APPENDICES 47

APPENDICES

APPENDIX I

NSB 00-192 October 16, 2000

CHARGE COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION AND HUMAN RESOURCES TASK FORCE ON NATIONAL WORKFORCE POLICIES FOR SCIENCE AND ENGINEERING

Discovery and innovation in science and engineering (S&E), enabled by a robust, highly trained, and talented science and engineering workforce, is the foundation of our Nation's future economic growth and quality of life. Historically, the U.S. has benefited from both an abundant supply of indigenous talent and the contributions of a steady stream of scientists, engineers, and graduate students from other countries. This blend of domestic and foreign talent has helped advance the frontiers of knowledge and propel the U.S. to a position of global leadership in S&E.

The technological and information revolutions transforming the economy are changing the skill mix required in the national workforce and dramatically increasing the demand for scientists and engineers. However, these fundamental changes lack a coherent framework of long term national goals and strategies to insure the continued education, development and recruitment, from both within the US and other countries, of highly trained and talented workers. Important national trends point to the need for a serious assessment of our national S&E workforce needs and policies:

• Dramatic increases in the demand for scientists and engineers by all sectors of the economy;

• Profound inadequacies in U.S. K-12 in science, mathematics, and engineering education and declining rates of participation by domestic students in graduate S&E education;

• Demographic changes resulting in a significantly more diverse U.S. student population coupled with historically lower rates of participation in S&E by ethnic and racial minorities and women;

• Increasing reliance on foreign talent in the face of trends indicating rising rates of return to country of origin by foreign students after degree completion; and

• Inconsistencies among policies related to the recruitment and treatment of foreign scientists to fill the ranks of industry, Federal laboratories, and universities.

The NSB Task Force on National Workforce Policies for Science and Engineering (NWP), reporting through the Committee on Education and Human Resources, is established to assess long term national workforce trends and needs in science and engineering and their relationship to existing Federal policies, and to recommend strategies that will address long term S&E workforce needs. In its review, the task force should include consideration of the following issues:

• How U.S. demographic trends, trajectories of S&E preparation and degree attainment, and availability of foreign scientists and engineers may affect the future S&E workforce;

• How data on industry demand – both for requisite skills and the numbers of workers who possess them – can better inform preparation, hiring, and retention of students at all levels for high technology careers;

• How graduate training can be diversified to support aspirations that match opportunities, especially outside of research and of academe, while insuring continued excellence of traditional preparation of U.S. scientists and engineers; and

• How the mix of Federal law, such as immigration policy, Federal agency and state programs, higher education institution practices, and employer recruitment and other incentives affect student and worker choices related to S&E careers.

The NWP Task Force will submit a plan of work for discussion at the December 2000 meeting. The workplan should include a timetable of activities, including proposals for consultation with stakeholders in the relevant science and engineering education and employer communities, that yields a report with policy recommendations for Board consideration by the November 2001 meeting.

Eamon M. Kelly Chairman

APPENDIX II

NSB/EHR/NWP 01-1

EHR TASK FORCE ON NATIONAL WORKFORCE POLICIES FOR SCIENCE AND ENGINEERING (NWP)

Task Force Briefing January 30, 2001

AGENDA

8:15 AM	Welcome and Purpose	Dr. Miller, Chair, NWP Task Force
8:25	Overview: Coverage, Strengths, and Shortcomings of Data	Dr. Golladay, NSF/SRS
8:45	Databases on Occupations and Employment: A. Employment Projections	Dr. William Parks Office of the Commissioner Bureau of Labor Statistics
	B. CPS and Training Issues	Dr. Enrique Lamas Bureau of the Census Demographic Surveys Division
	C. Longitudinal Data and Knowledge Requirements For 21 st Century Work	Dr. Clifford Adelman Office of Educational Research and Improvement, U.S. Department of Education
	Discussion	NSB Members
10:30	Break	
11:00	A Dialogue on Immigration Data:	
	Presenter	Dr. Lindsay Lowell Institute for the Study of International Migration, Georgetown U.
	Discussant	Dr. Michael Teitelbaum Program Director Alfred P. Sloan Foundation
	Discussion	NSB Members

Task Force Briefing January 30, 2001

AGENDA (CONT.)

1:30	University Perspectives:	
	A. Market Analysis of	Dr. Ka
	Students	Institu
		Univer

B. Council of Graduate Schools:MWhat VPs and Deans SayC

C. Reinventing the Master's Degree in Science: An Overview of Programs, Students, and Jobs Dr. Karen Spahn Institutional Research University of Phoenix

Mr. Peter Syverson Council of Graduate Schools

Ms. Sheila Tobias Outreach Coordinator, Sloan Science Master's Degree Initiative and Dr. Michael Teitelbaum Alfred P. Sloan Foundation

Discussion

NSB Members

Dr. Miller Dr. Golladay

- 3:00 Wrap-up
- 3:30 Adjourn (Task Force Executive Session)

APPENDIX III

NSB/NWP 02-3

WORKSHOP ON NATIONAL POLICY OPTIONS TASK FORCE ON NATIONAL WORKFORCE POLICIES FOR SCIENCE AND ENGINEERING

National Science Board March 12, 2002 Stafford II, Room 555, NSF

AGENDA

9:00 - 9:30 AM Welcome

Dr. Joseph Miller, Task Force Chairman, National Science Board

Dr. Eamon Kelly, Chairman, National Science Board

Dr. Rita Colwell, Director, National Science Foundation

Introduction to the Workshop Dr. Joseph Miller, NSB Task Force Chairman

9:30 AM -12:00 PM Panel on national policies addressing the U. S. education system and its ability to move students from secondary school into undergraduate studies and thence into employment and/or graduate studies.

 Moderator: Dr. Diana Natalicio, NSB Task Force Member
9:30 Policy focus on precollege to undergraduate transition (Presentation and Q/A) Dr. David Conley, Associate Professor, University of Oregon, Center for Education Policy Research
10:00 Policy focus on multiple pathways to the workforce and mobility of students among kinds of educational offerings (Presentation and Q/A) Dr. Anthony Carnevale, Vice-President, Educational Testing Service

10:30-10:45 Break

10:45	Policy focus on the sy the interplay with oth	ystem for teacher preparation and certification and her career options (Presentation and Q/A) Dr. Rodger Bybee, Executive Director, Biological Sciences Curriculum Study
11:15	Discussion	
12:00- 1:00	Lunch	
1:00-1:45 PM	Report of Critical Path Analy and Technology Education Sy	sis of California's Science stem Dr. Susan Hackwood, Executive Director, California Council on Science and Technology
1:45 – 5:00 PM	Panel on national policies to strengthen student interest in science, engineering, and technology and graduate increased numbers of associate and baccalaurate degree recipients well-prepared for employment opportunities and/or advanced study.	
	Moderator:	Dr. George Langford, NSB Task Force Member
1:45	Policy focus on incentives to i (Presentation and Q/A)	ncrease supply of college graduates Dr. Paul Romer, Professor, Stanford University
2:30 - 2:45	Break	
2:45	Policy focus on diversity and a	student development (Presentation and Q/A) Ms. Yolanda George, Deputy Director, Directorate for Education and Human Resources Programs, AAAS
3:15	Policy focus on institutional s (Presentation and Q/A)	trategies and their impact on undergraduate students Dr. Charles Goldman, Economist, RAND
3:45	Discussion and synthesis	
5:00	Adjourn	
5:15	Reception, National Science B	oard Suite, Room 1225, Stafford I

APPENDIX IV

NSB/NWP 02-15

WORKSHOP II ON NATIONAL POLICY OPTIONS TASK FORCE ON NATIONAL WORKFORCE POLICIES FOR SCIENCE AND ENGINEERING

National Science Board June 28, 2002 Stafford I, Room 375, NSF

AGENDA

9:00 - 9:20 AM	Welcome	Dr. George Langford, Task Force Vice-Chair, National Science Board
		Dr. Rita Colwell, Director, National Science Foundation
	Introduction to the Workshop	Dr. George Langford, Task Force Vice-Chair, National Science Board
9:20 AM -12:00 PM	Panel on the workforce needs	of government and industry
	Moderator:	Dr. Maxine Savitz, NSB Task Force Member
9:20	Employment serving the U.S.	government Dr. John McTague, Vice-President – Laboratory Management, University of California
10:10-10:30	Break	
10:30	U. S. corporations and their w	workforce needs Dr. Donald Keck, Vice-President and Executive Director for Research (retired), Corning
11:15	Discussion of government and	l industry needs All panelists and NSB members
12:00- 1:00	Lunch	

AGENDA (CONT.)

