\ & \text { f137 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ \pm 0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 187,121 \\ & \pm 6,602 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | $\begin{array}{cc}\text { sturgeon } & 0 \\ & \pm 0\end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ \pm 0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ \pm 0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ \pm 0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ \pm 0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ \pm 0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ \pm 0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ \pm 0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ \pm 0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ \pm 0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ \pm 0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ \pm 0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ \pm 0 \end{gathered}$ |
|  | other species*\% <br>  <br> $\mathbf{\pm} 0$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ \pm 0 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ \pm 0 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ \pm 0 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ \pm 0 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3,050 \\ \mathbf{f} 137 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ \pm 0 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ \pm 0 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ \pm 0 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ \pm 0 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ \pm 0 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ \pm 0 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3,050 \\ \text { f137 } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |


*Includes yellow perch, largemouth bass, suckers, squawfish, black crappie, chinook, bullhead, etc...

## APPENDIX B

## Fish Survey Data

Table B.I. Annual electrofishing results for 1993 split by sampling period including number of fish collected (No.), relative abundance (\%) and catch per unit effort (CPUE) based on time (min) for fish captured by electrofishing during 1993.

| Effort (min) Species | $\frac{\text { May }}{390}$ |  |  | $\frac{\text { July }}{48}$ |  |  | November |  |  | Total |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | 396 |  |  | 833 |  |
|  | No. | \% | CPUE |  |  |  | No. | \% | CPUE | No. | \% | CPUE | No. | \% | CPUE |
| largescale sucker | 925 | 52 | 2.37 | 26 | 38 | 0.55 | 51 | 14 | 0.12 | 1,002 | 46 | 1.20 |
| smallmouth bass | 142 | 8 | 0.36 | 3 | 4 | 0.06 | 62 | 18 | 0.16 | 207 | 9 | 0.25 |
| cottus spp. | 57 |  | 0.15 | 1 | 1 | 0.02 | 4 | 1 | 0.01 | 22 | 3 | 0.07 |
| carp | 22 | 1 | 0.06 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 183 | 1 | 0.03 |
| squawfish | 181 | 10 | 0.46 | 2 | 3 | 0.64 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 |  | 8 | 0.22 |
| brown bullhead | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 3 | 1 | 0.01 | 3 | c 1 | co. 00 |
| walleye | 222 | 13 | 0.57 | 9 | 13 | 0.19 |  | 1 | 0.01 | 233 | 11 | 0.28 |
| yellow perch | 28 | 2 | 0.67 | 1 | 1 | 0.02 | 216 | 61 | 0.55 | 245 | 11 | 0.29 |
| brown trout | 2 | 0 | 0.01 | 13 | 19 | 0.27 | 1 | 0 | co. 01 | 16 | 1 | 0.02 |
| brook trout | 2 | 0 | 0.01 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 2 | <1 | co. 01 |
| chinook salmon | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 1 |  | 0.02 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 1 | c 1 | co. 01 |
| kokanee salmon | 4 | 0 | 0.01 | 10 | 14 | 0.21 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 14 | 1 | 0.02 |
| lake whitefish | 1 | 0 | <0.01 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 1 | <1 | co. 01 |
| mountain whitefish | 2 | 0 | 0.01 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 2 | <1 | co. 01 |
| rainbow trout | 185 | 10 | 0.47 | 3 | 4 | 0.06 | 13 | 4 | 0.03 | 201 | , | 0.24 |
| Totals | 1,773 |  | 4.54 | 69 |  | 1.45 | 352 |  | 0.89 | 2,194 |  | 2.63 |

Table B.2. May electrofishing results for 1993 split by sample station including number of fish collected (No.), relative abundance (\%) and catch per unit effort (CPUE) based on time (min) for fish captured by electrofishing during 1993.

