## UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

### NATIONAL AGRICULTURAL STATISTICS SERVICE

FY 2002

ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT

Issued July 2003

### NATIONAL AGRICULTURAL STATISTICS SERVICE FY 2002 ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT

### Introduction

This FY 2002 Annual Performance Report (APR) is presented by the National Agricultural Statistics Service (NASS) in accordance with the Government Performance and Results Act (GPRA) requirements. This report supports the United States Department of Agriculture's (USDA) FY 2002 Annual Performance Report. The Agency updated and finalized its long range strategic plans for FY 2000-2005 in September 2000 in coordination with the USDA Strategic Plan and the Research, Education, and Economics (REE) mission area goals. This FY 2002 APR reflects the goals and objectives in the NASS 2000-2005 Strategic Plan. Only Federal employees were involved in the preparation of this report.

### Background

NASS provides the basic agricultural and rural data needs for the people of the United States, those working in agriculture, and those living in rural communities by objectively providing important, usable, and accurate statistical information and services needed to make informed decisions. NASS's statistics keep those involved with America's biggest industry well-informed, provide the basic information necessary to keep agricultural markets stable and efficient, and help maintain a level playing field for all users of agricultural statistics. USDA published its first crop report in 1863, and further strengthened this responsibility in 1905 by creating the Crop Reporting Board now the Agricultural Statistics Board. NASS is the primary statistical Agency in USDA whose mission is to provide timely, accurate, and useful statistics in service to U. S. agriculture. These responsibilities were authorized in the Agricultural Marketing Act of 1946 (7 U.S.C. 1621-1627). NASS is responsible for conducting the census of agriculture program that provides comprehensive information about the Nation's agriculture every 5 years. NASS's responsibility to conduct the census of agriculture is authorized under Public Law 105-113, the Census of Agriculture Act of 1997 (Title 7 U.S.C. 2204g). Internally, the statistics are used across USDA and are provided to other agencies in their analyses of programs, policy development, etc., as well as, use in their annual performance measures.

NASS's current official statistics program and the census of agriculture program are complemented by its statistical research and service program. This component works to improve statistical survey methods and to test advanced technology for timely and cost efficient production of high quality agricultural statistics.

### Summary of the NASS Performance Scorecard At-A-Glance

Of the 27 measures included in the 2002 Annual Performance Plan, 19 measures were used in 2002 to measure Agency performance. Eight measures did not have targets because the American Customer Satisfaction Survey (ASCI) and the NASS Organizational Climate Survey were not conducted. Two new security measures were added in 2002 and are included in these 19 performance indicators. Of the 19 measurements, 84 percent met or exceeded established targets and only 16 percent of the indicators did not meet their targets, a 9 percent point improvement over last year.

Performance Scorecard for FY 2002				
Annual Performance Indicators	Target	Actual	Result	
Percent of NASS reports that are complete and contain no data errors.	99%	100%	exceed	
Percent of NASS reports released on time.	100%	99.8%	not met	
Percent of total national agricultural production included in the NASS annual statistics program.	100%	n/a	-	
Customer satisfaction rating for accuracy and usefulness of report content.	n/a	-	-	
Customer satisfaction rating for timeliness of delivery, frequency, and ease of use of NASS reports.	n/a	-	-	
Number of instances of impropriety regarding data security prior to the appointed date and time of an official release.	0	0	met	
Accumulative number of IPM practices used to monitor risk assessment.	24	24	met	
Accumulative number of commodities surveyed on post-harvest application related to food safety.	9	9	met	
Accumulative number of commodities surveyed on agricultural practices related to food safety.	30	30	met	
Accumulative number of commodities surveyed to meet data needs for policy and economic decisions relating to stewardship of natural resources and the environment.	92	92	met	
Accumulative number of nursery and floriculture groups surveyed to meet data needs for policy and economic decisions relating to stewardship of natural resources and the environment.	15	15	met	
Number of new and enhanced statistics collected in the census of agriculture needed for evaluating emerging issues in the agricultural sector.	16	16	met	
Provide statistical and demographic information relating to small farm and minority farmer issues and other associated Departmental / REE initiatives.	Narr	Narr	met	
The number of cooperative agreements and MOU's between NASS and outside partners.	115	162	exceed	
Customer service rating for courtesy and professionalism of NASS personnel in dealing with customers.	n/a	-	-	
Number of new data products and services produced to fulfill customer requests.	8	11	exceed	
American Customer Satisfaction Index measures NASS's customer satisfaction with NASS reports, products, and services.	n/a	-	-	
Percent of new hires of women and minorities to contribute to the diversity of the Agency's workforce.	67%	56%	not met	
Percent of employees who have low/very low morale.	n/a	-	-	
Number of substantiated EEO complaints by NASS employees.	0	0	met	
Percent of NASS budget appropriations spent on training and career development for NASS employees.	3.0%	3.1%	met	
Percent of employees strongly agreeing or agreeing that the physical environment makes it easy to do their job well.	n/a	-	-	

Performance Scorecard for FY 2002					
Annual Performance Indicators	Target	Actual	Result		
Percent of employees strongly agreeing or agreeing that they are kept informed about issues affecting their jobs.	n/a	-	-		
Departmental security rating for NASS.	Green	Red	not met		
Number of computer security breaches resulting in disclosure of confidential data or loss / modification of sensitive data.	0	0	met		
Percent of employees receiving "Security Awareness" Training.	100%	100%	met		
Accumulated number of new GPEA eGovernment (EDR and EDI) products and services implemented.	6	6	met		

### STRATEGIC GOAL 1: TO PROMOTE AN AGRICULTURAL FOOD AND FIBER SYSTEM THAT IS PRODUCTIVE AND HIGHLY COMPETITIVE IN THE GLOBAL ECONOMY.

In the REE mission area, agricultural research is key to achieving sustained, long-term improvement in the competitive position of U.S. agriculture. REE programs allow effective responses to changes -- economic, social, and environmental -- that affect production agriculture and contribute to the reduction of trade barriers related to product quality and safety. The products of research -- value-added processing, new uses for existing crops and animals, and biobased products and their commercialization -- enhance the global competitiveness of U.S. agriculture and the success of its farmers and ranchers.

Producers and other data users need objective, reliable, and timely data to make informed production and marketing decisions. Production agriculture measured as fully and as accurately as possible permits each commodity sector to determine supplies and competitive prices for world marketing of U.S. agricultural products. Official statistics promote a level playing field in production agriculture with impartial information available to all at a predetermined and publicized date and time.

# Objective 1.1: Timely, accurate, and impartial agricultural statistics are available to all at a publicized date and time and are used throughout the agricultural sector to evaluate supplies and promote competitive prices for U.S. commodities around the world.

NASS is the primary agricultural statistics Agency in USDA. Annually, NASS publishes over 500 national reports, covering over 120 crop and 45 livestock items, complemented by over 8,000 additional State reports. These basic and unbiased data are critical to maintain an orderly association between the consumption, supply, marketing, and input sectors of agriculture. Official USDA national, State, and county estimates are issued annually relating to number of farms and land in farms; acreage, yield, production, and stocks of grains; production of hay, oilseeds, cotton, potatoes, tobacco, fruits, vegetables, floriculture, and selected specialty crops; inventories and production of hogs, cattle, sheep and wool, goats and mohair, mink, catfish, trout, poultry, eggs, and dairy products; prices received by farmers for products, prices paid for commodities and services, and related indexes; cold storage inventories; and other related items that affect the agricultural economy. Additionally, estimates relating to nursery and greenhouse production, agricultural chemical use, postharvest chemical use are provided on a periodic basis. Every 5 years NASS conducts the census of agriculture which expands program coverage to include rare specialty commodities, equine, and numerous demographic data series. Consequently, annual performance targets for coverage are 100 percent in census years and fluctuate in the interim years. Annual performance goals, targets, and actuals are summarized in the following table:

Annual Performance Goals and Indicators	FY 2000 Actual	FY 2001 Actual	FY 2002 Target	FY 2002 Actual
1.1.1 Percent of NASS reports that are complete and contain no data errors.	100%	100%	99%	100%
Percent of NASS reports released on time. Percent of total national agricultural	99.8%	99%	100%	99.8%
production included in the NASS annual statistics program.	96%	92%	100%	n/a¹
Customer satisfaction rating for accuracy and usefulness of report content.	n/a²	81	n/a²	-
Customer satisfaction rating for timeliness of delivery, frequency, and ease of use of NASS reports.	n/a²	77	n/a²	-
Number of instances of impropriety regarding data security prior to the appointed date and time of an official release.	0	0	0	0


<sup>1</sup> Data are not available until 2003. <sup>2</sup> American Customer Satisfaction Survey was not conducted

 Table 1: Annual Performance Goals and Indicators for Reports and Releases

**Charts and Tables:** NASS sets annual performance targets for timeliness and completeness of the reports. The actual performance for reports and releases exceeded the FY 2002 target for completeness and came very close in meeting its very stringent timeliness target of 100 percent. NASS statistical program coverage target for the 2002 calendar year is not available until 2003. Cash receipts data for 2002 for the commodities covered in the annual statistics program should reach 100 percent using the 2002 Census of Agriculture, however it is not known at this time.

