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## I. INTRODUCTION

This is the latest in a series of reports on cigarette sales, and advertising and promotional expenditures that the Federal Trade Commission ("the Commission") has prepared since 1967.

The statistical tables appended to this report provide information on domestic sales and advertising and promotional activity for U.S. manufactured cigarettes for the years 1963 through 1999. The tables were compiled from raw data contained in special reports submitted to the Commission pursuant to compulsory process by the five major cigarette manufacturers in the United States: Brown \& Williamson Tobacco Corporation, Liggett Group, Inc., Lorillard Tobacco Company, Philip Morris Incorporated, and R.J. Reynolds Tobacco Company. ${ }^{1}$

This report shows the first year of spending affected by the tobacco industry's Master Settlement Agreement ("MSA") with the State Attorneys General. Among other things, the MSA imposed phased-in restrictions on the companies' use of outdoor and transit advertising and brand name sponsorships, their distribution of free samples, and their distribution and sale of apparel and merchandise with brand-name logos. ${ }^{2}$ These restrictions might account, in part, for observed changes in certain industry promotional expenditure categories.

The report shows that cigarette sales fell by 10.3 percent from 1998 to 1999 , but total advertising and promotional expenditures rose 22.3 percent to $\$ 8.24$ billion, the most ever reported to

[^0]the Commission. Increases in expenditures for promotional allowances and retail value added account for virtually all of the overall rise in spending. The industry also reported significant percentage increases in spending for newspapers (up 73.0 percent) magazines (up 34.2 percent), sampling (up 133.5 percent) and direct mail (up 63.8 percent), but all of these expenditure categories are relatively small in terms of overall spending. Substantial decreases were reported for outdoor advertising (down 81.7 percent from 1998 to 1999) and transit advertising (down 86.1 percent).

## II. DISCUSSION OF CIGARETTE SALES DATA

Table 1 displays annual cigarette sales by manufacturers to wholesalers and retailers. In 1999, the major domestic cigarette manufacturers sold 411.3 billion cigarettes domestically, 47.2 billion fewer than the 458.6 they sold in 1998. This 10.3 percent decrease from the 1998 level follows a 4.2 percent decrease in sales from 1997 to 1998.

Because the cigarette sales data that are reported to the Commission by the manufacturers are based on factory shipments, and thus can reflect changes in inventory holdings by cigarette wholesalers and retailers, the Commission has recently been including in its reports information from the cigarette consumption series produced by the U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA), which is based on an estimate of the number of cigarettes actually sold to consumers. USDA's cigarette consumption estimates, which are also included in Table 1, show a decline from 465 billion cigarettes in 1998 to 435 billion in 1999.

Table 2 shows U.S. adult per capita cigarette sales per year, and is generated by dividing manufacturers' sales to wholesalers and retailers by the U.S. adult population. Based on the data reported to the Commission by the manufacturers, per capita sales fell from 2,287 in 1998 to 2,175 in 1999, a decline of 4.9 percent, or 112 cigarettes per person.

## III. DISCUSSION OF CIGARETTE ADVERTISING AND PROMOTIONAL EXPENDITURE DATA

Tables 3 through 3F show the amounts spent on cigarette advertising and promotion for the years 1970, and 1975 through 1999. ${ }^{3}$ These tables list the amounts spent on the different types of media advertising (e.g., newspapers and magazines) and sales promotion activities (e.g., distribution of cigarette samples and specialty gift items) and also give the percentage of the total amount spent for the various types of advertising and promotion.

Table 3 F shows that overall, $\$ 8.24$ billion was spent on cigarette advertising and promotion in 1999, the most ever reported by the major cigarette manufacturers. The $\$ 8.24$ billion represents an increase of 22.3 percent from the $\$ 6.73$ billion spent in 1998 , and of 45.5 percent from the $\$ 5.66$ billion spent in 1997.

Newspaper advertising expenditures rose from $\$ 29.4$ million to $\$ 51.0$ million between 1998 and 1999, an increase of 73.0 percent; however, this advertising category still accounts for just over one-half of 1 percent of all expenditures. Although newspaper spending accounted for 23.1 percent of total expenditures in 1981, it has accounted for less than 1 percent of expenditures since 1992.

The manufacturers reported spending $\$ 377.4$ million on magazine advertising in 1999, an increase of 34.2 percent from 1998. Magazine advertising represented 4.6 percent of total spending. Spending on magazine advertising peaked in 1984, when the cigarette companies reported spending $\$ 425.9$ million (20.3 percent of total advertising and promotional expenditures).

Spending on outdoor advertising (e.g., billboards) totaled $\$ 53.8$ million in 1999, an 81.7
${ }^{3}$ The reported figures include all advertising, merchandising, and promotional expenditures related to cigarettes, regardless of whether such advertising would constitute "commercial speech" or would be protected from law enforcement action under the First Amendment. The Commission began requiring tobacco companies to include expenditures for such protected speech in 1989.
percent decrease from 1998, when $\$ 294.7$ million was spent. In 1999 , outdoor advertising expenditures comprised 0.7 percent of total advertising and promotional spending.

Spending on transit advertising (i.e., advertising on public transportation) declined from $\$ 40.2$ million in 1998 to $\$ 5.6$ million in 1999, a decrease of 86.1 percent. Transit advertising accounted for only about one-tenth of 1 percent of all expenditures.

Spending on point of sale promotional materials (ads posted at the retail location) grew by \$38.7 million (13.3 percent) from 1998 ( $\$ 290.7$ million) to 1999 ( $\$ 329.4$ million). Point of sale advertising accounted for 4.0 percent of total advertising and promotion in 1999. These expenditures peaked in 1993 at $\$ 400.9$ million.

Promotional allowances (e.g., payments made to retailers to facilitate sales) were $\$ 3.54$ billion in 1999, up 23.1 percent from the $\$ 2.88$ billion spent in 1998. As it has been each year since 1994, this was the single largest category of advertising and promotional expenditures, accounting for 43.0 percent of all 1999 spending. Since 1991, spending on promotional allowances has tripled.

Money spent giving cigarette samples to the public ("sampling distribution") rose from \$14.4 million in 1998 to $\$ 33.7$ million in 1999, an increase of 133.5 percent. Cigarette sampling distribution accounted for only 0.4 percent of the total spent on advertising and promotion in 1999; these expenditures accounted for 7.9 percent of total spending in 1982.

In $1999, \$ 335.7$ million was spent on specialty item distribution through the mail, at promotional events, or by any means other than at the point-of-sale with the purchase of cigarettes. ${ }^{4}$ (Specialty items distributed along with the purchase of cigarettes were redesignated as retail value

[^1]added expenses beginning in 1988.) Specialty item distribution expenditures declined $\$ 20.2$ million (5.7 percent) from 1998, and accounted for 4.1 percent of total advertising and promotional expenditures in 1999.