| Effort (min) Species | Kettle Falls |  |  | Gifford |  |  | Hunters |  |  | Porcupine Bay |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 60 |  |  | 65 |  |  | 73 |  |  | 43 |  |  |
|  | No. | \% | CPUE | No. | \% | CPUE | No. | \% | CPUE | No. |  | CPUE |
| largescale sucker | 446 | 81 | 7.39 | 348 | 59 | 5.40 | 22 | 11 | 0.30 | 8 | 7 | 0.18 |
| smallmouth bass | 1 | 0 | 0.02 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 9 | 5 | 0.12 | 83 | 77 | 1.92 |
| piute sculpin | 2 | $\emptyset$ | 0.03 | 3 | 1 | 0.05 | 50 | 26 | 0.69 | 0 | $\emptyset$ | 0.00 |
| carp | 1 | 0 | 0.02 | 7 | 1 | 0.11 | , | 1 | 0.03 | 5 | 5 | 0.12 |
| squawfish | 36 |  | 0.60 | 120 | 20 | 1.86 | 21 |  | 0.03 |  |  | 0.020.02 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| yellleye perch | 50 | 9 | 0.80 | 48 | 8 | 0.08 | 10 | 38 | 0.93 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 |
| brook trout | 2 | $\emptyset$ | 0.03 | $\emptyset$ | $\emptyset$ | 0.00 | 0 | $\emptyset$ | 0.00 | $\emptyset$ | 0 | 0.00 |
| kokanee salmon | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 |
| lake whitefish | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 1 | 1 | 0.02 |
| mountain whitefish | 2 | $\emptyset$ | 0.03 | $\emptyset$ | $\emptyset$ | 0.00 | $\emptyset$ | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 |
| rainbow trout | 5 | 1 | 0.08 | 63 | 11 | 0.98 | 22 | 11 | 0.30 | 9 |  | 0.21 |
| Total | 551 |  | 9.13 | 593 |  | 9.19 | 194 |  | 2.68 | 108 |  | 2.49 |
| Effort (min) Species | Seven Bays |  |  | Keller Ferry |  |  | Sanpoil_R. |  |  | Spring Canyon |  |  |
|  | 48 |  |  | 32 |  |  | 20 |  |  | 502 |  |  |
|  | No. | \% | CPUE | No. | \% | CPUE | No. | \% | CPUE | No. | \% | CPUE |
| largescale sucker | 75 | 39 | 1.56 | 7 | 13 | 0.22 | 5 | 19 | 0.25 | 14 | 26 | 0.03 |
| smallmouth bass | 19 | 10 | 0.40 |  | 13 | 0.22 | 13 | 48 | 0.65 | 10 | 19 | 0.02 |
| piute sculpin | 1 | 1 | 0.02 | 1 | 2 | 0.03 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 |
| carp | 1 | 1 | 0.02 | 6 | 11 | 0.19 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 |
| squawfish | 22 | 11 | 0.46 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 |
| walleye | 28 | 15 | 0.58 | 19 | 35 | 0.60 | 2 | 7 | 0.10 | 4 | 8 | 0.01 |
| yellow perch | 1 | 1 | 0.02 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 1 | 2 | 0.00 |
| brook trout | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 |
| kokanee salmon | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 4 | 8 | 0.01 |
| lake whitefish | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 |
| mountain whitefish | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 |
| rainbow trout | 46 | 24 | 0.96 | 14 | 26 | 0.44 | 6 | 22 | 0.30 | 20 | 38 | 0.04 |
| Total | 193 |  | 4.02 | 54 |  | 1.71 | 27 |  | 1.35 | 53 |  | 0.11 |

Table B.3, July electrofishing results for 1993 split by sample station including number of fish collected (No.), relative abundance (\%) and catch per unit effort (CPUE) based on time (min) for fish captured by electrofishing during 1993.

|  | Little Falls |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Effort (min) <br> Species | No. $\mathbf{4 8}$ | C P U E |  |
| largescale sucker | $\mathbf{2 6}$ | $\mathbf{3 8}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 5 5}$ |
| smallmouth bass | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 6}$ |
| cotus spp. | 1 | 1 | 002 |
| squawfish | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 4}$ |
| walleye | $\mathbf{9}$ | $\mathbf{1 3}$ | 0.19 |
| yellow perch | 1 | 1 | 0.02 |
| brown trout | 13 | 19 | 0.27 |
| chinook salmon | $\mathbf{1}$ | 1 | 002 |
| kokanee salmon | $\mathbf{1 0}$ | 15 | 0.21 |
| rainbow trout | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 6}$ |
|  | $\mathbf{6 9}$ |  | $\mathbf{1 . 4 5}$ |

Table B.4. November electrofishing results for 1993 split by sample station including number of fish collected (No.), relative abundance (\%) and catch per unit effort (CPUE) based on time for fish captured by electrofishing during 1993.

