THE GALLUP ORGANIZATION

National Survey of Drinking and Driving Attitudes and Behaviors: 2001

VOLUME II – METHODS REPORT

Submitted to:

National Highway Traffic Safety Administration 400 7th Street, SW Room 6240 Washington, D.C. 20590

June 2003

Submitted by:

THE GALLUP ORGANIZATION

901 F Street, NW Washington DC, 20004

1. Report No. DOT HS 809 549	2. Government Accession No.	3. Recipient's Catalog No.
4. Title and Subtitle		5. Report Date
Volume II: Methods Report		I.m. 2002
National Survey of Drinking and	d Driving	June, 2003
Attitudes and Behavior: 2001		6. Performing Organization Code
7. Author(s)		8. Performing Organization Report No.
Dawn Royal		
Performing Organization Name and Address	S	10. Work Unit No. (TRAIS)
The Gallup Organization		
901 F Street, NW – Suite 400		11. Contract or Grant No.
Washington DC 20004		
202-715-3030		DTNH22-00-F-05131
12. Sponsoring Agency Name and Address		13. Type of Report and Period Covered
IIC Deportment of Transport		Final Danart
U.S. Department of Transporta		Final Report
National Highway Traffic Safe	` /	14. Sponsoring Agency Code
Office of Research and Traffic	Records	
Washington, D.C. 20590		

15. Supplementary Notes

Marvin M. Levy, Ph.D., was the Contracting Officer's Technical Representative

16. Abstract

This report represents the sixth in a series of biennial national surveys undertaken by the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA) starting in 1991, and reports data from this sixth administration as well as those of the first five administrations (1991, 1993, 1995, 1997 and 1999). The objective of these recurrent studies is to measure both current status and trends regarding the public's attitudes, knowledge, and self-reported behavior related to drinking and driving. These data are used in supporting future NHTSA initiatives, identifying areas where improvements have been made, and identifying those areas needing further attention.

This report, Volume II: *Methods Report* describes the methods used to conduct the interviews and analyze the data. It also contains a copy of the most recent questionnaire. Volume I: *Summary Report* presents a top line summary of respondents' behaviors and attitudes on various topics related to drinking and driving including reported frequency of drinking and driving, prevention and intervention, riding with impaired drivers, designated drivers, perceptions of penalties, and knowledge of and acceptance of Blood Alcohol Concentration (BAC) levels. Volume III: *Findings Report* provides a more detailed analysis for these and other topics.

The findings for the 2001 survey administration indicate that despite the public's continued concern about drinking and driving, progress in a number of key areas has slowed. Nearly eight in ten (77%) respondents said that drinking and driving by others is a major threat to their personal safety. This is a significant decline since 1999 (80%). In 1995, 20% of drivers reported driving within two hours of drinking in the past year. This proportion rose to 23 % in 1999 and remained the same in 2001. The proportion of persons who put themselves at risk by riding with a potentially impaired driver declined between 1993 and 1995, and remains near the 1995 level of 12%. Perceptions of the certainty of being stopped for violating drinking and driving laws declined between 1995 and 1997 (from 33% saying such a stop is unlikely to 40% in 1997), but have remained at or near 40% since 1997. Support for increased use of sobriety checkpoints increased slightly since 1993 from 62% to 66% in 1997, but have declined back to 1993 levels again in 2001 (62%). While there was an increase in the proportion of persons who know the BAC limit in their state between 1995 (20%) and 1997 (29%), this level has remained consistent since 1997.

On the other hand, some gains were made. Drinker-drivers made between an estimated 809 million and 1 billion driving trips within two hours of consuming alcohol (about 906 million) within the past year: This represents a significant decrease from the 1.3 billion trips measured in 1993. Support for zero tolerance for persons of any age to drive after consuming any alcohol continues to rise, from 43% in 1991, to 45% in 1995, to 48% in 2001. Also, support for a legal limit of .08 has increased from the 1997 base of 56% to 68% in 1999, to 70% in 2001.