Spending on public entertainment (e.g., sponsorship of concerts, auto racing, and fishing tournaments) increased by 7.6 percent ( $\$ 18.8$ million) from 1998 to 1999 . With expenditures reported at $\$ 267.4$ million, public entertainment accounted for 3.3 percent of total advertising and promotion expenditures in 1999.

The cigarette companies reported a total of $\$ 94.6$ million for direct mail advertising in 1999, 63.8 percent more than the $\$ 57.8$ million reported in $1998 .{ }^{5}$

All reporting companies indicated that no money had been spent on endorsements and testimonials for cigarettes in 1998. No expenditures have been reported in this category since 1988.

The industry reported spending $\$ 531.0$ million on coupons, a decline of 14.9 percent from the $\$ 624.2$ million spent in $1998 .{ }^{6}$

Spending on retail value added (offers such as "buy one, get one free" or "buy three, get free T-shirt," where the cigarette product and the bonus item often are packaged together as a single unit) grew by $\$ 1.00$ billion ( 64.6 percent) from 1998 ( $\$ 1.56$ billion) to 1999 ( $\$ 2.56$ billion). Retail value added accounted for 31.1 percent of total advertising and promotion in 1999.

5 This category does not include direct mail containing coupons. Coupons sent via direct mail were reported in the coupon and retail value added category from 1988 to 1996, and, as noted below, were reported separately for the first time in the report to Congress for 1997.
${ }^{6}$ From 1988 to 1996, the Commission collected information about spending on coupons and retail value added as a single expenditure category. This category, which included cents-off coupons, multiple pack promotions and retail value added offers, was the single largest category of expenditures from 1990 to 1993. Beginning with its report to Congress for 1997, the Commission reported information about expenditures on coupons and retail value added separately, to provide better information on industry marketing trends.

In 1999, the companies reported spending $\$ 650,000$ on Internet advertising, which is less than 0.008 percent of the year's total advertising and promotional expenditures. This category includes the Internet, World Wide Web, commercial online services and direct mail advertising using electronic mail messages.

In 1988, the Commission began requiring the cigarette companies to state separately the amount of money spent on sports and sporting events. For 1999, the major domestic cigarette companies reported that they spent $\$ 113.6$ million on sports and sporting events, ${ }^{7}$ a decrease from from the $\$ 125.6$ million in 1998.

Cigarette manufacturers reported that they paid no money or other form of compensation to have any cigarette brand names or tobacco products appear in any motion pictures or television shows. ${ }^{8}$ This practice has been reported as unfunded since 1989.

The data on cigarette advertising and promotional expenditures reported in Tables 3 through 3F were not collected in their present form until 1975. Therefore, Tables 4 and 5, which report cigarette advertising expenditures from 1963 through 1974 and 1970 through 1974, respectively, have been retained in the report for comparative purposes.

Tables 6 gives the domestic market share of cigarettes with tar ratings of 15 milligrams (mg.) or less for the years 1967 through 1999. The data for the years since 1982 are further broken down

7 This includes expenditures for: (1) the sponsoring, advertising or promotion of sports or sporting events; support of an individual, group, or sports team; and purchase of or support for equipment, uniforms, sports facilities and/or training facilities; (2) all expenditures for advertising in the name of the cigarette company or any of its brands in a sports facility, on a scoreboard, or in conjunction with the reporting of sports results; and (3) all expenditures for functional promotional items (clothing, hats, etc.) connected with a sporting event.

8 In 1989, the Commission began requiring the cigarette companies to declare whether any money or other form of compensation had been paid to have any cigarette brand names or tobacco products appear in any motion pictures or television shows.
into sub-categories according to tar ratings, e.g., 3 mg . or less, 6 mg . or less, etc. (categories are presented cumulatively). In 1999, 86.6 percent of the domestic cigarette market was cigarettes with tar ratings of 15 mg . or less. Cigarettes with tar ratings of 3 mg . or less -- the lowest rated portion of the market -- made up only 1.6 percent of the market.

As shown in Table 7, filtered cigarettes have dominated the market since the Commission began collecting this information in 1963. Filtered cigarettes held 98 percent of the market in 1999.

Table 8 provides the domestic market share of the various cigarette length categories. The King-size (79-88 mm) category continues to be the biggest seller, with 59 percent of the market. This category is followed by the Long (94-101 mm) group, which held 38 percent of the market in 1999. Regular (68-72 mm) and Ultra-Long (110-121 mm) cigarettes accounted for 1 percent and 2 percent, respectively, of the market in 1999.

Table 9 gives the market share of menthol and non-menthol cigarettes. In 1999, the market share of menthol cigarettes was 26 percent, while non-menthols held 74 percent of the market.

In 1994, the Commission began requiring the cigarette companies to indicate whether "tar" and nicotine ratings were displayed on cigarette packaging and advertising. Tables 10 and 10 A show: (1) the percentage of the overall cigarette market represented by varieties with different tar ratings, and (2) within each tar group, the market share of those varieties that disclose tar and nicotine ratings on their packs. Table 10A shows that cigarette varieties that printed tar and nicotine ratings on their packs represented only 4.1 percent of the overall market in 1999, down from 5.3 percent in 1998.

TABLE 1
DOMESTIC CIGARETTE SALES (BILLIONS OF CIGARETTES)* DATA REPORTED BY CIGARETTE MANUFACTURERS

|  |  | UNIT CHANGE <br> FEAR | TOTAL SALES | $\%$ <br> FROM PRIOR YEAR |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

* Sales by manufacturers to wholesalers and retailers within the U.S. and to armed forces personnel stationed outside the U.S.
** USDA: Tobacco Situation and Outlook Report, Sept. 2000, TBS-247, Table 1, page 5.

TABLE 2
PER CAPITA DOMESTIC CIGARETTE SALES*
YEAR CIGARETTES

| 1963 | 4,286 |
| :--- | :--- |
| 1964 | 4,143 |
| 1965 | 4,196 |
| 1966 | 4,197 |
| 1967 | 4,175 |
| 1968 | 4,145 |
| 1969 | 3,986 |
| 1970 | 3,969 |
| 1971 | 3,982 |
| 1972 | 4,018 |
| 1973 | 4,112 |
| 1974 | 4,110 |
| 1975 | 4,095 |
| 1976 | 4,068 |
| 1977 | 4,015 |
| 1978 | 3,965 |
| 1979 | 3,937 |
| 1980 | 3,858 |
| 1982 | 3,818 |
| 1983 | 3,733 |
| 1984 | 3,513 |
| 1985 | 3,497 |
| 1986 | 3,400 |
| 1988 | 3,288 |
| 1989 | 3,190 |
| 1990 | 3,073 |
| 1991 | 2,846 |
| 1993 | 2,827 |
| 1995 | 2,724 |
| 1996 | 2,680 |
| 1997 | 2,414 |
| 1999 | 2,546 |
|  | 2,482 |
|  | 2,467 |
|  | 2,416 |

* Total domestic cigarette sales, as reported by the manufacturers (from Table 1) divided by the number of U.S. residents 18 years of age and older and overseas military personnel. Source of population figure is the U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of Census, and The U.S. Dept. of Defense.