| Effort (min) Species | $\frac{\text { Kettle Falls }}{107}$ |  |  | Porcupine Bav |  |  | Seven Bavs |  |  | Spring Canvon |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | 53 |  |  | 93 |  |  | 143 |  |
|  | No. | \% | CPUE | No. | \% | CPUE | No. | \% | CPUE | No. | \% | CPUE |
| largescale sucker | 9 | 8 | 0.08 | 5 | 8 | 0.10 | 22 | 10 | 0.24 | 15 | 5 | 0.10 |
| smallmouth bass | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 4 | 2 | 0.04 | 62 | 20 | 0.43 |
| cottus spp. | 6 | 5 | 0.06 | 12 | 19 | 0.23 | 25 | 11 | 0.27 | 4 | 1 | 0.03 |
| carp | 1 | 1 | 0.01 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 1 | 0 | 0.01 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 |
| redside shiner | 2 | 2 | 0.02 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 |
| squawfish | 1 | 1 | 0.01 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 |
| walleye | 6 | 5 | 0.06 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 13 | 6 | 0.14 | 2 | 1 | 0.01 |
| yellow perch | 27 | 25 | 0.25 | 8 | 13 | 0.15 | 104 | 47 | 1.11 | 216 | 68 | 1.51 |
| brown trout | 2 | 2 | 0.02 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | , | 0 | 0.01 |
| kokanee salmon | 18 | 16 | 0.17 | 12 | 19 | 0.23 | 18 | 8 | 0.19 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 |
| lake whitefish | 30 | 27 | 0.28 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 1 | 0 | 0.01 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 |
| rainbow trout | 8 | 7 | 0.07 | 25 | 40 | 0.48 | 34 | 15 | 0.36 | 13 | 4 | 0.09 |
| Totals | 110 |  | 1.03 | 62 |  | 1.18 | 222 |  | 2.38 | 316 |  | 2.20 |

Table B.5. May gillnet set results for 1993 split by sample station including number of fish collected (No.), relative abundance (\%) and catch per unit effort (CPUE) based on time ( min ) for fish captured by electrofishing during 1993.


## APPENDIX C

## Feeding Habits by Species and Age Group

Table C.l Percent by number, percent by weight, frequency of occurrence and index of relative importance (IRI) of food items for all kokance (n $=21$ ) sampled in 1993.

| PREY ITEM | \% by | \% by | Frequency <br> Number | Weight |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| of Occurrence | IRI |  |  |  |
| Cladocera | 99.57 | 95.01 | 95.24 | 90.84 |
| Daphnia spp. | 0.25 | 2.58 | 9.52 | 3.87 |
| Diptera <br> Chironomidae pupa <br> Chironomidae larvae <br> Hydrachnellae <br> Hydracharina 0.15 | 0.36 | 9.52 | 3.15 |  |

Table C. 2 Percent by number, percent by weight, frequency of occurrence and index of relative importance (IRI) of food items for 1+ kokanee (n $=3)$ sampled in 1993.

| PREY ITEM | \% by <br> Number | \% by <br> Weight | Frequency <br> of Occurrence | IRI |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cladocera <br> Daphnia spp. <br> Diptera <br> Chironomidae larvae $\mathrm{09.71}$ | 98.52 | 100.00 | 89.47 |  |

Table C. 3 Percent by number, frequency of occurrence, percent by weight and index of relative importance (IRI) of food items for $2+$ kokanee (n $=12$ ) sampled in 1993.

| PREY ITEM | \% by <br> Number | \% by <br> Weight | Frequency <br> of <br> Occurrence | IRI |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cladocera | 99.72 | 91.32 | 91.67 | 89.28 |
| Daphnia spp. | 0.05 | 2.64 | 8.33 | 3.48 |
| Diptera <br> Chironomidae pupa <br> Chironomidae larvae <br> Hydrachnellae <br> Hydracharina 0.18 | 0.39 | 8.33 | 2.81 |  |

Table C. 4 Percent by number, frequency of occurrence, percent by weight and index of relative importance (IRI) of food items for 3+ kokanee (n = 6) sampled in 1993.

| PREY ITEM | \% by <br> Number | \% by <br> Weight | Frequency <br> of Occurrence | IRI |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cladocera <br> Daphnia spp. <br> Diptera <br> Chironomidae pupa $\mathrm{P9.15}$ | 96.65 | 100.00 | 93.41 |  |

Table C. 5 Percent by number, percent by weight, frequency of occurrence and index of relative importance (IRI) of food items for all rainbow trout $(n=73)$ sampled in 1993.

