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Restraint use by young children reached record levels in 2002, with $99 \%$ of infants and $94 \%$ of toddlers restrained. On the other hand, too many youngsters remain in the front seat, with $15 \%$ of infants, $10 \%$ of $1-3$ year olds, and $29 \%$ of 47 yearolds in this more dangerous seating position. Also, the restraint status of the driver continues to be strongly related to that of his or her child passengers, indicating that belt enforcement programs save kids' lives as well as benefiting adults. These results are from the National Occupant Protection Use Survey (NOPUS), which provides the only probability-based observed data on the use of child restraints on the nation's roads. This survey is conducted by the National Center for Statistics and Analysis in the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA).

The survey also found:

- Only $83 \%$ of $4-7$ year olds are restrained.
- Male drivers are improving at restraining their child passengers.
- Although some premature graduation remains, programs that educate caregivers about proper child restraints seem to be working.

This is the first time NOPUS has measured the restraint use of 4-7 year olds. In addition to observing restraint use for a larger range of children, the incorporation of this new age category gave rise to changes in the NOPUS definitions of toddlers, from 1-4 year olds to 1-3 year olds, and of children, from under 5 to under 8 years. Consequently changes in restraint estimates for toddlers and combined restraint estimates for all children could be due to these changes in age groups.

Table 1: The NOPUS Age Groups

| Age Group | Definition Used by NOPUS <br> During the Years |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{1 9 9 4 - 2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| Infant | 0 years | 0 years |
| Toddler | $1-4$ years | $1-3$ years |
| Booster-Age Child | NA | $4-7$ years |
| Child | $0-4$ years | $0-7$ years |

## Restraint Use of Young Children Reach Record Levels; Booster Age Kids Have Low Restraint Use

Restraint use for infants reach a record high of $99 \%$ and that for toddlers reached $94 \%$. We are $85 \%$ confident that use increased among infants and $60 \%$ confident for toddlers. Use appears to drop off sharply when children reach the 4-7 age category.

## Table 2: Restraint Use by Children

| Age Group | Restraint <br> Use |  | Standard <br> Error |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| Infants (0 years) | $95 \%$ | $99 \%$ | $2.9 \%$ | $0.5 \%$ |
| Toddlers <br> (1-4 years in 2000, <br> $1-3$ years in 2002) | $91 \%$ | $94 \%$ | $3.7 \%$ | $1.8 . \%$ |
| Booster-Age <br> Children <br> (4-7 years) | NA | $83 \%$ | NA | $2.8 \%$ |

Source: National Center for Statistics and Analysis, NHTSA, NOPUS, 2000, 2002.

[^0]

## Many Children Continue to Ride in the

## Front Seat

NOPUS calculated front seat occupancy for the first time this year. NOPUS observed nearly a third of $4-7$ year olds in the front seat. Perhaps more alarming is that $15 \%$ of infants are in this vulnerable seating position.

## Table 2: Front Seat Occupancy in 2002

| Age Group | Front Seat <br> Occupancy | Standard <br> Error |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Infants | $15 \%$ | $4.5 \%$ |
| Toddlers | $10 \%$ | $2.5 \%$ |
| Booster-Age Children | $29 \%$ | $4.7 \%$ |

Source: National Center for Statistics and Analysis, NHTSA, NOPUS, 2002.


Belted Drivers Restrain Their Child Occupants More than Unbelted Drivers
NOPUS has consistently seen evidence that programs that enforce or encourage belt use save children's, as well as adult's lives. Fully $92 \%$ of the time, when a driver is belted, his or her child passengers are restrained. In contrast, when the driver is unbelted, the children are restrained only $72 \%$ of the time.

Table 3: Restraint Use of Children by Belt Status of Driver

| Belt <br> Status of <br> Driver | Restraint Use of <br> Child Passenger |  | Standard <br> Error |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| Belted | $97 \%$ | $92 \%$ | $2.0 \%$ | $5.8 \%$ |
| Unbelted | $86 \%$ | $72 \%$ | $9.8 \%$ | $9.1 \%$ |

Source: National Center for Statistics and Analysis, NHTSA, NOPUS, 2000, 2002.

Note that the decreases in use in Table 3 could be due to the changes in the definition of "Child". The children observed in 2002 were under 8, and so were generally older than those observed in 2000, who were under 5. Also note that child passengers observed might or might not be related to the driver of their vehicle.