Year	No. of NASS Reports and Releases	No. of reports released on time	Actual Percent	Target Percent	No. of reports without corrected erratas	Actual Percent	Target Percent
1996	384	384	100%		380	99%	
1997	369	369	100%		367	99.5%	
1998	425	425	100%		425	100%	
1999	419	418	99.8%		416	99.3%	
2000	425	424	99.8%		425	100%	
2001	481	476	99.0%		481	100%	
2002	508	507	99.8%	100%	508	100%	99%

 Table 2: NASS Reports and Releases



Year	Estimated Total U.S. Cash Receipts (Mil. Dollars)	Total U.S. Cash Receipts in NASS Statistical Programs (Mil. Dollars)	Percent	Target
1996	199,138	186,287	94%	
1997	207,611	207,443	100% <sup>1</sup>	
1998	195,816	190,908	97%	
1999	188,132	169,297	90%	
2000	193,695	186,592	96%	
2001	202,849	186,914	92%	95%
2002	191,746 <sup>3</sup>	n/a²	n/a²	100%

**Chart 1:** Percent of U.S. Cash Receipts accounted by NASS Statistical Programs

Census of Agriculture provides complete coverage.  $^{\rm 2}$  Data not available until 2003.  $^{\rm 3}$  Forecast

Table 3: U.S. Cash Receipts in NASS Statistical Programs

**Data Assessment:** The performance data for assessing reports and releases are based on the national reports released by Washington D.C. Headquarters offices and are scheduled on the annual ASB reports calendar. Agency information is maintained internally in Headquarters offices by the Marketing and Information Services Office (MISO). It is maintained and reviewed for consistency, completeness, and accuracy. Any revisions to the previous years APR data are footnoted in this report.

The USDA Economic Research Service (ERS) data is used for calculating NASS statistics program coverage percentage. Farm cash receipts for 2002 are not available until 2003.

The American Customer Satisfaction Survey was not conducted in 2002. NASS's first-ever American Customer Satisfaction Survey was conducted in 2001.

Data security is a critical component of NASS processing and information dissemination. Any instances of impropriety regarding data security prior to the appointed date and time of an official release is reported to the Chairman and Secretary of the Agricultural Statistics Board.

**Analysis of Results:** NASS met its performance goal, but was not able to attain the 100 percent performance target for releasing reports on time. NASS statistical programs are expected to account for 100 percent of U.S. cash receipts, but data are not available until 2003. There were no instances of impropriety reported.

A brief analysis is provided for each of the indicators for this performance goal:

**Reports and Releases:** NASS met its performance goal and was very close to fully achieving the 100 percent performance target for releasing reports on-time. The 2002 reports calendar was published in the fall of 2001. This annual publication lists release dates for all USDA national statistical reports, covering over 120 crops and 45 livestock items. Several changes in the calendar implemented in 2001 continued in 2002 which included the release of the monthly Crop Production reports released on or about the 10th of the month rather than the 11th and with fewer Crop Production reports released on Fridays. Press releases on USDA Statistical Program Monthly Highlights were issued on or about the first of each month to inform data users and keep the public current on forthcoming changes in the reports released during the coming month.

Of the 508 scheduled reports on the 2002 ASB calendar, 507 or 99.8 percent of reports, were released on-time. The release of the "Agricultural Chemical Usage-Fruits Summary" was the only report delayed on July 17, 2002, and released on August 2, 2002, at 3 p.m. ET. A news release was issued on July 16, 2002, informing the public about the delay and rescheduling of the release.

The later release of this report had no impact on the commodity markets. NASS has attained 100 percent on-time performance in 3 out of the last 7 years (1996-2002). The tragic events of September 11, 2001, prevented USDA from attaining this performance measure in 2001 that would have otherwise been realized.

Furthermore, there were no erratas published in 2002. Report errors determined to be "market sensitive" are corrected with a publication of an official errata notice. Cosmetic and non-data errors or "non-market sensitive errors" are tracked, documented, and corrected, but an official errata is not published for those reports and they are not included in performance data. Revisions to preliminary data series, forecasts, or estimates are part of the Agency's standard operating procedures and are not considered as errors.

**Annual Statistics Program Coverage:** Chart 1 graphically shows annual U.S. cash receipts since 1996. NASS statistical program coverage is based on cash receipt estimates published by ERS. Cash receipts provide a standard unit for collapsing and comparing livestock and crop production. Commodities not covered by NASS are estimated using economic models or external data sources to achieve completeness. Commodity coverage for 2001, unavailable in the previous report, was 92 percent, 3 points below the target of 95 percent.

Beginning in 2000, every noncensus year excludes other poultry, all other livestock, jojoba, miscellaneous vegetables, miscellaneous fruits and nuts, all grass seeds, and horses. In years with no census special studies, crawfish and other aquaculture are excluded. In years with no special studies and no nursery and greenhouse survey, all nursery and greenhouse are excluded from the program coverage calculations. NASS program coverage expands to 100 percent during census years conducted every 5 years ie. 1997, 2002, etc. The census allows for open-ended reporting of all commodities produced, thus providing a vehicle for obtaining data on rarer items. Estimates of commodity coverage do not reflect numerous data series relating to economic, environmental, and demographic subjects. Coverage calculations for 2002 are expected to be close to 100 percent. The final annual program coverage calculations will never coincide with the current APR reports and will always be a year behind.

**American Customer Satisfaction (ACSI) Ratings:** The American Customer Satisfaction Survey was not conducted in 2002, therefore there were no measures. At this time, plans have been made to conduct the ACSI once every 2 years.

**Data Security:** There were no instances of any impropriety regarding data security prior to the appointed date and time of any official release in 2002.

### **Current Fiscal Year Performance:**

**Reports and Releases:** NASS continues its efforts to sustain a 100 percent target level of ontime reports through continuous staff training and use of computer equipment and technology. In general, the number of reports and information released by NASS continues to grow in order to meet the ever-growing demands and challenges associated with data users and the public's need for more and better information. The number of reports issued annually over the last 7 years is steadily growing.

**Annual Statistics Program Coverage:** Cash receipt data for the 2002 statistical program coverage evaluation will be made available by ERS in 2003. NASS continuously strives to increase program coverage, but expansion of program coverage is limited by budget resources each fiscal year.

**American Customer Satisfaction (ACSI) Ratings:** NASS intends to use the ACSI for assessing implementation of future quality initiatives. The ACSI index and its components are included in the Revised FY 2003 and FY 2004 Annual Performance Plan (APP). Previous information on the results of ACSI were published in the 2001 Annual Performance Plan.

In absence of conducting the ASCI Survey in 2002, NASS continues to conduct its annual Data User Meetings. This year's meeting was held in Chicago, IL, on October 15, 2002, with a record

attendance of 91 non-USDA participants and was hosted by NASS, the Economic Research Service (ERS), the Agricultural Marketing Service (AMS), the World Agricultural Outlook Board (WAOB), the Foreign Agricultural Service (FAS), and the Foreign Trade Division of the Bureau of the Census. Each participating agency provided a mission overview and summary of changes and new products, followed by an open forum for public comment as well as questions and answers. NASS will continue to provide the leadership role at these annual data users' meetings to discuss customer data needs, service, and to seek their advice on current and emerging issues.

