

- 405 Risky Driving Behaviors Among Teenagers — Gwinnett County, Georgia, 1993
- 409 Birth Outcomes Following Zidovudine Therapy in Pregnant Women
- 417 Multidrug-Resistant Tuberculosis in a Hospital — Jersey City, New Jersey, 1990–1992
 419 Notice to Readers

MORBIDITY AND MORTALITY WEEKLY REPORT

Current Trends

Risky Driving Behaviors Among Teenagers — Gwinnett County, Georgia, 1993

In the United States in 1991, approximately 6000 persons aged 16–20 years died from motor-vehicle crashes (MVCs)—twice as many as from any other cause of death among persons in this age group (1). During 1991, approximately 3000 crashes in Gwinnett County, Georgia (1990 population: 352,910) (26% of all crashes in Gwinnett County), involved at least one teenage driver (Gwinnett County Department of Transportation, unpublished data, 1992). Risky driving behaviors are among the risk factors for teenage MVC death and injury (2). To better characterize these risk factors, the Georgia Department of Human Resources, the Gwinnett County Board of Health, the community-based Gwinnett County Teen Traffic Tragedies Task Force, and CDC conducted a case-control study of MVCs among teenage drivers in Gwinnett County during 1993. This report summarizes the results of that study.

To be eligible for the study, a person must have been aged 16–19 years, a licensed driver, and enrolled in a Gwinnett County public high school. Case-students (n=64) had been involved as drivers in injury-producing MVCs during January–March 1993 according to Police Accident Reports filed with the Gwinnett County Department of Transportation. Control-students (n=227) were randomly selected from enrollment files of Gwinnett County public high schools; these students had never been involved in a police-reported crash, were aged 16–19 years, and were licensed drivers. Participants completed a written questionnaire in which they specified how often they had engaged in 11 potentially risky driving behaviors during the 3 months preceding the survey (Table 1). Questions were adapted from a survey on risky driving behavior (*3*). Possible responses were "never," "one to two times," "three to five times," and "six or more times." The chi-square test was used to assist in assessing associations between behaviors and risk for MVCs. Three behaviors that appeared to be associated with MVCs and two additional behaviors thought to be potentially life-threatening were analyzed further by stratifying by sex.

For seven of the 11 risky behaviors, at least 50% of both cases and controls reported engaging in the behaviors at least once during the 3 months preceding the survey. For example, at least once during the 3 months preceding the survey, 63% of

		Ne	ver			1–2 1	imes			3–5 T	imes			≥6 T	imes	
	Ca	ise	Con	trol	Ca	se	Con	trol	Ca	se	Cor	ntrol	Ca	se	Con	trol
Behavior	No.	(%)	No.	(%)	No.	(%)	No.	(%)	No.	(%)	No.	(%)	No.	(%)	No.	(%)
Drive 10 miles per hour over the speed limit	4	(6)	3	(1)	5	(8)	26	(12)	11	(17)	40	(18)	44	(69)	155	(69)
Drive 20 miles per hour over the speed limit¶	11	(17)	44	(20)	19	(30)	67	(30)	6	(9)	48	(21)	28	(44)	65	(29)
Enter an intersection when the light was about to turn red	7	(11)	18	(8)	16	(25)	76	(34)	12	(19)	57	(26)	29	(45)	73	(33)
Tailgate another car to get it to go faster or pull over in a slower lane	22	(34)	86	(38)	19	(30)	59	(26)	9	(14)	31	(14)	14	(22)	48	(21)
Pass a car in a no-passing zone¶	44	(69)	170	(76)	9	(14)	39	(17)	6	(9)	6	(3)	5	(8)	9	(4)
Pass two or three cars at a time on a two-lane road	49	(77)	182	(81)	7	(11)	28	(13)	6	(9)	9	(4)	2	(3)	5	(2)
Drive through a stop sign without coming to a full stop	24	(38)	69	(31)	17	(27)	67	(30)	8	(13)	26	(12)	15	(23)	62	(28)
Drive through a residential neighborhood or school zone at a speed higher than the posted speed limit	11	(17)	21	(9)	21	(33)	79	(35)	5	(8)	36	(16)	27	(42)	88	(39)
Take some risks while driving in traffic because it makes driving more fun¶	38	(59)	153	(68)	10	(16)	44	(20)	4	(6)	9	(4)	12	(19)	18	(8)
Speed through slower traffic by switching quickly back and forth between lanes	29	(45)	104	(46)	14	(22)	60	(27)	9	(14)	27	(12)	12	(19)	33	(15)
Race or drag race for the fun of it	44	(69)	167	(75)	11	(17)	36	(16)	5	(8)	8	(4)	4	(6)	13	(6)

TABLE 1. Frequency* of self-reported risky driving behaviors among persons aged 16–19 years, by case-student[†] and control-student[§] status and behavior — Gwinnett County, Georgia, 1993

*During the 3 months preceding the survey. [†]Persons aged 16–19 years who were licensed drivers, enrolled in a Gwinnett County public school, and had been involved in an injury-producing, police-reported motor-vehicle crash during January–March 1993. [§]Persons aged 16–19 years who were licensed drivers, enrolled in a Gwinnett County public school, and had never been involved in a

police-reported crash.

¶p<0.1 (chi-square test for association).

Driving Behaviors — Continued

all respondents reported tailgating, 80% reported driving 20 miles per hour (mph) over the speed limit, and 91% reported entering an intersection when the light was about to turn red. Twenty-six percent of all students surveyed reported passing in a nopassing zone, and 21% reported passing two to three cars at once on a two-lane road.

When cases and controls were compared, three behaviors appeared to be associated with risk for MVCs: driving 20 mph over the speed limit (p=0.06), passing a car in a no-passing zone (p=0.06), and taking risks while driving in traffic because it makes driving more fun (p=0.07). For these behaviors, differences were greatest for those who reported engaging in the behaviors six or more times during the 3 months preceding the survey (Table 1). At this level, 28 (44%) cases and 65 (29%) controls reported driving 20 mph over the speed limit; five (8%) cases and nine (4%) controls reported passing a car in a no-passing zone; and 12 (19%) cases and 18 (8%) controls reported taking some risks while driving in traffic because it makes driving more fun.

Compared with male controls and all females, male cases were more likely to drive 20 mph over the speed limit (p=0.02), pass a car in a no-passing zone (p=0.05), take driving risks for fun (p=0.04), and pass two to three cars at once on a two-lane road (p=0.09) (Table 2).

Reported by: Gwinnett County Teen Traffic Tragedies Task Force; JC Crutcher, MD, Gwinnett County Board of Health; G Black, P Campbell, Gwinnett County Dept of Transportation, Lawrenceville; JD Smith, K Toomey, MD, State Epidemiologist, Georgia Dept of Human Resources. Div of Unintentional Injury Prevention, Div of Acute Care and Rehabilitation Research and Disability Prevention, Office of Statistics, Programming, and Graphics, National Center for Injury Prevention and Control, CDC.

Editorial Note: Young drivers account disproportionately for MVCs worldwide (4), reflecting, in part, the combination of immaturity and lack of driving experience (5). Adolescent drivers are more likely than adult drivers to report speeding, running red lights, making illegal turns, not wearing safety belts, riding with an intoxicated driver, and driving after using drugs or alcohol (6).

In the Gwinnett County study, most students—regardless of whether they were cases or controls—reported engaging in risky driving behaviors. Parents should recognize that driving is a complex task that can take several years to master and can assist in reducing the risk for MVCs among adolescent drivers by 1) providing young drivers a longer period of supervised driving in low-risk settings (e.g., with supervision, during daylight, and in safe environments) in addition to traditional driver's education courses, 2) serving as role models by practicing good driving behaviors and always obeying traffic laws, and 3) requiring all family members to be properly restrained each time they ride in a motor vehicle.

The findings in this report are subject to at least five limitations. First, because respondents were students who were licensed drivers enrolled in public schools, the study did not include students in private schools, youth not enrolled in school, and drivers with learners' permits. Second, because the study assessed only MVCs that occurred during January–March 1993, the effects of seasonal trends could not be analyzed. Third, the study did not include MVCs that resulted only in property damage or were not reported to the police. Fourth, other potential risk factors (e.g., alcohol use) were not analyzed in this report, although they were included in the study. Finally, the analysis of findings in this case-control study was influenced by the high prevalences of risky behaviors among members of both the case and control groups.

		Ne	ver			1–2 T	imes			3–5 T	imes			≥6 T	imes	
	Ca	ase	Cor	ntrol	Ca	se	Con	trol	Ca	se	Cor	trol	Ca	ise	Cor	ntrol
Sex/Behavior	No.	(%)	No.	(%)	No.	(%)	No.	(%)	No.	(%)	No.	(%)	No.	(%)	No.	(%)
Vale																
Drive 20 miles per hour over the speed limit [¶]	3	(9)	21	(16)	9	(27)	35	(27)	2	(6)	32	(25)	19	(58)	40	(31)
Pass a car in a no-passing zone [¶]	19	(58)	90	(70)	5	(15)	26	(20)	5	(15)	5	(4)	4	(12)	7	(6)
Pass two to three cars at a time on a two-lane road**	21	(64)	96	(75)	4	(12)	21	(16)	6	(18)	7	(6)	2	(6)	4	(3)
Take some risks while driving because it makes driving more fun [¶]	15	(46)	85	(66)	6	(18)	24	(19)	3	(9)	6	(5)	9	(27)	13	(10)
Race or drag race for the fun of it	19	(58)	83	(65)	6	(18)	28	(22)	4	(12)	5	(4)	4	(12)	12	(9)
Female																
Drive 20 miles per hour over the speed limit	8	(26)	23	(24)	10	(32)	31	(32)	4	(13)	17	(18)	9	(29)	25	(26)
Pass a car in a no-passing zone	25	(81)	80	(83)	4	(13)	13	(14)	1	(3)	1	(1)	1	(3)	2	(2)
Pass two to three cars at a time on a two-lane road	28	(90)	86	(90)	3	(10)	7	(7)	0	_	2	(2)	0	_	1	(1)
Take some risks while driving because it makes driving more fun	23	(74)	69	(72)	4	(13)	19	(20)	1	(3)	3	(3)	3	(10)	5	(5)

TABLE 2. Frequency* of self-reported risky driving behaviors among persons aged 16–19 years, by case-student[†] and control-student[§] status, sex, and behavior – Gwinnett County, Georgia, 1993

* During the 3 months preceding the survey.

[†]Persons aged 16–19 years who were licensed drivers, enrolled in a Gwinnett County public school, and had been involved in an injury-producing, police-reported motor-vehicle crash during January–March 1993. [§]Persons aged 16–19 years who were licensed drivers, enrolled in a Gwinnett County public school, and had never been involved in

a police-reported crash.

