Shaping the Future

Volume II: Perspectives on Undergraduate Education in Science, Mathematics, Engineering, and Technology

Contributions to the

Review of Undergraduate Education

by the

Advisory Committee

to the

National Science Foundation Directorate for Education and Human Resources

NOTICES OF DISCLAIMER

- This publication is a companion volume to the report *Shaping the Future: New Expectations for Undergraduate Education in Science, Mathematics, Engineering, and Technology* (NSF 96-139) and its stand-alone Executive Summary (NSF 96-141), published by the National Science Foundation in 1996. The views, opinions, and recommendations expressed in this report are those of participants in the Review of Undergraduate Education, the "National Year of Dialogue" and the Advisory Committee to NSF's Directorate for Education and Human Resources; they do not necessarily represent the official views, opinions, or policy of the Foundation.
- 2. As employed in the text of the report, the pronouns *we*, *our*, and *us* refer alternatively to the general population, the academic community at large, or those segments of either with special interest in undergraduate education in science, mathematics, engineering, and technology, not to the National Science Foundation or to its representatives.

The Foundation provides awards for research and education in the sciences and engineering. The awardee is wholly responsible for the conduct of such research and preparation of the results for publication. The Foundation, therefore, does not assume responsibility for the research findings or their interpretation.

The Foundation welcomes proposals from all qualified scientists and engineers and strongly encourages women, minorities, and persons with disabilities to compete fully in any of the research and education related programs described here. In accordance with federal statutes, regulations, and NSF policies, no person on grounds of race, color, age, sex, national origin, or disability shall be excluded from participation in, be denied the benefits of, or be subject to discrimination under any program or activity receiving financial assistance from the National Science Foundation.

Facilitation Awards for Scientists and Engineers with Disabilities (FASED) provide funding for special assistance or equipment to enable persons with disabilities (investigators and other staff, including student research assistants) to work on NSF projects. See the program announcement or contact the program coordinator at (703) 306-1636.

The National Science Foundation has TDD (Telephonic Device for the Deaf) capability, which enables individuals with hearing impairment to communicate with the Foundation about NSF programs, employment, or general information. To access NSF TDD dial (703) 306-0090 for FIRS, 1-800-877-8339.

Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance CFDA 47.076

SHAPING THE FUTURE

Volume II: Perspectives on Undergraduate Education in Science, Mathematics, Engineering, and Technology

Contributions to the

Review of Undergraduate Education

by the

Advisory Committee

to the

National Science Foundation Directorate for Education and Human Resources

The EHR Advisory Committee

CHAIR: James M. Rosser

VICE-CHAIR: Kerry Davidson

Susan Agruso Director, Authentic Assessment State Department of Education Columbia, SC

Edward W. Bales Director of Education, External Systems Motorola Corporate Offices Schaumberg, IL

Joan Barber Director for Student Life North Carolina School of Science and Mathematics Durham, NC

George Boggs President Palomar College San Marcos, CA

Sadie Bragg Acting Dean of Academic Affairs City University of New York Borough of Manhattan Community College New York, NY

Diane J. Briars Mathematics Specialist Office of Educational Design and Assessment Pittsburgh Public Schools Pittsburgh, PA

Kerry Davidson Deputy Commissioner for Academic Affairs and Research Louisiana Board of Regents Baton Rouge, LA

Alfredo G. de los Santos, Jr. Vice Chancellor for Educational Development Maricopa Community Colleges Tempe, AZ

Denice D. Denton Electrical and Computer Engineering University of Wisconsin, Madison Madison, WI Charlotte K. Frank Vice President Research and Development The McGraw-Hill Companies New York, NY

Alan J. Friedman Director New York Hall of Science Flushing Meadows Corona Park, NY

Melvin D. George President Emeritus St. Olaf College Northfield, MN

Peter Gerber MacArthur Foundation Chicago, IL

N. Gerry House Superintendent Memphis Public Schools Memphis, TN

Jane Butler Kahle Condit Professor of Science Education Miami University Oxford, OH

Charlotte Keith Indian Trail High School Olathe, KS

Mary M. Lindquist School of Education Columbus College Columbus, GA

Stanley S. Litow Director, Corporate Support Programs IBM Armonk, NY

Jack R. Lohmann Associate Dean College of Engineering Georgia Institute of Technology Atlanta, GA

Charles Merideth President New York City Technical College Brooklyn, NY **Robert E. Parilla** President Montgomery College Rockville, MD

Diana Garcia Prichard Photoscience Research Division Eastman Kodak Company Rochester, NY

James M. Rosser President California State University Los Angeles, CA

David A. Sanchez Department of Mathematics Texas A&M University College Station, TX