**Data Security:** NASS will continue to provide employees with security training and maintain upto-date agency policies and guidance in order to keep employees fully informed on NASS's standard operating procedures.

**Program Evaluations:** NASS reactivated the Program Review Team in 2002. The Team was chartered to review and update recommendations from a previous report and to evaluate survey performance and program coverage to identify areas where NASS is deficient.

The Advisory Committee on Agriculture Statistics convened in February 2002. The Committee is made up of a cross section of agricultural interests and provides feedback and opinions concerning the NASS program and emerging issues.

Data users from a wide range of agricultural interests gather to discuss program and performance issues with NASS and other government agencies producing ag related data and analysis. NASS receives feedback on a less formal basis through frequent personal contact with producers, producer groups, agribusinesses, and other ag interests at the national and State level.

# STRATEGIC GOAL 2: TO PROMOTE A SAFE FOOD SYSTEM AND A SECURE AGRICULTURAL PRODUCTION SYSTEM.

Our nation's prosperity relies upon an abundant and safe food supply. Through research and education, REE strives to protect the health of consumers from harmful microbial or chemical contamination in the food we eat. The aim is to also make our domestic agricultural production system as secure as possible from the effects of pests, diseases, weather extremes, climate changes, and other natural disasters. Critical to this effort are programs to ensure that producers, processors, and consumers understand safe food and handling practices.

Essential pest management practices data are provided for analyzing policy and program consequences for producers and consumers. Comprehensive analyses of farmer practices are made possible by measures of strategies used by growers as alternatives to chemical use. In addition, under the Department of Health and Human Services/USDA joint initiative to Ensure the Safety of Imported and Domestic Fruits and Vegetables, NASS is responsible for the collection of food safety information for fruits and vegetables.

# **Objective 2.1: Provide meaningful statistical projections on pest management practices and general agricultural practices data for use in evaluating risk assessments relative to both food safety and food security.**

NASS will provide needed data on pest management strategies used by producers and make meaningful data readily available to all who need this data for business and policy decisions. This program will also establish a baseline of agricultural practices related to the potential for microbial contamination of fresh fruits and vegetables.

Annual Performance Goals and Indicators	FY 2000 Actual	FY 2001 Actual	FY 2002 Target	FY 2002 Actual
2.1.1 Accumulative number of IPM practices used to monitor risk assessment.	24	24	24	24
Accumulative number of commodities surveyed on post-harvest application related	8	8	9	9
to food safety. Accumulative number of commodities surveyed on agricultural practices related to food safety.	30	30	30	30

Table 4: Annual Performance Goals and Indicators for Integrated Pest Management and Agricultural Practices

**Data Assessment:** The data in Table 4 is based on information residing in the Agency's statistical information system managed and maintained by various Headquarters staffs in the Environmental, Economic, and Demographics Branch; Census and Survey Division; and the Information Technology Division. Sampling information and survey response rates are available by State and summarized at the national level and are reviewed for consistency, completeness, and accuracy.

**Analysis of Results:** NASS met its performance goal with the release of six chemical use publications which also contributes to attaining goals outlined in objective 4.1. A brief analysis is provided for each of the indicators for this performance goal:

**Integrated Pest Management (IPM) Practices:** The performance goal was met with a total of 24 accumulated IPM practices that have been surveyed to date. The 24 IPM practices identified and listed in last year's annual performance report remained unchanged for 2002. Refer to last year's report for further details.

**Post-Harvest Applications:** The performance goal was met with a total of nine accumulated total number of commodities that have been surveyed on post-harvest applications related to food safety. These commodities include apples, potatoes, corn, wheat, soybeans, oats, rice, peanuts, and pears. Pears were added in 2002 and apple data was previously collected in 1997 and was resurveyed in 2002.

**Agricultural Practices:** There was an Agricultural Practices Survey conducted in 2000 and released in 2001 with 30 commodities summarized. The performance goal was met with a total of 30 accumulated total number of commodities that have been surveyed on agricultural practices related for food safety. The previous annual performance plan listed and identified the commodities. Refer to last year's report for further details.

### **Current Fiscal Year Performance:**

**Integrated Pest Management (IPM) Practices:** Data on the 24 Integrated Pest Management Practices were released to stakeholders and the data user community for crop year 2001 on fruit, vegetables, corn, cotton, potatoes, and soybeans. There was no IPM data activity during 2002 and nothing is planned for 2003 at this time.

**Post-Harvest Applications:** Corn and soybeans will be surveyed in 2003. Data for these commodities were surveyed previously in 1998 and 1999 respectively.

**Agricultural Practices:** This survey is designed to establish a baseline of agricultural practices related to the potential for microbial contamination of fresh fruits and vegetables. Beyond 2000, key food safety issues will be addressed based on the needs of the data user community. Development of the Agricultural Practices Study occurred with direct input of Food and Drug Administration officials, USDA representatives, and industry leaders. There are no plans to conduct another survey cycle.

**Program Evaluations:** No official evaluations were conducted.

# STRATEGIC GOAL 3: TO PROVIDE A HEALTHY POPULATION THROUGH IMPROVED NUTRITION.

The REE agencies are key players in conducting research that provides and promotes the scientific basis for optimal health and informed food policy decisions. A critical component of these efforts is providing dietary information to meet the needs of undernourished portions of the population, with emphasis on children and other at-risk populations. The accomplishment of these performance goals supports USDA Objective 2.3: Protect the public health by significantly reducing the prevalence of food borne hazards.

# Objective 3.1: Provide statistical advice, consultation, and services to USDA and State agencies concerned with health, nutrition, and education when seeking new data or the statistical analysis of existing data for policy decisions.

**Current Fiscal Year Performance:** While there is no specific performance goal or measure established for the objective, NASS provides technical assistance and guidance, as needed, to the REE mission area and other USDA policy officials in providing data and information needed to support this goal at the Departmental level. For example, in FY 2002, NASS worked with Agricultural Research Service's (ARS) Nutrient Data Laboratory, Agricultural Marketing Service (AMS) Pesticide Data Program, and the National Animal Health Monitoring System (NAHMS) Dairy Survey as described below:

**Nutrient Data Laboratory:** NASS provided continued statistical consultation to ARS's Nutrient Data Laboratory (NDL) which consisted of designing and implementing national level plans for sampling retail foods and beverages for the laboratory's National Food and Nutrient Analysis Program. NASS developed and implemented the following : 1.) revised sample designs and data collection procedures for sampling both distributors and users of USDA commodity foods in support of the laboratory's Nutrient Data Bank System; 2.) sampling plans for the collection of traditional Native American foods at the tribal level in 48 conterminous States for nutrient analysis; 3.) sampling and analysis plans for fast food outlets; 5.) numerous small scale sampling plans for individual foods; 6.) statistical analysis procedures to incorporate knowledge from non-survey data into the National Food and Nutrient Analysis Program (NFNAP); 7.) model based estimates of NFNAP serving-to-serving variability of using special variance sample data. In addition, NASS refined and modified as needed the data handling and statistical procedures previously developed by NASS for the NDL Architecture and Integration Management Nutrient Data Bank System.

**AMS Pesticide Data Program:** NASS again provided statistical support to AMS and nine cooperating State governments in their ongoing monitoring of selected commodities at the wholesale level for pesticide residues. NASS selected the sites from which many of the samples originated, computed statistically-defensible national level estimates from the sample data, and produced state-of-the-art graphical displays of the residue distribution in certain pesticide/commodity pairs. NASS also provided statistical advice to AMS on its nascent water-monitoring program for pesticide residues and on its micro-biological monitoring program.

**National Animal Health Monitoring System (NAHMS) Dairy Survey:** In January 2002, NASS conducted a survey for the Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service (APHIS) to study health and management practices in the dairy industry. NASS collected general health management information from dairy producers in 21 dairy producing States. NASS provided statistical services such as sample selection, questionnaire development, data collection, data keying, and editing. APHIS veterinarians completed a follow-up interview with consenting respondents and collected biological samples from the operation.