1:00-1:45 PM	Impact of security policies on the S&E workforce
	Dr. John Marburger, Director, Office and Science and
	Technology Policy, Executive Office of the President
1:45 – 5:00 PM	Panel on policies affecting the U. S. and global supply of scientists and engineers Moderator: Dr. George Langford, NSB Task Force Member
1:45	U.S. policies and regulations affecting international graduate students and postdoctoral researchers Dr. James Burns, Foreign Relations Associate, American Council on Education
2:30-2:45	Break
2:45	Policies and approaches in other countries: China Dr. Yugui Guo, Guest Professor, Fudan University
3:15	Factors affecting the choice of domestic students to attend graduate school Dr. Frank Solomon, Professor of Biology, MIT
4:00	Discussion of U.S. policies for improved development of our domestic advanced S&E workforce All panelists and NSB members
5:00	Adjourn

Karolyn Eisenstein, Executive Secretary

APPENDIX V

NSB/NWP 01-1 May 2001

"State of Knowledge on the Flow of Foreign Science and Technology Workers to the United States,"

by B. Lindsay Lowell, Georgetown University

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

This summary presents seven basic data needs and recommendations on the statistical infrastructure needed to monitor or forecast immigrants' contribution to science and engineering. It provides an appendix that describes the data elements currently collected on immigrants.

Occupation and Educational Characteristics Basic occupational and educational data should be collected for all working-age legal permanent residents (LPRs) and selected temporary-working nonimmigrant (NIV) classes. It is not possible to identify scientists and engineers unless information is available on the occupation and/or educational characteristics of individual migrants. As far as occupation is concerned, the priority should be for the collection of data on all LPRs and all working temporary visas. The corollary for this priority must be the use of the same occupational classification scheme by the involved agencies. As far as education is concerned, the minimal data priority should be years of education completed. However, additional information on degree completion and field of study would be of value and could either be included in administrative collection systems or as part of special surveys (see below).

Tracking Immigrant Status Transitions.Linking Immigration Statuses The U.S. needs a reliable administrative and statistical system to track individual transitions in immigrant status. There are two basic types of immigrants who are often not distinguishable in most immigration statistics. "New" entrants are individuals who have never before resided (at least recently) in the United States. "Transitional" entrants are those who, although counted as new to a given visa class, have actually already been resident in the U.S. Typically, the transitional migrant has legally resided on a temporary visa to study or work (for example, one-fifth of F foreign students adjust and about half of H-1B workers).

Measuring Person Years or Duration of Stay. *Duration of Stay in Status in the United States There is a need for reliable data on the duration of time that various classes of temporary nonimmigrants have been in the United States.* Duration of stay information is critical for evaluating the relationship between temporary jobs and cycles in the economy. For example, the person-year population is the full-time equivalent contribution of working visas to the labor force: if there were 100 temporary workers with an average stay of one-half year, they would contribute 50 person-years' worth of labor in that year. Further, a reliable administrative and statistical system would permit us to know when an individual left the United States for extended periods of time. **Data On Employer Sponsors.** Basic information about employer-sponsors of immigrants should be collected, i.e., industry, size of the organization, and a common employer identifier. Systematically tracking industry and employer size class would help businesses and policymakers monitor shifts in national and global demand. Policymakers would have more confidence about the fit between policy and meeting national priorities, while employers would have more information to plan human resource strategy.

Random Surveys and Statistical Data. Random samples of individuals taken outside of the normal routine of administrative data collection are another way to get the statistical information that policymakers regularly call for and need to reach informed decisions.

Data elements that would impose an unwarranted burden on administrative systems, or elements that pertain to special subjects not of regular interest, should be collected in random surveys.

A Special Immigrant Current Population Survey. There should be a pilot CPS supplement on immigrants, and it should include survey items that permit researchers to investigate policy-relevant issues. The determination of items to be included in the survey should be arrived at after soliciting input from various agencies, the research community, immigrant advocacy groups, and other stakeholders. At the least, a special supplement could shed light on the effect of immigrant status, U.S. experience and residence, where and how much education is completed, and language ability.

Organizational Reform of Data Collection. For over two decades several panels have condemned the organizational incapacity of the INS in particular to collect and manage data. Without reform of its capacity it is likely that recommendations on data improvements will subsequently fail. Congress has considered and should establish an independent Bureau of Immigration Statistics. The new organization should conform to the National Academy of Sciences' test of independence: The BIA should be established by statute as a separate entity; it should be headed by a career civil servant; it should require no approval for the release of data, and it should have predetermined/scheduled data releases.

APPENDIX VI

Public Comments: Organizations and Individuals

Organizations

ACT, Inc., Rose Rennekamp, Vice President, Communications Advanced Technology Institute, North Charleston, South Carolina, Jon D. Tirpak, Engineering Director American Association of Community Colleges, George R. Boggs, President and CEO American Geophysical Union American Astronomical Society, Andrea Schweitzer, Chair, Committee on Employment American Institute of Physics, Roman Czujko, Director, Statistical Research Center American Physical Society, Myriam Sarachik, President American Society of Mechanical Engineers (ASME), Willard A. Nott, Vice President, ASME Board on Precollege Education American Society of Agronomy, Karl M. Glasener, Director of Science Policy Association of American Universities (AAU), Nils Haselmo, President Association of Science-Technology Centers, Bonnie VanDorn, Executive Director Committee on Science, Engineering and Public Policy, National Academies Committee on Equal Opportunities in Science and Engineering Corning Incorporated, SPIE Scholarship Committee, Christopher W. Wightman Crop Science Society of America, Karl M. Glasener, Director of Science Policy Department of Homeland Security, Office of the Under Secretary for Science and Technology, Vic Tambone, Chief of Staff EPSCoR Foundation, Royce Engstrom, Chair, Board of Directors Federation of American Societies for Experimental Biology (FASEB), Howard H. Garrison, Director, Office of Pubic Affairs Health Physics Society, John R. Frazier, President Industrial Research Institute, F.M. Ross Armbrecht, Jr., President Innovative Technology Partnerships, LLC, John P. Jekowski, Principal Partner Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers, Inc., Vin O'Neill, Senior Legislative Representative McGeary and Smith, Philip M. Smith and Michael McGeary National Association of State Universities and Land-Grant Colleges (NASULGC), C. Peter Magrath, President

National Workshop on Space Education, Executive Committee, Professors Joseph N. Pelton, Donald Flournoy, and Professor Randy Johnson

Office of Science and Technology Policy, James A. Griffin, Assistant Director, Social Behavioral and Education Sciences, SBE Department

Oklahoma Center for the Advancement of Science and Technology/Oklahoma Institute of Technology, W.A. Sibley, Executive Director, CEO

The Packer Foundation, Kenneth F. Packer, Chairman of the Board, Packer Engineering, Inc., Margaret Truax, Director, The Packer Foundation

PeoplePC, Michael Danyo

Semiconductor Industry Association, San Jose, California, Daryl Hatano, Vice President, Public Policy