TABLE 3

## DOMESTIC CIGARETTE ADVERTISING AND PROMOTIONAL EXPENDITURES <br> FOR YEARS 1970, 1975-1977 <br> (THOUSANDS OF DOLLARS)

| TYPE OF ADVERTISING | 1970 | $\% \mathrm{OF}$ <br> TOTAL | 1975 | $\% \mathrm{OF}$ <br> TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Newspapers | \$14,026 | 3.9 | \$104,460 | 21.3 |
| Magazines | 50,018 | 13.9 | 131,199 | 26.6 |
| Outdoor | 7,338 | 2.0 | 84,329 | 17.2 |
| Transit | 5,354 | 1.5 | 10,852 | 2.2 |
| Point of Sale | 11,663 | 3.2 | 35,317 | 7.2 |
| Promotional Allowances | 33,789 | 9.4 | 72,018 | 14.7 |
| Sampling Distribution | 11,775 | 3.3 | 24,196 | 4.9 |
| Specialty Item |  |  |  |  |
| Distribution | 5,652 | 2.6 | 10,088 | 2.1 |
| Public Entertainment | 544 | 0.2 | 8,484 | 1.7 |
| All Others* | 220,841 | 61.1 | 10,311 | 2.0 |
| Total** | \$361,000 | 100.0 | \$491,254 | 100.0 |
| TYPE OF |  | \% OF |  | \% OF |
| $\underline{\text { ADVERTISING }}$ | $\underline{1976}$ | TOTAL | $\underline{1977}$ | TOTAL |
| Newspapers | \$155,808 | 24.4 | \$190,677 | 24.5 |
| Magazines | 148,032 | 23.2 | 173,296 | 22.2 |
| Outdoor | 102,689 | 16.1 | 120,338 | 15.4 |
| Transit | 19,341 | 3.0 | 21,530 | 2.8 |
| Point of Sale | 44,176 | 6.9 | 46,220 | 5.9 |
| Promotional Allowance | 82,523 | 12.9 | 108,227 | 13.9 |
| Sampling Distribution | 40,390 | 6.3 | 47,683 | 6.1 |
| Specialty Item |  |  |  |  |
| Distribution | 20,030 | 3.1 | 35,797 | 4.6 |
| Public Entertainment | 7,946 | 1.3 | 9,538 | 1.2 |
| All Others* | 18,182 | 2.8 | 26,157 | 3.4 |
| Total** | \$639,117 | 100.0 | \$779,463 | 100.0 |

* Includes TV and Radio advertising expenditures of \$207,324,000 and \$12,492,000, respectively, for 1970. Broadcast advertising was banned after January 1, 1971. Expenditures for direct mail, endorsements, testimonials, and audio-visual are included in the "All Others" category to avoid disclosure of individual company data.
** Because of rounding, sums of percentages may not equal 100 percent.

TABLE 3A

## DOMESTIC CIGARETTE ADVERTISING AND PROMOTIONAL EXPENDITURES <br> FOR YEARS 1978-1981 <br> (THOUSANDS OF DOLLARS)

| TYPE OF |  | \% OF |  | \% OF |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ADVERTISING | $\underline{1978}$ | TOTAL | $\underline{1979}$ | TOTAL |
| Newspapers | \$186,947 | 21.4 | \$240,978 | 22.2 |
| Magazines | 184,236 | 21.1 | 257,715 | 23.8 |
| Outdoor | 149,010 | 17.0 | 162,966 | 15.0 |
| Transit | 22,899 | 2.6 | 21,151 | 2.0 |
| Point of Sale | 57,384 | 6.6 | 66,096 | 6.1 |
| Promotional Allowances | 125,148 | 14.3 | 137,111 | 12.7 |
| Sampling Distribution | 47,376 | 5.4 | 64,286 | 5.9 |
| Specialty Item |  |  |  |  |
| Distribution | 48,281 | 5.5 | 62,029 | 5.7 |
| Public Entertainment | 11,590 | 1.3 | 10,783 | 1.0 |
| All Others* | 42,100 | 4.8 | 60,310 | 5.6 |
| Total** | \$874,971 | 100.0 | \$1,083,425 | 100.0 |
| TYPE OF |  | \% OF |  | \% OF |
| ADVERTISING | $\underline{1980}$ | TOTAL | $\underline{1981}$ | TOTAL |
| Newspapers | \$304,380 | 24.5 | \$358,096 | 23.1 |
| Magazines | 266,208 | 21.4 | 291,227 | 18.8 |
| Outdoor | 193,333 | 15.6 | 228,081 | 14.7 |
| Transit | 26,160 | 2.1 | 21,931 | 1.4 |
| Point of Sale | 79,799 | 6.4 | 98,968 | 6.4 |
| Promotional Allowances | 179,094 | 14.4 | 229,077 | 14.8 |
| Sampling Distribution | 50,459 | 4.1 | 81,522 | 5.3 |
| Specialty Item |  |  |  |  |
| Distribution | 69,248 | 5.6 | 115,107 | 7.5 |
| Public Entertainment | 16,914 | 1.4 | 37,423 | 2.4 |
| All Others* | 56,694 | 4.6 | 86,226 | 5.6 |
| Total** | \$1,242,289 | 100.0 | \$1,547,658 | 100.0 |

* Expenditures for direct mail, endorsements, testimonials, and audio-visual are included in the "All Others" category to avoid disclosure of individual company data.
** Because of rounding, sums of percentages may not equal 100 percent.