## Male Drivers Are Improving at Restraining Their Child Passengers

The 2000 NOPUS data showed, to a reasonable $74 \%$ degree of confidence, that female drivers restrained their child passengers more than males drivers. There was a 7-point gap in the restraint use of children by the gender of their driver. In 2002, this gender gap has shrunk to a virtually nonexistent 1 percentage point, with only a $9 \%$ confidence in a gender difference.

Table 4: Restraint Use of Children by Gender of Driver

| Gender <br> of <br> Driver | Restraint Use of <br> Child Passenger |  | Standard <br> Error |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| Female | $97 \%$ | $92 \%$ | $3.5 \%$ | $6.7 \%$ |
| Male | $90 \%$ | $91 \%$ | $5.2 \%$ | $7.0 \%$ |

Source: National Center for Statistics and Analysis, NHTSA, NOPUS, 2000, 2002.

Again note that decreases in restraint use might be due the change in the definition of a "Child" in 2002.

## Evidence that Education Programs on Proper Child Restraints Are Working

Using data on the height and weight distributions of children from [C], we can calculate the percentage of children in each NOPUS age category that should use a given type of child restraint. Based on these calculations, NOPUS saw improvements in the use of correct restraints for infants and toddlers, although some premature graduation remains.

According to NHTSA recommendations:

- Children under 1 year should be in a rearfacing safety seat.
- Children older than 1 year who are between 20 and 40 pounds should be in a forwardfacing safety seat.
- Children who have exceeded the height or weight limit for their forward-facing safety seat, are less than eight years old, and are less than 4' 9 " tall should be in a booster seat.

All infants should be in rear-facing safety seats. NOPUS saw only $32 \%$ of infants in such restraints in 2002, but this was an improvement over the $24 \%$ seen in 2000.

Fully $92 \%$ of toddlers should be in forward-facing safety seats. NOPUS saw $62 \%$ of toddlers in these restraints, up from $39 \%$ in 2000 . This is a statistically significant increase in the use of frontfacing safety seats.

About $63 \%$ of 47 year olds should be in booster seats. NOPUS saw $6 \%$ in high-back boosters and $67 \%$ in belts or backless boosters. (When a child is in a backless booster, only the belt can be observed by a data collector.) Since we cannot say how many of the $67 \%$ observed were in belts, it is difficult to compare the NOPUS estimates with the $63 \%$ we should see in boosters.

On the other hand, NOPUS continues to see some degree of premature graduation. Although all infants should be in rear-facing safety seats,

NOPUS saw $68 \%$ of infants in front-facing seats in 2000 and $66 \%$ in 2002 . Although virtually all toddlers should be in safety seats, NOPUS saw $45 \%$ in belts or boosters in 2000 and $29 \%$ in 2002. The survey results also indicated that some 4-7 year olds are being prematurely graduated into boosters. NOPUS only saw $9 \%$ of these youngsters in frontfacing safety seats, but should have seen $37 \%$.

Table 5: Restraint Use by Infants

| Restraint | Use |  | Standard <br> Error |  | What <br> We |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}^{\#}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | Should <br> See $^{*}$ |
| Front-facing <br> car seat | $68 \%$ | $66 \%$ | $20.8 \%$ | $5.7 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| Rear-facing <br> car seat | $24 \%$ | $32 \%$ | $9.5 \%$ | $5.6 \%$ | $100 \%$ |
| High-back <br> booster seat | NA | $1 \%$ | NA | $0.3 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| Belt or <br> backless <br> booster | $3 \%$ | $1 \%$ | $3.1 \%$ | $0.6 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| No restraint <br> observed | $5 \%$ | $1 \%$ | $2.9 \%$ | $0.5 \%$ | $0 \%$ |

\# Totals do not sum to $100 \%$ due to rounding.
*Based on NHTSA recommendations and [C]
Source: National Center for Statistics and Analysis, NHTSA, NOPUS, 2000, 2002.

