



# **Economic and Environmental Impact Analysis of the Proposed Effluent Limitations Guidelines and Standards for the Concentrated Aquatic Animal Production Industry**

September 2002

Economic and Environmental Impact  
Analysis of the Proposed  
Effluent Limitations Guidelines and Standards  
for the Concentrated Aquatic Animal Production Industry

September 2002

# CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
<b>FIGURES</b> .....	vi
<b>TABLES</b> .....	vii
<b>CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION</b> .....	1-1
1.1 Scope and Purpose .....	1-1
1.2 Data Sources .....	1-2
1.3 Report Organization .....	1-4
1.4 References .....	1-5
<b>CHAPTER 2 INDUSTRY PROFILE</b> .....	2-1
2.1 Public/Private Roles in Aquaculture .....	2-2
2.1.1 Federal .....	2-2
2.1.2 State .....	2-3
2.1.3 Tribal and Others .....	2-3
2.1.4 Private Aquaculture .....	2-4
2.1.5 Aquariums .....	2-6
2.1.6 Observations .....	2-6
2.2 Geographic Distribution .....	2-7
2.2.1 Public .....	2-7
2.2.2 Private .....	2-8
2.3 Major Species Produced .....	2-18
2.3.1 Public .....	2-18
2.3.2 Private .....	2-19
2.3.3 Observations .....	2-26
2.4 Economic Value .....	2-29
2.4.1 Public .....	2-29
2.4.2 Private .....	2-30
2.4.3 Aquariums .....	2-31

2.5	Organizational Structure .....	2-31
2.5.1	Public: Government or Government Agency .....	2-34
2.5.2	Nonprofit Organizations .....	2-34
2.5.3	Private .....	2-37
2.6	Employment .....	2-37
2.7	Small Businesses .....	2-38
2.7.1	Public .....	2-38
2.7.2	Private .....	2-38
2.8	Market Structure .....	2-40
2.8.1	Public .....	2-40
2.8.2	Private .....	2-41
2.9	International Trade .....	2-45
2.9.1	Imports .....	2-46
2.9.2	Exports .....	2-49
2.9.3	Government Intervention .....	2-50
2.10	References .....	2-51
<b>CHAPTER 3</b>	<b>EPA SCREENER SURVEY .....</b>	<b>3-1</b>
3.1	Survey Description .....	3-1
3.2	Development of Survey Mailing List .....	3-1
3.3	Response to the Screener Survey .....	3-2
3.4	Summary .....	3-2
3.5	References .....	3-3
<b>CHAPTER 4</b>	<b>TECHNOLOGIES AND ENGINEERING COST ESTIMATES ...</b>	<b>4-1</b>
4.1	Model Facility Approach .....	4-1
4.2	Technology Descriptions .....	4-3
4.2.1	Quiescent Zones .....	4-3

4.2.2	Sedimentation Basins (Gravity Separation) . . . . .	4-4
4.2.3	Solids Control Best Management Practices (BMP) Plan . . . . .	4-6
4.2.4	Compliance Monitoring . . . . .	4-6
4.2.5	Feed Management . . . . .	4-6
4.2.6	Drugs and Chemical Management . . . . .	4-7
4.2.7	Additional Solids Removal (Solids Polishing) . . . . .	4-8
4.2.8	Active Feed Monitoring . . . . .	4-8
4.3	Compliance Cost Estimation . . . . .	4-9
4.4	Frequency Factors . . . . .	4-10
4.5	References . . . . .	4-11
<b>CHAPTER 5</b>	<b>ECONOMIC IMPACT METHODOLOGY . . . . .</b>	<b>5-1</b>
5.1	Facility Impacts . . . . .	5-1
5.1.1	Revenue Test . . . . .	5-1
5.1.2	Alternative Approaches Considered . . . . .	5-2
5.1.3	Revenue Estimates for Non-Commercial Facilities . . . . .	5-2
5.1.4	Revenue Estimates for Alaskan Facilities . . . . .	5-3
5.2	Steps in the Facility Analysis . . . . .	5-3
5.2.1	Calculation of Annualized Costs for Individual Option Components . . . . .	5-3
5.2.2	Identification of Possible Facility Option Costs . . . . .	5-5
5.2.3	Calculation of the Likelihood of a Facility Incurring Particular Costs . . . . .	5-6
5.2.4	Calculation of Facility Counts Showing Impacts at a Given Revenue Test Threshold . . . . .	5-7
5.2.5	Sample Calculation . . . . .	5-8
5.3	Industry Costs . . . . .	5-9
5.4	National Industry Compliance Costs . . . . .	5-10
5.5	Cost-reasonableness and BCT Cost Test . . . . .	5-11
5.6	References . . . . .	5-12