17. Key Words Drinking, Driving, Attitudes, DWI, Survey, BAC,		18. Distribution Statement This report and database are available from the National Technical Information Service, Springfield, VA (703) 487-4650, and is free of charge on the NHTSA website at www.nhtsa.dot.gov.			
19. Security Classif. (of this report)	20. Security Classif. (of this page)	21. No. of Pages 64	22. Price	

Contents

Methods
Introduction1
Statistical Sampling Methods2
Data Collection 3
Interviewing Execution3
Sample Execution/Response Rates4
Sample Weighting5
Sampling Tolerances 6
Survey Instrument
2001 National Drinking and Driving Questionnaire (English Language Version)11

Methods

Introduction

In order for a tracking survey of this nature to be accurate it must be statistically valid in its own right, and the multiple years of data collection must be compatible. This section describes the aspects of the method that relate to these requirements:

- Sample design and sample frame construction (respondent universe and sampling methods)
- Data collection
- Sample execution
- Sample weighting, and
- Sampling tolerances

A telephone survey was used to reach the target population and to provide national estimates of attitudes and behaviors regarding drinking and driving. The respondent universe theoretically consists of all persons of driving age (age 16 or older as of their last birthday). However, since this survey, as well as the five earlier executions, was administered by telephone, the sampling universe is actually persons age 16 or older living in non-institutionalized dwellings with working telephones (approximately 200 million according to the U.S. Census Bureau estimates). Furthermore, since interviews were conducted only in English and Spanish, any person who did not speak one of these two languages was excluded from the study. The study sample was selected from all telephone households in the United States, including Alaska, Hawaii, and the District of Columbia (DC), and included both drivers and non-drivers.

The sampling objective of the study was to acquire a representative national sample of the general driving age public (age 16 and older) while allowing for a minimum of 100 completed interviews in each state and DC.

The sample design and execution of the 1993, 1995, and 1997 survey administrations closely followed the same procedures to ensure comparability. The samples in 1999 (main study) and 2001 used similar methods of sample selection, except these were carried out at the state level, rather than the Census region level as in prior executions, and the 1991 study only included persons age 16 to 64 (excluding those 65 and older).

Statistical Sampling Methods

This study employed a multi-stage sampling procedure to achieve a random, representative sample of the driving public age 16 or older. The design used by Gallup included a stratified, list-assisted Random-Digit-Dial (RDD) sample design resulting in a sample that was consistent with earlier rounds of the study.

Since it was important to maintain a consistent sampling design with earlier executions in order for the samples to be comparable across time, extensive measures were taken to ensure that the 2001 sample design allowed for an equal number of interviews across states and could be post-stratify weighted to ensure compatibility to previous survey waves.

Gallup used a two-stage procedure to meet the sampling objective:

Stage 1

1. The first step was to identify the universe of residential telephone listings. Gallup first identified the universe of residential telephone listings within each of the 50 states and DC.

A telephone number bank consists of the blocks of 100 sequential telephone numbers where only the last two digits of the 10-digit telephone number vary. For example, within the area code 202, exchange 366, one bank would be 202-366-12XX. This procedure provides for an equal probability of selection for each working residential telephone number in the U.S. (both listed and unlisted residential telephone households). A random-digit-dial (RDD) procedure was used to generate the last two numbers for a full ten-digit phone number within each selected 100-number bank.

Next, Gallup drew a systematic sample of telephone 100-number blocks within each state and DC.

2. Gallup then randomly generated the last two numbers for a full ten-digit phone number within each valid block selected in the previous stage. This procedure provides for an unequal, but known, probability of selection for each working residential phone number in the US. (both listed and unlisted residential telephone households).

Stage 2

The second stage of selection occurred at the household level. Once a telephone number had been selected for inclusion, one person age 16 or older living in that household was randomly selected to participate. The household-level selection was made using the most recent birthday method, which represents a true random selection of household members, and is considered much less intrusive than the purely random selection method or grid selection that require enumeration of all household members in order to make a respondent selection. Once a person was selected for inclusion in the study, that person could not be replaced by another person in the household. If the selected person refused to participate, Gallup made additional attempts to gain cooperation from the selected person before moving on to another telephone household.

Data Collection

A total of 6,002 telephone interviews with persons age 16 or older living in the United States, were conducted. Interviewing took place over a two-month period from November 8 through December 23, 2001. A total of 115 of the 6,002 interviews were completed using a Spanish language version of the questionnaire.

All sample management, interview scheduling, conducting and monitoring of interviews, and progress reporting of data collection was handled by Gallup's state-of-the-art computer assisted telephone interviewing (CATI) system. A comprehensive data collection plan was maintained to ensure that high response rates, high data quality and low respondent burden were achieved. The plan involved a call design scheme to optimize telephone coverage and contact with respondents, and to minimize no contacts and refusals. Gallup's internal interviewer recruitment, training and monitoring procedures are also designed to support these aims.

The CATI programming process included identification of data locations, keying in question text, responses and corresponding codes, as well as acceptable response ranges, consistency checks, interviewer instructions, skip patterns, and help screens. Two kinds of range and consistency checks were programmed: hard and soft checks. Responses initially entered by interviewers that were outside the hard range were not accepted by CATI. These required the interviewer to clarify with the respondent their initial response (e.g., if the question asked in how many days of the past 30 they consumed alcohol, a response of 31 would not be accepted by the CATI system). Soft range checks prompt the interviewer to verify the response. The questionnaire design and layout pass through a strict internal hard copy "proofing review" before reaching the programming stage. The CATI program was once again proofed before interviewing began. Separate questionnaires were programmed in both English and Spanish language.