Table 6: Restraint Use by Toddlers

| Restraint | Use $^{*}$ |  | Standard <br> Error |  | What <br> We <br> Whould |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | See* |
| Front-facing <br> car seat | $39 \%$ | $62 \%$ | $9.3 \%$ | $3.5 \%$ | $92 \%$ |
| Rear-facing <br> car seat | $8 \%$ | $4 \%$ | $2.7 \%$ | $0.9 \%$ | $8 \%$ |
| High-back <br> booster seat | NA | $16 \%$ | NA | $3.3 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| Belt or <br> backless <br> booster | $45 \%$ | $13 \%$ | $10.9 \%$ | $2.6 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| No restraint <br> observed | $9 \%$ | $6 \%$ | $3.7 \%$ | $1.8 \%$ | $0 \%$ |

\# Totals do not sum to $100 \%$ due to rounding.
*Based on NHTSA recommendations and [C]
Source: National Center for Statistics and Analysis, NHTSA, NOPUS, 2000, 2002.

Table 7: Restraint Use by Booster Age Children in 2002

| Restraint | Use | Std <br> Error | What We <br> Should See* |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Front-facing car seat | $9 \%$ | $3.1 \%$ | $37 \%$ |
| Rear-facing car seat | $1 \%$ | $0.4 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| High-back booster seat | $6 \%$ | $1.7 \%$ | $* *$ |
| Belt or backless <br> booster | $67 \%$ | $3.2 \%$ | $* *$ |
| No restraint observed | $17 \%$ | $2.8 \%$ | $0 \%$ |

*Based on NHTSA recommendations and [C]
**These cells should sum to $63 \%$.
Source: National Center for Statistics and Analysis, NHTSA, NOPUS, 2000, 2002.



Chart 5: Restraint Use by Booster Age Children in 2002


Source: National Center for Statistics and Analysis, NHTSA, $2000 \& 2002$ NOPUS

## NOPUS Cannot Estimate Booster Seat Use at This Time

Data collectors cannot observe backless boosters from the roadside. When data collectors observe children in these restraints, they are recorded as "Belted". Not knowing how many of the $67 \%$ of 4 7 year olds observed in belts or backless boosters are in belts, NOPUS cannot estimate booster seat use in this age range. If we could obtain a reliable estimate of the distribution of backless versus highback boosters, we could appropriately inflate the high-back estimate of $6 \%$ to a booster seat estimate. However we are not able to obtain a reliable estimate of the distribution at this time, largely because of the large numbers of convertible seats being used.

NHTSA also investigated whether it could be reliably assessed whether a child was elevated, in an attempt to incorporate backless boosters in the NOPUS estimates, but determined such appraisals would be unreliable.

SAFE KIDS estimated that $37 \%$ of children in 2001 who should be in a booster seat were in one. [S] They obtained their data by observing stopped vehicles at a convenience sample of 174 sites at which one would be likely to see children, including fast food restaurants, shopping centers, gas stations, and child care centers. By observing from the open doors of vehicles, they were able to see both backless and high-back boosters, and by interviewing occupants they were able to obtain accurate estimates of the ages and sizes of children. It would be desirable, however, to have an estimate
produced by a probability sample, in order to decrease possible biases.

## Increase in Sample Size in 2002

The estimates in this note are generally more reliable than in previous years, due to an increase in sample size. In 2002, 1,500 kids under four were observed in 38,000 vehicles at 1,100 sites, compared to 300 kids under five in 12,000 vehicles at 700 sites in recent years. This cut standard errors approximately in half, and so made the NOPUS data much more reliable. In addition, NOPUS observed 2,000 4-7 year olds in 2002. For information on how the sample was supplemented, see [D].

## Data Limitations

NOPUS conducts its observations during daylight hours and observes passenger vehicles having no commercial markings. Furthermore, NOPUS observes child restraint use at intersections that are controlled by a stop sign or stoplight. These protocols may slightly bias the estimates. In particular, the use of child restraints might be lower at night than during the day. Furthermore, the use of child restraints might be lower at general roadway sites than at controlled intersections, since controlled intersections are more common in more urbanized areas, which in turn tend to exhibit higher restraint use rates.

We thank John Kindelberger of NCSA for his calculations of the restraint use that we should see for children based on the data in [C].