<b>CHAPTER 6</b>	<b>REGULATORY OPTIONS: DESCRIPTIONS, COSTS, AND CONVENTIONAL REMOVALS</b> .....	6-1
6.1	Proposed Subcategories and Options .....	6-1
6.2	Subcategory Costs .....	6-1
6.3	Cost of Proposed Options .....	6-3
6.4	Cost-Reasonableness .....	6-6
6.5	Reference .....	6-8
<b>CHAPTER 7</b>	<b>ECONOMIC IMPACT RESULTS</b> .....	7-1
7.1	Flow-Through Systems (BPT, BCT, BAT, and NSPS) .....	7-1
	7.1.1 BPT and BAT .....	7-1
	7.1.2 BCT .....	7-4
	7.1.3 NSPS .....	7-5
7.2	Recirculating Systems (BPT, BCT, BAT, and NSPS) .....	7-6
7.3	Net Pen Systems (BPT, BCT, BAT, and NSPS) .....	7-6
7.4	Other Economic Impacts .....	7-7
	7.4.1 Firm-Level Impacts .....	7-7
	7.4.2 Community-Level Impacts .....	7-7
	7.4.3 Foreign Trade Impacts .....	7-8
<b>CHAPTER 8</b>	<b>SMALL BUSINESS FLEXIBILITY ANALYSIS</b> .....	8-1
8.1	Introduction .....	8-1
8.2	Initial Assessment .....	8-1
8.3	Small Business Flexibility Analysis Components .....	8-2
	8.3.1 Need for Objectives of the Rule .....	8-3
	8.3.2 Small Entity Identification .....	8-4
	8.3.3 Description of the Proposed Reporting, Recordkeeping, and Other Compliance Requirements .....	8-6

8.3.4	Identification of Relevant Federal Rules That May Duplicate, Overlap, or Conflict with the Proposed Rule .....	8-7
8.3.5	Significant Regulatory Alternatives .....	8-7
8.4	Potential Impacts from Promulgated Rule on Small Entities .....	8-12
8.4.1	Small Facilities with 20,000 to 100,000 Pounds Annual Production ....	8-12
8.4.2	Small Commercial Facilities .....	8-13
8.4.3	Nonprofit Organizations .....	8-14
8.5	Regulatory Flexibility Analysis .....	8-14
8.6	References .....	8-15
<b>CHAPTER 9 ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS OF THE AAP INDUSTRY IN THE U.S. ....</b>		<b>9-1</b>
9.1	Introduction .....	9-1
9.2	Water Quality Impacts from Nutrients and Solids .....	9-1
9.2.1	AAP Industry Pollutant Loadings .....	9-5
9.2.2	Potential and Observed Water Quality Impacts .....	9-8
9.2.3	State Listings of Impaired Waters .....	9-9
9.3	Non-Native Species .....	9-15
9.3.1	Impacts of Non-Native Species .....	9-16
9.3.2	Case Studies of Non-Native Species .....	9-19
9.4	Pathogens .....	9-26
9.5	Drugs and Other Chemicals .....	9-26
9.6	Other Potential Impacts .....	9-29
9.7	References .....	9-31
<b>CHAPTER 10 ENVIRONMENTAL BENEFITS OF PROPOSED REGULATION .....</b>		<b>10-1</b>
10.1	Introduction .....	10-1
10.2	Benefits Endpoints Evaluated .....	10-2