TABLE 3B

## DOMESTIC CIGARETTE ADVERTISING AND PROMOTIONAL EXPENDITURES FOR THE YEARS 1982-1985 (THOUSANDS OF DOLLARS)

| TYPE\% OF |  | $\%$ OF |  | $\%$ OF |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| ADVERTISING | $\underline{1982}$ | $\underline{\text { TOTAL }}$ | $\underline{1983}$ | $\underline{\text { TOTAL }}$ |
| Newspapers | $\$ 282,897$ | 15.8 | $\$ 200,563$ | 10.6 |
| Magazines | 349,229 | 19.5 | 388,365 | 20.4 |
| Outdoor | 266,925 | 14.9 | 295,226 | 15.5 |
| Transit | 24,135 | 1.3 | 26,652 | 1.4 |
| Point of Sale | 116,954 | 6.5 | 170,059 | 8.9 |
| Promotional Allowances | 272,269 | 15.2 | 366,153 | 19.3 |
| Sampling Distribution | 141,178 | 7.9 | 125,968 | 6.6 |
| Specialty Item |  |  |  |  |
| Distribution | 95,246 | 5.3 | 127,186 | 6.6 |
| Public Entertainment | 63,168 | 3.5 | 76,648 | 4.0 |
| All Others* | $\underline{181,813}$ | 10.1 | $\underline{123,951}$ | 6.5 |
| Total** | $\$ 1,793,814$ | 100.0 | $\$ 1,900,771$ | 100.0 |


| TYPE OF |  | $\%$ OF | $\%$ OF |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| ADVERTISING | $\underline{1984}$ | $\underline{\text { TOTAL }}$ | $\underline{1985}$ | $\underline{\text { TOTAL }}$ |
| Newspapers | $\$ 193,519$ | 9.2 | $\$ 203,527$ | 8.2 |
| Magazines | 425,912 | 20.3 | 395,129 | 16.0 |
| Outdoor | 284,927 | 13.6 | 300,233 | 12.1 |
| Transit | 25,817 | 1.2 | 33,136 | 1.3 |
| Point of Sale | 167,279 | 8.0 | 142,921 | 5.8 |
| Promotional Allowances | 363,247 | 17.3 | 548,877 | 22.2 |
| Sampling Distribution | 148,031 | 7.1 | 140,565 | 5.7 |
| Specialty Item |  |  |  |  |
| Distribution | 140,431 | 6.7 | 211,429 | 8.5 |
| Public Entertainment | 59,988 | 2.9 | 57,581 | 2.3 |
| All Others* | $\underline{286,035}$ | 13.7 | 443,043 | 17.9 |
| Total** | $\$ 2,095,231$ | 100.0 | $\$ 2,476,441$ | 100.0 |

* Expenditures for direct mail, endorsements, testimonials, and audio-visual are included in the "All Others" category to avoid disclosure of individual company data.
** Because of rounding, sums of percentages may not equal 100 percent.

TABLE 3C

## DOMESTIC CIGARETTE ADVERTISING AND PROMOTIONAL EXPENDITURES <br> FOR YEARS 1986-1989 (THOUSANDS OF DOLLARS)

| TYPE OF <br> ADVERTISING |  | \% OF |  | \% OF |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\underline{1986}$ | TOTAL | $\underline{1987}$ | TOTAL |
| Newspapers | \$119,629 | 5.0 | \$95,810 | 3.7 |
| Magazines | 340,160 | 14.3 | 317,748 | 12.3 |
| Outdoor | 301,822 | 12.7 | 269,778 | 10.5 |
| Transit | 34,725 | 1.5 | 35,822 | 1.4 |
| Point of Sale | 135,541 | 5.7 | 153,494 | 5.9 |
| Promotional Allowances | 630,036 | 26.4 | 702,430 | 27.2 |
| Sampling Distribution | 98,866 | 4.1 | 55,020 | 2.1 |
| Specialty Item |  |  |  |  |
| Distribution | 210,128 | 8.8 | 391,351 | 15.2 |
| Public Entertainment | 71,439 | 3.0 | 71,389 | 2.8 |
| Direct Mail | 187,057 | 7.9 | 187,931 | 7.3 |
| Endorsements and |  |  |  |  |
| Testimonials | 384 | --- | 376 | --- |
| All Others* | 252,570 | 10.0 | 299,355 | 11.6 |
| Total** | \$2,382,357 | 100.0 | \$2,580,504 | 100.0 |
| TYPE |  | \% OF |  | \% OF |
| ADVERTISING | $\underline{1988}$ | TOTAL | $\underline{1989}$ | TOTAL |
| Newspapers | \$105,783 | 3.2 | \$76,993 | 2.1 |
| Magazines | 355,055 | 10.8 | 380,393 | 10.5 |
| Outdoor | 319,293 | 9.7 | 358,583 | 9.9 |
| Transit | 44,379 | 1.4 | 52,294 | 1.4 |
| Point of Sale | 222,289 | 6.8 | 241,809 | 6.7 |
| Promotional Allowances | 879,703 | 26.9 | 999,843 | 27.6 |
| Sampling Distribution | 74,511 | 2.3 | 57,771 | 1.6 |
| Specialty Item |  |  |  |  |
| Distribution | 190,003 | 5.8 | 262,432 | 7.3 |
| Public Entertainment | 88,072 | 2.7 | 92,120 | 2.5 |
| Direct Mail | 42,545 | 1.3 | 45,498 | 1.3 |
| Endorsements and |  |  |  |  |
| Testimonials | 781 | --- | --- | --- |
| Coupons and Retail |  |  |  |  |
| Value Added | 874,127 | 26.7 | 959,965 | 26.5 |
| All Others* | 78,366 | 2.4 | 89,290 | 2.5 |
| Total** | \$3,274,853 | 100.0 | \$3,616,993 | 100.0 |