Table 8: Restraint Use by Infants, Toddlers, and Booster-Age Children

| Item | Use in 2002 |  | Use in 2000 |  | 2000-2002 Change |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Estimate | Standard Error | Estimate | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Standard } \\ \text { Error } \end{array}$ | Estimate\# | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} \text { Standard } \\ \text { Error } \end{gathered}\right.$ | Conversion Rate |
| Infants |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Front-facing car seat | 66\% | 5.7\% | 68\% | 20.8\% | -2\% | 22\% | -6\% |
| Rear-facing car seat | 32\% | 5.6\% | 24\% | 9.5\% | 8\% | 11\% | 11\% |
| High-back booster seat | 1\% | 0.3\% | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Belt or backless booster | 1\% | 0.6\% | 3\% | 3.1\% | -2\% | 3\% | -2\% |
| No restraint observed | 1\% | 0.5\% | 5\% | 2.9\% | -4\% | 3\% | -4\% |

Toddlers (ages 1-4 in
2000 and 1-3 in 2002)

| Front-facing car seat | $62 \%$ | $3.5 \%$ | $39 \%$ | $9.3 \%$ | $23 \%$ (S) | $10 \%$ | $38 \%$ |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rear-facing car seat | $4 \%$ | $0.9 \%$ | $8 \%$ | $2.7 \%$ | $-4 \%$ | $3 \%$ | $-4 \%$ |
| High-back booster seat | $16 \%$ | $3.3 \%$ | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Belt or backless booster | $13 \%$ | $2.6 \%$ | $45 \%$ | $10.9 \%$ | $-32 \%$ (S) | $11 \%$ | $-58 \%$ |
| No restraint observed | $6 \%$ | $1.8 \%$ | $9 \%$ | $3.7 \%$ | $-3 \%$ | $4 \%$ | $-3 \%$ |

## Booster-Age

Children (ages 4-7)

| Front-facing car seat | $9 \%$ | $3.1 \%$ | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Rear-facing car seat | $1 \%$ | $0.4 \%$ | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| High-back booster seat | $6 \%$ | $1.7 \%$ | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Belt or backless booster | $67 \%$ | $3.2 \%$ | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| No restraint observed | $17 \%$ | $2.8 \%$ | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |

*H,L: significantly high use in its category.
\#S: significant 2000-2002 change.
Source: National Center for Statistics and Analysis, NHTSA, National Occupant Protection Use Survey, 2000, 2002.

Table 9: Restraint Use of 0-7 Year Olds in 2002 and 0-4 Year Olds in 2000

| Characteristic | Use Among 0-7 <br> Year Olds in 2002 |  | Use Among 0-4 <br> Year Olds in 2000 |  | 2000-2002 Change |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Estimate** | Standard Error | Estimate* | Standard Error | Estimate\# | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { Standard } \\ \text { Error } \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Conversion } \\ \text { Rate } \end{gathered}$ |
| Overall | 88\% | 2.2\% | 91\% | 3.6\% | -3\% | 5.7\% | -33\% |
| Northeast | 87\% | 5.4\% | 84\% | 21.2\% | 3\% | 23.4\% | 19\% |
| Midwest | 86\% | 5.1\% | 91\% | 18.4\% | -5\% | 20.8\% | -56\% |
| South | 92\% | 3.0\% | 89\% | 14.2\% | 3\% | 15.9\% | 27\% |
| West | 86\% | 4.5\% | 96\% | 26.9\% | -10\% | 28.1\% | -250\% |
| Urban | 84\% | 4.7\% | 96\% | 2.3\% | -12\% (S) | 9.9\% | -300\% |
| Suburban | 85\% | 3.0\% | 94\% | 2.7\% | -9\% (S) | 6.2\% | -150\% |
| Rural | 87\% | 2.2\% | 72\% | 13.7\% | 15\% | 15.6\% | 54\% |
| Weekday | 89\% | 2.2\% | 94\% | 2.9\% | -5\% | 5.8\% | -83\% |
| Weekend | 85\% | 4.6\% | 84\% | 15.8\% | 1\% | 18.0\% | 6\% |
| Weekday rush hour | 90\% | 2.7\% | 95\% | 3.6\% | -5\% | 7.9\% | -100\% |
| Weekday non-rush hour | 87\% | 2.7\% | 92\% | 4.0\% | -5\% | 6.7\% | -63\% |
| Passenger cars | 88\% | 2.1\% | 92\% | 3.0\% | -4\% | 3.7\% | -50\% |
| Vans \& SUVs | 91\% | 2.5\% | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Pickups | 77\% | 7.0\% | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Front seat | 83\% | 3.8\% | 94\% | 3.2\% | -11\% (S) | 6.8\% | -183\% |
| Rear seat | 90\% | 1.8\% | 91\% | 3.9\% | -1\% | 6.1\% | -11\% |

H,L: significantly high use in its category.
\#S: significant 2000-2002 change.
Source: National Center for Statistics and Analysis, NHTSA, National Occupant Protection Use Survey, 2002.

