## REFERENCES

- Austin, A. E. (1992). Supporting the professor as teacher: The Lilly Teaching Fellows Program. *Review of Higher Education*, 16 (1), 85-106.
- Boice, R. (1995). Developing writing, then teaching, amongst new faculty. *Research in Higher Education*, 36 (4), 415-456.
- Centra, J. A. (1978a). Faculty development in higher education. *Teachers College Record*, 80, 188-201.
- Centra, J. A. (1978b). Types of faculty development programs. *Journal of Higher Education*, 49 (2), 151-162.
- Chubin, D. E. (1996). *NSF evaluation highlights: A report on the National Science Foundation's efforts to assess the effectiveness of its education programs.* Arlington, VA: National Science Foundation.
- Eison, J., & Stevens, E. (1995). Faculty development workshops and institutes. In W. A. Wright (Ed.), *Teaching improvement practices: Successful strategies for higher education* (pp. 206-236). Bolton, MA: Anker.
- Erickson, G. (1986). A survey of faculty development practices. *To Improve the Academy*, 5, 182-196.
- Friedman, M., & Stomper, C. (1983). The effectiveness of a faculty development program: A process-product experimental study. *Review of Higher Education*, 7 (1), 49-65.
- Gibbs, L. E., Browne, M. N., & Keeley, S. M. (1989). Critical thinking: A study's outcome. *Journal of Professional Studies*, 13 (1), 44-59.
- Giordano, P. J., & Others (1995). Enhancing teaching by participation in an interdisciplinary faculty improvement group. *Teaching of Psychology*, 22 (2), 123-125.
- Hansen, D. W. (1983). Faculty development practices in the Illinois Community College System. Community/Junior College Quarterly, 7, 207-230.
- Hellyer, S., & Boschmann, E. (1993). Faculty development programs: A perspective. To Improve the Academy, 12, 217-224.
- Konrad, A. C. (1983). Faculty development practices in Canadian universities. *Canadian Journal of Higher Education*, 13 (92), 13-25.

- Menges, R. J., Mathis, B. C., Halliburton, D., Marincovich, M., & Svinicki, M. (1988). Strengthening professional development: Lessons from the program for faculty renewal at Stanford. *Journal of Higher Education*, 59 (3), 291-304.
- Mills, N. S. & Others (1992). Assessment of the NSF 1988-90 Undergraduate Faculty Enhancement Program: Interpretive Overview. Arlington, VA: National Science Foundation.
- Moses, I. (1985). Academic development units and the improvement of teaching. *Higher Education*, 14, 75-100.
- National Science Board. (1986). *Undergraduate science, mathematics, and engineering education*. Washington, DC: National Science Foundation. (Also known as the "Neal Report," after Dr. Homer Neal, who chaired the NSB committee that produced it.)
- National Science Board. (2000). *NSB 00-1, Science and engineering indicators 2000.* Arlington, VA: National Science Foundation.
- National Science Foundation. (1997). NSF 97-29 Undergraduate education: science, mathematics, engineering, technology. Program announcement and guidelines. National Science Foundation, Directorate for Education and Human Resources, Division of Undergraduate Education.
- Richardson, R. (1987). Faculty development and evaluation at Texas community colleges. *Community/Junior College Quarterly*, 11 (1), 19-32.
- Sell, G. R. (1998). A review of research-based literature pertinent to evaluation of workshop programs and related professional development activities for undergraduate faculty in the sciences, mathematics, and engineering. Prepared for SRI International, Rosslyn, VA. Available from author (roger.sell@uni.edu).
- Smith, A. (1981). Staff development goals and practices in U.S. community colleges. *Community/Junior College Research Quarterly*, 2, 209-225.
- Westat, Inc. (1992). Assessment of the National Science Foundation's 1988-90 Undergraduate Faculty Enhancement Program (final report). Rockville, MD: Author.