Maria Santos Supervisor Math and Science Department San Francisco Unified School District San Francisco, CA

Robert Schwartz The Pew Charitable Trusts Philadelphia, PA

Calvert H. Smith Office of Systemic Reform State of Ohio Cincinnati, OH

Gwendolyn W. Stephenson Chancellor St. Louis Community College System St. Louis, MO

Uri Treisman Department of Mathematics University of Texas at Austin Austin, TX

Leon Ukens Department of Physics Towson State University Towson, MD

Donna L. York Science Curriculum Coordinator Anchorage School District Anchorage, AK

Table of Contents

The Charge to Revitalize Undergraduate Education in Science, Mathematics, Engineering, and Technology Introduction to the Second Volume		vi
		1
I.	Activities in the Reform of Undergraduate Education Since Volume I of Shaping the Future	3
	Introduction	5
	Shaping the Future NextSteps	5
	External Assignment of Dr. Robert Watson	6
	Regional Workshops for "Shaping the Future"	7
	Strengthening Participation by Corporations and Foundations	8
	Working Through Scientific Societies and Professional Associations	9
	Chronological List of Conferences Sponsoring Workshops & Presentations in Support of Shaping the Future NextSteps	10
	Bibliography of New Publications in Support of Shaping the Future of Undergraduate Education in Science, Mathematics, Engineering, and Technology	16
II.	Program History of Undergraduate Activities at NSF Since the Neal Report (NSB 86-100)	21
	Leadership	23
	Leveraged Program Support	25
III.	Written Remarks Contributed as Part of the EHR Advisory Committee Public Hearings on Undergraduate SME&T Education	27
	Remarks Contributed to the Hearing on Disciplinary Perspectives	29
	Invited Speakers	30
	MRC Greenwood , Dean, Graduate Studies & Vice Provost, Academic Outreach University of California at Davis	31
	Rita R. Colwell , President, American Association for the Advancement of Science (AAAS) & President, University of Maryland Biotechnology Institute	37
	Alan Tucker , Distinguished Teaching Professor, State University of New York-Stony Brook & Chair, Education Coordinating Council of the Mathematical Association of America	43
	Eleanor Baum , Dean of Engineering, Cooper Union for the Advancement of Science and Art (NY)	
	Winfred Phillips, Dean of Engineering, University of Florida	49

Peter J. Denning, Associate Dean for Computing, George Mason University (VA)	53
Don K. Gentry , Dean of Engineering, School of Technology, Purdue University (IN)	59
Durward R. Huffman , President, Northern Maine Technical College & Academic Officer, Maine Technical College System	65
Ernest L. Eliel , Professor of Chemistry, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill	69
Angelica M. Stacy , <i>Department of Chemistry University of California, Berkeley</i>	71
Robert C. Hilborn , Professor of Physics, Amherst College & President- Elect, American Association of Physics Teachers	75
Eric Mazur , Gordon McKay Professor of Applied Physics, Division of Applied Sciences & Professor of Physics, Harvard University	81
Tanya Atwater , Professor of Geological Sciences, University of California, Santa Barbara	83
Remarks Contributed to the Hearing on Institutional Perspectives	
Invited Speakers	88
Pamela A. Ferguson, President, Grinnell College (IA)	89
Thomas Morris, President, Emory and Henry College (VA)	93
Bruce Leslie, President, Onondaga Community College (NY)	97
Gwendolyn W. Stephenson, Chancellor, St. Louis Community College	103
David R. Pierce , <i>President, American Association of Community</i> <i>Colleges (DC)</i>	107
Frederick S. Humphries, President, Florida A&M University	109
William E. Kirwan, President, University of Maryland, College Park	115
Paula P. Brownlee, President, American Association of Colleges and	
	119
Saul K. Fenster, President, New Jersey Institute of Technology	123
Judith A. Ramaley, President, Portland State University (OR)	131
David Ward, Chancellor, University of Wisconsin - Madison	141
Homer A. Neal , Vice President for Research, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor	145
Remarks Contributed to the Hearing on Employers' Views	
Invited Speakers	152
Walter G. Amprey , Superintendent of Public Instruction, Baltimore City Public Schools (MD)	153