Alfred P. Sloan Foundation, Ralph E. Gomory, President

Soil Science Society of America, Karl M. Glasener, Director of Science Policy

Tallahassee Scientific Society

Texas Instruments, Paula J. Collins, Director, Government Relations

U. S. Geological Survey, Anna Cruse, Denver, Colorado

U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Office of Science and Data Policy, Office of the Assistant Secretary for Planning and Evaluation, Jim Scanlon, Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary

U. S. Department of Health and Human Services, National Institutes of Health, National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases, Vicki L. Pierson, Senior Project Officer, Office of Biodefense Research Affairs, Division of Microbiology and Infectious Diseases

U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, The National Institutes of Health, Ruth Kirschstein, Senior Advisor to the Director

University of California, Richard C. Atkinson, President

Individuals

George Allen

Robert Ando

Kevin Aylesworth

Diola Bagayoko, Director Timbuktu Academy, Southern University System Distinguished Professor of Physics

Robert Bartolo

Jeremy Bergsman, Yale University Medical School

Andrea Blake-Garrett, Science Supervisor, Jersey City Public Schools, New Jersey

David F. Brakke, Dean, College of Science and Mathematics, James Madison University

David Bruggeman, Virginia Tech, Northern Virginia Campus

Paul Carliner, Senate staff

Marta Cehelsky

Neal R. Chamberlain, Associate Professor, Kirksville College of Osteopathic Medicine, Department of Microbiology/Immunology

Susan Cure, American University in Paris

C. R. Cvitanich, Postdoctoral fellow, University of California

Lance A. Davis, National Academy of Engineering

Melinda K. Duncan, Associate Professor, Department of Biological Sciences, University of Delaware, Newark

Abraham Eisenstark

Patricia L. Eng

David E. Everhart, Navy

Emanuel Goldman, Professor of Microbiology & Molecular Genetics, New Jersey Medical School, University of Medicine & Dentistry of New Jersey

Dinos Gonatas

Edward R. Greisch

Yugui Guo

W. Christopher Hollinsed

Robert Kaman, Associate Dean, University of North Texas Health Science Center at Fort Worth

Pramod P. Khargonekar, Dean, College of Engineering, University of Florida

Kevin Kilty, Clinical Professor, Manufacturing Engineering, Washington State University, Vancouver

Peter W. Krug, Post-Doctoral Associate, Viral Immunology Center, Department of Biology, Georgia State University

Melanie Leitner, PhD, AAAS Science Policy Fellow

Wendy Lick

Marc Lipsitch, Assistant Professor, Department of Epidemiology, Harvard School of Public Health

Robert Loewy, Georgia Institute of Technology, Aerospace

Carol L. Manahan, Postdoctoral Fellow, Johns Hopkins School of Medicine, Chair, National Postdoctoral Association

Michael Mazzei, Air Liquide America, LP

Mark McCaffrey, Science Communications Specialist, Paleoclimatology Branch, National Climate Data Center, National Oceanographic and Atmospheric Administration

Xenia K. Morin, Keck Fellow and Lecturer, Chemistry Department, Bryn Mawr College

Gary Moritz

Kim V. Robinson, Science Teacher, Blewett Middle School, St. Louis, Missouri

Abigail Salyers

Eric M. Schlegel, Harvard-Smithsonian Center for Astrophysics, Chandra X-ray Observatory Science Center

Steven P. Schneider, Associate Professor, Purdue AAE, Aerospace Sciences Lab/Purdue University, Airport

Jennifer Slimowitz, AAAS Science Policy Fellow

Frank X. Sutman, Temple University

Marc Timmers, Laboratory for Physiological Chemistry, University Medical Centre-Utrecht, The Netherlands

Michael S. Teitelbaum, Program Director, Alfred P. Sloan Foundation

Ronald Williger

Christopher M. Witty

APPENDIX VII

SRI International January 31, 2003

National Workforce Policies for Science and Engineering: Bibliography

Submitted by:

David Cheney H. Roberts Coward Sushanta Mohapatra

SRI International Science, Technology, and Policy Program Arlington, VA 22209 Along With Consultants: Eleanor L. Babco Richard Ellis Wendy Hansen

Prepared for:

Division of Science Resources Statistics National Science Foundation SRI Project Number: PDU 02-089

INTRODUCTION

The National Science Board's Task Force on National Workforce Policies for Science and Engineering was established in 2000 to assess long-term national workforce trends and needs in science and engineering and their relationship to existing Federal policies, and to recommend strategies for long-term S&E workforce needs. The National Science Foundation contracted with SRI International to support the Task Force by providing a review of science and engineering workforce policy literature, summaries of the key studies, and an inventory of major recommendations. This resulted in a August 13, 2002 report, *National Workforce Policies For Science And Engineering: Literature Review And Inventory Of Recommendations*. This document reproduces the bibliography of that report in a compact form.

The bibliography includes all the reports identified by the SRI project team that (1) were produced since 1995⁶⁹ and (2) identify science and engineering workforce policy issues, options, and recommendations as part of the content. Sources considered in the literature review included national commissions, Federal agency reports, Congressional reports, National Research Council studies, reports from non-governmental organizations, U.S. states, and international organizations, as well as privately authored journal articles.

The bibliography is divided into two categories. The first category is the set of studies the SRI project team selected to summarize, based on the following criteria, which were developed with NSF:

- The importance of the study in national and international policy discussions.
- Intellectual contribution (originality and quality of the data and analysis).
- The reputation and credibility of the sponsoring and performing organizations.
- The extent to which the study reflects the views of important stakeholders.

The second, larger category includes other studies that the SRI project team but did not select to summarize, based on a consideration of the criteria described above.

⁶⁹ A few significant studies from 1995 were also considered for inclusion.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

Reports Summarized

Advisory Council on Science and Technology, Canada. 1999. Stepping Up: Skills and Opportunities in the Knowledge Economy, Report of the Expert Panel on Skills. Government of Canada. Ottawa. Available at <<hr/><http://acst-ccst.gc.ca/>>.

American Association for the Advancement of Science (AAAS). 2001. In Pursuit of a Diverse Science, Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics Workforce: Recommended Research Priorities to Enhance Participation by Underrepresented Minorities. Washington, DC.

Atkinson, R.D. 2001. Building Skills for the New Economy: A Policymaker's Handbook. Policy Report. Washington, DC: Progressive Policy Institute.

Barnow, B., J. Trutko, and R. Lerman. 1999. Skill Mismatches and Worker Shortages: The Problem and Appropriate Responses. Washington, DC: The Urban Institute.

Boyer Commission on Educating Undergraduates in the Research University. 1998. *Reinventing Undergraduate Education: A Blueprint for America's Research Universities*. Available at <<hr/>http://naples.cc.sunysb.edu/Pres/boyer.nsf/>>.

California Council on Science and Technology. 2002. Critical Path Analysis of California's Science and Technology Workforce. Sacramento, CA.

Commission on Professionals in Science and Technology. 2001. Scientists and Engineers for the New Millennium, Renewing the Human Resources. Washington, DC.

Congressional Commission on the Advancement of Women and Minorities in Science, Engineering, and Technology Development. 2000, *Land of Plenty: Diversity as America's Competitive Edge in Science, Engineering and Technology.* Washington, DC.

Connor H., J. Hillage, J. Millar and R. Willison. 2000. An Assessment of Skill Needs in Information and Communications Technology. Nottingham, UK: Department for Education and Skills.

Connor H., S. Dench, and P. Bates. 2001. An Assessment of Skill Needs in Engineering. Nottingham UK: Department for Education and Skills. Available at <<hr/>http://www.skillsbase.dfes.gov.uk/>>.

Council on Competitiveness. 1998. Winning the Skills Race. Washington, DC.