 $p \leq 0.05$ (chi-square test for association). ** p<0.1 (chi-square test for association).

Driving Behaviors — Continued

Graduated driver licensing is one strategy for promoting safe driving behaviors and reducing the incidence and severity of MVCs among young drivers. This method allows new drivers to accumulate driving experience in low-risk settings and gradually lifts restrictions until an unrestricted license is earned (7). In addition, because up to 24 months may be required to obtain an unrestricted license, drivers are older and more mature when they become fully licensed. Driving restrictions may include prohibiting unsupervised nighttime driving, requiring zero or near-zero blood alcohol concentration, requiring all occupants to be properly restrained, and limiting the number of passengers and the distances and types of roads traveled. The threshold for corrective action (e.g., a lengthened restriction period) may be lower for restricted drivers than for unrestricted drivers. Graduated licensing systems have been instituted in Australia, New Zealand, and Ontario, Canada. Although this system has not been implemented in the United States, the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration is providing funds to states to evaluate the impact of various elements of the graduated licensing system.

The Gwinnett County Teen Traffic Tragedies Task Force is planning to use findings from this study to assist in developing and targeting specific intervention strategies for reducing MVC injuries and deaths among young drivers.

References

- 1. National Highway Traffic Safety Administration. Fatal Accident Reporting System, 1991: a review of information on fatal traffic crashes in the United States. Washington, DC: US Department of Transportation, National Highway Traffic Safety Administration, 1992.
- 2. Insurance Institute for Highway Safety. Teenage drivers: questions and answers. Arlington, Virginia: Insurance Institute for Highway Safety, 1993.
- 3. Donovan JE, Jessor R. Young adult driving questionnaire. Boulder, Colorado: University of Colorado Institute of Behavioral Science, 1992.
- 4. Evans L. Traffic safety and the driver. New York: Van Nostrand Reinhold, 1991.
- 5. Mayhew DR, Simpson HM. New to the road: young drivers and novice drivers: similar problems and solutions? Ottawa: Traffic Injury Research Foundation of Canada, 1991.
- 6. Hingson R, Howland J. Promoting safety in adolescents. In: Millstein SG, Petersen AC, Nightingale EO, eds. Promoting the health of adolescents: new directions for the twenty-first century. New York: Oxford University Press, 1993.
- 7. Insurance Institute for Highway Safety. Slower graduation to full licensing means fewer teenage deaths. In: Status report. Arlington, Virginia: Insurance Institute for Highway Safety 1994;29(4):1–3.

Epidemiologic Notes and Reports

Birth Outcomes Following Zidovudine Therapy in Pregnant Women

Approximately 100,000 childbearing-aged women in the United States are infected with human immunodeficiency virus (HIV), and an estimated 7000 infants are born to HIV-positive mothers each year (1). In the United States, the rate of perinatal transmission of HIV among mothers who do not receive antiretroviral therapy is 15%–30% (2). Results from a recent multicenter randomized double-blind clinical trial suggest that treatment of HIV-positive mothers and their infants with zidovudine (ZDV) may substantially reduce the risk for perinatal HIV transmission (3). However, any



FIGURE I. Notifiable disease reports, comparison of 4-week totals ending June 4, 1994, with historical data — United States

*Ratio of current 4-week total to mean of 15 4-week totals (from previous, comparable, and subsequent 4-week periods for the past 5 years). The point where the hatched area begins is based on the mean and two standard deviations of these 4-week totals.

	Cum. 1994		Cum. 1994
AIDS* Anthrax Botulism: Foodborne Infant Other Brucellosis Cholera Congenital rubella syndrome Diphtheria Encephalitis, post-infectious Gonorrhea	32,466 24 28 7 30 9 3 - 42 150,417	Measles: imported indigenous Plague Poliomyelitis, Paralytic [§] Psittacosis Rabies, human Syphilis, primary & secondary Syphilis, congenital, age < 1 year Tetanus Toxic shock syndrome Trichinosis	133 451 2 - 13 - - 8,952 - - 16 95 24
Haemophilus influenzae (invasive disease) Hansen Disease Leptospirosis Lyme Disease	541 47 12 1,449	Tuberculosis Tularemia Typhoid fever Typhus fever, tickborne (RMSF)	8,374 12 146 68

TABLE I. Summary — cases of specified notifiable diseases, United States, cumulative, week ending June 4, 1994 (22nd Week)

*Updated monthly; last update May 24, 1994. [†]Of 498 cases of known age, 145 (29%) were reported among children less than 5 years of age. [§]No cases of suspected poliomyelitis have been reported in 1994; 3 cases of suspected poliomyelitis have been reported in 1993; 4 of the 5 suspected cases with onset in 1992 were confirmed; the confirmed cases were vaccine associated.