The NASS program supports Goal 3 through its reimbursable program; there were no appropriated resources associated with this goal in FY 2002. This goal is a component of the overall REE strategic plan.

# STRATEGIC GOAL 4: TO FOSTER AN AGRICULTURAL SYSTEM THAT PROTECTS NATURAL RESOURCES AND THE ENVIRONMENT.

Statistically sound information relevant to policy and production decisions associated with land use, chemical use and integrated pest management practices on U.S. farms and ranches is critical for the effective stewardship of America's rural resources, the environment, and the health and well-being of farm workers.

# **Objective 4.1: Provide statistical data on agricultural chemical use, land productivity, and IPM practices so informed decisions can be made regarding stewardship of America's rural resources and the environment.**


Strategies and resources for achieving this performance goal include conducting ongoing surveys to provide and expand needed information concerning quantities of chemicals applied to agricultural commodities; supplying important information on land use and productivity for use in environmental monitoring efforts; supplying important economic information relevant to policy and production decisions associated with chemical use and IPM practices on farms and ranches; and supplying information relevant to measuring the adoption of IPM practices in agriculture.

Annual Performance Goals and Indicators	FY 2000	FY 2001	FY 2002	FY 2002
	Actual	Actual	Target	Actual
4.1.1 Accumulative number of commodities surveyed to meet data needs for policy and economic decisions relating to stewardship of natural resources and the environment. Accumulative number of nursery and floriculture groups surveyed to meet data needs for policy and economic decisions relating to stewardship of natural resources and the environment.	81 n/a¹	92 15	92 15	92 15

<sup>1</sup> No data reported prior to 2001

**Table 5:** Annual Performance Goals and Indicators for Pesticide Use Data

**Charts and Tables:** NASS sets annual performance targets for the number of commodities surveyed in collecting pesticide data. The FY 2002 actual performance met the FY 2002 targets.



Year	Number of Commodities Surveyed		
	Actual	Target	
1991	35		
1992	68		
1993	76		
1994	76		
1995	79		
1996	79		
1997	80		
1998	80		
1999	80		
2000	81		
2001	92		
2002	92	92	

Chart 2: Number of Commodities Surveyed

 
 Table 6: Number of Commodities Surveyed

 Data Assessment: The data in Chart 2 and Table 6 are based on information managed and maintained by various Headquarters staff in the Environmental, Economic, and Demographics Branch, Census and Survey Division, and the Information Technology Division. The number of commodities covered in the surveys are summarized at the State and national levels and are reviewed for consistency, completeness, and accuracy.

**Analysis of Results:** NASS achieved its performance goal with the release of the following publications in 2002 as a result of chemical use data collection efforts included below. Chemical data for 92 crop commodities have been tabulated to date.

- Agricultural Chemical Usage 2000 Nursery and Floriculture Summary
- Agricultural Chemical Usage 2001 Field Crops Summary
- Agricultural Chemical Usage 2001 Fruit summary
- Agricultural Chemical Usage 2001 Dairy Cattle and Dairy Facilities
- Agricultural Chemical Usage 2001 Postharvest Applications Wheat
- Agricultural Chemical Usage 2001 Restricted Use Pesticides Summary

The following bulleted paragraphs provide a brief analysis for each of the indicators.

- **Commodities Surveyed in Collecting Pesticide Data:** There were a total of 92 accumulated crop commodities that have been surveyed in collecting pesticide data. Eleven new crop commodities were surveyed in 2001 which included sugarbeets, artichokes, beets, brussel sprouts, carrots, collards, garlic, mustard greens, turnip greens, kale, and okra. There were no new crop commodities surveyed in 2002.
- **Nursery and Floriculture Groups Surveyed:** There were a total of 15 nursery and floriculture groups surveyed to date and remained unchanged for 2002. Refer to last year's report for details regarding the 15 groups surveyed.

**Current Fiscal Year Performance:** Plans for 2002 and beyond include continued program expansion to provide coverage for key commodities. In addition to the publication of several documents containing commodity chemical use statistics, data collection has been completed for selected vegetable crops, and multiple field crops. Survey specifications for another floriculture and nursery chemical use survey are being developed.

**Program Evaluation:** No evaluation was conducted but program comments are solicited from primary data users, such as the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), as a part of the overall NASS program review. In addition, staff are constantly meeting with industry stakeholders, the Office of Pest Management Policy in USDA, other USDA agencies, State governments, universities, and numerous private organizations on issues related to pesticide use data.

### STRATEGIC GOAL 5: TO INCREASE THE CAPACITY OF COMMUNITIES, FAMILIES, AND INDIVIDUALS TO ENHANCE THEIR ECONOMIC WELL-BEING AND QUALITY OF LIFE.

The fast pace of change in American life and its increasing complexity presents a growing challenge for individuals, families, communities, businesses, and farms of all sizes. Greater economic opportunity and improved quality of life depend on the ability to maximize available resources. The REE agencies develop tools to assist in making the best use of those resources. Particular emphasis is focused on improving the economic viability and well-being of rural America, its people, communities, businesses, and small farms.

Objective 5.1: Provide detailed production, supply, economic, and demographic data, in partnership with State Departments of Agriculture, land-grant colleges and universities, and other cooperators, to enhance national, State, and local information on U.S. agriculture and facilitate locality-based policy and business decisions.

Greater economic opportunity and quality of life is made possible for those most in need through policy and program decisions based on sound statistical data from agricultural censuses and surveys. Annual surveys monitor the economic status of those who operate and work on the nation's farms and ranches and provide needed data for policy analysis. The Bureau of Economic Analysis receives important data for measuring economic activity at the county level. NASS will continue to improve coverage of minority farm operators when conducting the census of agriculture to provide needed demographic data and document the contributions to agricultural production from these farm and ranch operators.

The Advisory Committee on Agriculture Statistics, whose members represent and are affiliated with industry groups, commodity groups, organizations, universities, corporations, and other data users, are providing valuable input during the planning cycle for the 2002 Census of Agriculture. The Committee will be meeting periodically over the next several years to review and discuss content and other relevant issues for planning and conducting the next census of agriculture. There will be a limited number of performance targets established during the planning and re-engineering cycle.

Annual Performance Goals and Indicators	FY 2000 Actual	FY 2001 Actual	FY 2002 Target	FY 2002 Actual
5.1.1 Number of new and enhanced statistics collected in the census of agriculture needed for evaluating emerging issues in the agricultural sector.	16	16	16	16
<ul> <li>Provide statistical and demographic information relating to small farm and minority farmer issues and other associated Departmental / REE</li> </ul>	see narrative	see narrative	see narrative	see narrative
<ul><li>initiatives.</li><li>The number of cooperative agreements and MOU's between NASS and outside partners.</li></ul>	163	167	115	162

 Table 7: Annual Performance Goals and Indicators for the Census of Agriculture

**Data Assessment:** The performance data for number of new and enhanced statistics collected in the census of agriculture is prepared in Headquarters offices in Washington, D.C. by the staff of the Census and Survey Division. NASS works closely with USDA's Small Farms Coordination, Office of the Under Secretary for REE, to provide statistical and demographic information, as needed, relating to small farm and minority farmer issues and other associated Departmental / REE initiatives. Number of cooperative agreements and MOU's between NASS and outside partners are maintained by the Budget and Administrative Staff, Office of the Deputy Administrator for Field Operations. This information was revised last year to correct the historical data which was previously incorrectly tabulated. The target established for FY 2002 now appears to be low based on these revisions.

**Analysis of Results:** NASS reached or exceeded each of its 2002 targets for this performance goal. A brief analysis is provided for each of the indicators for this performance goal:

- **New and Enhanced Statistics for the Census of Agriculture:** The quality of the statistics collected for the census of agriculture improved in basic four areas and are identified as: Production, Supply, Economic, and Demographic. Sixteen new and altered statistics were defined. There were no additional or enhanced statistics proposed for the census of agriculture during 2002 and remained unchanged from those identified and listed in the 2001 Annual Performance Report. Refer to last year's report for further details.
- **Small Farm and Minority Farmer Issues:** NASS improved its data collection procedures to ensure that small farms and ranches across America are included in the 2002 Census of Agriculture and other surveys which provides invaluable information on the farm topology of small family farms. NASS staff assisted the National Office of Outreach in efforts to gain approval for the Minority Farm Register, which was specified in the Civil Rights Action Team (CRAT) report, published in February 1997. Specifically, NASS provided assistance with the Privacy Act approval

process and in preparing the Information Collection Approval package submitted to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB). The Minority Farm Register will provide an additional tool to help USDA improve its Outreach and Technical Assistance Program for Socially Disadvantaged and Minority Farmers.