Eugene Galanter, Professor of Psychology, Columbia University	157
Peggy Ruth Cole , Director of Program Planning and Development, New York Hall of Science	163
Israel J. Galvan, President, GHG Corporation	167
Albert L. Moyé, University Relationships Manager, Hewlett Packard Company Robert W. Ritchie, Director, University Affairs, Hewlett Packard Company	171
John H. McMasters , Senior Principal Engineer, Aerodynamics Engineering, The Boeing Company	
James D. Lang, Director of the Technology Division, New Aircraft and Missile Products, McDonnell Douglas Aerospace	177
Roberts Jones, Executive Vice President, National Alliance of Business	187
John L. Sisler, Manager of Exploration and Production Training, Shell Exploration and Production Company	189
Patrick White, Vice President, Strategy, Bell Atlantic Corporation	195
Remarks Contributed to the Social Sciences Workshop	197
Participants in the Social Sciences Workshop	198
Overview	199
Andrew Abbott , Professor in Sociology and Master, Social Sciences Collegiate Division, University of Chicago	205
John F. Dovidio, Department of Psychology, Colgate University	209
Ronald G. Ehrenberg , Vice President for Academic Programs, Palnning, and Budgeting, Cornell University	213
Kenneth E. Foote , Associate Vice President for Research, The University of Texas at Austin	217
Rochel Gelman, Professor of Psychology, University of California, Los Angeles	221
Maureen Hallinan, White Professor of Sociology, University of Notre Dame	223
Jill H. Larkin , Department of Psychology and Center for Innovation in Learning, Carnegie Mellon University	225
Frederick Reif, Department of Physics, Carnegie-Mellon University	231
Nora S. Newcombe, Department of Psychology, Temple University	235
Neil Stillings, Cognitive Science Program, Hampshire College	239
Findings from the Focus Groups Conducted During the Review of Undergraduate Education	
Summary of Employer Focus Groups	249
Summary of Teacher Preparation Focus Groups	255

IV.

	Summary of Student Focus Groups	261
	Summary of Recent Graduate Focus Groups	267
	Summary of Parent Focus Groups	273
V.	Background Data and Information Influencing the Conclusions and	
	Recommendations of Shaping the Future by Staff of the Division of	275
	Undergraduate Education	
		277
	Education Concerns: precollege	211
	Post-Secondary Education Issues	211
	Public subsidies and faculty priorities	287
	Rising costs	291
	Pressures on public revenues	294
	By type of institution	295
	By discipline and type of institution	297
	Distribution of Federal Funds for Science and Engineering, by type of institution	299
	Faculty Teaching Methods and Class Size, by type of institution and discipline	302
	Employers' Perspectives on Features of a Well-Educated Undergraduate	307
		310
VI.	Contributors to the EHR Advisory Committee Review of U.S.	212
	Undergraduate Education in SME&T	313
	Acknowledgment of Participants by Melvin D. George	315
	The Request for Comment from Luther Williams, NSF Assistant Director for Education and Human Resources	316
	Respondents to the Letter from Luther Williams, NSF Assistant Director for Education and Human Resources	319
	Undergraduate Convocation Program Steering Committee for <i>From Analysis to</i> Action	327
	Participants in From Analysis to Action, April 9-11, 1995	329
	The EHR Advisory Committee	346
	EHR Committee for the Report Shaping the Future: New Expectations for Undergraduate Education Science, Mathematics, Engineering, and Technology	347
	NRC Center for Science, Mathematics, and Engineering Education Committee on Undergraduate Education	348
	NRC "Year of Dialogue" Steering Committee	349
	Participants in the EHR Advisory Committee Public Hearings on Undergraduate Education in SME&T	350
	Participants in the Shaping the Future Conference, July 11-13, 1996	351
VII.	Bibliography for the Review of Undergraduate Education in Science, Mathematics, Engineering, and Technology	381

SHAPING THE FUTURE Volume II: Perspectives on Undergraduate Education in Science, Mathematics, Engineering, and Technology

Contributions to the

REVIEW OF UNDERGRADUATE EDUCATION

by the

Advisory Committee

to the

National Science Foundation Directorate for Education and Human Resources

NATIONAL SCIENCE FOUNDATION OFFICE OF THE ASSISTANT DIRECTOR FOR EDUCATION AND HUMAN RESOURCES

Review of Undergraduate Education in Science, Mathematics, Engineering and Technology June 1995

CHARGE TO THE SUBCOMMITTEE

I appoint a Subcommittee of the Advisory Committee to the Directorate for Education and Human Resources (ACEHR) to conduct a Review of the state of undergraduate education in science, mathematics, engineering, and technology (SME&T); to identify its recent successes and to point out both its needs and opportunities for its improvement. Members of the Subcommittee will be: Drs. Melvin George (chair), Sadie Bragg, Frederick Brooks, James Rosser, David Sanchez, and Carolyn Meyers (consultant). [Drs. Alfredo de los Santos, Jr., Denice Denton, Mary Lindquist, and Mr. Peter Gerber were later added to the membership of the Subcommittee.]