ADDS* Number Printary Post-thr 1994 Curn. 1994			Aseptic	Enceph	nalitis			Нер	oatitis (V	/iral), by	type		
Lum. Lum. <thlum.< th=""> Lum. Lum. <thl< th=""><th>Reporting Area</th><th>AIDS*</th><th>Menin- gitis</th><th>Primary</th><th>Post-in- fectious</th><th>Gono</th><th>rrhea</th><th>Α</th><th>В</th><th>NA,NB</th><th>Unspeci- fied</th><th>Legionel- losis</th><th>Lyme Disease</th></thl<></thlum.<>	Reporting Area	AIDS*	Menin- gitis	Primary	Post-in- fectious	Gono	rrhea	Α	В	NA,NB	Unspeci- fied	Legionel- losis	Lyme Disease
UNITED STATES 32.46 2.12 218 42 150.417 63.567 8.280 4.561 1778 167 608 1.449 Maine 1.245 74 7 3 3.309 3.055 120 77 0 14 196 Maine 28 5 - 2 2 2 12 18 6 1.4 1 1 1.4 19 10 1.5 </th <th></th> <th>Cum. 1994</th> <th>Cum. 1994</th> <th>Cum. 1994</th> <th>Cum. 1994</th> <th>Cum. 1994</th> <th>Cum. 1993</th> <th>Cum. 1994</th> <th>Cum. 1994</th> <th>Cum. 1994</th> <th>Cum. 1994</th> <th>Cum. 1994</th> <th>Cum. 1994</th>		Cum. 1994	Cum. 1994	Cum. 1994	Cum. 1994	Cum. 1994	Cum. 1993	Cum. 1994	Cum. 1994	Cum. 1994	Cum. 1994	Cum. 1994	Cum. 1994
NEW ENCLAND 1.245 74 7 3 3.320 3.045 130 178 60 14 19 196 Maine 46 7 1 - 2 - 42 25 12 7 - 6 1 4 9 - 7 NH, 28 5 - 2 - 2 - 2 24 12 7 - 1 3 - 1 3 - 1 4 9 Ness. 033 26 - 1 - 2 - 2 - 2 3 41 12 8 3 - 7 NH, 29 5 2 - 1 195 159 60 135 41 13 14 9 Ness. 033 26 - 1 - 1 195 159 60 132 11 1 1 4 9 NESS. 033 26 - 2 - 1 195 159 60 132 11 1 1 4 9 NID.ATLANTIC 9.386 162 20 6 16.044 13.633 467 445 216 2 72 915 Upstue N. 656 85 11 1 3.743 3.646 2.917 161 104 - 2 21 646 N.Y.C.IV. 5.024 9 - 1 - 5.580 5.722 56 39 - 3 2 646 N.Y.C.IV. 5.024 9 - 1 - 5.580 5.722 156 139 - 3 1 185 Pa - 1878 46 8 8 5 4 2 - 0 29.67 3.246 759 471 137 2 - 180 121 N.Y.C.IV. 5.024 9 - 1 - 2.580 5.722 8 85 9 - 2 180 121 N.Y.C.IV. 5.024 9 - 1 - 2.580 5.722 8 85 9 - 2 180 121 N.Y.C.IV. 5.024 9 - 1 - 2.3389 3.312 141 85 4 - 53 3 1 N.Y.C.IV. 5.024 9 - 1 - 2.078 85 9 - 2 180 121 N.Y.C.IV. 5.024 9 - 1 - 2.078 85 9 - 2 180 121 N.Y.C.IV. 5.024 9 - 1 - 2.078 85 100 149 99 - 3 3 - 1 N.Y.C.IV. 5.024 9 - 1 - 2.079 8.58 9 - 2 180 121 N.Y.C.IV. 5.024 9 - 1 - 2.079 8.58 9 - 2 18 5 N.Y.C.IV. 5.024 9 - 1 - 2.079 8.58 100 149 99 - 3 3 - 1 N.Y.C.IV.T.A.409 132 19 6 6.554 6.358 100 149 99 - 1 3 3 - 1 N.Y.C.IV.T.A.409 132 19 6 6.554 6.78 8 100 149 99 - 3 3 - 1 N.Y.C.IV.T.A.409 133 1 - 4 4.388 4.850 176 182 57 1 .2 4 - 8 - N.Y.C.IV.A.409 133 1 - 4 4.386 4.850 176 182 57 1 .2 4 - 8 - N.Y.C.IV.A.419 1 - 4 4.388 4.850 176 182 57 1 .2 4 - 8 - N.D.A.41 69 8 2 7.061 4.276 552 8 12 19 - 1 40 N.D.B.4. 19 1 - 1 40 22 1 - 1 40 22 11 - 2 4 - 8 - N.D.A.41 69 8 2 7.061 4.276 59 16 9 - 2 3 N.D.A.41 69 8 2 7.061 4.276 73 150 13 - 2 4 - 2 3 N.D.A.41 69 8 2 7.061 4.276 73 150 13 - 2 4 - 2 3 N.D.A.555 66 15 - 1 0 .33 10.505 4.141 22 17 - 3 - 8 2 S.ATLANTC 7.007 493 35 15 4.281 4.1430 532 11.25 38 8 - 7 7 1 N.D.A.555 66 15 - 1 0 - 3 10.536 4.418 64 409 319 - 1 4 40 M.G.C.T.T.A.41 69 8 2 7.611 4.226 77 3 150 13 - 2 4 - 2 3 N.D.A.41 69 8 2 7.611 4.226 79 7 3 150 13 - 2 4 - 2 3 N.D.A.50 77 71 12 7 3 3 - 1 4 M.S. C.EVTRAL 3.342 14 20 - 1 8 181	UNITED STATES	32,466	2,123	218	42	150,417	163,587	8,280	4,650	1,778	167	608	1,449
Maine 46 7 1 - 42 35 12 7 - 1 1 1 2 2 1 195 159 159 159 159 159 150 167 2 3 1 1 3 143 3 141 1 3 143 3 141 1 3 143 3 141 1 3 143 3 141 141 3 143 3 141 141 143 143 144 142 141 143 143 144 142 141 143 143 144 142 141 143 143 143 143 141 141 141 141 141 141 141 141 141 141 <t< td=""><td>NEW ENGLAND</td><td>1,245</td><td>74</td><td>7</td><td>3</td><td>3,309</td><td>3,065</td><td>130</td><td>178</td><td>60</td><td>14</td><td>19</td><td>196</td></t<>	NEW ENGLAND	1,245	74	7	3	3,309	3,065	130	178	60	14	19	196
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Maine N H	46 28	75	1	- 2	42	35 22	12 4	7 12	- 8	-	-	-7
Mass. 6.33 2.6 4 - 1.219 1.203 600 135 41 1.3 1.4 800 Conn. 410 - - - 1.043 1.033 41 1.3 1.1 1 5.24 Conn. 410 - - - 1.044 1.633 41 1.3 1.4 80 MD.ATLANTIC 9.366 8 1.1 1 3.443 3.656 2.7 1.6 1.0 1.0 2.2 1.0 2.1 642 2.0 1.0 1.0 2.2 1.0 2.1 1.642 2.0 1.0	Vt.	19	5	-	-	8	13	1	-	-	-	-	,
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Mass.	638	26	4	-	1,219	1,203	60	135	41	13	14	80
MD. ALLANTIC 9.36 16.2 20 6 16.044 12.843 24.7 14.5 21.6 21.7 104 2 7 104 2 7 104 21.7 104 21.7 104 21.7 104 21.7 104 21.7 104 21.7 104 21.7 104 21.7 104 21.7 104 21.7 104 21.7 104 21.7 104 21.7 104 104 21.7 104 104 21.7 104 105 21.7 23.64 107.7 23.7 107.7 10.7 <t< td=""><td>Conn.</td><td>410</td><td>- 31</td><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>1,845</td><td>1.633</td><td>41</td><td>21</td><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>5</td><td>24 84</td></t<>	Conn.	410	- 31	-	-	1,845	1.633	41	21	-	-	5	24 84
Upstate N.Y. 856 65 11 1 3,743 3,676 217 161 104 · 21 64 N.Y. City 1,728 - - 2,167 2,368 135 157 93 - 11 115 Pa 66 8 5 4,244 6,975 3,2646 759 471 137 2 180 21 Dhio 479 90 18 1 9,761 8,272 278 85 9 - 81 17 Dhio 479 90 18 1 9,714 11,619 187 81 22 1 - 53 3 1 - 1,822 107 81 24 1 3 1 - 1,822 107 82 83 4 62 17 1 108 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 <t< td=""><td>MID. ATLANTIC</td><td>9,386</td><td>162</td><td>20</td><td>6</td><td>16,044</td><td>18,683</td><td>467</td><td>445</td><td>216</td><td>2</td><td>72</td><td>915</td></t<>	MID. ATLANTIC	9,386	162	20	6	16,044	18,683	467	445	216	2	72	915
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Upstate N.Y.	856	85	11	1	3,743	3,676	217	161	104	-	21	646
Par. 1878 68 8 5 1244 6 917 159 188 19 2 40 152 Dnio 333 65 2 10 29,761 8,227 278 471 137 2 180 177 III. 1,310 56 22 3 7,774 116.01 175 81 25 1 5 3 Min. 1300 56 12 2,497 2,448 57 71 - - 8 - WN. CENTRAL 736 13 1 - 1,402 1,051 83 28 6 - - 7 Mon. 315 44 - - 4,880 176 182 57 1 29 8 Stak. 9 - 1 - 60 90 1 - - 2 - 3 Stak. 91 -	N.Y. City N.J	5,924 1,728	9	-	-	5,850	5,722	56 135	39 157	- 93	-	- 11	2 115
E.N. CENTRAL 2663 348 62 10 29.675 32.646 759 471 137 2 180 21 nd. 333 65 2 - 3389 3312 141 85 4 - 53 3 nd. 1.310 56 22 3 7.174 11,601 175 81 25 1 5 Mich. 409 132 19 6 6,854 6,358 108 149 99 1 33 1 W.N. CENTRAL 736 140 8 1 8,079 8,754 417 253 88 4 62 19 W.M. C. TOR 4. 19 13 1 - 1,8079 8,754 417 253 88 4 62 19 W.N. C. TOR 4. 18 1 2 - 418 22 1 2 5 7 3 21 1 N. Dak. 18 1 2 - 418 22 677 22 15 7 3 21 1 N. Dak. 18 1 2 - 418 22 17 2 2 S. Dak. 9 1 3 3 1 - 1,613 1,588 59 16 9 - 2 3 S. Dak. 9 1 - 1,613 1,588 59 16 9 - 2 3 S. Dak. 9 1 - 1,613 1,588 59 16 9 - 2 3 S. ATLANTC 7,007 493 35 15 42,881 4,830 559 16 9 - 2 3 S. ATLANTC 7,007 493 35 15 42,881 4,830 559 16 9 - 1 40 Md. 541 65 8 2 7,961 6,978 73 150 13 5 41 61 2 N. Dak. 18 1 - 2 - 5,156 4,144 52 71 1 - 1 40 Md. 541 65 8 2 7,961 6,978 73 150 13 5 44 1 61 Del. 97 15 - 1 4 4 1 5 3 1 - 1,613 1,588 59 16 9 - 2 4 2 S. ATLANTC 7,007 493 35 15 42,881 4,830 529 1,125 348 15 163 216 Md. 541 65 8 2 7,961 6,978 73 150 13 5 44 1 61 Md. 541 66 8 2 7,961 6,978 73 150 13 5 44 1 61 Md. 541 66 8 2 7,961 6,978 73 150 13 5 44 1 61 Md. 541 66 8 2 7,961 6,978 73 150 13 5 4 4 1 61 Md. 541 66 8 2 27,961 6,978 73 150 13 5 4 4 1 61 Md. 541 66 8 2 7,961 6,978 73 150 13 5 4 4 1 61 Md. 541 66 8 2 7,961 6,978 73 150 13 5 4 4 1 61 Md. 541 66 8 1 2 7,961 6,978 73 150 13 5 4 4 1 61 Md. 541 66 8 1 2 7,961 6,978 73 150 13 5 4 4 1 61 Md. 541 66 8 1 1 8,80 7,001 194 448 339 14 1 - 1 40 Md. 541 66 8 1 1,870 1,882 79 310 102 8 26 5 S. CENTRAL 834 147 20 1 18,183 17,01 194 448 339 4 1 1 2 - 48 6 MAK. 97 9 - 2 3,844 4,51 2 2 - 10 MS. CENTRAL 3,242 184 13 1 1,6564 18,100 1,218 526 164 44 14 36 Mont. 1,052 46 64 1 3 1,870 1,884 64 (90 319 1 1 4 6 MAK. 69 6 7 - 4 33 39 9 7 5 4 - 2 - 10 MMS. 207 18 - 4 5,569 165 11 1 8,188 70 114 14 2 17 7 3 - 4 MAK. 69 6 7 433 39 9 7 5 4 - 2 - 10 MAK. 400 - 2 - 5,609 4,884 6,451 28 5 8 8 - 7 7 1 MMS. 207 18 338 20 111 11 2 - 13 - 4 MMS. 207 18 338 39 9 7 5 4 - 2 - 10 MMS. 207 18 338 39 9 7 5 4 - 2 - 10 MMS. 207 18	Pa.	878	68	8	5	4,264	6,917	59	88	19	2	40	152