• **Cooperative Agreements and MOUs:** NASS had a total of 122 memoranda of understanding and 40 cooperative agreements with State Departments of Agriculture, land grant colleges and universities, and other cooperators. These unique State-Federal cooperative agreements are established each year in order to facilitate work to conduct special State (or multi-State) surveys and services in cooperation with State Departments of Agriculture, land-grant colleges and universities, and industry groups by the SSOs. In FY 2002, work included 164 special surveys covering such varied issues as customer service, farm safety and health, pesticide use, county estimates, nursery/horticulture, farm finance, and specialty crops, up from 157 surveys conducted in 2001.

Survey Type	Number of Surveys
Seeds, Field Crops, Vegetables	38
Fruits and Nuts	25
County Estimates	9
Cropping Practices, Pesticide Use	7
Livestock, Poultry	9
Safety, Health, Disability	3
Nursery and Floriculture	5
Farm Finance, Land Values	10
Miscellaneous	58
TOTAL	164

**Table 9:** Special SSO Surveys Conducted in FY 2002

### **Current Fiscal Year Performance:**

- **Census of Agriculture:** In mid-December 2002, 2.85 million 2002 Census of Agriculture forms were mailed to every farm and ranch nationwide, including Puerto Rico and the U.S. Territories. Results of the 2002 Census of Agriculture will be released on February 3, 2004.
- **Small Farm and Minority Farmer Issues:** NASS will continue to work closely with USDA's Small Farm Coordination Office in assisting the Department in addressing small and minority farmer issues as they arise.
- **Cooperative Agreements and MOUs:** NASS continually works to maintain or increase the number of agreements and MOUs each year with its external cooperators by providing high quality statistical work and services.

**Program Evaluation:** NASS completed its content test work for the 2002 Census of Agriculture that began in 2001. This consisted of developing a prototype of the 2002 Census of Agriculture report form and testing respondent comprehension and response to it. A total of 15,000 agricultural operations from across the Nation were involved in this voluntary test and approximately half participated by completing the questionnaire. An extensive number of factors that affected responses were evaluated which included the format and design of the instrument, new content items, changes to questionnaire working, respondent burden, attitudes affecting response, selected procedural

changes and response variability. Two census questionnaires were designed for data collection. Each type was modified to accommodate crop and reporting variations for 13 U.S. regions. The questionnaires were specifically designed for ICR data capture technology for the first time which added to the complexity of the project.

### STRATEGIC GOAL 6: FULLY UTILIZE THE CAPABILITIES OF A DIVERSE WORKFORCE AND AVAILABLE RESOURCES TO PROVIDE TOP QUALITY AGRICULTURAL STATISTICS AND SERVICE.

The unique and complementary capabilities, multiple disciplines, and diverse funding mechanisms of the REE agencies enable the mission area to call on the most appropriate individuals and institutions to address particular problems. REE management seeks to capture the strengths of these complementaries to maximize return on the Federal investment in research, education, extension, and statistics.

This management goal describes how NASS will coordinate its activities to achieve program goals, as well as focus on those activities for which cross-agency participation will yield the most benefit. NASS is committed to ensuring that civil rights concerns are addressed in all aspects of its work: program planning, development, management, and delivery. Each individual has equal opportunity to gain skills and experience that will help him or her contribute to the NASS mission while also pursuing their individual long-range career goals. NASS must also equitably and fairly serve all of its customers, making a special effort to reach historically underserved customers.

On a reimbursable basis NASS lends technical expertise, conducts surveys, performs services and provides statistical consultation to other Federal agencies, State governments, and private organizations. NASS provides support and assistance in the areas of questionnaire and sample design, data collection and editing, analysis of survey results, and training. NASS also provides technical consultation, support, and assistance for international programs under participating agency service agreements. Statistics generated meet special needs not covered by the national agricultural statistics program. In addition, statistical consultation by NASS staff members contributes to improvements in the overall quality and consistency of statistical information produced for the needs of other organizations.

### **Objective 6.1:** Respond to customer needs and provide excellent service.

NASS's customer service pledge is to respond to each individual customer in a courteous and helpful manner; accurately direct customers to the person or agency with the appropriate information; provide complete, accurate, plain language information about NASS programs, products, and services; make it easy to find and order reports; deliver promised information promptly; explain why and/or when NASS cannot meet a customer's request; and deliver services without discrimination on the basis of race, color, national origin, sex, religion, age, disability, political beliefs, and marital or family status.


With the steady increase in demand for agricultural information and the steady decline in the number of commercial farmers, NASS's concern with respondent burden issues has never been more critical. NASS continues to fortify relationships with trade and producer associations at the national and local levels to increase support and publicity of agricultural surveys. NASS provides these associations with news stories and marketing materials to use in communication with their members. NASS has centralized marketing and public relations activities to streamline processes, achieve better quality results, and conserve resources by eliminating duplicate efforts on the part of SSOs. The primary focus has been enhanced, increased communication of survey benefits through the media, both in writing and in person. NASS has prepared several communications packages for SSOs to use when promoting specific surveys to producers and their associations. These packages include electronic presentations, media releases, talking points, brochures, public service announcements, suggested interview questions for reporters, presurvey letters, and other tools to communicate the purpose and, most importantly, benefits of agricultural surveys.

Annual Performance Goals and Indicators	FY 2000 Actual	FY 2001 Actual	FY 2002 Target	FY 2002 Actual
<ul> <li>6.1.1 Customer service rating for courtesy and professionalism of NASS personnel in dealing with customers.</li> <li>Number of new data products and services</li> </ul>	n/a¹	85	n/a¹	-
<ul> <li>produced to fulfill customer requests.</li> <li>American Customer Satisfaction Index measures</li> </ul>	15	14	8	11
NASS's customer satisfaction with NASS reports, products, and services.	n/a¹	72	n/a¹	-

<sup>1</sup> American Customer Satisfaction Survey was not conducted

**Table 8:** Annual Performance Goals and Indicators for Customer Service and New Data Products and Services

**Charts & Tables:** NASS sets annual performance targets for the number of new products and services. The FY 2002 actual performance surpassed the FY 2002 target.



Year	Number of New Data Products	Target
1998	4	
1999	9	
2000	15	
2001	14	
2002	11	8

Table 11: Number of New Data Products

Chart 3: Number of New Data Products

**Data Assessment:** The data in Chart 3 and Table 11 for new data products are based on Agency information maintained internally in Headquarters offices in Washington, D.C., by the Marketing and Information Services Office (MISO). It is reviewed for consistency, completeness, and accuracy. The American Customer Satisfaction Survey was not conducted in 2002.

**Analysis of Results:** NASS exceeded its performance goal for new products and services and customer satisfaction. During FY 2002, NASS introduced 11 new data products and services to address additional data needs exceeding its target. A brief analysis is provided for each of the indicators for this performance goal:

### • **New Data Products and Services:** Eleven new data products and services included the following:

- Detailed field counts from the Objective Yield surveys, including the number of wheat heads, corn ears, soybean pods, and cotton bolls, are now published on a monthly basis during the forecast season. This will provide users additional data to evaluate the current month's forecast against crop conditions, final end of season counts, and historic yields. Additional corn plant population information is also being published.

- Missouri rice was added to the U.S. crop progress and condition tables for 2002. This increased the coverage of the table to 100 percent of the U.S. planted acreage.

- Vegetable acreage, yield, production, price, and value of production statistics were added to the NASS Internet Quick Stats database. Quick Stats is an on-line database that enables data users to produce custom queries at their convenience.