Department of Education and Skills, UK. 2002. SET for Success: The Supply of People With Science, Technology, Engineering and Mathematical Skills. The report of Sir Gareth Roberts' Review. London. Available at http://www.hm-treasury.gov.uk/documents/enterprise-and-productivity/research-andenterprise/ Department of Education, Science and Training. 2002. Backing Australia's Ability, An Innovation Action Plan for the Future: Backing Research. Canberry, Australia.

Edgerton, Russell, 1997. *Education White Paper*. Washington, DC: Pew Forum on Undergraduate Learning. Available at <<hr/>http://www.pewundergradforum.org/wp1.html>>

European Commission, ETAN Expert Working Group on Woman and Science. 2000. Science Policies in the European Union: Promoting excellence through mainstreaming gender equality. Brussels: Research Directorate General. Available at <<hr/>http://www.cordis.lu/rtd2002/science-society/women.htm>>.

European Commission. 2000. *Towards a European Research Area.* Communication From the Commission to the Council of the European Parliament. Brussels.

European Commission. 2001. *Skills and Mobility*. Directorate General Employment and Social Affairs. Brussels. Available at <<http://www.europa.eu.int>>.

Freeman, P. and W. Aspray. 1999. The Supply of Information Technology Workers in the United States. Washington, DC: Computing Research Association.

Goldman, C.A., and W.F. Massy. 2001. The PhD Factory: Training and Employment of Science and Engineering Doctorates in the United States. Bolton, MA: Anker Publishing.

Goodman Research Group. 2001. The Women's Experiences in College Engineering (WECE) Project: Full Report. Available at <<hr/>http://www.grginc.com/reportsandpubs.html>>

Gourova E., J. C. Burgelman, M. Bogdanowicz, and C. Herrmann. 2002. *Information and Communication Technologies*. Seville Spain: Institute for Prospective Technological Studies.

Government of Canada. 2002. *Knowledge Matters: Skills and Learning for Canadians*. Ottawa Canada. Available at <<http://www.innovationstrategy.gc.ca>>.

Hansen W. 2000. An Overview of the transition of the skill base in three ICT industries: Telecommunication carrier services, Communication and electronic equipment and Computer services: Findings and Implications. Ottawa, Canada: MERIT, Statistics Canada.

Judy, R.W., and C. DiAmico. 1999. Workforce 2020. Indianapolis, IN: Hudson Institute.

Levin, S., P. Stephan, and A. Winkler. 2000. Imported Brains in Science and Engineering: Employment Consequences for U.S. Citizens, Draft. Prepared for the Conference on Migration and Development, May 4-6, 2000. Princeton, NJ: Office of Population Research, Princeton University.

Malcom, S., V.V. Horne, C. Gaddy, and Y. George. 1998. Losing Ground: Science and Engineering Graduate Education of Black and Hispanic Americans. Washington, DC: American Association for the Advancement of Science.

Meares, C. and J. Sargent, Jr. 1999. *The Digital Workforce: Building Infotech Skills at the Speed of Innovation.* Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Commerce Office of Technology Policy.

Ministry of Information Technology, Government of India. 2001. "Human Resource Development for the Tenth Five-Year Plan (2002-2007), Presentation of the Study Team Report. New Delhi." Available at <<hr/></hr>

National Academy of Sciences, COSEPUP. 2000. Enhancing the Postdoctoral Experience for Scientists and Engineers: A Guide for Postdoctoral Scholars, Advisers, Institutions, Funding Organizations, and Disciplinary Societies. Washington, DC: National Academy Press.

National Academy of Sciences. 2000. Attracting Science and Mathematics PhDs to Secondary School Education. Center for Education, National Academy of Sciences. Washington, DC: National Academy Press.

National Commission on Mathematics and Science Teaching for the 21st Century. 2000. *Before It's Too Late*. Washington, DC.

National Commission on the High School Senior Year. 2001. Raising Our Sights: No High School Senior Left Behind. Princeton, NJ: The Woodrow Wilson National Fellowship Foundation.

National Research Council (NRC), Committee on National Needs for Biomedical and Behavioral Scientists. 1995. *Reshaping the Graduate Education of Scientists and Engineers*. Washington, DC: National Academy Press.

National Research Council (NRC), Committee on Undergraduate Science Education. 1999. Transforming Undergraduate Education in Science, Mathematics, Engineering, and Technology. Washington, DC: National Academy Press.

National Research Council. 1996. From Analysis to Action – Report of a Convocation – Undergraduate Education in Science, Engineering, Mathematics and Technology. National Academy Press, Washington, DC.

National Research Council, Committee on National Needs for Biomedical and Behavioral Scientists, Education and Career Studies Unit. 2000. Addressing the Nation's Changing Needs for Biomedical and Behavioral Scientists. Washington, DC: National Academy Press.

National Research Council. 2001. Building a Workforce for the Information Economy. Washington, DC: National Academy Press.

National Science Foundation. 1996. Shaping The Future: New Expectations for Undergraduate Education in Science, Mathematics, Engineering, and Technology. A Report on the Review of Undergraduate Education from the Committee for the Review to the National Science Foundation Directorate for Education and Human Resources. Arlington, VA: National Science Foundation. Available from <<hr/>http://www.ehr.nsf.gov/ehr/due/documents/review/96139/start.htm>>

National Research Council. 2000. Educating Teachers of Science, Mathematics, and Technology: New Practices for the New Millennium. Washington, DC: National Academy Press.

Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development. 2002. International Mobility of the Highly Skilled. Paris.

Romer, P.M. 2000. Should the Government Subsidize Supply or Demand in the Market for Scientists and Engineers. Cambridge, MA: National Bureau of Economic Research Working Paper 7723.

Rothman, F. G. and J. L. Narum, 1999. *Then, Now, & In the Next Decade: A Commentary on Strengthening Undergraduate Science, Mathematics, Engineering and Technology Education.* Washington, DC. Project Kaleidoscope. Available at: <<hr/>http://www.pkal.org/documents/then-now-and-in-the-next-decade.pdf>>

Rosengren, M. 1998. New S&T Indicators for a Knowledge-Based Economy, NESTI/TIP/GSS OECD Workshop. Paris: Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development.

State PIRG Higher Education Project (2002), The Burden of Borrowing: A Report on the Rising Rates of Student Debt. Washington, DC. March 2002.

Tobias, S., D. Chubin, and K. Aylesworth. 1995. *Rethinking Science as a Career: Perceptions and Realities in the Physical Sciences*. Tucson, AZ: Research Corporation.

U.S. Commission on National Security for the 21st Century. 2001. *Road Map for National Security: Imperative for Change*.

U.S. Department of Education, Office of Educational Research and Improvement. 2000. A Parallel Postsecondary Universe: The Certification System in Information Technology. Washington, DC.

U.S. Department of Education. 2000. Entry and Persistence of Women and Minorities in College Science and Engineering Education: Research and Development Report. Washington, DC.

U.S. Office of Science and Technology Policy. 2000. Ensuring a Strong U.S. Scientific, Technical, and Engineering Workforce in the 21st Century. Washington, DC: National Science and Technology Council.

Reports Not Selected for Summarization

Acs, Z.J. and A. Ndikumwami. 1998. "High-Technology Employment Growth in Major U.S. Metropolitan Areas." *Small Business Economics* 10 (1/February): 47.

Adelman, C. 1997. Leading, Concurrent, or Lagging? The Knowledge Content of Computer Science in Higher Education and the Labor Market. Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Education and the National Institute for Science Education.

Adelman, C. 1998. Women and Men of the Engineering Path: A Model for Analysis of Undergraduate Careers. Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Education and the National Institute for Science Education.

Adelman, C. 1999. Answers in the Tool Box: Academic Intensity, Attendance Patterns, and Bachelor's Degree Attainment. Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Education.