The Subcommittee should consider the needs of *all* undergraduates attending *all* types of U.S. two- and four-year colleges and universities that provide undergraduate education in science, mathematics, engineering, and technology. In particular, the review should address issues of preparation of K-12 teachers in these fields, the needs of persons going into the technical work force, the preparation of majors in these areas, and the issue of science literacy for all. The review should cover the full range of general issues in undergraduate education— curriculum, educational technology, pedagogy (including the degree to which student learning is infused with research), institutional practices and the need for comprehensive reform, and key student transitions between levels of education (*from* high school, *between* undergraduate institutions, and *to* graduate school) and from undergraduate studies to employment. The review should draw upon a full range of constituent groups having a stake in undergraduate education—students, parents, faculty, administrators, scientific societies, accrediting groups, employers, and state and local education officials. The Subcommittee is requested to develop a schedule of draft reports and activities leading to a Final Report.

The Final Report should be action oriented, recommending ways to improve undergraduate education in science, mathematics, engineering, and technology for all students in all types of colleges and universities. Recommendations should be directed not just to NSF but, as appropriate, to mission-oriented Federal agencies, business and industry, academic institutions and their faculties and administrations, professional societies, private sector organizations, state and local government, and to other stakeholders in undergraduate education. The recommendations should reflect an assessment of accomplishments during the recent past [i.e., those following completion of the National Science Board study *Undergraduate Science, Mathematics and Engineering Education* (NSB 86-100, 1986)] and be based on the comments and ideas submitted by individuals and groups during the course of the Review and on findings and analysis by the Subcommittee. The Report should consider carefully future roles for sponsors of educational improvements and the nature of their efforts to improve undergraduate education. In particular, guidance is sought for the National Science Foundation regarding its support of innovation in educational practice through a portfolio of programs ranging from sponsorship of individual investigator-led efforts to catalysis of institutional programs of comprehensive change and covering the full range of educational settings.

I ask that the Subcommittee complete and transmit its Report to me by March 1996. Thereafter, the Report will be submitted to the full ACEHR for its comment and approval and, when that is obtained, will be submitted to the NSF Director and to the Director's Policy Group for approval as a NSF Report.

Luther S. Williams Assistant Director

Introduction to the Second Volume

This is a companion volume to *Shaping the Future: New Expectations for Undergraduate Education in Science, Mathematics, Engineering, and Technology* (NSF 96-139), the 1996 report of the Advisory Committee on Undergraduate Education to the National Science Foundation's Directorate for Education and Human Resources. For this supplement, we have selected the materials that helped to initiate the discussion and debate of the review, and provided the framework for the Recommendations presented in the first volume of *Shaping the Future*.

No single document could purport to fully represent the breadth and depth of the expansive and complicated endeavor of higher education and its reform. While the EHR Advisory Committee did a formidable job of soliciting broad-based community opinion, synthesizing the issues facing contemporary undergraduate education, and summarizing this process in its report to NSF, the material presented in this second volume provides an essential resource for anyone wishing to explore these issues more completely, without the benefit of interpretation or distillation. To this end, we have made every effort to allow these authors to express their views in their own words and present references and data without undue editorial revision or comment.

The review of undergraduate education and the *Shaping the Future* report have already generated much discussion and activity in the U.S. education community. In recognition of this, the National Science Foundation has initiated its "NextSteps in Shaping the Future' campaign to capitalize upon this enthusiasm, coordinate regional efforts, and help guide discussion towards a national movement to achieve even greater excellence in higher education. We begin this volume with a summary of these ongoing, follow-up activities.

Section II of this volume presents a detailed account of NSF programs in undergraduate education since NSB 86-100, Undergraduate Science, Mathematics and Engineering Education: Role for the National Science Foundation and Recommendations for Action by Other Sectors to Strengthen Collegiate Education and Pursue Excellence in the Next Generation of U.S. Leadership in Science and Technology the last substantive review of undergraduate education in this nation. Section III presents the written remarks contributed as part of the public hearings on undergraduate education held in October and November, 1995, as well as an overview of the Social Sciences workshop held in February, 1996. Section IV summarizes the series of national focus groups conducted by NSF in 1995 and 1996, while Section V presents data from a variety of sources that contributed many—but certainly not all–essential facets to the overall analysis.

Finally, no accounting of this remarkable, cooperative achievement would be complete without proper acknowledgment of the participants and contributors to this process (Section VI) and the benchmark publications upon which the current appraisal was founded (the References of Section VII).

The National Science Foundation Division of Undergraduate Education Arlington, VA August, 1998