	E.N. CENTRAL	2,663	348	62	10	29,675	32,646	759	471	137	2	180	21
	Ohio	479	90 45	18	1	9,761	8,727	278	85	9	-	81	17
Mich. 409 132 19 6 6.854 6.358 108 149 99 1 33 1 WN. CENTRAL 136 140 8 1 8.079 8,754 417 253 83 4 62 19 Winn. 198 30 43 - - 582 6677 22 15 7 3 21 1 N. Dak. 18 1 2 - 4.488 4.90 162 7 3 21 1 1 1 1 1 1613 1588 59 16 9 - 2 3 3 1 - 476 651 12 4 - 8 - - - - - - - 3 161 1613 1588 59 16 9 2 3 3 1613 1585 13 5 140 10 1 - - 461 10 15 1 10 10 1 1613 15	III.	1,310	56	22	3	7,174	11,601	175	81	25	1	5	-
Wis. 1.3.2 5 1 - 2.497 2.648 57 71 - - 8 - Win. CNTRAL 736 13 1 - 1.402 1.051 83 28 6 - - 7 Mon. 315 44 - - 4.388 4.850 176 182 57 1 29 8 N. Dak. 18 1 2 - 1.4 4.388 4.850 176 182 57 1 29 8 S. Dak. 9 - 1 - 80 90 15 - - - - - - - 20 15 - - - - 20 12 44 - 8 3 3 1 - 1.631 1.58 59 15 - - 762 552 8 1.25 348 15 16.3 216 217 5 1.5 1.6464 1447 125 1.646 1647 <	Mich.	409	132	19	6	6,854	6,358	108	149	99	1	33	1
W.N. CENTRAL 35 140 8 1 8.079 8.754 417 253 83 4 62 19 Winn. 198 13 1 - 1402 1051 83 28 6 7 rowa 30 43 582 677 22 15 7 3 21 1 Wo. 315 44 4388 4650 170 182 57 1 29 8 N.Dak. 19 1 2 14 20 1 2 Nat. 19 1 2	WIS.	132	5	1	-	2,497	2,648	5/	/1	-	-	8	-
	W.N. CENTRAL Minn	/36 198	140	8	1	8,079	8,754 1.051	417	253	83	4	62	19
	Iowa	30	43	-	-	582	677	22	15	7	3	21	1
S Dak. 10 1 2 1 1 2 1 1 2 1 1 2 1 1 2 1 </td <td>Mo.</td> <td>315</td> <td>44</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>4,388</td> <td>4,850</td> <td>176</td> <td>182</td> <td>57</td> <td>1</td> <td>29</td> <td>8</td>	Mo.	315	44	-	-	4,388	4,850	176	182	57	1	29	8
Nebr. 41 5 3 1 - 476 61 12 4 - 8 - SA. ATLANTIC 7,007 493 35 15 42,881 44,340 532 1,125 348 15 163 216 Del. 97 5 - 762 552 8 12 19 - 1 40 Md. 541 697 5 - 766 6978 73 150 13 5 41 61 D.C. 556 15 - 300 246 4 10 15 - 10 27 Va. 10 8 - - 5.156 4.114 12 17 3 - 69 53 Sc. 554 12 - - 4.60 22 431 152 69 53 Fla. 3,265 228 - 8 17.01	S. Dak.	9	-	1	-	80	22 90	15	-	-	-	-	-
Kans. 125 34 1 - 1,013 1,988 59 10 9 - 2 3 SATLANTIC 7,007 493 35 15 42,88 44,340 532 1,125 348 15 163 216 Del. 597 15 - - 762 552 8 12 19 - 1 40 D.C. 595 15 - - 3187 2222 10 16 - - 4 17 Va. 10 8 - - 300 246 47 10 15 - 1 27 - 1 27 S.C. 554 12 - - 5,156 4,114 12 1 82 26 55 Fla. 3,265 228 - 8 9,736 10,185 297 310 102 8 26 55 E.S. CENTRAL 834 147 20 1 18,183 17,001 194 <t< td=""><td>Nebr.</td><td>41</td><td>5</td><td>3</td><td>1</td><td>-</td><td>476</td><td>61</td><td>12</td><td>4</td><td>-</td><td>8</td><td>-</td></t<>	Nebr.	41	5	3	1	-	476	61	12	4	-	8	-
S. ALLANILC 7,007 493 35 15 42,881 44,440 52 11,25 348 15 163 216 061. 97 5 - 7,62 552 8 12 19 - 1 401 15 102. 595 15 - 3187 2,22 10 164 1 1 2 17 2 3 22 10 161 4 1 1 2 17 3 556 62 15 - 3187 2,22 10 161 5 2 17 1 2 3 25 10. 161 5 1 5 10.313 10,536 47 12 12 7 - 10 27 5 17 12 11 5 5,466 4,847 59 54 17 2 3 2 2 5 10. 161 5 1 5 10.5 56 62 15 - 10,313 10,536 47 12 12 7 - 10 27 2 6a. 872 22 1 - 5,156 4,114 12 17 3 - 8 2 6 5 18 12 2 - 5 18 0,373 10,1536 47 11 5 - 1 5 2 6 6 2 5 1 5 - 10,313 10,536 47 12 5 27 - 10 27 2 6a. 872 22 1 4,660 22 431 152 - 69 53 7 1a 2 2 6a. 872 22 1 4,660 22 431 152 - 69 53 7 1a 2 8 2 6 5 16 14 14 12 17 46 8 1 1,870 1,892 84 41 12 - 4 6 15 14 14 4 5 14 14 4 5 14 14 4 5 14 14 14 14 5 14 14 14 14 5 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 15 14 14 14 14 15 14 14 14 14 15 14 14 14 14 15 14 14 14 14 15 14 14 14 14 14 15 14 14 14 14 14 14 15 14 14 14 14 14 15 14 14 14 14 14 15 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14	Kans.	125	34	1	-	1,613	1,588	59	1 1 1 0 5	9	-	2	3
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	S. ATLANTIC Del	7,007 97	493	35	15	42,881	44,340	532	1,125	348 19	15	163	216 40
D.C. 595 15	Md.	541	69	8	2	7,961	6,978	73	150	13	5	41	61
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	D.C. Va	595 517	15 72	- 11	- 5	3,187	2,222	10 59	16 54	- 17	- 2	4	1
N.C. 556 62 15 - 10,313 10,536 47 125 27 - 10 27 S.C. 554 12 - 5,156 4,114 12 17 3 - 8 2 Ga. 872 22 1 - 4,660 22 431 152 - 69 53 Fla. 3,265 228 - 8 9,736 10,185 297 310 102 8 26 5 E.S. CENTRAL 834 147 20 1 18,183 17,001 194 485 339 1 28 12 Ky. 147 46 8 1 1,870 1,892 84 41 12 - 4 6 Tenn. 235 24 8 - 5,480 4,418 64 409 319 1 14 5 Ala. 245 59 4 - 6,581 6,451 28 35 8 - 7 1 Miss. 207 18 - 4,252 4,240 18 3 - Miss. 207 18 - 2,814 13 1 16,564 18,100 1,218 526 164 44 14 36 Ark. 97 9 - 2,2814 2,371 22 9 3 - 4 1 Dial Ark. 474 10 2 - 2,814 2,371 22 9 3 - 4 1 Dial Ark. 474 10 - 2 Dial Ark. 474 10 - 3 Ark. 474 10 - 1 NOUNTAIN 1,052 66 4 - 3 Ark. 474 10 - 1 Nov. 11 3 Ark. 435 399 501 97 7 42 2 Dial Ark. 49	W. Va.	10	8	-	-	300	246	4	10	15	-	1	5
S.L. 354 12 1 - 5 30 4, 114 12 17 3 - 6 5 2 Ga. 872 22 1 - 6 8 9,736 10,185 297 310 102 8 26 5 E.S. CENTRAL 834 147 20 1 18,183 17,001 194 485 339 1 28 12 E.S. CENTRAL 834 147 46 8 1 1,870 1,892 84 41 12 - 4 6 Tenn. 235 24 8 - 5,480 4,418 64 409 319 1 14 5 Ala. 245 59 4 - 6,581 6,451 28 35 8 - 7 1 Miss. 207 18 - 4,252 4,240 18 3 3 - W.S. CENTRAL 3,242 184 13 1 16,564 18,100 1,218 526 164 44 14 36 Ark. 97 9 2,214 2,371 22 9 3 - 4 1 La. 474 10 2 - 5,669 4,884 66 77 47 1 Ckla. 111 496 1,504 105 131 87 1 8 19 Tex. 2,560 165 11 1 8,185 9,341 1,025 309 27 42 2 16 MOUNTAIN 1,052 66 4 - 3,584 4,812 1,678 228 175 16 36 4 Mont. 13 38 20 97 13 - 2 87 4 138 39 41 1 - 1 Mixo. 24 3 38 20 97 51 95 33 6 1 3 Ariz. 246 27 1,199 1,763 591 20 7 3 1 - N.Mex. 69 6 435 399 9 7 54 - 2 - Colo. 420 14 1 - 1,103 1,551 137 1 35 4 4 - N.Mex. 69 6 435 399 9 7 54 - 2 - Colo. 420 14 1 - 1,103 1,551 137 13 5 4 4 - N.Mex. 69 6 435 399 9 7 54 - 2 - Colo. 420 14 1 - 1,103 1,551 137 13 15 4 4 - N.Mex. 69 6 435 399 9 7 54 - 2 - Colo. 420 14 1 - 1,103 1,551 137 13 15 - 4 4 - N.Mex. 69 6 435 399 9 7 54 - 2 - Colo. 420 14 1 1,103 1,551 137 13 15 4 4 - N.Mex. 69 6 435 399 9 7 54 - 2 - Colo. 420 14 1 1,103 1,551 137 13 15 - 4 4 - N.Mex. 69 6 435 399 501 95 33 6 1 3 Ariz. 284 27 1,199 1,763 591 20 7 3 3 1 - Nev. 171 12 3 - 128 74 138 18 18 15 - 3 - Nev. 171 12 3 - 129 1,763 591 20 7 3 1 - Calif. 5,519 433 48 4 9,847 13,520 2,448 860 218 65 26 30 Alaska 19 12 1 - 350 201 90 6 Calif. 5,519 433 48 4 9,847 13,520 2,448 860 218 65 26 30 Alaska 19 12 1 - 350 201 90 6 Calif. 5,519 433 48 4 9,847 13,520 2,448 860 218 65 26 30 Alaska 19 12 1 - 302 225 27 20 5 2 3 - Cuam 1 6 52 48 9 4 2 - Cuam 1 6 52 48 9 4 2 - Cuam 1 6 52 48 9 4 2 - Cuam 1 6 124 41 11 4 Amer. Samoa 10 48 - 1 Amer. Samoa 124 40 2 Amer. Samoa 22 40 2 Amer. Samoa	N.C.	556	62	15	-	10,313	10,536	47	125	27	-	10	27
Fla. 3,265 228 . 8 9,736 10,185 297 310 102 8 26 5 E.S. CENTRAL 834 147 20 1 18,183 17,001 194 485 339 1 28 12 Ky. 147 46 8 1 1,870 1,892 84 41 12 - 4 6 Tenn. 235 24 8 - 6,581 6,451 28 35 8 - 7 1 Miss. 207 18 - - 4,252 4,240 18 - - 3 - WS. CENTRAL 3,242 184 13 1 16,564 18,100 1,218 526 164 44 14 36 Ark. 97 9 - - 2,814 2,311 1,025 309 27 42 2 16 MOUNTAIN 1,052 66 4 - 3,584 4,812 1,678 228 15 <td>Ga.</td> <td>554 872</td> <td>22</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>5,150</td> <td>4,114</td> <td>22</td> <td>431</td> <td>152</td> <td>-</td> <td>69</td> <td>2 53</td>	Ga.	554 872	22	-	-	5,150	4,114	22	431	152	-	69	2 53