- The monthly Cold Storage report added three new data series. These are pounds of stocks in cold storage for turkey toms and turkey hens; individually quick frozen (IQF) and poly, pails and tubs, barrels and drums, and juice stock for strawberries; and public warehouse stocks for total turkeys.

- A new report, Poultry Slaughter - Annual Summary, was released in April 2002. This report included revisions for the monthly releases from the previous calendar year. Additionally, the Poultry Slaughter monthly release began to publish percent changes from the previous year and the previous month for all U.S. data.

- U.S. Dairy Herd Structure, was released in late September. This report contained a summary of the changes in the structure of the U.S. dairy herd by size of operation and geographic location.

- Special data tabulations and published statistics were provided for extensive use by both Congressional and USDA analysts during development, impact analysis, and implementation of the Farm Security and Rural Investment Act of 2002 (2002 Farm Bill).

- In FY 2002, NASS published the results of the first-ever Nursery and Floriculture Chemical Use Survey in six major production States, making available information on chemical application rates, total amounts applied, method of applications, and pest management practices.

- Ten years of NASS published chemical use data was made available to data users through an interactive database located on the Internet. North Carolina State University's Center for Integrated Pest Management partnered with NASS to make this new product available.

- Two new environmental data products were provided in 2002 when the Agricultural Chemical Usage, Postharvest Applications - Wheat, and the Agricultural Chemical Usage, 2001 Dairy Cattle and Diary Facilities Summary were published, containing information on application rates for specific chemical active ingredients and total amounts applied.

- In July 2002, NASS released the biennial Agricultural Chemical Usage for Fruit report. This report has been published every other year since 1991. This year's report provided fruit chemical use statistics for 24 crops in 11 major producing States.

• American Customer Service (ACSI) Ratings: The American Customer Satisfaction Survey was not conducted in 2002, therefore no performance data was available for analysis.

### **Current Fiscal Year Performance:**

- **New Data Products and Services:** NASS will continue to strive to meet the demand for new data products for its customers and to provide outreach materials with details of its statistical programs in step with other USDA agencies. Activities associated with conducting the census of agriculture will contribute to the development of new data products and service over the next several years.
- American Customer Service (ACSI) Ratings: As previously discussed in this report, NASS intends to use the ACSI for assessing implementation of future quality initiatives. Plans are currently underway to conduct this survey in FY 2003, if adequate budget resources are available.

**Program Evaluations:** No program evaluations were conducted.

## **Objective 6.2:** Employ a diversified and technically competent staff, treat employees fairly and with respect, foster leadership, and manage resources efficiently.

NASS envisions itself as the acknowledged statistical leader for those seeking counsel, survey services, or data products regarding agricultural and rural statistics -- a standing achieved by consistently demonstrating sound methodology, effective resource utilization, and a focus on customer service. NASS continually strengthens its technical, managerial, and leadership capabilities of employees who are recognized for their leadership and excellence in USDA as evidenced by the continuous improvements in productivity and efficiency.

The Agency continues to recruit people already possessing many of the skills needed to perform at a high level. The increased specialization that new employees bring to NASS facilitates fresh ideas and thinking about how NASS currently operates. NASS continues to encourage advancing all employees' technical skills through training at a variety of different levels. Employees must be able to function as a team. They must become knowledgeable in a multitude of Agency activities and ongoing training is necessary for employees to adequately perform their work and meet the ever-growing demands of their jobs. Leaders for the future must be coaches, trainers, and networkers. Employees must feel they are respected and that management will listen and respond to employee input.

NASS employees are strengthened by the diversity of their cultures and backgrounds, the enjoyment of a challenging career, and their unsurpassed level of dedication to the Agency. The quality of NASS's agricultural estimates are strengthened by employing, training, and supporting a diverse workforce that fosters creativity, ingenuity, and efficiency in the agricultural statistics program.

Annual Performance Goals and Indicators	FY 2000	FY 2001	FY 2002	FY 2002
	Actual	Actual	Target	Actual
<ul><li>6.2.1 Percent of new hires of women and minorities to contribute to the diversity of the Agency's workforce.</li><li>Percent of employees who have low/very low</li></ul>	70	72	67	56
	26% <sup>1</sup>	21%	n/a	-
<ul><li>morale.</li><li>Number of substantiated EEO complaints by NASS employees.</li></ul>	0	0	0	0 3.1%
<ul> <li>Percent of NASS budget appropriations spent on training and career development for NASS employees.</li> </ul>	3.4%	2.9%	5.0%	5.1%

<sup>1</sup> HRME data

Table 12: Annual Performance Goals and Indicators for NASS Staff

**Charts and Tables:** NASS sets annual performance targets for measuring minority recruitment, EEO complaints, employee morale, and training expenditures. The FY 2002 actual performance for the number of substantiated EEO complaints and training expenditures met the FY 2002 targets. The minority recruitment target was not met and the organizational climate survey was not conducted, therefore there were no performance indicators.

Year	NASS Employees with Low or Very Low Morale	Target Percent
1997	24%	
1998	22% <sup>1</sup>	
1999	24%	
2000	26% <sup>1</sup>	
2001	21%	
2002	n/a	-

<sup>1</sup> HRME data

Table 13: NASS Employee Morale

Year	Total Number of Formal EEO Complaints Filed	Actual Number of Substantiated EEO Complaints	Target Number of Substantiated EEO Complaints
1996	3	0	
1997	5	0	
1998	10	0	
1999	6	0	
2000	3	0	
2001	5	0	
2002	6	0	0

Table 14: Number of Substantiated EEO Complaints

Year	Total Training Costs (Dollars)	NASS Budget (Dollars)	Actual Percent	Target Percent
1996	2,170,500	90,398,000	2.4%	
1997	3,363,005	110,051,000	3.1%	
1998	3,551,673	126,848,000	2.8%	
1999	4,231,224	113,805,000	3.7%	
2000	3,778,911	110,382,000	3.4%	
2001	3,249,213	111,866,000	2.9%	
2002	3,882,321	125,705,000	3.1%	3.0%

Table 15: Annual Training Budget Expenditures

**Data Assessment:** Personnel information for the 2002 data is compiled by the Human Resources unit of the Budget / Administrative Services Office (BASO) and the Director of Civil Rights using the National Finance Center (NFC) Personnel System and other administrative information maintained internally by the Civil Rights Director. Information for the NASS Organizational Climate Survey is maintained internally by NASS.

**Analysis of Results:** NASS met its performance goal in employing a diversified and technically competent staff and treating employees fairly and with respect. Targets for EEO complaints and training were met or exceeded. There was no information available to measure employee morale. A brief analysis is provided for each of the indicators for this performance goal:

• **Staff Diversity:** Employment and Agency diversity information for FY 2002 was obtained from the NFC. During the past several years, NASS has been addressing any existing underrepresentation within the Agency for the following four most populous occupational series (those having 50 or more employees): GS-1530, Agricultural Statistician; GS-1529, Mathematical Statistician; GS-2210, Information Technology Specialist (previously known as the GS-334, Computer Specialist job series); and GS-1531, Statistical Assistant. NASS continued to make strides in recruiting and hiring a diverse group of new employees in the four major job series. Of the 62 new employees hired in FY 2002, 56 percent of the new hires were women and minorities. Under the 2002 USDA Summer Employment Program, NASS hired 31 student assistants of whom 16 were women and minorities, representing 52 percent of the total.



Chart 5: Percent of New Hires are Women and Minorities

In FY 2002, the Agency held four separate sessions of the "NASS New Employee Orientation" on the following dates at Headquarters: October 17-24, 2001; October 18-25, 2001; March 13-20, 2002; and March 14-21, 2002. The NASS Civil Rights Director is always included at these sessions since he makes presentations on a variety of topics dealing with equal employment opportunity and civil rights, such as sexual harassment, affirmative action, the EEO Counseling Program, the EEO complaint process, among others.

Most of the new employees were recruited by State Statisticians in the SSOs through local colleges and universities, with special emphasis placed on recruiting qualified students from the 1890 Historically Black Colleges and Universities. NASS is planning to continue using internship programs as its primary program for hiring and recruiting a diverse workforce. Additional detailed information on EEO and Civil Rights can be found in the NASS FY 2002 EEO and Civil Rights Accomplishment Report.