American Association for the Advancement of Science. 2001, *Policy and Data Issues of the Scientific Workforce*. National Bureau of Economic Research (NBER) Briefing Book. Washington, DC.

American Association of University Women Educational Foundation. 2000. Tech-Savvy: Educating Girls in the New Computer Age. Washington, DC.

Anderson, S. 1996. *Employment-Based Immigration and High Technology: Issues and Recommendations*. Washington, DC: Empower America.

Anderson, S. 1997. *Help Wanted: The IT Workforce Gap at the Dawn of a New Century.* Arlington, VA: Information Technology Association of America (ITAA).

Aronowitz, S. and W. DiFazio. 1994. The Jobless Future: Sci-Tech and the Dogma of Work. Minneapolis, MN: University of Minnesota Press

Arrow, K.J. and W. M. Capron. 1959. "Dynamic Shortages and Price Rises: The Engineer-Scientist Case," *Quarterly Journal of Economics*, May, 1959: 292-308.

Aspray, W. and A. Bernat. 2000. Recruitment and Retention of Underrepresented Minority Graduate Students in Computer Science and Engineering, Washington, DC: Computing Research Association.

Australian Bureau of Statistics. 1999. *Human Resources in Science and Technology (HRST)*. Canberra, Australia. Available at <<hr/>http://www.abs.gov.au>>.

Babco, E. 2001. Under-represented Minorities in Engineering: A Progress Report. Washington, DC: Commission on Professionals in Science and Technology.

Babco, E. and N. Bell. 2002. Professional Women & Minorities: A Total Human Resources Data Compendium, 14th edition, Washington, DC: Commission on Professionals in Science & Technology.

Babco, E. and W. Zumeta. 2001. Trends in Graduate Enrollment by Department Quality and Citizenship, 1993-1998. Washington, DC: Commission on Professionals in Science and Technology.

Baker, J.G. 1998. "Gender, Race and Ph.D. Completion in Natural Science and Engineering," *Economics of Education Review* 17 (2): 179.

Barton, P.E. 2002. Meeting the Need for Scientists, Engineers, and an Educated Citizenry in a Technological Society. Policy Information Report, Educational Testing Service. Princeton, NJ.

Becker, G. 1993. *Human Capital: A Theoretical and Empirical Analysis, with Special Reference to Education.* Chicago, IL: National Bureau of Economic Research and the University of Chicago.

Bell, T. and D. Dooling. 2000. Engineering Tomorrow. Piscataway, NJ: IEEE.

Blank, D.J. and G. Stigler. 1957. *The Demand and Supply of Scientific Personnel*. New York, NY: National Bureau of Economic Research.

Bouvier, L.F. and J.L. Martin. 1995. Foreign-Born Scientists, Engineers and Mathematicians in the United States. Washington, DC: Center for Immigration Studies.

Brainard, S. 1999. "A Global Alliance in Science and Engineering for Diversifying the Workforce," *Journal of Women and Minorities in Science and Engineering*; 5 (4): 293-301.

Brown, N. ed. 1995. *The Knowledge Connection: The Role of Colleges and Universities in Workforce Development.* Washington, DC: National University Continuing Education Association.

Brunello, G. and T. Ishikawa. 1999. "Elite Schools, High Tech Jobs and Economic Welfare," *Journal of Public Economics*, 72 (3/ June): 395.

Burton, L. and J. Wang. 1999. *How Much Does the U.S. Rely on Immigrant Engineers?* SRS Issue Brief. Arlington, VA: National Science Foundation.

Burton, L. and L. Parker. 1998. *Degrees and Occupations in Engineering: How Much Do They Diverge?* Arlington, VA: Division of Science Resources Statistics, National Science Foundation. Available at <<htp://www.nsf.gov/sbe/srs/issuebrf/ib99318.htm>>.

Burton, L., L. Parker, and W.K. LeBold. 1998. "U.S. Engineering Career Trends," ASEE Prism. 7 (9):18.

Caracostas P., and U. Muldur. 1998. Society, The endless frontier. Brussels: European Commission, Directorate General Research.

Carnevale, A. and D. Desrochers. 2001. *Help Wanted... Credentials Required: Community Colleges in the Knowledge Economy.* Washington: Community College Press.

Carrington W.C., and E. Detragiache. 1998. *How Big is the Brain Drain.* Washington, DC.: International Monetary Fund. Working Paper, WP/98/102.

Center for Science, Mathematics, and Engineering Education. From Analysis to Action: Undergraduate Education in Science, Mathematics, Engineering, and Technology. Washington, DC: National Academy Press.

Cervantes M., and D. Guellec, 2002. The brain drain: Old myths, new realities. Paris: OECD Observer.

Cheong, D.L.Y. 1999. "Global Financial and Economic Impact on Science, Engineering and Technology Development and Engineering Education in the 21st Century." *European Journal of Engineering Education*, 24 (3/September 1999): 221. Colecchia A., and G. Papaconstantinou. 1996. The Evolution of Skills in OECD Countries and the Role of Technology. Paris: OECD.

Commission on Professionals in Science and Technology. 1997. Best & Brightest: Education and Career Paths of Top Science and Engineering Students. Washington, DC.

Commission on Professionals in Science and Technology. 1997. Postdocs and Career Prospects: A Status Report. Washington, DC.

Commission on Professionals in Science and Technology. 1998. Employment Outcomes of Doctorates in Science and Engineering: Report of a CPST Workshop. Washington, DC.

Commission on Professionals in Science and Technology. 2001. Changing Career Paths in Science and Engineering: Report of a CPST Workshop. Washington, DC.

Committee on Academic Engineering Research, National Academy of Engineering. Forces Shaping the U.S. Academic Engineering Research Enterprise. Washington, DC: National Academy Press. Available at <<htp://books.nap.edu/catalog/4933.html>>.

Committee on Techniques for the Enhancement of Human Performance. 1999. *The Changing Nature of Work: Implications for Occupational Analysis*. Washington, DC: National Academy Press. Available at <<hr/></hr>

Communication from the Commission to the Council and the Eurpean Parliament. 2001. A Mobility Strategy for the European Research Area. Brussels.

Connor H., G. Court, Seccombe, and N. Jagger. 1994. Science PhDs and the Labour Market. Brighton, UK: Institute for Employment Studies. IES Report 266.

Cuny, J. and W. Aspray. 2000. Recruitment and Retention of Women Graduate Students in Computer Science and Engineering. Washington, DC: Computing Research Association.

Dench S. 1998. *Keeping It Together: Skills for Information Technologists*. Brighton UK: Institute for Employment Studies.

Department of Education, Science and Training. 2002. Backing Australia's Ability, An Innovation Action Plan for the Future: Backing Skills. Canberra, Australia.

Dryden J., and A. Dumort, eds. 1997. The Economics of the Information Society. Paris: OECD,

Ducatel K. and J. Burgelman. 1999. *Employment Map: jobs, skill and working life on the road to 2010.* Institute for Prospective Technological Studies of the Joint Research Centre. Seville, Spain. Available at <<hr/>http://www.jrc.es>>.

Ducatel K., R. Barré, and S. Mahroum. 2001. *The Mobility of Academic Researchers: Academic Careers and Recruitment in ICT and Biotechnology.* Seville, Spain: Institute for Prospective Technological Studies of the Joint Research Centre.

Editors of Science. 1995. "Careers '95: The Future of the Ph.D." Science, 70 (5233/ October 6, 1995): 121-146.

Ellis, R. 1995. "The Global Production of New Engineers." Engineers 1 (4/ October): 1-8.

Ellis, R. 1996. "Critical Technologies at the End of the 20th Century." Engineers 2 (4/ October): 3-9.

European Commission. 2002. Conditions of Entry for Researchers Undertaking International Mobility, final report. Research Directorate General, Mobility Policy. Brussels. Available at <<htp://www.europa.eu.int>>.