E.S. CENTRAL 834 147 20 1 18,183 17,001 194 485 339 1 28 12 Ky. 147 46 8 1 1,870 1,892 84 41 12 - 4 6 Tenn. 235 24 8 - 5,480 4,418 64 409 319 1 14 5 Ala. 245 59 4 - 6,581 6,451 28 35 8 - 7 1 Wiss. 207 18 - - 2,814 2,371 22 9 3 - 4 14 36 Ark. 97 9 - - 2,814 2,371 22 9 3 - 4 14 36 Ark. 97 9 - - 2,814 2,371 22 9 3 - 4 16 Ida. 111 - - 2,560 165 11 1 8,150 <t< td=""><td>Fla.</td><td>3,265</td><td>228</td><td>-</td><td>8</td><td>9,736</td><td>10,185</td><td>297</td><td>310</td><td>102</td><td>8</td><td>26</td><td>5</td></t<>	Fla.	3,265	228	-	8	9,736	10,185	297	310	102	8	26	5
Ky.14746811,8701,8701,8708441112-46Ala.245594-6,5816,45128358-71Miss.207184,2524,240183-WS. CENTRAL3,24218413116,56418,1001,218526164441436Ark.9792,8142,3712293-41La.474102-5,0694,8846677471Okta.1114961,504105131871819Tex.2,5601651118,1859,3411,0253092742216MOUNTAIN1,052664-3,5844,8121,67822817516364Mont.132131112-13-1Wyo.1133399754-2-Nex.6961,33157131154Nex.1111,031,551137131154Nex. <td< td=""><td>E.S. CENTRAL</td><td>834</td><td>147</td><td>20</td><td>1</td><td>18,183</td><td>17,001</td><td>194</td><td>485</td><td>339</td><td>1</td><td>28</td><td>12</td></td<>	E.S. CENTRAL	834	147	20	1	18,183	17,001	194	485	339	1	28	12
Ala.245594-6,5816,45128358-71Miss.207184,2524,240183W.S. CENTRAL3,242184131116,56418,1001,21852616444441436Ark.9792,8142,3712293-41La.474102-5,0694,88466774771Okla.1114961,504105131871819Tex.2,5601651118,1859,3411,0253092742216MOUINTAIN1,052664-3,5844,8121,67822817516364Mont.1333399754-2-Colo.420141-1,1031,551137131544-NMex.6964353995019533613Ariz.284271,1991,76359120731-Nev.171123-6128211102582<	Ky. Tenn	147 235	46 24	8	1	1,870	1,892	84 64	41 409	12 319	- 1	4 14	6 5
Miss.207184,2524,240183-W.S. CENTRAL3,24218413116,56418,1001,218526164441436Ark.9792,8142,3712293-41La.474102-5,0694,8846677471Okla.1114961,504105131871819Tex.2,5601651118,1859,3411,0253092742216MOUNTAIN1,052664-3,5844,8121,67822817516364Mont.13382011112-13-1Idaho243287413839411-1Wyo.111,1031,551137131544-N. Mex.6964353995019533613Nev.171123-612821110258212-Colo.420141-1,2451,641164333015-<	Ala.	245	59	4	-	6,581	6,451	28	35	8	-	7	1
W.S. CENTRAL 3,242 184 13 1 16,564 18,100 1,218 526 164 44 14 36 Ark. 97 9 - - 2,814 2,371 22 9 3 - 4 1 La. 474 10 2 - 5,069 4,884 66 77 47 1 - - - Dkla. 105 131 87 1 8 19 Tex. 2,560 165 11 1 8,185 9,341 1,025 309 27 42 2 16 MOUNTAIN 1,052 66 4 - 3,584 4,812 1,678 228 175 16 36 4 Mont. 13 - - 28 20 11 1 2 - 13 - 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 13 13 15 1 <td>Miss.</td> <td>207</td> <td>18</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>4,252</td> <td>4,240</td> <td>18</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>3</td> <td>-</td>	Miss.	207	18	-	-	4,252	4,240	18	-	-	-	3	-
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	W.S. CENTRAL	3,242	184	13	1	16,564	18,100	1,218	526	164	44	14	36
Okla.1114961,504105131871819Tex.2,5601651118,1859,3411,0253092742216MOUNTAIN1,052664-3,5844,8121,67822817516364Mont.13382011112-13-Idaho243287413839411-1Vyo.1133399754-2-Colo.420141-1,1031,551137131544-N. Mex.6964353995019533613Ariz.284271,1631451811815-3-Nev.171123-612821110258212-PACIFIC6,30150949512,09816,1862,885939256693430Wash.4011,2451,641164333015Calif.5,5194334849,84713,5202,44886021865	La.	474	10	2	-	5,069	4,884	66	77	47	1	-	-
Hex. 2,360 163 11 1 6,163 9,341 1,023 309 27 42 2 16 MOUNTAIN 1,052 66 4 - 3,884 4,812 1,678 228 175 16 36 4 Modaho 24 3 - - 38 20 11 11 2 - 13 - 1 Idaho 24 3 - - 28 74 138 39 41 1 - 1 1 Wyo. 11 - - - 33 39 9 7 54 - 2 - Colo. 420 14 1 - 1,103 1,551 137 13 15 4 4 - Nev. 171 12 3 - 612 821 110 25 8 2 12 - PACIFIC 6,301 509 49 5 12,098 16,186 2,885 99 256 6	Okla.	111	-	-	-	496	1,504	105	131	87	1	8	19 14
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		2,300	105	11	1	0,100	9,341	1,023	309	175	42	2	10
Idaho 24 3 - - 28 74 138 39 41 1 - 1 Wyo. 11 - - - 33 39 9 7 54 - 2 - Colo. 420 14 1 - 1,103 1,551 137 13 15 4 4 - N.Mex. 69 6 - - 435 399 501 95 33 6 1 3 Ariz. 284 27 - - 1,199 1,763 591 20 7 3 1 - Utah 60 4 - - 136 145 181 18 15 - 3 - Nev. 171 12 3 - 612 821 110 25 8 2 12 - PACIFIC 6,301 509 49 5 1,245 1,641 164 33 30 1 5 -	Mont.	1,052	- 00	4	-	3,584	4,812	1,078	228	2	-	30 13	4
Wyo.1133399754-2-Colo.420141-1,1031,551137131544-Colo.6964353995019533613Ariz.284271,1991,76359120731-Utah6041361451811815-3-Nev.171123-612821110258212-PACIFIC6,30150949512,09816,1862,885939256693430Wash.4011,2451,641164333015-Oreg.2693545891562031Calif.5,5194334849,84713,5202,448860218652630Alaska19121-350201906P.R.9031520523530121433P.R.9031520523530121433-	Idaho	24	3	-	-	28	74	138	39	41	1	-	1
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Wyo. Colo	420	- 14	- 1	-	33	39 1 551	9 137	13	54 15	-	2	-
Ariz. 284 27 $1,199$ $1,763$ 591 20 7 3 1 -Utah 60 4 136 145 181 18 15 - 3 -Nev. 171 12 3 - 612 821 110 25 8 2 12 -PACIFIC $6,301$ 509 49 5 $12,098$ $16,186$ $2,885$ 939 256 69 34 30 Wash. 401 $1,245$ $1,641$ 164 33 30 1 5 -Oreg. 269 354 589 156 20 3 1 Calif. $5,519$ 433 48 4 $9,847$ $13,520$ $2,448$ 860 218 65 26 30 Alaska 19 12 1 - 350 201 90 6 Hawaii 93 64 - 1 302 235 27 20 5 2 3 -Guam1 6 52 48 9 4 2 -P.R. 903 15 205 235 30 121 43 3 V.I. 12 10 48 - 1 <	N. Mex.	69	6	-	-	435	399	501	95	33	6	1	3
Okuri 100 110 100 <th< td=""><td>Ariz. Litab</td><td>284</td><td>27 4</td><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>1,199 136</td><td>1,763 145</td><td>591 181</td><td>20 18</td><td>7 15</td><td>3</td><td>1</td><td>-</td></th<>	Ariz. Litab	284	27 4	-	-	1,199 136	1,763 145	591 181	20 18	7 15	3	1	-
PACIFIC 6,301 509 49 5 12,098 16,186 2,885 939 256 69 34 30 Wash. 401 - - 1,245 1,641 164 33 30 1 5 - Oreg. 269 - - 354 589 156 20 3 1 - - - Calif. 5,519 433 48 4 9,847 13,520 2,448 860 218 65 26 30 Alaska 19 12 1 - 350 201 90 6 - - - Hawaii 93 64 - 1 302 235 27 20 5 2 3 - - Guam 1 6 - - 205 235 30 121 43 3 - - - P.R. 903 15 - - 205 235 30 121 43 3 -	Nev.	171	12	3	-	612	821	110	25	8	2	12	-
Wash. 401 - - 1,245 1,641 164 33 30 1 5 - - Oreg. 269 - - 354 589 156 20 3 1 - - - - - - Calif. 5,519 433 48 4 9,847 13,520 2,448 860 218 65 26 30 - 1 302 235 27 20 5 2 3 - - - - - - - - - -	PACIFIC	6,301	509	49	5	12,098	16,186	2,885	939	256	69	34	30
Dreg. 269 - - - 354 589 156 20 3 1 - Calif. 5,519 433 48 4 9,847 13,520 2,448 860 218 65 26 30 Alaska 19 12 1 - 350 201 90 6 -	Wash.	401	-	-	-	1,245	1,641	164	33	30	1	5	-
Alaska 19 12 1 - 350 201 90 6 - <	Calif.	269 5,519	433	48	- 4	354 9.847	589 13.520	156 2,448	20 860	3 218	65	- 26	30
Hawaii 93 64 - 1 302 235 27 20 5 2 3 - Guam 1 6 - - 52 48 9 - - 4 2 - P.R. 903 15 - - 205 235 30 121 43 3 - - V.I. 12 - - 10 48 - 1 - - - - Amer. Samoa - - 22 40 2 -<	Alaska	19	12	1	-	350	201	90	6	-	-		-
Guam 1 6 - - 52 48 9 - - 4 2 - P.R. 903 15 - - 205 235 30 121 43 3 - - V.I. 12 - - 10 48 - 1 - <	Hawaii	93	64	-	1	302	235	27	20	5	2	3	-
XI. 12 - - 10 48 - 1 - - Amer. Samoa - - - 14 11 4 - - - C.N.M.I. - - - 22 40 2 - - -	Guam PR	1 פחפ	6 15	-	-	52 205	48 225	9 30	- 121	-	4	2	-
Amer. Samoa 14 11 4	V.I.	12	-	-	-	10	48	-	1	-	-	-	-
	Amer. Samoa C.N.M.I.	-	-	-	-	14 22	11 40	4 2	-	-	-	-	-