[^2]TABLE 3D

## DOMESTIC CIGARETTE ADVERTISING AND PROMOTIONAL EXPENDITURES <br> FOR YEARS 1990-1993 <br> (THOUSANDS OF DOLLARS)

| TYPE OF |  | \% OF |  | \% OF |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ADVERTISING | $\underline{1990}$ | TOTAL | $\underline{1991}$ | TOTAL |
| Newspapers | \$71,174 | 1.8 | \$48,212 | 1.0 |
| Magazines | 328,143 | 8.2 | 278,110 | 6.0 |
| Outdoor | 375,627 | 9.4 | 386,165 | 8.3 |
| Transit | 60,249 | 1.5 | 60,163 | 1.3 |
| Point of Sale | 303,855 | 7.6 | 344,580 | 7.4 |
| Promotional Allowances | 1,021,427 | 25.6 | 1,156,280 | 24.9 |
| Sampling Distribution | 100,893 | 2.5 | 56,970 | 1.2 |
| Speciality Item |  |  |  |  |
| Distribution | 307,037 | 7.7 | 184,348 | 4.0 |
| Public Entertainment | 125,094 | 3.1 | 118,622 | 2.6 |
| Direct Mail | 51,875 | 1.3 | 65,002 | 1.4 |
| Endorsements/Testimonials | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Coupons and Retail |  |  |  |  |
| Value Added | 1,183,798 | 29.6 | 1,882,905 | 40.4 |
| All Others* | 62,917 | 1.6 | 68,758 | 1.5 |
| Total** | \$3,992,008 | 100.0 | 4,650,114 | 100.0 |
| TYPE OF |  | \% OF |  | \% OF |
| ADVERTISING | $\underline{1992}$ | TOTAL | $\underline{1993}$ | TOTAL |
| Newspapers | \$35,467 | . 7 | 36,220 | . 6 |
| Magazines | 237,061 | 4.5 | 235,253 | 3.9 |
| Outdoor | 295,657 | 5.7 | 231,481 | 3.8 |
| Transit | 53,293 | 1.0 | 39,117 | . 6 |
| Point of Sale | 366,036 | 7.0 | 400,943 | 6.6 |
| Promotional Allowances | 1,514,026 | 28.9 | 1,557,635 | 25.8 |
| Sampling Distribution | 49,315 | . 9 | 40,202 | . 7 |
| Speciality Item |  |  |  |  |
| Distribution | 339,997 | 6.5 | 755,780 | 12.5 |
| Public Entertainment | 89,739 | 1.7 | 84,276 | 1.4 |
| Direct Mail | 34,345 | . 7 | 31,463 | . 5 |
| Endorsements/Testimonials | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Coupons and Retail |  |  |  |  |
| Value Added | 2,175,373 | 41.6 | 2,559,387 | 42.4 |
| All Others* | 41,608 | . 8 | 63,680 | 1.2 |
| Total** | \$5,231,917 | 100.0 | 6,035,437 | 100.0 |

[^3]TABLE 3E

## DOMESTIC CIGARETTE ADVERTISING AND PROMOTIONAL EXPENDITURES <br> FOR YEARS 1994-1996 <br> (THOUSANDS OF DOLLARS)

| TYPE OF |  | \% OF |  | \% OF |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ADVERTISING | $\underline{1994}$ | TOTAL | $\underline{1995}$ | TOTAL |
| Newspapers | \$24,143 | . 5 | \$19,122 | . 4 |
| Magazines | 251,644 | 5.2 | 248,848 | 5.1 |
| Outdoor | 240,024 | 5.0 | 273,664 | 5.6 |
| Transit | 29,323 | . 6 | 22,543 | . 5 |
| Point of Sale | 342,650 | 7.1 | 259,035 | 5.3 |
| Promotional Allowances | 1,678,917 | 34.7 | 1,865,657 | 38.1 |
| Sampling Distribution | 6,974 | . 1 | 13,836 | . 3 |
| Speciality Item |  |  |  |  |
| Distribution | 850,810 | 17.6 | 665,173 | 13.6 |
| Public Entertainment | 81,292 | 1.7 | 110,669 | 2.3 |
| Direct Mail | 31,187 | . 7 | 34,618 | . 7 |
| Endorsements/Testimonials | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Coupons and Retail |  |  |  |  |
| Value Added | 1,248,896 | 25.8 | 1,348,378 | 27.5 |
| All Others* | 47,672 | 1.0 | 33,680 | . 7 |
| Total** | \$4,833,532 | 100.0 | 4,895,223 | 100.0 |
| TYPE OF |  | \% OF |  |  |
| ADVERTISING | $\underline{1996}$ | TOTAL |  |  |
| Newspapers | \$14,067 | . 3 |  |  |
| Magazines | 243,046 | 4.8 |  |  |
| Outdoor | 292,261 | 5.7 |  |  |
| Transit | 28,865 | . 6 |  |  |
| Point of Sale | 252,619 | 4.9 |  |  |
| Promotional Allowances | 2,150,838 | 42.1 |  |  |
| Sampling Distribution | 15,945 | . 3 |  |  |
| Speciality Item |  |  |  |  |
| Distribution | 544,345 | 10.7 |  |  |
| Public Entertainment | 171,177 | 3.4 |  |  |
| Direct Mail | 38,703 | . 8 |  |  |
| Endorsements/Testimonials | --- | --- |  |  |
| Coupons and Retail |  |  |  |  |
| Value Added | 1,308,708 | 25.6 |  |  |
| Internet*** | 432 | . 0 |  |  |
| All Others* | 46,696 | . 9 |  |  |
| Total** | \$5,107,700 | 100.0 |  |  |

[^4]TABLE 3F

## DOMESTIC CIGARETTE ADVERTISING AND PROMOTIONAL EXPENDITURES <br> FOR YEARS 1997-1999 <br> (THOUSANDS OF DOLLARS)

| TYPE OF |  | \% OF |  | \% OF |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ADVERTISING | $\underline{1997}$ | TOTAL | $\underline{1998}$ | TOTAL |
| Newspapers | \$16,980 | . 3 | \$29,444 | . 4 |
| Magazines | 236,950 | 4.2 | 281,296 | 4.2 |
| Outdoor | 295,334 | 5.2 | 294,721 | 4.4 |
| Transit | 26,407 | . 5 | 40,158 | . 6 |
| Point of Sale | 305,360 | 5.4 | 290,739 | 4.3 |
| Promotional Allowances | 2,438,468 | 43.1 | 2,878,919 | 42.8 |
| Sampling Distribution | 22,065 | . 4 | 14,436 | . 2 |
| Speciality Item |  |  |  |  |
| Distribution | 512,602 | 9.6 | 355,835 | 5.3 |
| Public Entertainment | 195,203 | 3.4 | 248,536 | 3.7 |
| Direct Mail | 37,310 | . 7 | 57,772 | . 9 |
| Endorsements/Testimonials | --- | - | - | - |
| Internet | 215 | . 0 | 125 | . 0 |
| Coupons*** | 552,550 | 9.8 | 624,199 | 9.3 |
| Retail Value Added*** | 970,363 | 17.1 | 1,555,391 | 23.1 |
| All Others* | 50,207 | 1.0 | 61,584 | . 9 |
| Total** | \$5,660,014 | 100.0 | \$6,733,157 | 100.0 |
| TYPE OF |  | \% OF |  |  |
| ADVERTISING | $\underline{1999}$ | TOTAL |  |  |
| Newspapers | \$50,952 | . 6 |  |  |
| Magazines | 377,364 | 4.6 |  |  |
| Outdoor | 53,787 | . 7 |  |  |
| Transit | 5,573 | . 1 |  |  |
| Point of Sale | 329,429 | 4.0 |  |  |
| Promotional Allowances | 3,542,950 | 43.0 |  |  |
| Sampling Distribution | 33,711 | . 4 |  |  |
| Speciality Item |  |  |  |  |
| Distribution | 335,680 | 4.1 |  |  |
| Public Entertainment | 267,379 | 3.3 |  |  |
| Direct Mail | 94,610 | 1.2 |  |  |
| Endorsements/Testimonials | --- | - |  |  |
| Internet | 651 | . 0 |  |  |
| Coupons | 531,004 | 6.5 |  |  |
| Retail Value Added | 2,559,883 | 31.1 |  |  |
| All Others* | 54,658 | . 7 |  |  |
| Total** | 8,237,631 | 100.0 |  |  |

[^5]coupons and for retail value added.