European Commission. 2002. Science and Society Action Plan. Brussels. Available at <<http://www.europa.eu.int>>.

European Commission. 1994. *The European Report on Science and Technology Indicators 1994*. Office Publications, Research Directorate General. Luxembourg.

European Commission. 1997. Brain Drain from Central and Eastern Europe. COST Programme, RDT Cooperation with Third Countries and International Organisations. Brussels.

European Commission. 1999. Women and science: Mobilising women to enrich European research. Brussels.

European Commission. 2000. *Making a Reality of the European Research Area: Guidelines for EU research activities (2002-2006)*. Brussels.

European Commission. 2000. Towards a European Research Area, Science, Technology and Innovation, Key Figures 2000. Brussels. Available at <<http://www.europa.eu.int/comm/research/>>.

European Commission. 2001. eWork 2001: Status Report on New Ways to Work in the Knowledge Economy. Information Society Directorate General. Brussels.

European Commission. 2001. Improving Mobility of Researchers. Final Report of the High Level Expert Group. Brussels. Available at <<http://www.europa.eu.int>>.

European Commission. 2001. Women and science: the gender dimension as a leverage for reforming science. Brussels.

Evetts, J. 1998. "Continuing Professional Development for Engineers: UK and European Dynamics." *European Journal of Engineering Education*, 23, (4/December): 443.

Farmer, H.S. and J.L. Wardrop. 1999. "Antecedent Factors Differentiating Women and Men in Science/ Nonscience Careers." *Psychology of Women Quarterly*, 23 (4/December): 763.

Farmer, H.S., and J.L. Wardrop. 1995. "Women's Career Choices: Focus on Science, Math, and Technology." *Journal of Counseling Psychology*, 42 (2/April): 155.

Fechter, A. 1990. "Engineering Shortfalls and Shortages: Myths and Realities." The Bridge, 20 (2): 16-22.

Fernandez, M. 1998. "Asian Indian Americans in the Bay Area and the Glass Ceiling." Sociological Perspectives, 41 (1):119.

Fine, M.G. 1999. Stay Rates of Foreign Doctorate Recipients from U.S. Universities, Science and Engineering Education Program. Oak Ridge Institute of Science and Education.

Frazis, H.J. D.E. Herz, and M.W. Horrigan. 1995. "Employer-provided training: Results from a new survey." *Monthly Labor Review*,118 (5/May). Available at <<http://www.bls.gov/opub/mlr/1995/05/art1abs.htm>>

Freeman, R.B. 1976. "A Cobweb Model of the Supply and Starting Salary of New Engineers." *Industrial and Labor Relations Review*, 33 (2): 236-248.

Froschl, M., R.W. Nichols, L. Skopp, and B. Sprung. 2000. *Early Childhood Science Education and the Workforce of Tomorrow*. A Special Report Based on a Conference Convened by Educational Equity Concepts, Inc., and the New York Academy of Sciences. New York, NY: New York Academy of Sciences.

Gerbi, S. 1997. *Graduate Education: Consensus Conference Report.* Bethesda, MD: Federation of American Societies for Experimental Biology.

Gourova E., K. Ducatel, J. Gavigan, F. Scapolo, and P. Di Pietrogiacomo. 2001. *Enlargement Futures Project: Expert Panel on Technology, Knowledge, and Learning.* Seville, Spain: Institute for Prospective Technological Studies of the Joint Research Centre. Available at <<htp://www.jrc.es/projects/enlargement>>.

Government of Canada. 2001. Achieving Excellence: Investing in People, Knowledge and Opportunity, Canada's Innovation Strategy. Ottawa, Canada. Available at <<htp://www.innovationstrategy.gc.ca>>.

Government of Japan. 2001. *The Science and Technology Basic Plan*. Tokyo. Available at <<http://www8.cao.go.jp/cstp/english/plan.html>>.

Government of Japan. 2002. A Comparison of Japanese and U.S. Graduate Programs in Science and Engineering. Tokyo. Available at <<htp://www.nistep.go.jp/achiev/ftx/eng/dis003e/idx003e.html>>.

Grigg, N.S. 1998. "Universities and Professional Associations: Partnerships for Civil Engineering Careers." Journal of Management in Engineering, 14 (2/March/April):45.

Grubb, W.N. 1996. Working in the Middle: Strengthening Education and Training for the Mid-Skilled Labor Force. Jossey-Bass, Inc.

Hansen W. 1999. An analysis of science and technology workers: deployment in the Canadian economy. MERIT. Ottawa, Canada: Statistics Canada. Available at <<http://www.statcan.ca/cgi-bin/downpub/ listpub.cgi?catno=88F0006XIB>>.

Harmon, R. ed. 2000. "Competing in an International Era: Preparing the Workforce for the Global Economy," Workforce Economics, 6 (1): 3-8.

Harrison, N.E. 1998. "Why Science and Technology Require Political Guidance to Sustain Development." *Politics & Life Sciences*, 17 (2/September):179.

Hatcher, T.G. 1997. "The Ins and Outs of Self-Directed Learning." *Training & Development*, 51 (2/ February): 34.

Hetrick, R.L. 1996. "Employment in High-Tech Defense Industries in a Post Cold War Era." Monthly Labor Review, 119 (8/August):57.

Hewitt, N.M. and E. Seymour. 1991. Factors Contributing to High Attrition Rates Among Science and Engineering Undergraduate Majors. Boulder, CO: Ethnography and Assessment Research Bureau of Sociological Research, University of Colorado.

Hoeflinger, M. 1998. "Developing Mathematically Promising Students." Roeper Review, 20 (4/May/June): 244.

IEEE-USA. 1999. Trends in Migration to and Changes in Admissions Policies for Eight Industrialized Countries. Washington, DC: IEEE-USA.

Information Technology Association of America (ITAA). 2000. Bridging the Gap – IT Skills for A New Millennium (Help Wanted III Report). Arlington, VA.

Information Technology Association of America (ITAA). 1998. *Help Wanted 1998: A Call for Collaborative Action in the New Millennium.* Arlington, VA.

Johnson, J. and M. Regets. 1998. International Mobility of Scientists and Engineers to the United States – Brain Drain or Brain Circulation. SRS Issue Brief. Arlington, VA: National Science Foundation. Kinoshita, J. 1999. "System's Rigidity Reduces Lure of Science as a Career." Science, 274 (5284/ December): 49.

Koch, K. 1998. "High-Tech Labor Shortage: Should More Foreign Workers be Admitted?" *CQ Researcher*, 8 (16/April 24): 363-83.

Kohl, K. and J. Lapidus. 2000. Post-Baccalaureate Futures: New Markets, Resources, & Credentials. Washington: University Continuing Education Association.

Lavoie, M. and R. Finnie. 1999. "Is It Worth Doing a Science or Technology Degree in Canada? Empirical Evidence and Policy Implications." *Canadian Public Policy*, 25 (1/ March):101.

LeBuffe, C., and R. Ellis. 1994. *Persons With Disabilities in Engineering Education*. Washington, DC: American Association of Engineering Societies.

Lesgold, A., M.J. Feuer, and A.M. Black, eds. 1997. *Transitions in Work and Learning: Implications for Assessment*. Board on Testing and Assessment, National Research Council. Washington, DC: National Academy Press.

Leslie, L.L. and R.L. Oaxaca. 1990. *Scientist and Engineer Supply and Demand*. Washington, DC: National Science Foundation, Division of Science Resources Statistics.

Levin, S. and P. Stephan. 1999. "Are the Foreign Born a Source of Strength for U.S. Science?" *Science*, 285 (August):1213-1214.

Lowell, L. 1998. *Statistics on Foreign Scientists and Engineers*. Washington: Georgetown University Workshop.