- **Employee Morale:** There were no performance indicators for measuring employee morale during FY 2002. However, as a follow-on training component of the NASS Leadership Workshop conducted in 2001, NASS supervisors and managers began attending the Leadership Development Action Learning sessions. Four Action Learning sessions were conducted for 14 supervisors to focus on providing leadership development skills to NASS management staff who, as part of their managerial assignments, experience human relations challenges. These workshops will use the Action Learning process to focus on key human relations competencies as defined in the results of the April 2001 Leadership Effectiveness Inventory (LEI), including:
  - the 5 competencies ranked in the top 10 as critical among all respondents (self, peer, and supervisor): Interpersonal Skills, Team Building, Decisiveness, Conflict Management, Continual Learning;
  - the 3 competencies ranked in the top 10 as critical among 2 of the respondents (self,peer, or supervisor): Oral Communication, Creativity and Innovation, Integrity and Honesty.

In addition, the Agency also presented the "NASS Administrative Management Workshop" on March 5-7, 2002, for managers and supervisors.

• **Substantiated EEO Complaints:** The actual number of substantiated EEO complaints filed is based on complaints that are reviewed and accepted for formal investigation under the Departmental EEO complaint process and which subsequently result in a finding of discrimination against a NASS management official or employee. There were a total of six individual formal EEO complaints filed by NASS employees in FY 2002. One complaint was dismissed in its entirety with no discrimination found by the USDA Office of Civil Rights. Another one was successfully resolved by NASS management and officially closed. The remaining EEO complaints are currently at different stages of the USDA administrative process.

NASS maintained its commitment to Civil Rights efforts in 2002. The USDA Office of Civil Rights commended NASS for its thorough and comprehensive efforts made towards achieving its civil

rights goals and objectives in FY 2001 and stated it is clearly and example of a progressive and action-oriented Civil Rights program within the Department.

• **Training:** The total training dollars expended in 2002 was 3.1 percent of NASS's total budget. Workshops conducted presented a broad range of training that included several Leadership - Action Learning Sessions with supervisors and managers, List Frame Concepts and Census Preparations Workshop, NIOSH Childhood Injury and Occupational Health Survey Training, SSO Team Building, several Regional Statistical Assistant Workshops, New Employee Orientation, Basic Survey Workshop, Basic Estimation Workshop, Area Survey Tools Workshop, IDP Sessions for employees, support staff, managers, and supervisors, County Estimates (CE) Net Conference Session, Trainthe-Trainer, BRIO software training, pre-retirement planning, and administrative management training. NASS also is beginning to use net conferencing and teleconferencing in an effort to reduce travel and training costs so that more training can be conducted.

**Current Fiscal Year Performance:** NASS requires employees to use Individual Development Plans (IDPs) to reflect their career goals within the Agency's career paths and develop innovative and flexible training programs to meet individual needs. NASS pays training costs for employees who wish to develop their skills and build experience and versatility through staff mobility. NASS strengthens the technical, managerial, and leadership capabilities of employees by creating a cadre of needed specialists with a series of technical career tracks, aligning training and job opportunities with employees' technical and managerial career goals, developing training programs to align with changing program needs, and striving to employ a diversified and technically competent staff. NASS is planning to conduct civil rights training in coordination with USDA's ongoing efforts along with normally scheduled supervisory and management training in FY 2003.

NASS Headquarters and field office staff will attend and participate in numerous national and State industry and commodity association meetings and conferences throughout FY 2003. NASS will continue to make extensive use of cooperative agreements with the State Departments of Agriculture nationwide and the National Association of State Departments of Agriculture (NASDA) in supporting the NASS statistical programs, at both the local and national levels. NASS continues its technical consulting efforts within the Department and other government agencies, as well as internationally with countries in Latin America, including Mexico, Central and South American, and Eastern Europe and China.

- **Staff Diversity:** Most of the new employees are recruited by State Statisticians in the SSOs through local colleges and universities, with special emphasis placed on recruiting qualified students from the 1890 Historically Black Colleges and Universities.
- **Employee Morale:** There were no performance indicators for FY 2002. NASS Organizational Climate Surveys are typically administered every 2-3 years. Previous climate surveys were done in 1988, 1994, 1997, 1999 and 2001. The next survey is expected to be conducted in 2004 since there will be heavy workload demands due to the processing of the census of agriculture in 2003. Continued improvements in the organization have been ongoing based on survey results and recommendations.
- Substantiated EEO Complaints: NASS is committed to Civil Rights activities in the Agency and the Department.
- **Training:** Training will continue to be a high priority in NASS and it is an important component in maintaining its leadership as the premier Agency in agricultural statistics around the world.

**Program Evaluations:** In FY 2002, NASS, in collaboration with the Agricultural Research Service's Human Resources Division, conducted on-site Human Resources Management Evaluations (HRMEs) involving the Agency's Arizona, Georgia, Utah, Oklahoma, Illinois, Wisconsin, Wyoming, Mississippi, and South Carolina State Statistical Offices. At Headquarters, on-site HRMEs were conducted within the Agency's Census and Survey Division and the Research and Development Division. Each of these on-site evaluations, among other things, reviewed and assessed the status of equal employment opportunity and civil rights at each location.

## **Objective 6.3:** Ensure an effective information resource management system to maximize productive capability and facilitate communication for employees.

Clear and concise communication throughout NASS is essential to the general operation, efficiency, and responsiveness of the Agency. Through use of its information technology and resource management systems, NASS continually strives to promote government efficiency by its employees and maintain information that is easily accessible by its customers. Employee and public confidence in the security of its electronic information systems and processes are also essential for maximizing employee productivity and facilitating communication within NASS and with its customers. In the future, this includes expanding NASS's capabilities for allowing individuals or entities the option to submit information or transact with NASS electronically, when practicable, and to maintain records electronically, when practicable. NASS's use of information technology is a major means of supporting the USDA goals to improve organizational productivity, accountability, and performance, and to ensure that USDA provides fair and equitable service to all customers and upholds the civil rights of its employees. Employee workstations are equipped with connections to the NASS WAN, e-mail, electronic bulletin boards, the Internet, the Intranet, and a host of software applications that have significantly enhanced communications and improved organizational productivity, accountability, accountability, and performance.


Annual Performance Goals and Indicators	FY 2000 Actual	FY 2001 Actual	FY 2002 Target	FY 2002 Actual
6.3.1 Percent of employees strongly agreeing or agreeing that the physical environment makes it easy to do their job well.	n/a	70%	n/a	-
<ul> <li>Percent of employees strongly agreeing or agreeing that they are kept informed about issues affecting their jobs.</li> </ul>	n/a	55%	n/a	-
<ul> <li>Accumulated number of new GPEA eGovernment (EDR and EDI) products and services implemented.</li> </ul>	2	3	6	6

Table 15: Annual Performance Goals and Indicators for GPRA eGovernment Products and Services.

**Charts and Tables:** NASS sets annual performance targets for tracking employee ratings of their work environment; their opinion for being kept informed about issues affecting their job; and tracking the number of e-Government products and services. The FY 2002 actual performance for zero tolerance for computer security breaches and the targeted number of e-Government products and services met their targets; there were no targets established during 2002 for employee ratings for their physical environment or their opinion about being kept informed about issues that affect their jobs.

Year	Number of NASS Reports and Releases	Due Dates Missed Due to Equipment Failures	Target
1998	425	1	
1999	419	0	
2000	425	0	
2001	481	0	
2002	508	0	0

**Table 16:** Number of Equipment Failures



Year	Employees Agreeing that Physical Work not Impeding Them	Target
1997	85%	
1998	n/a	
1999	80%	
2000	n/a¹	
2001	70%	
2002	n/a¹	-

Chart 6: Employees Work Environment

<sup>1</sup> No data available (see Table 15)

 Table 17: Employees Work Environment

**Data Assessment:** The data in Table 16 for the number of report due dates missed due to equipment failure are based on Agency information maintained internally in Headquarters offices in Washington, D.C., by MISO and is reviewed for consistency, completeness, and accuracy. As indicated in Chart 6 and Table 17, there were not any Organizational Climate Survey or HRME data available to report employee ratings. The Organizational Climate Survey is conducted about every 2 years.