| PREY ITEM | $\%$ by Number | \% by Weight | Frequency of Occurrence | IRI |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Osteichthyes |  |  |  |  |
| Cottidae | 0.51 | 12.73 | 5.48 | 4.60 |
| Cladocera |  |  |  |  |
| L. kindtii | 0.05 | 0.18 | 2.74 | 0.73 |
| Daphnia spp. | 93.64 | 54.81 | 58.90 | 50.96 |
| Eucopepoda |  |  |  |  |
| Basommatophora |  | . |  | 0.72 |
| Physidae | 0.02 | 2.95 | 1.37 | 1.07 |
| Diptera |  |  |  |  |
| Chironomidae pupa | 0.76 4.02 | 2.82 9.64 | 35.62 38.36 | 9.63 12.78 |
| Chironomidae adult | 0.00 | 0.02 | 1.37 | 0.34 |
| Simuliidae larvae | 0.00 | 0.03 | 1.37 | 0.35 |
| Trichoptera |  |  |  |  |
| Limnephilidae | 0.01 | 0.17 | 2.74 | 0.72 |
| Leptoceridae | 0.00 | 0.06 | 1.37 | 0.35 |
| Hydropyschidae | 0.03 | 0.18 | 4.11 | 1.06 |
| Hemiptera |  |  |  |  |
| Corixidae | 0.39 | 5.87 | 10.96 | 4.23 |
| Notonectidae | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.37 | 0.34 |
| Plecoptera |  |  |  |  |
| Pteronarcydae | 0.00 | 0.79 | 1.37 | 0.53 |
| Ephemeroptera |  |  |  |  |
| Baetidae | 0.15 | 0.28 | 2.74 | 0.78 |
| Ephemerellidae | 0.09 | 0.29 | 1.37 | 0.43 |
| Leptophlebiidae | 0.00 | 0.04 | 1.37 | 0.35 |
| Coleoptera 0.00 |  |  |  |  |
| Hydrachnellae |  |  |  |  |
| Hydracharina | 0.02 | 0.10 | 8.22 | 2.05 |
| Terrestrial | 0.18 | 3.43 | 16.44 | 4.93 |
| Other 0.18 |  |  |  |  |
| A. gammeras | 0.01 | 0.20 | 4.11 | 1.06 |
| Decapoda | 0.00 | 4.61 | 1.37 | 1.47 |

Table C. 6 Percent by number, percent by weight, frequency of occurrence and index of relative importance (IRI) of food items for $0+$ rainbow trout ( $\mathrm{n}=1$ ) sampled in 1993.

| PREY ITEM | \% by <br> Number | \% by <br> Weight | Frequency <br> of Occurrence | IRI |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Trichoptera <br> Limnephilidae | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 |

Table C. 7 Percent by number, percent by weight, frequency of occurrence and index of relative importance (IRI) of food items for $1+$ rainbow trout ( $n=25$ ) sampled in 1993.

| PREY ITEM | \% by Number | \% by Weight | Frequency of Occurrence | IRI |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Osteichthyes |  |  |  |  |
| Cottidae | 1.77 | 7.92 | 8.00 | 4.25 |
| Cladocera 8.00 |  |  |  |  |
| Daphnia spp. | 87.15 | 68.88 | 52.00 | 50.01 |
| Eucopepoda |  |  |  |  |
| E. nevadensis | 0.32 | 0.29 | 4.00 | 1.11 |
| Diptera |  |  |  |  |
| Chironomidae pupa | 1.21 | 3.66 | 40.00 | 10.79 |
| Chironomidae larvae | 8.15 | 9.37 | 40.00 | 13.83 |
| Chironomidae adult | 0.02 | 0.09 | 4.00 | 0.99 |
| Trichoptera |  |  |  |  |
| Hydropyschidae | 0.05 | 0.72 | 8.00 | 2.11 |
| Hemiptera |  |  |  |  |
| Corixidae | 0.14 | 1.16 | 12.00 | 3.20 |
| Notonectidae | 0.01 | 0.02 | 4.00 | 0.97 |
| Ephemeroptera 0.61 |  |  |  |  |
| Baetidae | 0.61 | 1.32 | 4.00 | 1.43 |
| Ephemerellidae | 0.35 | 1.57 | 4.00 | 1.42 |
| Hydrachnellae |  |  |  |  |
| Hydracharina | 0.01 | 0.08 | 4.00 | 0.98 |
| Terrestrial Other | 0.21 | 4.15 | 28.00 | 7.78 |
| A.gammeras | 0.01 | 0.78 | 4.00 | 1.15 |