TABLE 4
DOMESTIC CIGARETTE ADVERTISING EXPENDITURES
BY MEDIA FOR YEARS 1963-1974*
(MILLIONS OF DOLLARS)

| YEAR | TV | NEWSPAPER MAGAZINES | RADIO | DIRECT | OTHER | TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1963 | \$151.7 | 45.6 | 31.6 | 13.2 | 7.4 | 249.5 |
| 1964 | 170.2 | 45.2 | 25.5 | 14.6 | 5.8 | 261.3 |
| 1965 | 175.6 | 41.9 | 24.8 | 14.7 | 6.0 | 263.0 |
| 1966 | 198.0 | 43.4 | 31.3 | 17.9 | 6.9 | 297.5 |
| 1967 | 226.9 | 41.2 | 17.5 | 20.3 | 6.0 | 311.5 |
| 1968 | 217.2 | 44.6 | 21.3 | 21.6 | 6.0 | 310.7 |
| 1969 | 221.3 | 48.7 | 13.6 | 13.4 | 8.9 | 305.9 |
| 1970 | 205.0 | 64.2 | 12.4 | 16.9 | 16.2 | 314.7 |
| 1971 | 2.2 | 157.6 | 0 | 27.0 | 64.8 | 251.6 |
| 1972 | 0 | 159.2 | 0 | 22.9 | 75.5 | 257.6 |
| 1973 | 0 | 157.7 | 0 | 15.2 | 74.6 | 247.5 |
| 1974 | 0 | 195.1 | 0 | 31.1 | 80.6 | 306.8 |

[^6]TABLE 5

## DOMESTIC CIGARETTE ADVERTISING EXPENDITURES <br> BY MEDIA FOR YEARS 1970-1974* <br> (MILLIONS OF DOLLARS)

| YEAR | TV | RADIO | NEWSPAPER | MAGAZINES | OUTDOOR/ |  | OTHER | TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | TRANSIT | DIRECT |  |  |
| 1970 | \$205.0 | \$12.4 | \$14.7 | \$49.5 | \$11.7 | \$16.9 | \$4.5 | \$314.7 |
| 1971 | 2.2 | 0 | 59.3 | 98.3 | 60.6 | 27.0 | 4.2 | 251.6 |
| 1972 | 0 | 0 | 63.1 | 96.1 | 67.5 | 22.9 | 8.0 | 257.6 |
| 1973 | 0 | 0 | 65.3 | 92.4 | 63.2 | 15.2 | 11.4 | 247.5 |
| 1974 | 0 | 0 | 80.5 | 114.6 | 71.4 | 31.1 | 9.2 | 306.8 |

* The data reported in Tables 3 through 3E were not collected in their present form until 1975. Thus, Tables 4 and 5, which report cigarette advertising expenditures from 1963 through 1974 and from 1970 through 1974, respectively, have been retained in this report for comparative purposes.

| TABLE 6 <br> DOMESTIC MARKET SHARE OF CIGARETTES BY TAR YIELD |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | Market share of cigarettes having tar yields of: |  |  |  |  |
|  | 15 mg . or less | 12 mg . or less | 9 mg . or less | 6 mg . or less | 3 mg . or less |
| 1967 | 2.0\% | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| 1968 | 2.5\% | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| 1969 | 3.0\% | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| 1970 | 3.6\% | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| 1971 | 3.8\% | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| 1972 | 6.6\% | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| 1973 | 8.9\% | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| 1974 | 8.9\% | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| 1975 | 13.5\% | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| 1976 | 15.9\% | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| 1977 | 22.7\% | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| 1978 | 27.5\% | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| 1979 | 40.9\% | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| 1980 | 44.8\% | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| 1981 | 56.0\% | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| 1982 | 52.2\% | 43.8\% | 27.8\% | 8.9\% | 2.9\% |
| 1983 | 53.1\% | 44.9\% | 27.9\% | 9.4\% | 3.1\% |
| 1984 | 51.0\% | 43.4\% | 26.3\% | 9.4\% | 2.9\% |
| 1985 | 51.9\% | 43.1\% | 25.3\% | 8.4\% | 2.3\% |
| 1986 | 52.6\% | 44.5\% | 22.3\% | 9.9\% | 2.6\% |
| 1987 | 55.4\% | 47.8\% | 20.2\% | 10.0\% | 2.5\% |
| 1988 | 54.2\% | 48.7\% | 20.1\% | 10.7\% | 3.1\% |
| 1989 | 55.1\% | 48.4\% | 21.5\% | 11.4\% | 2.4\% |
| 1990 | 60.6\% | 51.5\% | 25.5\% | 12.2\% | 2.8\% |
| 1991 | 60.5\% | 52.6\% | 22.0\% | 12.7\% | 2.6\% |
| 1992 | 68.7\% | 52.9\% | 24.9\% | 12.7\% | 2.5\% |
| 1993 | 66.5\% | 53.3\% | 23.4\% | 12.6\% | 1.9\% |
| 1994 | 71.2\% | 53.7\% | 23.1\% | 12.3\% | 2.1\% |
| 1995 | 72.7\% | 53.6\% | 27.1\% | 12.2\% | 2.2\% |
| 1996 | 67.4\% | 55.5\% | 22.3\% | 11.9\% | 1.9\% |
| 1997 | 70.2\% | 55.6\% | 21.9\% | 11.5\% | 1.7\% |
| 1998 | 81.9\% | 56.8\% | 22.9\% | 13.2\% | 1.6\% |
| 1999 | 86.6\% | 57.3\% | 25.3\% | 13.6\% | 1.6\% |