Table 10: Belt Use of Drivers in 2002 by the Restraint Use of 0-7 Year Old Children

| Scenario | Driver <br> Belt Use | Standard <br> Error | Scenario | Driver <br> Belt Use | Standard <br> Error |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| All Passenger Vehicles |  |  | Vans \& SUVs |  |  |
| All Children Restrained | $86 \%$ | $2 \%$ | All Children Restrained | $90 \%$ | $2 \%$ |
| At Least One Child Restrained | $86 \%$ | $2 \%$ | At Least One Child Restrained | $90 \%$ | $2 \%$ |
| At Least One Child Unrestrained | $60 \%$ | $4 \%$ | At Least One Child Unrestrained | $67 \%$ | $7 \%$ |
| All Children Unrestrained | $58 \%$ | $5 \%$ | All Children Unrestrained | $64 \%$ | $7 \%$ |
| No Children in Vehicle | $74 \%$ | $2 \%$ | No Children in Vehicle | $77 \%$ | $2 \%$ |
| Passenger Cars |  |  | Pickup Trucks |  |  |
| All Children Restrained | $86 \%$ |  | All Children Restrained | $74 \%$ | $6 \%$ |
| At Least One Child Restrained | $86 \%$ |  | At Least One Child Restrained | $73 \%$ | $6 \%$ |
| At Least One Child Unrestrained | $59 \%$ | $5 \%$ | At Least One Child Unrestrained | $57 \%$ | $18 \%$ |
| All Children Unrestrained | $56 \%$ | $6 \%$ | All Children Unrestrained | $58 \%$ | $18 \%$ |
| No Children in Vehicle | $76 \%$ | $2 \%$ | No Children in Vehicle | $64 \%$ | $3 \%$ |

Source: National Center for Statistics and Analysis, NHTSA, National Occupant Protection Use Survey, 2002.
Chart 6: Driver Restraint Use by Restraint Use of Children
$\square_{2000}$ (children 4 and younger)


[^1]Table 11: Child Restraint Use in 2002 by Restraint Use and Gender of Driver and Vehicle Type

| Item | Estimate | Standard <br> Error | Item | Estimate | Standard <br> Error |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| All Passenger Vehicles |  |  | Vans \& SUVs |  |  |
| Belted Driver | $92 \%$ | $5.8 \%$ | Belted Driver | $93 \%$ | $0.5 \%$ |
| Unbelted Driver | $72 \%$ | $9.1 \%$ | Unbelted Driver | $74 \%$ | $2.0 \%$ |
| Female Driver | $92 \%$ | $6.7 \%$ | Female Driver | $96 \%$ | $0.7 \%$ |
| Male Driver | $91 \%$ | $7.0 \%$ | Male Driver | $92 \%$ | $0.8 \%$ |
| Passenger Cars |  |  | Pickup Trucks |  |  |
| Belted Driver | $92 \%$ | $0.5 \%$ | Belted Driver | $80 \%$ | $0.9 \%$ |
| Unbelted Driver | $72 \%$ | $1.3 \%$ | Unbelted Driver | $67 \%$ | $3.0 \%$ |
| Female Driver | $91 \%$ | $0.6 \%$ | Female Driver | $92 \%$ | $3.6 \%$ |
| Male Driver | $93 \%$ | $0.8 \%$ | Male Driver | $77 \%$ | $1.1 \%$ |