TABLE II. Cases of selected notifiable diseases, United States, weeks endingJune 4, 1994, and June 5, 1993 (22nd Week)

N: Not notifiable U: Unavailable *Updated monthly; last update May 24, 1994. C.N.M.I.: Commonwealth of Northern Mariana Islands

			Measle	s (Rube	eola)		Menin-								
Reporting Area	Malaria	Indig	enous	Impo	orted*	Total	gococcal Infections	Mu	mps	F	Pertussi	s		Rubella	9
	Cum. 1994	1994	Cum. 1994	1994	Cum. 1994	Cum. 1993	Cum. 1994	1994	Cum. 1994	1994	Cum. 1994	Cum. 1993	1994	Cum. 1994	Cum. 1993
UNITED STATES	356	22	451	11	133	134	1,358	43	616	27	1,238	1,280	1	147	93
NEW ENGLAND	28	1	10	-	14	55	68 12	-	11	7	132	272	-	101	1
N.H.	3	-	1	-	-	-	5	-	4	-	35	66	-	-	-
Vt. Mass	1 11	- 1	- 1	-	1 8	31 15	2 26	-	-	6 1	27 54	43 135	-	- 100	-
R.I.	4	-	4	-	2	1	-	-	1	-	3	3	-	1	-
	8	-	3 111	-	- 12	12	23 110	-	3 51	-	11	18 177	-	-	- 27
Upstate N.Y.	15	2	13	-	-	1	42	-	13	1	100	65	-	8	4
N.Y. City N.J.	6 16	3	6 88	-	1 9	3	8 33	-	- 4	-	54 6	7 34	-		15 7
Pa.	7	-	4	-	2	-	36	1	34	3	113	71	-	-	1
E.N. CENTRAL	40	6	23	-	39	8	203	1	103	1	180	281	-	8	2
Ind.	10	-	-	-	1	-	37	-	6	1	34	24	-	-	-
III. Mich.	11 11	6	11	-	38	5	/1 25	- 1	41 26	-	40 22	57 16	-	3 5	-
Wis.	1	-	3	-	-	-	18	-	3	-	18	95	-	-	1
W.N. CENTRAL Minn.	18 5	-	109	-	41	3	97 8	1	29 4	8 7	57 27	82 39	-	-	1
lowa	4	-	-	-	-	-	12	1	8	1	5	1	-	-	-
N. Dak.	-	-	108	-	40	-	44	-	14	-	2	3	-	-	-
S. Dak.	- 1	-		-	- 1	-	6	-	- 2	-	- 3	1	-		-
Kans.	1	-	1	-	-	2	19	-	-	-	6	11	-	-	-
S. ATLANTIC	77	-	7	-	2	21	243	3	99	1	155	112	-	5	7
Md.	34	-	1	-	1	4	15	3	23	-	51	34	-	-	1
D.C. Va.	/ 9	-	-	-	- 1	-	2 38	-	- 24	-	3 15	1 11	-	-	-
W. Va.	- 2	-	-	-	-	-	9	-	3	-	2	3	-	-	-
S.C.	2	-	-	-	-	-	11	-	6	1	9	5	-	-	-
Ga. Fla.	8 12	-	2	-	-	- 16	55 76	-	7 10	-	11 20	11 27	-	- 5	- 4
E.S. CENTRAL	9	-	28	-	-	-	90	1	13	-	81	53	-	-	-
Ky. Tenn	2	-	- 28	-	-	-	22 22	-	2 4	-	52 13	9 27	-		-
Ala.	2	-	-	-	-	-	40	1	1	-	14	13	-	-	-
MISS.	1	-	- 7	-	-	-	6 172	-	6 150	-	2	4 21	-	- 7	- 12
Ark.	- 14	-	-	-	5 1	-	27	-	- 150	-	38 6	2	-	-	- 12
La. Okla	2	-	-	-	1	1	23 18	2	15 21	-	5 20	5 11	-	-	1
Tex.	10	-	7	-	3	-	105	7	114	-		13	-	3	10
MOUNTAIN Mont	15	-	118	11	12	2	95 2	20	43	4	77 3	85	1	4	5
Idaho	2	-	-	-	-	-	12	-	4	-	24	8	-	1	1
vvyo. Colo.	- 5	-	- 12	-	- 1	- 2	5 12	-	1	- 1	- 18	42	-	-	-
N. Mex.	2	-	-	-	-	-	11 38	N 10	N 24	1	8 13	18	-	-	- 1
Utah	4	-	106	-		-	11	-	6	-	9	7	-	2	2
Nev.	1	-	-	11 ⁹	11	-	4	1	6 117	2	2	-	1	1	1
Wash.	3	-	- 38	-	-	- 32	19	-	3	-	245 12	187	-	- 14	- 38
Oreg. Calif	7 91	- 10	- 38	-	-	- 17	44 200	N 7	N 104	- 1	22 206	1 158	-	- 12	1 17
Alaska	-	-	-	-	-	- 15	2	-	2	-		3	-	1	1
Guam	10	-	- 202	-	2	15 2	5	-	8 2	1	5	-	-	1	19
P.R.	-	-	13	-	-	236	6	-	2	-	1	2	-	-	-
v.i. Amer. Samoa	-	-	-	-	-	- 1	-	-	- 1	-	- 1	-2	-	-	-
C.N.M.I.	1	-	26	-	-	1	-	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	-

TABLE II. (Cont'd.) Cases of selected notifiable diseases, United States, weeks ending June 4, 1994, and June 5, 1993 (22nd Week)

*For measles only, imported cases include both out-of-state and international importations. N: Not notifiable U: Unavailable [†] International [§] Out-of-state

Reporting Area	Syp (Primary &	hilis Secondary)	Toxic- Shock Syndrome	Tuber	culosis	Tula- remia	Typhoid Fever	Typhus Fever (Tick-borne) (RMSF)	Rabies, Animal
	Cum. 1994	Cum. 1993	Cum. 1994	Cum. 1994	Cum. 1993	Cum. 1994	Cum. 1994	Cum. 1994	Cum. 1994
UNITED STATES	8,952	11,604	95	8,374	8,716	12	146	68	2,516
NEW ENGLAND	91	175	1	163	171	-	12	5	788
Naine N.H.	4	18	-	-7	7	-	-	-	- 91
Vt.	-	-	-	2	3	-	-	-	62
R.I.	8	6	-	16	30	-	o 1	-	5
Conn.	44	70	-	58	35	-	3	-	330
MID. ATLANTIC	516 75	1,161 102	17 8	1,436 106	1,818 257	-	39 6	-	292 79
N.Y. City	260	598	-	913	1,100	-	23	-	-
N.J. Pa.	86 95	167 294	- 9	291 126	187	-	10	-	136
E.N. CENTRAL	1,160	1,952	20	828	892	1	28	9	15
Ohio	481	510	8	115	127	-	2	4	-
III.	306	791	4	422	460	-	15	2	2
Mich.	144 125	288	6	195 24	182	1	3	2	5
WN CENTRAL	523	750	13	24	189	6	-	3	76
Minn.	22	37	1	46	28	-	-	-	8
lowa Mo	21 450	34 593	6	15 105	17 99	- 5	-	1	31 8
N. Dak.	-	2	-	2	4	-	-	-	2
S. Dak. Nebr.	-	- 10	- 2	9	9 8	-	-	2	- 11
Kans.	30	74	1	28	24	1	-	-	16
S. ATLANTIC	2,533	3,013	6	1,695	1,901	-	23	38	785
Md.	96	163	-	137	158	-	4	-	240
D.C. Va	109 306	170 270	- 1	47 157	74 208	-	1	- 2	2 170
W. Va.	8	1	-	38	37	-	-	-	33
N.C. S.C.	763 299	828 466	1	210 174	192 164	-	-	11	84 78
Ga.	559	532	-	380	330	-	1	22	159
FIA.	301 1.602	523	4	50Z	722 590	-	13	2	0 95
Ky.	96	127	1	137	145	-	1	-	3
Tenn.	414	345	1	157 171	135 196	-	-	3	34 48
Miss.	784	621	-	80	104	-	-	1	-
W.S. CENTRAL	2,071	2,289	-	972	706	2	7	6	330
Ark. La.	223 804	272 1.032	-	108 14	/0	2	- 2	2	14 41
Okla.	15	154	-	104	69	-	1	2	17
	1,029	831	-	740 177	207	- ว	4	2	208
Mont.	127	104	-	9	5	-	-	2	- 54
Idaho Wyo	2	- 3	1	6	5 1	-	-	-	-
Colo.	66	31	1	1	29	-	2	1	-
N. Mex. Ariz	6 26	17 40	-	27 96	18 96	1	- 1	-	1 22
Utah	5	2	2	-	9	1	1	-	-
Nev.	23	10	-	36	39	-	2	-	2
Wash.	327	704 25	- 32	2,344 103	2,257	-	30 2	-	-
Oreg.	16	28	-	48	40	1	-	-	-
Alaska	∠85 3	647 2	- 29	∠,044 27	24	-	21	-	82 29
Hawaii	1	2	3	122	124	-	1	-	-
Guam PR	2 120	1 247	-	18 33	25 82	-	1	-	
V.I.	21	24	-	-	2	-	-	-	
Amer. Samoa C.N.M.I.	1 1	2	-	2 16	1 13	-	1 1	-	-

TABLE II. (Cont'd.) Cases of selected notifiable diseases, United States, weeks ending June 4, 1994, and June 5, 1993 (22nd Week)