10.2.1	Water Quality Standards and Nutrient Criteria .....	10-2
10.2.2	Water Quality for Recreational Use .....	10-3
10.3	Other Benefits Not Quantified .....	10-4
10.3.1	Water Quality Benefits from Net Pen Loadings Reductions .....	10-4
10.3.2	Reductions in Escapements .....	10-5
10.3.4	Reductions in Drugs and Other Chemicals .....	10-5
10.4	Benefits Modeling Approach .....	10-5
10.4.1	Water Quality Modeling and “Prototype” Case Study .....	10-6
10.4.2	Extrapolation to National-Scale Impacts .....	10-15
10.5	Estimated Water Quality Benefits .....	10-15
10.5.1	Water Quality Standards and Nutrient Criteria .....	10-15
10.5.2	Recreational Use Value Benefits .....	10-16
10.6	Unquantified Benefits .....	10-25
10.7	References .....	10-25
<b>CHAPTER 11</b>	<b>COST-BENEFIT COMPARISON AND UNFUNDED MANDATES REFORM ACT ANALYSIS .....</b>	<b>11-1</b>
11.1	Cost-Benefit Comparison .....	11-1
11.2	Unfunded Mandates Reform Act Analysis .....	11-2
11.2.1	Background .....	11-2
11.2.2	Potential Impacts on Non-Commercial Facilities .....	11-4
11.2.3	Summary .....	11-5
11.3	Reference .....	11-5
<b>APPENDIX A</b>	<b>INDUSTRY PROFILE SUPPORTING TABLES .....</b>	<b>A-1</b>
<b>APPENDIX B</b>	<b>ENTERPRISE BUDGETS: LITERATURE SEARCH .....</b>	<b>B-1</b>
<b>APPENDIX C</b>	<b>PRODUCTION THRESHOLDS .....</b>	<b>C-1</b>
<b>APPENDIX D</b>	<b>CALCULATION OF MUNICIPAL DOMESTIC WASTELOAD EQUIVALENTS .....</b>	<b>D-1</b>



<b>APPENDIX E</b>	<b>LITERATURE REVIEW FOR AAP IMPACTS ON WATER QUALITY .....</b>	<b>E-1</b>
<b>APPENDIX F</b>	<b>WATER QUALITY STANDARDS AND NUTRIENT CRITERIA ..</b>	<b>F-1</b>
<b>APPENDIX G</b>	<b>WATER QUALITY AND FLOW DATA FROM SELECTED STREAMGAGE STATIONS IN NC .....</b>	<b>G-1</b>
<b>APPENDIX H</b>	<b>METHOD FOR CONVERTING MODEL FACILITY POLLUTANT LOADS INTO EFFLUENT CONCENTRATIONS .</b>	<b>H-1</b>

## FIGURES

<u>Figure</u>		<u>Page</u>
2-1	Number of Catfish Producing Facilities by State . . . . .	2-9
2-2	Number of Trout Producing Facilities by State . . . . .	2-10
2-3	Number of Food Fish Producing Facilities by State . . . . .	2-11
2-4	Number of Mollusk and Crustacean Producing Facilities by State . . . . .	2-12
2-5	Number of Other Aquatic Animal Producing Facilities by State . . . . .	2-13
2-6	Aquatic Animal Production by Pounds Sold: 1998 . . . . .	2-22
2-7	Aquatic Animal Production by Value Sold: 1998 . . . . .	2-23
2-8	United States Private Aquatic Animal Production By Weight 1985-1999 . . . . .	2-24
2-9	United States Private Aquatic Animal Production By Value 1985-1999 . . . . .	2-27
2-10	Value of U.S. Imports and Exports of Fishery Products 1989-2000 (\$1 billion) . . . . .	2-47
10-1	Example of QUAL2E output for simulated BOD concentrations downstream of a medium trout stockers flow-through facility on the “prototype” stream . . . . .	10-14