Source: National Center for Statistics and Analysis, NHTSA, National Occupant Protection Use Survey, 2002.
Table 12: Driver and Child Restraint Use in 2000 and 2002

| Item | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |  | 2000 |  | 2000-2002 Change |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Estimate | Standard <br> Error | Estimate | Standard <br> Error | Estimate\# | Standard <br> Error |
| Driver Restraint Use When |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| At Least One Child Is Restrained | $86 \%$ | $2.2 \%$ | $79 \%$ | $5.6 \%$ | $7 \%$ | $6.0 \%$ |
| At Least One Child Is Unrestrained | $60 \%$ | $3.7 \%$ | $54 \%$ | $13.9 \%$ | $6 \%$ | $14.4 \%$ |
| No Children Are in Vehicle | $74 \%$ | $1.6 \%$ | $72 \%$ | $2.9 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $3.3 \%$ |
| Child Restraint Use When |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Driver is Belted | $92 \%$ | $5.8 \%$ | $97 \%$ | $2.0 \%$ | $-5 \%$ | $6.1 \%$ |
| Driver is Unbelted | $72 \%$ | $9.1 \%$ | $86 \%$ | $9.8 \%$ | $-14 \%$ | $13.4 \%$ |
| Driver is Female | $92 \%$ | $6.7 \%$ | $97 \%$ | $3.5 \%$ | $-5 \%$ | $7.5 \%$ |
| Driver is Male | $91 \%$ | $7.0 \%$ | $90 \%$ | $5.2 \%$ | $1 \%$ | $8.7 \%$ |

\#S: significant 2000-2002 change.
Source: National Center for Statisti cs and Analysis, NHTSA, National Occupant Protection Use Survey, 2000, 2002.

## More Detailed Estimates

Table 13: Restraint Use by Infants

| Characteristic | Use in 2002 |  | Use in 2000 |  | 2000-2002 Change |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Estimate | Standard <br> Error | Estimate | Standard Error | Estimate\# | Standard Error | Conversion Rate |
| All Passenger Vehicles | 99\% | 0.5\% | 95\% | 2.9\% | 4\% | 10.6\% | 80\% |
| Front Facing Car Seat in Front Seat | 11\% | 5.1\% | 36\% | 2.9\% | -25\% | 5.8\% | -39\% |
| Rear Facing Car Seat in Front Seat | 4\% | 1.3\% | 13\% | 8.3\% | -9\% | 8.4\% | -10\% |
| High-Back Booster Seat in Front Seat | 0\% | 0.0\% | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Belted or Backless Booster in Front Seat | 0\% | 0.0\% | 0\% | 0.0\% | 0\% | 0.0\% | 0\% |
| Front Seat, No Restraint Observed | 0\% | 0.1\% | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Front Facing Car Seat in Rear Seat | 55\% | 6.8\% | 32\% | 20.6\% | 23\% | 21.7\% | 34\% |
| Rear Facing Car Seat in Rear Seat | 28\% | 5.3\% | 11\% | 4.6\% | 17\% | 7.0\% | 19\% |
| High-Back Booster Seat in Rear Seat | 1\% | 0.3\% | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Belted or Backless Booster in Rear Seat | 1\% | 0.6\% | 3\% | $3.1 \%$ | -2\% | $3.2 \%$ | -2\% |
| Rear Seat, No Restraint Observed | 1\% | 0.5\% | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Passenger Cars | 99\% | 0.7\% | 97\% | 2.6\% | 2\% | 11.8\% | 67\% |
| Front Facing Car Seat in Front Seat | 10\% | 6.0\% | 48\% | 38.8\% | -38\% | 39.3\% | -73\% |
| Rear Facing Car Seat in Front Seat | 4\% | 1.6\% | 17\% | 14.0\% | -13\% | 14.1\% | -16\% |
| High-Back Booster Seat in Front Seat | 0\% | 0.0\% | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Belted or Backless Booster in Front Seat | 0\% | 0.0\% | 0\% | 0.0\% | 0\% | 0.0\% | 0\% |
| Front Seat, No Restraint Observed | 0\% | 0.1\% | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Front Facing Car Seat in Rear Seat | 56\% | 7.3\% | 21\% | 17.3\% | 35\% | 18.8\% | 44\% |
| Rear Facing Car Seat in Rear Seat | 28\% | 6.4\% | 7\% | 6.8\% | 21\% | 9.4\% | 23\% |
| High-Back Booster Seat in Rear Seat | 0\% | 0.2\% | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Belted or Backless Booster in Rear Seat | 0\% | 0.4\% | 3\% | 4.0\% | -3\% | 4.0\% | -3\% |
| Rear Seat, No Restraint Observed | 1\% | 0.7\% | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Vans \& SUVs | 100\% | 0.1\% | 98\% | 1.2\% | 2\% | 17.9\% | 100\% |
| Front Facing Car Seat in Front Seat | 9\% | 8.1\% | 1\% | 0.8\% | 8\% | 8.2\% | 8\% |
| Rear Facing Car Seat in Front Seat | 1\% | 1.0\% | 11\% | 10.8\% | -10\% | 10.8\% | -11\% |
| High-Back Booster Seat in Front Seat | 0\% | 0.0\% | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Belted or Backless Booster in Front Seat | 0\% | 0.0\% | 0\% | 0.0\% | 0\% | 0.0\% | 0\% |
| Front Seat, No Restraint Observed | 0\% | 0.0\% | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Front Facing Car Seat in Rear Seat | 55\% | 11.6\% | 53\% | 24.0\% | 2\% | 26.7\% | 4\% |
| Rear Facing Car Seat in Rear Seat | 30\% | 10.5\% | 33\% | 17.0\% | -3\% | 20.0\% | -4\% |
| High-Back Booster Seat in Rear Seat | $2 \%$ | 1.4\% | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Belted or Backless Booster in Rear Seat | 3\% | 2.6\% | 1\% | 0.7\% | 2\% | 2.7\% | 2\% |
| Rear Seat, No Restraint Observed | 0\% | 0.1\% | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Pickup Trucks | 97\% | 3.1\% | 75\% | 59.2\% | 22\% | 67.0\% | 88\% |