Lowell, B. 2002. *Policy Responses to the International Mobility of Skilled Labour*. Geneva: International Labour Office, International Labour Organzation.. IMP 45. Available at <<htp://www.ilo.org/public/english/protection/migrant/download/imp/imp45.pdf>>.

MacCorquodale, P. ed. 1993 . Engineers and Economic Conversion: From the Military to the Marketplace. New York: Springer-Verlag.

Mahroum S. 2000 . "Scientific Mobility, An Agent of Scientific Expansion and Institutional Empowerment." *Science Communication, 21* (4/June 2000): 367-378.

Malcom, S., Y. George, and V.V. Horne. 1996. *The Effect of the Changing Policy Climate on Science, Mathematics and Engineering Diversity*. Washington, DC: American Association for the Advancement of Science.

Massey, W. and C. Goldman. 1995. *The Production and Utilization of Science and Engineering Doctorates in the United States*. Palo Alto, CA: Stanford Institute for Higher Education.

Matloff N. 1999. A Critical Look at Immigration's Role in the U.S. Computer Industry. Davis, CA: University of California at Davis. Available at: <<http://cs.ucdavis.edu>>.

Matloff, N. 1998. "Debunking the Myth of a Desperate Software Labor Shortage." Testimony April 21, 1998 to the U.S. House Judiciary Subcommittee on Immigration. Available at <<htp://heather.cs.ucdavis.edu/itaa.html>>.

Matloff, N. 1999. *High-Tech Trojan Horse: H-1B Visas and the Computer Industry*. Washington, DC: Center for Immigration Studies.

Matyas, M.L. and S.M. Malcom. 1991. *Investing in Human Potential: Science and Engineering at the Crossroads*. Washington, DC: American Association for the Advancement of Science.

Meyer J.B., and M. Brown. 1999. Scientific Diasporas: A New Approach to the Brain Drain. Discussion Paper No. 41, World Conference on Science, UNESCO-ICSU. Hungary.

Ministry of Information Technology, Government of India. 2001. Tenth Five-Year Plan, 2002-2007, Information Technology Sector. New Delhi.

National Academy of Engineering. 2000. Frontiers of Engineering. Reports on Leading Edge Engineering from the 1999 NAE Symposium. Washington, DC: National Academy Press. Available from <<htp://books.nap.edu/catalog/9774.html>>.

National Academy Press. 1996. Statistics on U.S. Immigration: An Assessment of Data Needs for Future Research. Washington, DC.

National Academy Press. 2000. Graduate Education in the Chemical Sciences: Issues for the 21st Century: Report of a Workshop. Washington, DC.

National Academy Press. 2001. From Scarcity to Visibility: Gender Differences in the Careers of Doctoral Scientists and Engineers. Washington, DC.

National Academy Press. 2002. The Knowledge Economy and Postsecondary Education: Report of a Workshop. Washington, DC.

National Research Council (NRC), Advisory Committee, Office of International Organizations and Programs and Office of Scientific and Engineering Personnel. 1996. *Careers in Science and Technology: An International Perspective*. Washington, DC: National Academy Press.

National Research Council (NRC), Center for Science, Mathematics and Engineering Education, National Council of Teachers of Mathematics. 1997. *Improving Student Learning in Mathematics and Science: The Role of National Standards in State Policy*. Washington: National Academy Press.

National Research Council (NRC), Committee on Dimensions, Causes, and Implications of Recent Trends in Careers of Life Scientists. 1998. *Trends in Early Careers of Life Scientists*. Washington, DC: National Academy Press.

National Research Council (NRC), Committee on Women in Science and Engineering. 2000. *Who Will Do the Science of the Future? A Symposium on Careers of Women in Science*. Washington, DC: National Academy Press.

National Research Council (NRC). 1994. Women Scientists and Engineers Employed in Industry: Why So Few? Washington, DC: National Academy Press.

National Research Council (NRC). 1997. The Path to the PhD: Measuring Graduate Attrition in the Sciences and Humanities. Washington, DC: National Academy Press.

National Research Council (NRC). 2000. Forecasting Demand and Supply of Doctoral Scientists and Engineers: Report of a Workshop on Methodology. Washington, DC: National Academy Press.

National Research Council (NRC). 2002. Enhancing Undergraduate Learning with Information Technology: A Workshop Summary. Washington, DC: National Academy Press.

National Research Council, Commission on Physical Sciences, Mathematics, and Applications. 2000. *Women in the Chemical Workforce: A Workshop Report to the Chemical Sciences Roundtable*. Washington, DC: National Academy Press.

National Research Council. 2000. Measuring the Science and Engineering Enterprise: Priorities for the Division of Science Resources Statistics. Washington, DC: National Academy Press.

National Science and Technology Council, Committee on Science. 1998. U.S. Science, Engineering & Technology Workforce of the Future: National Strategy, National Portfolio, National Resource Base. Washington, DC.

National Science Board. 2001. State of Knowledge on the Flow of Foreign Science and Technology Workers to the United States. Washington, DC: National Science Foundation.

National Science Council, Taiwan. Action Plan for Building a Technologically Advanced Nation. Available at <<htp://www.nsc.gov.tw/techpro/tech-eng/>>.

National Science Foundation. 1996. *Human Resources for Science & Technology: The European Region.* Arlington, VA. NSF-96-316.

National Science Foundation. 1996. Indicators of Science and Mathematics Education 1995. Arlington, VA.

National Science Foundation. 1997. What's Happening in the Labor Market for Recent Science and Engineering PhD Recipients? SRS InfoBrief. Arlington, VA

National Science Foundation. 1998. The Federal Role in Science and Engineering Graduate and Postdoctoral Education. Arlington, VA.

National Science Foundation. 2000. Women, Minorities, and Persons with Disabilities in Science and Engineering. Arlington, VA.

National Science Foundation. 2001. *Human Resources Contributions to U.S. Science and Engineering from China.* SRS InfoBrief 2001. Arlington, VA.

National Science Foundation. 1999. Retention of the Best Science and Engineering Graduates in Science and Engineering. Arlington, VA. Available at <<hr/>http://www.nsf.gov/sbe/srs/nsf99321/start.htm>>.

Netherlands Observatory of Science and Technology, CWTS and MERIT. 2000. Science and Technology Indicators. Leiden. Available at <<http://www.minocw.nl/wetenschap>>.

Nguyen, A. 1999. "High Tech Migrant Labor," American Prospect, 11 (3/December 20): 38.

North, D. 1995. Soothing the Establishment: The Impact of Foreign-Born Scientists and Engineers on America. Lanham, MD: University Press of America.

Office of Scientific and Engineering Personnel. 1997. Building a Diverse Workforce: Scientists and Engineers in the Office of Naval Research. Washington, DC: National Academy Press.

Offices of Representatives John Dingell and Carolyn Maloney. 2002. A New Look Through the Glass Ceiling: Where are the Women? Washington, D.C.: U.S. House of Representatives. Available at <<htp://www.equality2020.org/glass>>.

Oladiran, M.T. 1999. "Continuing Professional Development for Practicing Engineers in Developing Economies." *IEEE Transactions on Education*, 42 (3/August):161.

Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development. 1995. Manual on the Measurement of Human Resources Devoted to S&T "Canberra Manual". Paris. Available at <<htp://www.oecd.org/>>.

Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development. 1996. Technology and Industrial Performance: Technology Diffusion, Productivity, Employment and Skills and International Competitiveness. Paris.

Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development. 1996. The Knowledge Based Economy. Paris.

Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development. 1999. "The Global Research Village." STI Review, 24 (1). Paris.

Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development. 2001. Education at a Glance: Education and Skills. Paris.

Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development. 2001. Employment Outlook. Paris.

Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development. 2001. International Mobility of the Highly Skilled. Paris.

Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development. 2001. Trends in Immigration and Economic Consequences. Paris.

Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development. 2002. Information Technology Outlook: ICTS and the Information Economy 2002 Edition. Paris.

Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development. 1999. *Mobilising Human Resources for Innovation*, Proceedings from the OECD Workshop on Science and Technology Labour Markets. Paris.

Otto, J.W. 1999. Entrepreneurship Skills for Scientists and Engineers: Recent European Initiatives. Seville, Spain: Institute for Prospective Technological Studies of the Joint Research Centre. Available at <<hr/><<hr/>http://www.jrc.es>>.

Patricia L.E. and M. "Peggy" Layne. 2002. "Asian Engineers in the U.S. Engineering Workforce: Data from SWE's 1993 Survey of Women and Men Engineers." *Proceedings, WEPAN Conference*, June 2002, San Juan, Puerto Rico.

Pautler, A. Jr. 1998. *Workforce Education: Issues for the New Century*. Paper presented at the American Vocational Association Convention (New Orleans, LA, December 11, 1998). Available through Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC).

Pearson, R., and N. Jagger. 2001. Assessing the Supply and Demand for Scientists and Technologists in Europe. IES Report 377. Grantham, United Kingdom: Grantham Book Services.

Pearson, W. and A. Fechter, eds. 1994. Who Will Do Science? Educating the Next Generation. Baltimore, MD: Johns Hopkins University Press.

Pearsons R., N. Jagger, and J. Aston. 1999. *Science Skills Issues: The Provision and Use of Science Skills*. Skills Task Force Research Paper 17. Nottingham, UK: Department for Education and Skills. Available at <<hr/>http://www.dfes.gov.uk>>.

Pew Charitable Trusts. 2001. At Cross Purposes: What the Experiences of Today's Doctoral Students Reveal About Doctoral Education. Philadelphia, PA.

State PIRG's Higher Education Project. 2002. At What Cost? The Price That Working Students Pay for a College Education. Washington, DC. Available at <<htp://www.pirg.org/highered/atwhatcost.html>>.

Rapoport, A.I. 1998. Are Forms of Financial Support and Employment Choices of Recent Science and Engineering Ph.D.s Related? SRS Issue Brief. NSF 98-320. Arlington, VA: National Science Foundation.

Regets, M.C. 1998. *Has the Use of Postdocs Changed?* SRS Issue Brief. NSF 99-310. Arlington, VA: National Science Foundation.

Regets, M.C. 1998. What Follows the Post-doctorate Experience? Employment Patterns of 1993 Postdocs in 1995. SRS Issue Brief NSF 99-307. Arlington, VA: National Science Foundation.

Rosdil, D. 1996. What are Masters Doing? Master's Degree Recipients with Physics Training in the Workforce: The Impact of Highest Degree Field and Employment Sector on Career Outcomes. College Park, MD: American Institute of Physics.

Saxenian A. 1999. *Silicone Valley's New Immigrant Entrepreneurs*. Public Policy Institute of California. California. Available from <<http://www.ppic.org/>>.

Saxenian, A. and J. Edulbehram. 1998. "Immigrant Entrepreneurs in Silicon Valley." Berkeley Planning Journal, 12 (1997/1998).

Seymour, E. and N.M. Hewitt. 1997. *Talking About Leaving: Why Undergraduates Leave the Sciences*. Boulder, CO: Westview Press.

Stanford Institute for Higher Education Research. 2002. A Report to Stakeholders on the Condition and Effectiveness of Postsecondary Education, Part Three, Employers, The Landscape. Stanford, CA.

Tang, J. 1999. Doing Engineering: The Career Attainment and Mobility of Caucasian, Black, and Asian-American Engineers. New York, NY: Rowman & Littlefield.

Tapia, R., D. Chubin, and C. Lanius. 2000. *Promoting National Minority Leadership in Science and Engineering:* A Report on Proposed Actions. Arlington, VA: National Science Foundation.

Thom, M. 2001. Balancing the Equation: Where are Women and Girls in Science, Engineering and Technology? New York, NY: National Council of Research on Women.

Tobias, S. 1990. They're Not Dumb, They're Different: Stalking the Second Tier. Tucson, AZ: Research Corporation.

Tobias, S. 1992. *Revitalizing Undergraduate Science: Why Some Things Work and Most Don't*, Tucson, AZ: Research Corporation.

Tobias, S. 1995. "Science Education in a Post-Shortfall Environment: Restructuring Supply, Restructuring Demand." *Change*, 27 (4/Jul-Aug 1995):22-25.

U.S. Department of Education 1998. Promising Practices: New Ways to Improve Teacher Quality. Washington, DC.

U.S. Department of Education. 2000. Eliminating Barriers to Improving Teaching. Washington, DC.

U.S. Department of Labor. 2001. Report on the American Workforce, 2001. Washington, DC.

U.S. House Committee on the Judiciary, Subcommittee on Immigration and Claims. 1999. *Immigration and America's Workforce for the 21st Century*: Hearings, April 21, 1998. Washington, DC: U.S. Superintendent of Documents, 1999, 105th Congress. 2d session, Serial no. 93.

U.S. Office of Science and Technology Policy. 1997. Science and Technology, Shaping the Twenty-First Century. Washington, DC: National Science and Technology Council.

Wolff, M.F. 1999. "Brain Circulation Replacing Brain Drain to U.S. as Foreign-Born Scientists, Engineers Return Home." *Research Technology Management*, 42 (1): 2.

Selected Acronyms and Abbreviations

A&M	Agricultural and Mechanical	
ACE	American Council on Education	
BCIS	Bureau of Citizenship and Immigration Services	
BEST	Building Engineering and Science Talent	
EHR	Education and Human Resources Committee	
ETS	Educational Testing Service	
FY	fiscal year	
GDP	Gross Domestic Product	
GRE	Graduate Record Examination	
HR	House Resolution	
INS	Immigration and Naturalization Service	
K-12	kindergarten through grade 12	
K-16	kindergarten through undergraduate studies	
LIGO	Laser Interferometer Gravitational Wave Observatory	
NCAR	National Center for Atmospheric Research	
NRC	National Research Council	
NS&E	natural science and engineering	
NSB	National Science Board	
NSF	National Science Foundation	
NWP	Task Force on National Workforce Policies for Science and	
	Engineering	
NSTC	National Science and Technology Council	
OECD	Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development	
OSTP	Office of Science and Technology Policy	
PCAST	President's Council of Advisors on Science and Technology	
PIRG	Public Interest Research Group	
R&D	research and development	
REU	Research Experience for Undergraduates	
S&E	science and engineering	
SEI	Science and Engineering Indicators	
S&T	science and technology	
SBE	Social, Behavioral, and Economic Sciences Directorate	
SESTAT	NSF's science and engineering labor force data system	
SEVIS	Student and Exchange Visitor Information System	
SME	science, mathematics, and engineering	
SMET	science, mathematics, engineering, and technology	
SRS	Division of Science Resources Statistics	
US	United States	

The Science and Engineering Workforce Realizing America's Potential (NSB 03-69) is available electronically at: http://www.nsf.gov/nsb/documents/2003/nsb0369/nsb0369.pdf

For paper copies, fill out our web-based order form: http://www.nsf.gov/pubs/.

If you do not have electronic access, contact the NSF Information Center at 703-292-5111 to find out about other options for obtaining this document (TDD: 702-292-5090, FIRS: 800-877-8339).

NATIONAL SCIENCE FOUNDATION ARLINGTON, VA 22230

OFFICIAL BUSINESS

RETURN THIS COVER SHEET TO ROOM P-35 IF YOU DO NOT WISH TO RECEIVE THIS MATERIAL , OR IF CHANGE OF ADDRESS IS NEEDED , INDICATE CHANGE INCLUDING ZIP CODE ON LABEL (DO NOT REMOVE LABEL)

-)qx9

NSB 03-69