TABLE 7

## DOMESTIC MARKET SHARE OF FILTER AND NON-FILTER CIGARETTES

| YEAR | NON-FILTER | FILTER | CHARCOAL | NON-CHARCOAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1963 | 42\% | 58\% | * | * |
| 1964 | 39\% | 61\% | * | * |
| 1965 | 36\% | 64\% | * | * |
| 1966 | 32\% | 68\% | * | * |
| 1967 | 28\% | 72\% | * | * |
| 1968 | 26\% | 74\% | 6\% | 68\% |
| 1969 | 23\% | 77\% | 6\% | 71\% |
| 1970 | 20\% | 80\% | 6\% | 74\% |
| 1971 | 18\% | 82\% | 6\% | 76\% |
| 1972 | 16\% | 84\% | 6\% | 87\% |
| 1973 | 15\% | 85\% | 5\% | 80\% |
| 1974 | 14\% | 86\% | 5\% | 81\% |
| 1975 | 13\% | 87\% | 5\% | 82\% |
| 1976 | 12\% | 88\% | 4\% | 84\% |
| 1977 | 10\% | 90\% | 4\% | 86\% |
| 1978 | 10\% | 90\% | 3\% | 87\% |
| 1979 | 9\% | 91\% | 3\% | 88\% |
| 1980 | 8\% | 92\% | 3\% | 89\% |
| 1981 | 8\% | 92\% | 2\% | 90\% |
| 1982 | 7\% | 93\% | 2\% | 91\% |
| 1983 | 7\% | 93\% | 2\% | 91\% |
| 1984 | 7\% | 93\% | 2\% | 91\% |
| 1985 | 6\% | 94\% | 1\% | 93\% |
| 1986 | 6\% | 94\% | 1\% | 93\% |
| 1987 | 4\% | 96\% | ** | ** |
| 1988 | 5\% | 95\% | ** | ** |
| 1989 | 5\% | 95\% | ** | ** |
| 1990 | 5\% | 95\% | ** | ** |
| 1991 | 4\% | 96\% | ** | ** |
| 1992 | 3\% | 97\% | ** | ** |
| 1993 | 3\% | 97\% | ** | ** |
| 1994 | 3\% | 97\% | ** | ** |
| 1995 | 3\% | 97\% | ** | ** |
| 1996 | 3\% | 97\% | ** | ** |
| 1997 | 2\% | 98\% | ** | ** |
| 1998 | 2\% | 98\% | ** | ** |
| 1999 | 2\% | 98\% | ** | ** |

* Figures for charcoal filter cigarettes for the years 1963 through 1967 were not obtained.
** Beginning with 1987, figures for charcoal filter cigarettes have no longer been reported.


## TABLE 8

## DOMESTIC MARKET SHARE OF CIGARETTES <br> BY LENGTH IN MILLIMETERS (mm)

| YEAR | 68-72 mm | $\underline{79-88 \mathrm{~mm}}$ | $\underline{94-101 \mathrm{~mm}}$ | $\underline{110-121 \mathrm{~mm}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1967 | 14\% | 77\% | 9\% | --- |
| 1968 | 12\% | 74\% | 13\% | --- * |
| 1969 | 11\% | 74\% | 16\% | --- * |
| 1970 | 9\% | 73\% | 18\% | --- |
| 1971 | 8\% | 72\% | 20\% | --- |
| 1972 | 8\% | 71\% | 21\% | --- |
| 1973 | 7\% | 71\% | 22\% | --- |
| 1974 | 6\% | 71\% | 23\% | --- ** |
| 1975 | 6\% | 69\% | 24\% | 1\% |
| 1976 | 5\% | 69\% | 24\% | 2\% |
| 1977 | 5\% | 67\% | 26\% | 2\% |
| 1978 | 5\% | 65\% | 27\% | 2\%* |
| 1979 | 4\% | 65\% | 30\% | $2 \%$ * |
| 1980 | 3\% | 63\% | 32\% | 2\% |
| 1981 | 3\% | 62\% | 33\% | 2\% |
| 1982 | 3\% | 61\% | 34\% | 2\% |
| 1983 | 3\% | 60\% | 34\% | 2\% |
| 1984 | 3\% | 59\% | 36\% | 2\% |
| 1985 | 3\% | 58\% | 37\% | 2\% |
| 1986 | 2\% | 58\% | 37\% | 3\% |
| 1987 | 2\% | 57\% | 38\% | 3\% |
| 1988 | 2\% | 57\% | 38\% | 2\% |
| 1989 | 2\% | 57\% | 39\% | 2\% |
| 1990 | 2\% | 57\% | 39\% | 2\% |
| 1991 | 2\% | 56\% | 40\% | 2\% |
| 1992 | 2\% | 56\% | 41\% | $2 \%$ * |
| 1993 | 1\% | 55\% | 42\% | 2\% |
| 1994 | 1\% | 56\% | 41\% | 2\% |
| 1995 | 1\% | 57\% | 40\% | 2\% |
| 1996 | 1\% | 57\% | 40\% | 2\% |
| 1997 | 1\% | 58\% | 39\% | 2\% |
| 1998 | 1\% | 59\% | 38\% | 2\% |
| 1999 | 1\% | 59\% | 38\% | 2\% |

[^7]TABLE 9

DOMESTIC MARKET SHARE OF MENTHOL AND NON-MENTHOL CIGARETTES

| YEAR | MENTHOL | NON-MENTHOL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1963 | 16\% | 84\% |
| 1964 | 16\% | 84\% |
| 1965 | 18\% | 82\% |
| 1966 | 19\% | 81\% |
| 1967 | 20\% | 80\% |
| 1968 | 21\% | 79\% |
| 1969 | 22\% | 78\% |
| 1970 | 23\% | 77\% |
| 1971 | 24\% | 76\% |
| 1972 | 24\% | 76\% |
| 1973 | 25\% | 75\% |
| 1974 | 27\% | 73\% |
| 1975 | 27\% | 73\% |
| 1976 | 28\% | 72\% |
| 1977 | 28\% | 72\% |
| 1978 | 28\% | 72\% |
| 1979 | 29\% | 71\% |
| 1980 | 28\% | 72\% |
| 1981 | 28\% | 72\% |
| 1982 | 29\% | 71\% |
| 1983 | 28\% | 72\% |
| 1984 | 28\% | 72\% |
| 1985 | 28\% | 72\% |
| 1986 | 28\% | 72\% |
| 1987 | 28\% | 72\% |
| 1988 | 28\% | 72\% |
| 1989 | 27\% | 73\% |
| 1990 | 26\% | 74\% |
| 1991 | 27\% | 73\% |
| 1992 | 26\% | 74\% |
| 1993 | 26\% | 74\% |
| 1994 | 25\% | 75\% |
| 1995 | 25\% | 75\% |
| 1996 | 25\% | 75\% |
| 1997 | 25\% | 75\% |
| 1998 | 26\% | 74\% |
| 1999 | 26\% | 74\% |

TABLE 10
DISCLOSURE OF TAR AND NICOTINE RATINGS
ON CIGARETTE PACKS (1994-1996)

## 1994

Overall market share of cigarette varieties that disclose ratings on the cigarette pack: 6.3 percent.