Table C. 8 Percent by number, percent by weight, frequency of occurrence and index of relative importance (IRI) of food items for $2+$ rainbow trout ( $n=23$ ) sampled in 1993.

| PREY ITEM | \% by <br> Number | \% by <br> Weight | Frequency <br> of <br> Occurrence | IRI |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cladocera <br> L. kindtii | 0.03 | 0.24 | 4.35 | 1.17 |
| Daphnia spp. <br> Eucopepoda <br> E. nevadensis | 98.49 | 75.67 | 73.91 | 62.86 |
| Basommatophora <br> Physidae | 0.01 | 0.14 | 4.35 | 1.14 |
| Diptera <br> Chironomidae pupa <br> Chironomidae <br> Trichoptera <br> Leptoceridae <br> Coleoptera <br> Elmidae <br> Hydrachnellae <br> Hydracharina | 0.05 | 10.13 | 4.00 | $\mathbf{3 . 5 9}$ |
| Terrestrial <br> Other <br> A. gammeras | 0.38 | 2.57 | 36.00 | $\mathbf{9 . 9 2}$ |
| $\mathbf{8 . 9 3}$ | 0.13 | 0.87 | 32.00 | 1.07 |

Table C. 9 Percent by number, percent by weight, frequency of occurrence and index of relative importance (IRI) of food items for $3+$ rainbow trout ( $\mathrm{n}=14$ ) sampled in 1993.

| PREY ITEM | \% by Number | \% by Weight | Frequency of Occurrence | IRI |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Osteichthyes |  |  |  |  |
| Cottidae | 0.41 | 29.64 | 14.29 | 10.89 |
| Cladocera |  |  |  |  |
| L. Kindtii | 0.20 | 0.29 | 7.14 | 1.88 |
| Daphnia spp. | 88.95 | 33.31 | 50.00 | 42.31 |
| Diptera 0.12 |  |  |  |  |
| Chironomidae pupa | 0.12 | 0.29 | 21.43 | 5.36 |
| Chironomidae larvae | 10.18 | 18.41 | 50.00 | 19.30 |
| Trichoptera |  |  |  |  |
| Hemip tera |  |  |  |  |
| Corixidae | 0.01 | 0.56 | 7.14 | 1.89 |
| Plecoptera 0.01 |  |  |  |  |
| Pteronarcydae | 0.01 | 2.08 | 7.14 | 2.27 |
| Ephemeroptera |  |  |  |  |
| Baetidae | 0.01 | 0.10 | 7.14 | 1.78 |
| Leptophlebiidae | 0.01 | 0.10 | 7.14 | 1.78 |
| Hydrachnellae 7.14 |  |  |  |  |
| Hydracharina | 0.01 | 0.01 | 7.14 | 1.76 |
| Terrestrial | 0.04 | 2.82 | 14.29 | 4.21 |
| Other |  |  |  |  |
| Decapoda | 0.01 | 12.11 | 7.14 | 4.73 |

Table C. 10 Percent by number, percent by weight, frequency of occurrence and index of relative importance (IRI) of food items for all walleye ( $n=$ 47) sampled in 1993.

| PREY ITEM | \% by <br> Number | \% by Weight | Frequency of Occurrence | IRI |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ostelchthyes |  |  |  |  |
| Cottidae | 1.00 | 16.12 | 17.02 | 9.22 |
| Cyprinidae | 0.29 | 3.70 | 4.26 | 2.23 |
| Percidae | 0.29 | 18.63 | 10.64 | 7.99 |
| Salmonidae | 0.06 | 26.58 | 2.13 | 7.77 |
| Unidentified fish | 1.23 | 34.12 | 34.04 | 18.74 |
| Cladocera |  |  |  |  |
| Daphnia spp. | 46.89 | 0.10 | 17.02 | 17.29 |
| Decapoda Astacidae | 0.06 | 0.08 | 2.13 | 0.61 |
| Diptera |  |  |  |  |
| Chironomidae pupa | 34.45 | 0.40 | 38.30 | 19.76 |
| Chironomidae larvae | 4.46 | 0.08 | 17.02 | 5.82 |
| Trichoptera |  |  |  |  |
| Hemiptera |  |  |  |  |
| Corixidae | 1.17 | 0.04 | 6.38 | 2.05 |
| Plecoptera 20.05 |  |  |  |  |
| Ephemeroptera | 0.06 | 0.00 | 2.13 | 0.59 |
| Baetidae | 9.51 | 0.09 | 6.38 | 4.32 |
| Odonata |  |  |  |  |
| Anisoptera | 0.06 | 0.00 | 2.13 | 0.59 |
| Zygoptera | 0.06 | 0.01 | 2.13 | 0.59 |
| Oligochaeta |  |  |  |  |
| $\xrightarrow{\text { Lumbriculidae }}$ | 0.12 | 0.02 | 2.13 | 0.61 |
| Other <br> A. gammeras | 0.12 | 0.01 | 4.26 | 1.18 |