**Analysis of Results:** NASS met its performance goal in ensuring an effective information resource management system that maximizes productive capability and facilitates communication for employees. However, there were no results available for evaluating NASS work environment or how well employees are kept informed. A brief analysis is provided for each of the indicators for this performance goal:

- **Physical Work Environment:** There are no performance indicators to measure the physical work environment for FY 2002. As stated previously, indicators are generated from the Organizational Climate Survey when it is conducted.
- **Employees Kept Informed:** There are no performance indicators to measure how well employees were kept informed for FY 2002. As stated previously, indicators are generated from the Organizational Climate Survey when it is conducted.
- **eGovernment Products and Services:** A total of six eGovernment products have been implemented in NASS to date. NASS, prior to 2002, began collecting Weekly Crop Progress Survey data via the web, Monthly Cold Storage inventory data for extreme operators via email, and Cotton Ginnings Survey data via the web. In 2002, three additional products were implemented. A web Grape Survey was developed and successfully implemented in NASS's Texas office. A Dairy Products Prices web instrument that captures and transmits data internally between NASS State offices and Headquarters was implemented. Additionally, NASS's Career Opportunities Development Team developed a web survey instrument for use on NASS's intranet to collect information from NASS employees.

#### **Current Fiscal Year Performance:**

• **Physical Work Environment:** NASS Senior Management plans to use the results of the 2001 Organizational Climate Survey to assess needs for improvements regarding the physical work environment of employees. Wing 4 of the South Building modernization and renovation is almost completed for occupancy which is expected to take place in March 2003. The California SSO completed its move to newly remodeled GSA space in December 2002 in Sacramento, California. The second year for the annual computer replacement schedule was approved to replace the oldest equipment based on the 2002 PC inventory analysis. As in 2001, about 400 new PC's were procured and distributed Agency-wide in 2003. This equates to about one-third of the NASS staff receiving new workstations.

- **Employees Kept Informed:** NASS Senior Management plans to use the results of the 2001 Organizational Climate Survey to assess needs for improvements regarding how employees are kept informed. In doing this, Senior Management created the NASS Communications Team in May 2002 to make recommendations for redesigning the NASSnet Intranet Web site and review how information is communicated in the Agency. Continued improvements in the organization have been ongoing.
- **eGovernment Products and Services:** NASS is building an Electronic Data Reporting (EDR) system that will jointly support the production of web and paper survey instruments. The EDR system includes a Questionnaire Repository System that will house all web and paper questions and software to build the survey instruments from these questions. Phase 2 of the system is to be functional by August of 2003. Using the new system, NASS has targeted approximately 30 surveys, representing 50 percent of NASS's data collections, to offer respondents an electronic web option of reporting data by October 21, 2003, to comply with the Government Paperwork Elimination Act (GPEA) of 1998. Key to making the offerings is the availability of the USDA's e-authentication product and process.

Program Evaluations: No program evaluations were completed in 2002.

### **Objective 6.4: Ensure an effective security architecture which does not impede employee access and prevents unauthorized access by both employee and outside entities.**

Employee and public confidence in the security of NASS electronic information systems and processes are essential for maximizing employee productivity internal to NASS and with its customers. In the future, this includes expanding NASS's capability for allowing individuals and entities the option of submitting information or transacting with NASS electronically, and to maintain records electronically, when practicable.

NASS collects, processes, and maintains sensitive respondent information. NASS is entrusted with ensuring this information is secure and unavailable to unauthorized access. NASS uses a security architecture to restrict access. NASS employs the latest security techniques through the utilization of enterprise firewalls, routers equipped with firewalls, Departmental intrusion detection at our perimeter, and user accounts and associated passwords for all NASS systems. NASS is implementing an access method requiring strong authentication.

Annual Performance Goals and Indicators	FY 2000 Actual	FY 2001 Actual	FY 2002 Target	FY 2002 Actual
6.4.1 Departmental security rating <sup>3</sup> for NASS	n/a	n/a	Green	Red <sup>1</sup> Green <sup>2</sup>
<ul> <li>Number of computer security breaches resulting in disclosure of confidential or loss/modification of sensitive data.</li> </ul>	0	0	0	0
<ul> <li>Percent of employees receiving "Security Awareness" training.</li> </ul>	n/a	n/a	100%	100%

<sup>1</sup>NASS security status rating. <sup>2</sup>NASS security progress rating. <sup>3</sup>USDA Cyber Security instituted a new assessment and rating system during 2002, described in 'Departmental Security Rating' section.

**Table 18:** Annual Performance Goals and Indicators for Computer Security

**Data Assessment:** The data in Table 18 for computer security performance measures are based on Agency information maintained internally in Headquarters offices in Washington, D.C., by the NASS Computer Security Officers assigned to the Office of the Director for Information Technology Division. It is reviewed for consistency, completeness, and accuracy.

**Analysis of Results:** NASS met its performance goal in ensuring an effective security architecture and management system that maximizes productive capability and facilitates communication for employees. There were no computer security breaches resulting in the disclosure of confidential or loss/modification of sensitive data. Computer training was conducted for security awareness. A brief analysis is provided for each of the indicators for this performance goal:

! **Departmental Security Rating:** The USDA Office of the Chief Information Officer, Cyber Security Office, implemented a new Cyber Security Assessment and Rating system in FY 2002. Their system is based on NIST and OMB standards. Each agency completed assessments along with the GISRA reports and were given a numeric rating and color code of Red, Yellow, or Green for that initial assessment. Most agencies, as well as the Department as a whole, received a Red rating for the initial assessment. From that assessment, each agency was required to complete a Plan Of Action with Milestones (POAM). The status of the plan is reported quarterly. The 'status' rating for security is based on the original assessment. If the status is not Green, then the status will remain the same until all action items from the plan have been completed. The current NASS POAM has milestones listed through FY 2004. As long as the milestones for a particular quarter are met then the 'progress' status for that agency is Green. NASS currently has a 'status' rating of Red, and a 'progress' rating of Green.

! **Computer Security Breaches:** During FY 2002 there were two documented attempted computer security breaches. However, neither of these gained access to confidential or sensitive data. And there was no effect to, or delay of, the 508 national reports published in the 2002 calendar year.

! **Employee Security Training:** NASS has included security discussions at all training schools and plans to continue this policy. NASS has also begun issuing internal Computer Security Policy Memoranda. As each policy is released an email is sent to every employee with a link to the NASS intranet site with the policies listed for review. A binder with paper copies of the policies has been sent to each Branch, Staff, and State office. Also the Computer Security Staff communicates regularly with all staff via email, and a Security Discussion Area in Lotus Notes.

### **Current Fiscal Year Performance:**

! **Departmental Security Rating:** NASS continues to use and follow its POAM and is meeting the milestone target dates. Current fiscal year projects include a stronger Intrusion Detection System (IDS) and a new Virtual Private Network (VPN) solution for telecommuters. NASS works closely with the Department's Office of Cyber Security to ensure that a strong and compliant security architecture is maintained which minimizes any duplication of services. For example, NASS intends to take advantage of Departmental contracts for a software vulnerability patch vendor and formal certification contracts.

! **Computer Security Breaches:** There have been no documented computer security breaches resulting in disclosure of confidential data or the loss/modification of confidential or sensitive data.

! **Employee Security Training:** NASS is continuing to include security discussions at all training schools. NASS also intends to participate in the Departmental agreement with the 'GoLearn' Federal training site and a Departmental contract to provide specialized Security Awareness Training by job function, as recommended by NIST.

**Program Evaluations:** NASS participated in a Departmental contract for security program evaluations and received and overall program evaluation from the vendor with suggestions for new policies and improvements in FY 2002. NASS is undergoing a Telecommunications Risk Assessment from the same vendor in calender 2003.

NASS systems at the National Information Technology Center (NITC) have been reviewed along with other NITC systems in a Government Accounting Office (GAO) audit. GAO also intends to review NASS Headquarters systems and some of the State Office systems in calendar 2003.