| tar rating <br> of cigarette <br> variety | market share <br> of varieties <br> in tar group | market share of varieties <br> in tar group that disclose <br> ratings on pack |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| more than $15 \mathrm{mg} . \operatorname{tar}$ | $28.8 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ |
| $12-15 \mathrm{mg} . \operatorname{tar}$ | $19.3 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ |
| $8-11 \mathrm{mg} . \operatorname{tar}$ | $38.6 \%$ | $2.4 \%$ |
| $4-7 \mathrm{mg} . \operatorname{tar}$ | $11.2 \%$ | $30.7 \%$ |
| 3 mg. or less tar | $\underline{2.1 \%}$ | $91.8 \%$ |

## 1995

Overall market share of cigarette varieties that disclose ratings on the cigarette pack: 6.3 percent.

| tar rating <br> of cigarette <br> variety | market share <br> of varieties <br> in tar group | market share of varieties <br> in tar group that disclose <br> ratings on pack |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| more than $15 \mathrm{mg} . \operatorname{tar}$ | $27.3 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ |
| $12-15 \mathrm{mg} . \operatorname{tar}$ | $21.0 \%$ | $0.1 \%$ |
| $8-11 \mathrm{mg} . \operatorname{tar}$ | $38.7 \%$ | $2.8 \%$ |
| $4-7 \mathrm{mg} . \operatorname{tar}$ | $10.8 \%$ | $30.1 \%$ |
| 3 mg. or less tar | $\underline{2.2 \%}$ | $89.1 \%$ |
|  | $100 \%$ |  |

1996
Overall market share of cigarette varieties that disclose ratings on the cigarette pack: 6.1 percent.

| tar rating <br> of cigarette <br> variety | market share <br> of varieties <br> in tar group | market sh <br> in tar gro |
| :--- | :---: | ---: |

TABLE 10A

## DISCLOSURE OF TAR AND NICOTINE RATINGS <br> ON CIGARETTE PACKS (1997-1999)

## 1997

Overall market share of cigarette varieties that disclose ratings on the cigarette pack: 5.8 percent.

| tar rating <br> of cigarette <br> variety | market share <br> of varieties <br> in tar group | market share of varieties <br> in tar group that disclose <br> ratings on pack |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| more than $15 \mathrm{mg} . \operatorname{tar}$ | $29.8 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ |
| $12-15 \mathrm{mg} . \operatorname{tar}$ | $16.7 \%$ | $0.1 \%$ |
| $8-11 \mathrm{mg} . \operatorname{tar}$ | $41.0 \%$ | $2.3 \%$ |
| $4-7 \mathrm{mg} . \operatorname{tar}$ | $10.8 . \%$ | $28.6 \%$ |
| 3 mg. or less tar | $\underline{1.7 \%}$ | $97.3 \%$ |

## 1998

Overall market share of cigarette varieties that disclose ratings on the cigarette pack: 5.3 percent.

| tar rating <br> of cigarette <br> variety | market share <br> of varieties <br> in tar group | market share of varieties <br> in tar group that disclose <br> ratings on pack |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| more than $15 \mathrm{mg} . \operatorname{tar}$ | $18.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ |
| $12-15 \mathrm{mg} . \operatorname{tar}$ | $29.1 \%$ | $0.1 \%$ |
| $8-11 \mathrm{mg} . \operatorname{tar}$ | $39.4 \%$ | $3.2 \%$ |
| $4-7 \mathrm{mg} . \operatorname{tar}$ | $11.9 \%$ | $20.7 \%$ |
| 3 mg. or less tar | $\underline{1.6 . \%}$ | $97.4 \%$ |

1999

Overall market share of cigarette varieties that disclose ratings on the cigarette pack: 4.1 percent.

| tar rating <br> of cigarette <br> variety | market share <br> of varieties <br> in tar group | market <br> in tar gr <br> ratings |
| :--- | :---: | ---: |
| more than $15 \mathrm{mg} . \operatorname{tar}$ | $16.6 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ |
| $12-15 \mathrm{mg} . \operatorname{tar}$ | $29.4 \%$ | $0.1 \%$ |
| $8-11 \mathrm{mg} . \operatorname{tar}$ | $40.1 \%$ | $1.6 \%$ |
| $4-7 \mathrm{mg} . \operatorname{tar}$ | $12.5 \%$ | $16.2 \%$ |
| 3 mg. or less tar | $\underline{1.4 \%}$ | $92.3 \%$ |


[^0]:    1 The Commission recently learned that one manufacturer's reports have not included some of its sales in, or any of its advertising and marketing expenditures for, the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico and the U.S. territories. Such sales and expenses will be included in the future.
    ${ }^{2}$ The prohibitions on sampling except at adult-only facilities became effective when the MSA was executed. Similarly, the MSA immediately banned the placement of new outdoor and transit cigarette advertisements, but existing ones did not have to be removed until April, 1999. The restrictions on the distribution and sale of apparel and merchandise with tobacco brand-name logos went into effect in July 1999. The MSA also limits each company to one brand name sponsorship per year, but does not require a company to terminate a sponsorship contract before November 2001 if that contract was in existence on August 1, 1998.

[^1]:    4 Specialty item advertising is the practice of branding items such as T-shirts, caps, sunglasses, key chains, calendars, lighters and sporting goods with a brand's logo, and then giving them away or selling them to consumers.

[^2]:    * Expenditures for audio-visual are included in the "All Others" category to avoid disclosure of individual company data.
    ** Because of rounding, sums of percentages may not equal 100 percent.

[^3]:    * Expenditures for audio-visual are included in the "All Others" category to avoid disclosure of individual company data.
    ** Because of rounding, sums of percentages may not equal 100 percent.

[^4]:    * Expenditures for audio-visual are included in the "All Others" category to avoid disclosure of individual company data.
    ** Because of rounding, sums of percentages may not equal 100 percent.
    *** 1996 was the first year the FTC identified the Internet as a separate category of expenditures.

[^5]:    * Expenditures for audio-visual are included in the "All Others" category to avoid disclosure of individual company data.
    ** Because of rounding, sums of percentages may not equal 100 percent.
    *** 1997 was the first year the FTC required the cigarette companies to report separately their expenditures for

[^6]:    * The data reported in Tables 3 through 3E were not collected in their present form until 1975. Thus, Tables 4 and 5, which report cigarette advertising expenditures from 1963 through 1974 and from 1970 through 1974, respectively, have been retained in this report for comparative purposes.

[^7]:    * Because of rounding, the total of the individual percentages may not equal 100 percent in some instances.
    ** The $110-121 \mathrm{~mm}$ length was combined with $94-101 \mathrm{~mm}$ length.