\#S: significant 2000-2002 change.
Source: National Center for Statistics and Analysis, NHTSA, National Occupant Protection Use Survey, 2000, 2002.

Table 14: Restraint Use by Ages 1-3 in 2002 and Ages 1-4 in 2000

| Characteristic | Use in 2002 for Ages 1-3 |  | Use in 2000 for Ages 1-4 |  | 2000-2002 Change |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Estimate | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Standard } \\ \text { Error } \end{array}$ | Estimate | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Standard } \\ \text { Error } \end{array}$ | Estimate\# | $\begin{gathered} \text { Standard } \\ \text { Error } \end{gathered}$ | Conversion Rate |
| All Passenger Vehicles | 94\% | 1.8\% | 91\% | 3.7\% | 3\% | 6.7\% | 33\% |
| Front Facing Car Seat in Front Seat | 3\% | 0.8\% | 14\% | 6.7\% | -11\% | 6.8\% | -13\% |
| Rear Facing Car Seat in Front Seat | 0\% | 0.3\% | $2 \%$ | 1.4\% | -2\% | 1.4\% | -2\% |
| High-Back Booster Seat in Front Seat | 1\% | 0.3\% | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Belted or Backless Booster in Front Seat | 4\% | 1.6\% | 19\% | 6.4\% | -15\% | 6.6\% | -19\% |
| Front Seat, No Restraint Observed | $2 \%$ | 1.3\% | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Front Facing Car Seat in Rear Seat | 59\% | 3.6\% | 25\% | 6.5\% | 34\% | 7.4\% | 45\% |
| Rear Facing Car Seat in Rear Seat | 3\% | 0.9\% | 6\% | 2.3\% | -3\% | 2.5\% | -3\% |
| High-Back Booster Seat in Rear Seat | 15\% | 3.3\% | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Belted or Backless Booster in Rear Seat | 10\% | 1.9\% | 26\% | 8.8\% | -16\% | 9.0\% | -22\% |
| Rear Seat, No Restraint Observed | 4\% | 0.9\% | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Passenger Cars | 95\% | 1.4\% | 91\% | 3.4\% | 4\% | 7.8\% | 44\% |
| Front Facing Car Seat in Front Seat | $2 \%$ | 0.8\% | 9\% | 4.3\% | -7\% | 4.4\% | -8\% |
| Rear Facing Car Seat in Front Seat | 1\% | 0.4\% | $2 \%$ | 1.6\% | -1\% | 1.7\% | -1\% |
| High-Back Booster Seat in Front Seat | 1\% | 0.3\% | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Belted or Backless Booster in Front Seat | 4\% | 2.1\% | 24\% | 11.3\% | -20\% | 11.5\% | -26\% |
| Front Seat, No Restraint Observed | 0\% | 0.4\% | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Front Facing Car Seat in Rear Seat | 57\% | 4.6\% | 18\% | 7.6\% | 39\% | 8.9\% | 48\% |
| Rear Facing Car Seat in Rear Seat | 3\% | 1.1\% | 5\% | 2.7\% | -2\% | 2.9\% | -2\% |
| High-Back Booster Seat in Rear Seat | 15\% | 4.0\% | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Belted or Backless Booster in Rear Seat | 12\% | 2.4\% | 32\% | 13.1\% | -20\% | 13.3\% | -29\% |
| Rear Seat, No Restraint Observed | 5\% | 1.3\% | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Vans \& SUVs | 99\% | 0.5\% | 98\% | 1.0\% | 1\% | 7.8\% | 50\% |
| Front Facing Car Seat in Front Seat | 3\% | 1.5\% | 11\% | 9.4\% | -8\% | 9.5\% | -9\% |
| Rear Facing Car Seat in Front Seat | 0\% | 0.2\% | 3\% | 3.6\% | -3\% | 3.6\% | -3\% |
| High-Back Booster Seat in Front Seat | 2\% | 1.0\% | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Belted or Backless Booster in Front Seat | 1\% | 0.7\% | 36\% | 16.5\% | -35\% | 16.5\% | -55\% |
| Front Seat, No Restraint Observed | 0\% | 0.1\% | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Front Facing Car Seat in Rear Seat | 69\% | 5.1\% | 34\% | 9.4\% | 35\% | 10.7\% | 53\% |
| Rear Facing Car Seat in Rear Seat | 3\% | 1.3\% | 7\% | 5.3\% | -4\% | 5.5\% | -4\% |
| High-Back Booster Seat in Rear Seat | 17\% | 5.0\% | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Belted or Backless Booster in Rear Seat | 4\% | 1.7\% | 7\% | 2.3\% | -3\% | 2.9\% | -3\% |
| Rear Seat, No Restraint Observed | 1\% | 0.5\% | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Pickup Trucks | 74\% | 15.9\% | 99\% | 1.2\% | -25\% | 15.6\% | -2500\% |