Table C.ll Percent by number, percent by weight, frequency of occurrence and index of relative importance (IRI) of food items for $0+$ walleye $(n=$ 9) sampled in 1993.

| PREY ITEM | \% by <br> Number | \% by <br> Weight | Frequency <br> of <br> Occurrence | IRI |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Osteichthyes <br> Unidentified fish | 0.21 | 26.08 | 22.22 | 11.80 |
| Cladocera <br> Daphnia spp. | 76.49 | 26.94 | 55.56 | 38.67 |
| Diptera <br> Chironomidae pupa | 13.76 | 26.39 | 66.67 | 25.98 |
| Chironomidae larvae <br> Ephemeroptera <br> Baetidae | 2.87 | 6.51 | 44.44 | 13.09 |
| Odonata <br> Zygoptera | 6.57 | 10.09 | 11.11 | 6.76 |

Table C. 12 Percent by number, percent by weight, frequency of occurrence and index of relative importance (IRI) of food items for $1+$ walleye ( $n=$ 6) sampled in 1993.

| PREY ITEM | \% by <br> Number | \% by <br> Weight | Frequency <br> of Occurrence | IRI |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Osteichthyes <br> Cottidae | 0.53 | 1.92 | 16.67 | 4.99 |
| Percidae | 0.53 | 93.92 | 16.67 | 28.99 |
| Unidentified fish <br> Diptera <br> Chironomidae pupa <br> Chironomidae larvae <br> Plecoptera <br> Capnildae <br> Ephemeroptera <br> Baetidae | 0.53 | 0.60 | 16.67 | 4.64 |

Table C. 13 Percent by number, percent by weight, frequency of occurrence and index of relative importance (IRI) of food items for $2+$ walleye ( $n=$ 10) sampled in 1993.

| PREY ITEM | \% by Number | \% by Weight | Frequency of Occurrence | IRI |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Osteichthyes |  |  |  |  |
| Cottidae | 2.74 | 28.06 | 30.00 | 17.37 |
| Percidae | 0.25 | 44.70 | 10.00 | 15.70 |
| Unidentified fish | 0.50 | 24.72 | 20.00 | 12.92 |
| Cladocera |  |  |  |  |
| Daphnia spp. | 4.48 | 0.09 | 10.00 | 4.16 |
| Diptera |  |  |  |  |
| Chironomidae pupa | 87.31 | 2.17 | 30.00 | 34.14 |
| Chironomidae larvae | 3.23 | 0.16 | 10.00 | 3.83 |
| Trichoptera 0.75 |  |  |  |  |
| Ephemeroptera |  |  |  |  |
| Baetidae | 0.25 | 0.01 | 10.00 | 2.93 |
| Other |  |  |  |  |
| A. gammeras | 0.50 | 0.08 | 20.00 | 5.88 |

Table C. 14 Percent by number, percent by weight, frequency of occurrence and index of relative importance (IRI) of food items for $3+$ walleye ( $n=$ 7) sampled in 1993.

| PREY ITEM | \% by <br> Number | \% by <br> Weight | Frequency <br> of Occurrence | IRI |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Osteichthyes <br> Unidentified fish | 3.41 | 92.76 | 42.86 | 38.93 |
| Cladocera | 40.91 | 1.07 | 28.57 | 19.75 |
| Daphnia spp. | 42.05 | 3.57 | 71.43 | 32.77 |
| Diptera <br> Chironomidae pupa <br> Chironomidae larvae | 13.64 | 2.60 | 14.29 | 8.55 |