U: Unavailable

	А	II Cau	ses, By	Age (Y	'ears)		P&I [†]			All Cau	uses, B	y Age (Y	(ears)		P&I [†]
Reporting Area	All Ages	≥65	45-64	25-44	1-24	<1	Total	Reporting Area	All Ages	≥65	45-64	25-44	1-24	<1	Total
NEW ENGLAND Boston, Mass. Bridgeport, Conn. Cambridge, Mass. Hartford, Conn. Lowell, Mass. Lynn, Mass. New Bedford, Mass. New Haven, Conn. Providence, R.I. Somerville, Mass. Springfield, Mass.	558 138 21 24 45 30 11 28 58 33 31 45 24	406 85 30 15 23 30 24 73 39 28 39 28 1 31 22	79 23 5 4 8 3 2 4 6 4 5 2	43 19 2 2 4 2 1 1 4 2 1 5 5	15 4 - 1 1 - 7 7 - 1	15 7 1 - 2 1 1 - 2 - - -	47 23 4 3 1 3 1 1 5 -	S. ATLANTIC Atlanta, Ga. Baltimore, Md. Charlotte, N.C. Jacksonville, Fla. Miami, Fla. Norfolk, Va. Richmond, Va. Savannah, Ga. St. Petersburg, Fla. Tampa, Fla. Washington, D.C. Wilmington, Del.	1,054 146 155 71 125 126 45 63 39 41 121 121 121 10	631 72 90 42 82 84 30 40 25 29 81 50 6	229 36 40 18 25 24 5 6 10 10 10 32 4	139 30 16 6 15 13 4 16 3 1 13 22	33 6 5 2 3 3 - 1 1 6 6	22 2 4 3 - 2 6 1 - 2 2 -	47 2 8 3 7 1 6 3 3 10 4
Worcester, Mass. MID. ATLANTIC Albany, N.Y. Allentown, Pa. Buffalo, N.Y. Camden, N.J. Elizabeth, N.J. Erie, Pa.§	62 2,459 55 21 100 37 17 48 62	48 1,565 35 16 64 27 14 40	10 495 9 5 28 7 2 6	2 298 10 - 3 2 1 2	1 54 - 4 1 -	1 47 1 - 1 - -	6 115 6 - 1 4 - 5	E.S. CENTRAL Birmingham, Ala. Chattanooga, Tenn. Knoxville, Tenn. Lexington, Ky. Memphis, Tenn. Mobile, Ala. Montgomery, Ala. Nashville, Tenn.	899 83 24 88 41 222 90 43 108	452 50 12 54 24 154 55 32 71	137 15 6 19 10 39 17 8 23	70 14 2 9 3 20 10 3 9	27 2 3 3 7 6 - 4	12 2 3 1 2 - 1	45 3 10 5 14 3 1 8
New York City, N.J. New York City, N.Y. Newark, N.J. Paterson, N.J. Philadelphia, Pa. Pittsburgh, Pa.§ Reading, Pa. Rochester, N.Y. Schenectady, N.Y. Scranton, Pa.§ Syracuse, N.Y. Trenton, N.J. Utica, N.Y. Yonkers, N.Y.	03 1,310 25 323 65 13 130 23 32 64 39 23 U	38 791 31 210 47 10 20 20 27 44 25 14 U	17 258 14 7 71 14 3 20 3 4 13 9 5 U	193 21 5 31 4 5 - 1 6 5 3 U	2 33 2 - - 5 - - 1 U	35 3 1 5 - - 1 - U	44 7 27 5 3 4 - 3 4 - 1 U	W.S. CENTRAL Austin, Tex. Baton Rouge, La. Corpus Christi, Tex. Dallas, Tex. El Paso, Tex. Ft. Worth, Tex. Houston, Tex. Little Rock, Ark. New Orleans, La. San Antonio, Tex. Shreveport, La. Tulsa, Okla.	1,208 55 10 53 157 80 58 224 53 172 212 63 71	723 34 7 42 103 44 37 116 35 94 128 38 45	230 9 2 5 24 13 10 46 10 35 44 17 15	153 9 1 22 22 14 6 39 3 23 24 5 5	58 2 1 7 8 2 13 - 11 8 3 3	41 1 3 1 1 3 10 5 6 8 3	48 5 2 5 3 6 1 - 16 4 4
E.N. CENTRAL Akron, Ohio Canton, Ohio Chicago, III. Cincinnati, Ohio Cleveland, Ohio Columbus, Ohio Dayton, Ohio Detroit, Mich. Evansville, Ind	1,828 57 284 117 111 164 137 185 43	1,145 41 27 116 80 68 94 103 104 31	331 11 7 48 18 25 31 17 42 9	183 3 57 5 10 23 9 22 1	98 2 1 53 7 3 7 4 8 2	71 10 7 5 9 4 9	78 1 6 10 4 7 3 2	MOUNTAIN Albuquerque, N.M. Colo. Springs, Colo Denver, Colo. Las Vegas, Nev. Ogden, Utah Phoenix, Ariz. Pueblo, Colo. Salt Lake City, Utah Tucson, Ariz.	767 98 40 90 143 18 168 168 19 89 102	501 60 27 56 80 12 109 15 67 75	143 15 9 17 38 6 28 3 11 16	83 15 2 10 17 - 20 1 9 9	23 5 1 5 - 7 - 2 2	17 3 1 6 3 - 4 - -	36 4 1 2 3 14 2 4 6
Fort Wayne, Ind. Garand Rapids, Mich Indianapolis, Ind. Madison, Wis. Milwaukee, Wis. Peoria, III. Rockford, III. South Bend, Ind. Toledo, Ohio Youngstown, Ohio	48 18 177 35 92 35 60 32 104 54	36 11 27 109 21 68 28 44 24 76 37	8 2 9 39 7 15 5 9 5 12 12	2 32 15 4 2 6 2 11 1	1 - 5 - 2 - 1 - 1 1	1 2 9 3 3 - 1 4 3	21593432262	PACIFIC Berkeley, Calif. Fresno, Calif. Glendale, Calif. Honolulu, Hawaii Long Beach, Calif. Los Angeles, Calif. Pasadena, Calif. Portland, Oreg. Sacramento, Calif. San Diego, Calif.	1,552 21 62 20 65 82 529 39 109 152 61	1,056 15 39 15 51 50 349 30 78 98 36	266 3 7 4 6 14 103 6 19 32 15	148 2 8 1 5 11 56 1 6 15 8	45 1 2 2 17 2 4 2	36 5 1 5 3 - 2 5 2	123 8 2 3 16 20 8 9 13 9
W.N. CENTRAL Des Moines, Iowa Duluth, Minn. Kansas City, Kans. Kansas City, Mo. Lincoln, Nebr. Minneapolis, Minn. Omaha, Nebr. St. Louis, Mo.	545 57 22 8 108 20 82 84 100	378 41 11 3 70 16 59 54 76	85 10 2 1 25 3 18 17 6	49 1 3 8 1 5 7 12	21 3 - 3 - 5 4	12 2 1 2 - 1 2 2	23 6 - 2 2 5 2 3	San Francisco, Cali San Jose, Calif. Santa Cruz, Calif. Seattle, Wash. Spokane, Wash. Tacoma, Wash. TOTAL	f. U 153 29 101 50 79 10,670 ¹¹	U 106 21 65 39 64 6,857	U 28 2 18 4 5 1,995	U 12 3 13 4 3 1,166	U 1 2 3 1 5 374	U 6 1 2 2 2 273	U 18 2 4 7 562
Si. Paul, Minn. Wichita, Kans.	58 6	44	3	6 1	2	3	3								

TABLE III. Deaths in 121 U.S. cities,* week ending June 4, 1994 (22nd Week)

*Mortality data in this table are voluntarily reported from 121 cities in the United States, most of which have populations of 100,000 or more. A death is reported by the place of its occurrence and by the week that the death certificate was filed. Fetal deaths are not included.

[†]Pneumonia and influenza.

⁹Because of changes in reporting methods in these 3 Pennsylvania cities, these numbers are partial counts for the current week. Complete counts will be available in 4 to 6 weeks. ¹Total includes unknown ages.

U: Unavailable.

Zidovudine — Continued

potential risk for adverse outcomes associated with use of antiretrovirals during pregnancy should be considered. This report summarizes data from the Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry regarding use of ZDV and the occurrence of structural birth defects reported for pregnancies registered during January 1989–December 1993.

In January 1989, the Zidovudine in Pregnancy Registry was established by the Wellcome Foundation, in conjunction with CDC, and has been managed by the Burroughs Wellcome Co. (Research Triangle Park, North Carolina),* the manufacturer of ZDV. In January 1993, the Zidovudine in Pregnancy Registry was expanded to include zalcitabine and became the Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry. Although ZDV is not yet approved for use during pregnancy, physicians and other health professionals have provided to the registry reports of women who received antiretroviral therapy during pregnancy. The purpose of the worldwide registry is to measure the incidence of infants with structural defects among prospectively registered cases (i.e., those registered predelivery) and to monitor potential patterns of defects by collecting data on outcomes of pregnancies registered retrospectively (i.e., cases reported postdelivery). A prenatal exposure to ZDV is defined as inadvertent or intentional use of oral or intravenous ZDV at any time during pregnancy. The registry follows CDC guidelines for definitions of major birth defects (4).

Physicians provide information regarding pregnancy dates, lowest CD4+ T-cell count, CDC classification of HIV disease, dosage, length of therapy, and trimester of exposure to antiretroviral drugs. At the expected delivery date, a follow-up form is sent to the physician to ascertain the pregnancy outcome and occurrence of concurrent illnesses.

From 1989 through 1993, 198 prenatal exposures to ZDV were reported prospectively. As of December 31, 1993, 30 women were still awaiting delivery. Of the other 168 women, 47 (28%) were lost to follow-up—39 (83%) because the initial reporting physician did not respond to inquiries after the date of expected delivery. Reports are considered lost to follow-up only after efforts to obtain information have been made by sending at least three monthly letters and making one telephone call after the expected delivery date or if the reporting physician can no longer locate the patient.

Of the 121 prospectively registered women, four delivered infants with structural birth defects. ZDV therapy in 54 pregnancies occurred during the first trimester: among these 54 women, one infant was born with a birth defect (agenesis of the right kidney), and 45 infants were born without defects; eight pregnancies were terminated by induced abortions. Among 47 women who received ZDV therapy during the second trimester, three infants were born with birth defects (pectus excavatum, atrial septal defect, and fetal alcohol syndrome), and 44 infants were born without defects. No birth defects occurred among infants born to the 20 women who received ZDV therapy during the third trimester.

Indications for ZDV treatment of the 121 women included asymptomatic HIV infection with low CD4+ count (97), treatment for acquired immunodeficiency syndrome (AIDS) (nine), symptomatic HIV infection (six), and prophylaxis following needlestick injury (six); indications were unknown for three women.

Of the pregnancies registered retrospectively, four infants were born with defects following third trimester ZDV therapy (extra digits; asymptomatic ventricular septal

^{*}Use of trade names and commercial sources is for identification only and does not imply endorsement by the Public Health Service or the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services.

Zidovudine — Continued

defect; left hydronephrosis and ureteral pelvic junction obstruction; and two-vessel cord, hypoplastic left heart and mitral atresia).

Reported by: A White, PhD, E Andrews, PhD, R Eldridge, M Dickerson, H Tilson, MD, International Div of Surveillance, Epidemiology, and Economics Research; M Elkins, Infectious Diseases, Burroughs Wellcome Co, Research Triangle Park, North Carolina. W Dai, MD, Div of Drug Safety, Hoffmann-LaRoche, Inc, Nutley, New Jersey. B Hurn, MD, Clinical and Safety Surveillance Svc, Wellcome Research Laboratories, Beckenham, England. ER Alexander, Seattle-King County Health Dept, Seattle. H Fox, Dept of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Columbia Presbyterian Medical Center, New York. P Garcia, MD, Prentice Hospital, Chicago. A Rogers, Pediatric, Adolescent, and Maternal AIDS Br, Center for Research for Mothers and Children, National Institute of Child Health and Human Development, National Institutes of Health. Div of Sexually Transmitted Diseases and HIV Prevention, National Center for Prevention Svcs; Div of HIV/AIDS; National Center for Infectious Diseases; Div of Birth Defects and Developmental Disabilities, National Center for Environmental Health, CDC.

Editorial Note: Based on findings in the registry, the observed proportion of birth defects among infants of women who received ZDV therapy during the first trimester of pregnancy (when the fetus is most sensitive to teratogens [5]) was 2% (1 of 46). This does not differ from the expected proportion in the general population (3%) (4). Neither the prospective nor retrospective reports indicated a consistent pattern of defects. In addition, cases of birth defects from the AIDS Clinical Trial Group 076 clinical trial (3) do not suggest an increase or unusual pattern of birth defects. Public Health Service agencies are evaluating possible recommendations for use of ZDV to reduce the risk for perinatal transmission of HIV.

The findings in this report are preliminary, and the sample size was limited. Other potential limitations of this and other registries include differential reporting of pregnancy outcomes, losses to follow-up, and underreporting. In general, cases lost to follow-up are more common for observational registries than for cases obtained from registries using active ascertainment methods. Retrospective reports may include cases with more unusual or severe features and may be less representative of the population.

Because the number of HIV-positive women who use ZDV during pregnancy may increase, the registry must be sustained to monitor for possible teratogenicity among infants of women receiving ZDV or other antiretroviral therapy during pregnancy. Physicians who provide care for women treated with ZDV or zalcitabine can register patients by calling the registry, (800) 722-9292, extension 8465, in the United States or by calling (919) 315-8465 for registrations from countries outside the United States. Copies of the semiannual registry report are available to health professionals by calling these numbers or by writing to the Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry, P.O. Box 12700, Research Triangle Park, NC 27709.