\#S: significant 2000-2002 change.
Source: National Center for Statistics and Analysis, NHTSA, National Occupant Protection Use Survey, 2000, 2002.

Table 15: Restraint Use by Ages 4-7 in 2002

\left.| Characteristic | Use in 2002 |  |  | Use in 2002 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Estimate | Standard | Characteristic |  | Estimate | Standard |
| Error |  |  |  |  |  |$\right]$

Source: National Center for Statistics and Analysis, NHTSA, National Occupant Protection Use Survey, 2002.

## Survey Design

The data in this note were observed during the Controlled Intersection Study of NOPUS. This survey uses a multi-stage probability sample of roadways to ensure reliable estimates. The Controlled Intersection sample consists of intersections that are controlled by a stop sign or a stoplight, at which stopped and slowed traffic permit detailed observation. For a complete description of the sample design, see [N]. Data collectors observed the restraint use of children who appeared to be under 8 , and the belt use and demographic characteristics (race, age, gender) of the drivers in passenger vehicles having no commercial markings during daylight hours between 10 AM and 6 PM . Demographic classifications, as well as urbanization, were according to the best determination of the data collectors. "Weekday rush hour" is defined to be 8:00 - 9:30 AM and 3:30 - 6:00 PM,

Monday through Friday. "Weekday non-rush hour" refers to the portions of the weekdays that don't occur in rush hour. The results in this note were observed between June 3, 2002 and June 22,2002 , and are based on 38,000 vehicles.

The field data is entered, edited, and missing values of certain variables (race, age, and gender) imputed. Estimates and sampling errors are computed incorporating NOPUS's complex sample design. Although we plan to use direct estimation of the variances of differences in future surveys, the variances on the 2000-2002 differences in this note are based on the assumption that the 2000 and 2002 surveys are independent.

## Assessing Significance

Because NOPUS uses a probability sample, one can calculate the error its estimates incur from
observing use for a sample of roadways and times rather than for all roads and times. The actual quantity being estimated by a NOPUS estimate is within twice the standard error of the estimated value with $95 \%$ confidence. (Standard errors are provided in the tables in this note.)

This computation can be used to determine whether differences, such as differences in safety seat and belt use, are statistically significant. See [N] for detailed examples of such calculations.
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[^0]:    *Donna Glassbrenner is a Mathematical Statistician in the Mathematical Analysis Division, National Center for Statistics and Analysis, NHTSA.

[^1]:    Source: National Center for Statistics and Analysis, NHTSA, 2000 \& 2002 NOPUS