## TABLES

<u>Table</u>	<u>Page</u>
2-1 Tribal Hatcheries . . . . .	2-5
2-2 Aquatic Animal Production Industry: Estimated Number of Facilities . . . . .	2-6
2-3 1998 Aquatic Animal Commercial Facilities . . . . .	2-14
2-4 1998 Private Aquatic Animal Facilities Providing Stock or Eggs for Restoration or Conservation Purposes . . . . .	2-16
2-5 Inland Trout Produced and Stocked by Number and Biomass . . . . .	2-20
2-6 U.S. Private Aquaculture Production for 1985-1999: Growth in Time by Weight (1,000 lbs) . . . . .	2-25
2-7 U.S. Private Aquaculture Production for 1985-1999: Growth in Time by Value (\$1,000 Nominal) . . . . .	2-28
2-8 Number of Aquaculture Facilities by Revenue- United States 1998 . . . . .	2-32
2-9 Number of Farms by Revenue Category By Species . . . . .	2-33
2-10 FY 1999 Revenue Sources . . . . .	2-35
2-11 Small Business Size Standards . . . . .	2-39
2-12 Sources and Uses of Aquaculture Species in the United States, 1998 . . . . .	2-42
2-13 Characteristics of Aquaculture Species Markets . . . . .	2-43
2-14 Industry Concentration . . . . .	2-44
2-15 2000 Imports and Exports of Selected Products (\$1000) . . . . .	2-48
2-16 2001 Imports and Exports of Selected Products (\$1000) . . . . .	2-49
2-17 “Catfish” Imports 1995-2001 . . . . .	2-50
4-1 Non-Alaska Model Facilities Unit Costs—Regulatory Option 1 . . . . .	4-12
4-2 Non-Alaska Model Facilities Unit Costs—Regulatory Option 2 . . . . .	4-14
4-3 Non-Alaska Model Facilities Unit Costs—Regulatory Option 3 . . . . .	4-15
4-4 Alaska Facilities Unit Costs—Regulatory Option 1 . . . . .	4-16
4-5 Alaska Facilities Unit Costs—Regulatory Option 2 . . . . .	4-18
4-6 Alaska Facilities Unit Costs—Regulatory Option 3 . . . . .	4-19
5-1 Calculation of Sample Costs and Their Probabilities . . . . .	5-9
6-1 Regulatory Options . . . . .	6-1
6-2 Option Costs by Subcategory (\$2000) . . . . .	6-2
6-3 Flow-Through Systems: Cost by Annual Production (\$2000) . . . . .	6-3
6-4 Estimated Pre-Tax Annualized Cost for Proposed Option (Screener Survey Facility Counts) . . . . .	6-5
6-5 Estimated Pre-Tax Annualized Cost for Proposed Option . . . . .	6-6
6-6 Cost-reasonableness of Proposed BPT Options . . . . .	6-7
6-7 Nutrient Cost-effectiveness of Proposed Options . . . . .	6-9
7-1 Flow-Through Systems: Facilities Showing Impacts at 3%, 5%, and 10% Revenue Test Thresholds . . . . .	7-2

<u>Table</u>	<u>Page</u>
7-2	POTW Cost Test Calculations for Flow-Through Systems (100,000-475,000 Pounds in Annual Production) . . . . . 7-5
8-1	Small Business Size Standards . . . . . 8-5
8-2	Estimated Number of Facilities . . . . . 8-13
8-3	Facilities with 20,000-1000,000 Pounds Annual Production Estimated Compliance Costs and Facilities Showing Impacts at 1% and 3% Revenue Test Thresholds . . . . . 8-15
9-1	Example Raw Pollutant Concentrations for Flow-Through and Recirculating Model Facilities . . . . . 9-6
9-2	Example Model Facility Raw Pollutant Loadings for Flow-Through and Recirculating Systems . . . . . 9-7
9-3	Impaired Water Bodies Where CAAP is Listed as a Source of Impairment . . . . . 9-10
9-4	Source of Impairment by Water Body Type . . . . . 9-11
9-5	Miles/Acres for Which CAAP is Listed as a Potential Source of Impairment . . . . . 9-11
9-6	Comparison of Leading Pollutants Among Sources of Impairment . . . . . 9-13
9-7	Comparison of Sources of Impairment in Rivers and Streams (Miles) . . . . . 9-14
9-8	Comparison of Sources of Impairment in Lakes, Reservoirs, and Ponds (Acres) . . . . . 9-14
9-9	Atlantic Salmon Escapements in Maine and Washington . . . . . 9-20
10-1	Model Stream Background Concentrations . . . . . 10-10
10-2	Background Flow/Hydrology Scenarios Used in the Modeling . . . . . 10-10
10-3	Raw Effluent Concentrations for Flow-Through and Recirculating Systems . . . . . 10-13
10-4	Effluent Flows . . . . . 10-14
10-5	Criteria and Values . . . . . 10-19
10-6	Example of Application of Water Quality Index Use . . . . . 10-21
10-7	National Benefits from AAP Facility Regulatory Options When Applied to All Facilities . . . . . 10-24
10-8	National Benefits from the Proposed Option . . . . . 10-24
11-1	Estimated Pre-Tax Annualized Compliance Costs and Monetized Benefits . . . . . 11-2