References

- 1. CDC. National HIV serosurveillance summary: results through 1992. Vol 3. Atlanta: US Department of Health and Human Services, Public Health Service, CDC, 1994.
- 2. CDC. 1993 Sexually transmitted diseases treatment guidelines. MMWR 1993;42(no. RR-14).
- 3. CDC. Zidovudine for the prevention of HIV transmission from mother to infant. MMWR 1994;43:285–7.
- 4. CDC. Congenital malformations surveillance report, January 1982–December 1985. Atlanta: US Department of Health and Human Services, Public Health Service, CDC, 1988.
- 5. Tuchmann-Duplessis H. Drug effects on the fetus. New York: Adis Press, 1977.

Epidemiologic Notes and Reports

Multidrug-Resistant Tuberculosis in a Hospital — Jersey City, New Jersey, 1990–1992

Since 1986 (the first full year following implementation of the revised tuberculosis [TB] surveillance case definition), the reported rate of TB per 100,000 persons in New Jersey increased from 9.5 cases to 12.6 cases in 1992 (1). Of the 984 cases reported to CDC from New Jersey in 1992, 108 (11.0%) were reported from Jersey City (1990 population: 230,300)—the city ranked second in number of TB cases reported (1) and fourth in rate of TB (46.9 per 100,000) in the state. In addition, in 1992, the rate of multidrug-resistant TB (MDR-TB) (i.e., *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* isolates resistant to at least isoniazid [INH] and rifampin [RIF]) among TB patients in New Jersey was 5%; the rate in Jersey City was 13% (1,2). To characterize the epidemiologic features of persons with drug-resistant TB, the New Jersey Department of Health and the Infectious Diseases Division of the Jersey City Medical Center conducted a study among patients treated at that hospital during 1990–1992. This report presents the findings of the study and compares the hospital's rates of drug-resistant TB with previously reported rates, rates for other cities in New Jersey, and rates for the state.

The hospital serves a predominantly inner-city population and treats more than 40% of TB patients in Jersey City. Information about hospital inpatients with TB was abstracted from mycobacteriology log books and TB reporting forms. Mycobacterial species identification and drug-susceptibility testing were performed at the New Jersey Public Health Laboratory (NJPHL) or a commercial laboratory. The DNA probe method was used for species identification (*3*). Drug susceptibility was determined by the radiometric method for NJPHL and the conventional plate method for the commercial laboratory (*4*).

Data were analyzed for all 146 patients with culture-positive *M. tuberculosis* during 1990–1992. Of the 142 patients for whom TB reporting forms were available, 131 (92%) had had drug-susceptibility tests performed for anti-TB drugs. Patients ranged in age from 11 to 79 years (mean: 40 years); 95 (73%) patients were male. A total of 36 (28%) patients had extrapulmonary TB. Although no serologic survey for human immuno-deficiency virus (HIV) infection was performed, matching of state TB records with state HIV/acquired immunodeficiency syndrome records indicated that at least 58 (44%) TB patients had concurrent HIV infection.

Of the 131 patients for whom drug-susceptibility testing had been performed, 32 (24%) had *M. tuberculosis* isolates resistant to at least one drug, and 21 (16%) had MDR-TB (Table 1). Of the six patients with a prior history of TB, four (67%) had MDR-TB, compared with 17 (14%) of the 125 patients with no prior history of TB (relative risk [RR]=4.9). Of the 97 patients known to have been born in the United States, 23 (24%) had TB resistant to at least one anti-TB drug, and 12 (12%) had MDR-TB; in comparison, of the 22 known foreign-born patients, four (18%) had MDR-TB (RR=0.7). Of the 18 foreign-born patients for whom information was available, seven had resided in the United States for 5 or fewer years before diagnosis of TB. Of those seven, two (29%) had MDR-TB, compared with two (18%) of the 11 persons who had resided in the United States more than 5 years (RR=1.6). Among these 131 patients, drug resistance was not associated with sex, age, race, or known HIV infection; because these

Tuberculosis — Continued

	All c (n=	ases 131)	New cases (n=125)			
Drug resistance	No.	(%)	No.	(%)		
Isoniazid	30	(23)	26	(21)		
Rifampin	22	(17)	18	(14)		
Streptomycin	7	(5)	6	(5)		
Ethionamide	6	(5)	5	(`4)		
Ethambutol	0		0	`´		
≥1 Drua	32	(24)	28	(22)		
Multidrug-resistant TB [†]	21	(16)	17	(14)		

TABLE 1. Number of persons with reported cases of drug-resistant tuberculosis (TB), by anti-TB drug — Jersey City, New Jersey, 1990–1992*

*n=142. Excludes 11 persons with no drug-susceptibility tests.

[†]Resistance to at least isoniazid and rifampin.

cases were not associated with clustering in time or location in the hospital, nosocomial transmission of *M. tuberculosis* was unlikely.

Reported by: A Lin-Greenberg, MD, Jersey City Medical Center, Jersey City; A Cortes, Tuberculosis Control Program, New Jersey Dept of Health. Div of Tuberculosis Elimination, National Center for Prevention Svcs; Div of Field Epidemiology, Epidemiology Program Office, CDC.

Editorial Note: The findings in this report indicate that the rate of MDR-TB in New Jersey varied widely: the rate among patients treated at the hospital described in this report during 1990–1992 was similar to that for Jersey City in 1992 but substantially higher than that reported for the state and for other New Jersey cities (*2*). For example, in Newark in 1992, the rate of MDR-TB was nearly one third (5%) of that reported for the Jersey City hospital, and although the number of isolates tested was small, no cases of MDR-TB were reported from Trenton or Camden—urban areas with demographic and socioeconomic compositions similar to Jersey City's (*2*). In addition, the rate of primary INH resistance among patients at the hospital in Jersey City was higher during 1990–1992 (21%) than during 1984–1986 (15%), while the rates of presumptive primary MDR-TB during 1990–1992 and 1984–1986 were similar (14% and 13%, respectively) (*5*).

The higher rate of MDR-TB among patients in the hospital in Jersey City than in Newark and for the state of New Jersey may reflect a greater prevalence of nonadherence to treatment and/or exposure to persons with drug-resistant TB—known risk factors for drug resistance (6). Jersey City is located near New York City, in which 19% of patients with TB in 1991 had MDR-TB (7) and outbreaks of MDR-TB have occurred recently (8). In addition, the five counties in New Jersey that reported more than one case of MDR-TB in 1992 are located closest to New York City (2). The findings in this report also are consistent with previous reports indicating an association between MDR-TB and prior history of TB (6).

The pattern of anti-TB drug resistance in Jersey City and other communities in New Jersey illustrates the substantial geographic variations in this problem, even within a small state. Knowledge of local resistance patterns is critical for determining optimal treatment regimens before drug-susceptibility test results are available. As a result of this study, use of directly observed therapy was instituted in hospitals throughout Jersey City. In areas with rates of INH resistance of 4% or more, anti-TB treatment should be initiated with four drugs (INH, RIF, pyrazinamide, plus either ethambutol or

Tuberculosis — Continued

streptomycin), and directly observed therapy should be used (9). Institutions experiencing outbreaks or high rates of MDR-TB may need to begin five- or six-drug regimens as initial therapy. These regimens should include the four-drug regimen and at least three drugs to which the suspected MDR strain may be susceptible (9).

References

- 1. Bureau of Tuberculosis Control, New Jersey Department of Health. Annual report, 1992. Trenton, New Jersey: New Jersey Department of Health, Bureau of Tuberculosis Control, 1993.
- 2. Bureau of Tuberculosis Control, New Jersey Department of Health. Multiple drug-resistant tuberculosis in New Jersey. Trenton, New Jersey: New Jersey Department of Health, Bureau of Tuberculosis Control, 1992.
- 3. Body BA, Warren NG, Spicer A, Henderson D, Chery M. Use of Gen-Probe and Bactec for rapid isolation and identification of mycobacteria: correlation of probe results with growth index. Am J Clin Pathol 1990;93:415–20.
- 4. Heiferts L. Qualitative and quantitative drug susceptibility tests in mycobacteriology. Am Rev Respir Dis 1988;137:1217–21.
- 5. Lin-Greenberg A, Deltieure M. Primary resistance to anti-tuberculosis drugs in a New Jersey hospital. New Jersey Medicine 1987;84:427–8.
- 6. Barnes PF. The influence of epidemiologic factors on drug resistance rates in tuberculosis. Am Rev Respir Dis 1987;136:325–8.
- 7. Frieden TR, Sterling T, Pablos-Mendez A, Kilburn JO, Cauthen GM, Dooley SW. The emergence of drug-resistant tuberculosis in New York City. N Engl J Med 1993;328:521–6.
- 8. CDC. Nosocomial transmission of multidrug-resistant tuberculosis among HIV-infected persons —Florida and New York, 1988–1991. MMWR 1991;40:585–91.
- 9. CDC. Initial therapy for tuberculosis in the era of multidrug resistance: recommendations of the Advisory Council for the Elimination of Tuberculosis. MMWR 1993;42(no. RR-7).

Notice to Readers

Atlanta Conference on Human Health and the Environment

The Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry and CDC are cosponsors of the Atlanta Conference on Human Health and the Environment in Atlanta on June 24–26, 1994. The conference will present information about environmental degradation and the effects of global changes to the environment on human health; workshops will focus on clinical and community aspects of specific environmental hazards. The conference is targeted toward physicians, scientists, other health-care workers, journalists, and environmentalists. Participants can earn Continuing Medical Education credits. Registration information is available from Physicians for Social Responsibility/Atlanta, P.O. Box 95190, Executive Park, Atlanta, GA 30347; telephone (404) 315-7443; fax (404) 315-7413.

The *Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report (MMWR)* Series is prepared by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) and is available on a paid subscription basis from the Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, DC 20402; telephone (202) 783-3238.

The data in the weekly *MMWR* are provisional, based on weekly reports to CDC by state health departments. The reporting week concludes at close of business on Friday; compiled data on a national basis are officially released to the public on the succeeding Friday. Inquiries about the *MMWR* Series, including material to be considered for publication, should be directed to: Editor, *MMWR* Series, Mailstop C-08, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Atlanta, GA 30333; telephone (404) 332-4555.

All material in the *MMWR* Series is in the public domain and may be used and reprinted without special permission; citation as to source, however, is appreciated.

 Director, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention David Satcher, M.D., Ph.D.
 Acting Deputy Director, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Claire V. Broome, M.D.
 Acting Director, Epidemiology Program Office Barbara R. Holloway, M.P.H.

Editor, *MMWR* Series Richard A. Goodman, M.D., M.P.H. Writers-Editors, *MMWR* (weekly) David C. Johnson Patricia A. McGee Darlene D. Rumph-Person Caran R. Wilbanks

☆U.S. Government Printing Office: 1994-533-178/05010 Region IV