Interviewing Execution

Once a telephone number was selected for inclusion, an interviewer made an initial call to reach the household. If no one answered, or no person age 16 or older was available at the time of the first call, up to six additional calls (over different days and time periods as presented below) were made to reach the selected household and to randomly select a respondent. A total of up to seven calls were made to complete the interview with the designated respondent. This "seven plus seven" call design was used in order to attain a high response rate.

Because the number of contacts attempted and the not-at-home patterns of households are key factors in determining response rates, an aggressive call design is important. The following call schedule, which applied to both the initial contacts for selection of a designated respondent and subsequent calls for completion of the interview, was used:

	Calling Period Respondent's Local Time	Number of Attempts
Weeknights:	5:00 p.m. to 7:59 p.m.	2
Weeknights:	8:00 p.m. to 9:59 p.m.	2
Weekends:	Saturday 11:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. Sunday 1:00 p.m. to 4:00 p.m.	3

All interviewers assigned to the project underwent a training specific to this project. Representatives from NHTSA were invited to participate in the training session. Remote monitoring of telephone interviewing was also available for external monitoring of interviews.

Gallup's Assurance of Confidentiality to Respondents

All data was collected by The Gallup Organization. Respondents were informed at the onset of the interview that the data were strictly confidential and any identifying information would not be released outside of the organization. All interviewers signed statements of confidentiality stating that they would not reveal the results of any interview with anyone else. The name of the respondent was not collected during the course of the interview, and the telephone number was separated from the survey data before analysis and was not be connected to the data released to NHTSA or any other outside organization. All questionnaires, other records, and database entries were identified by case identification numbers only. The Gallup Organization has a reputation for research integrity and a long-standing commitment to protecting respondent confidentiality. In its more than 70 years of operation, Gallup has never encountered an instance of breach of confidentiality.

Sample Execution/Response Rates

Response rates are one measure of the extent to which a data set accurately reflects the characteristics and responses of a given population. Two factors whose underlying causes may be substantially different drive non-response rates: non-contacts and non-interviews (i.e., refusals). Each of these can lead to sample bias if a group or type of potential respondent is systematically missed (e.g., people who are not at home and are hard to reach, young people, males, etc.).

No Contact: The largest influence on no contact non-response appears to be the number of call attempts. In an analysis of multiple data sets from a variety of research firms, it was found that the only methodological variable in a stepwise regression model predicting non-contact rate was number of call attempts. The manner in which sample is released into a carefully planned call design ensures multiple attempts for each sample unit.

The not-at-home patterns of a given population are also important to consider in order to maximize the probability of contact during repeated attempts. Gallup's call design maximizes the probability of reaching respondents over a variety of days of the week and times of day.

Refusals: Refusals appear to be increasing and tend to account for a major proportion of non-responders with the potential for non-response bias. Although the reasons are unclear, the immense expansion of telemarketing activities, a possible tendency toward greater resistance to perceived intrusions into the privacy of one's home, and the increasing telephone saturation among certain market segments of the population, may all contribute. Because of the prominence of the Gallup name, and the skill of its interviewing staff, our refusal rate remains below the industry average.

At Gallup, an interaction with a respondent is only coded as a refusal if an interviewer has encountered two 'soft refusals' after a description of the study (e.g., "I am really not interested" or "I'm too busy to talk to you now") or a hard refusal (e.g., "I'm not interested, don't call again" or "No, I don't want to do it!"). Any case where the person hangs-up before the interviewer can complete the introduction describing the project will be called back at least once.

All cases coded as initial refusals underwent at least one refusal conversion attempt. In this stage, the case was assigned to a different interviewer than the one who made the initial call. If the second interviewer encountered a second hard refusal, the case was assigned to a special refusal conversion team of interviewers. The initial and second refusal cases were monitored on a weekly basis to determine if special troubleshooting refusal conversion teams would be necessary.

In calculating response rates, Gallup follows the strict industry standard of the Council of Applied Survey Research Organizations (CASRO). The calculation of a CASRO response rate is as follows:

Completed interviews divided by the number of eligible households plus the presumed eligible households (of non-contacted households).

The CASRO response rate for the 2001 administration was 30%. CASRO response rates near 30% are generally standard for national RDD samples. The 1993, 1995, 1997 and 1999 executions of this study achieved response rates at or above the current industry average at the time.

A total of more than 15,000 randomly generated telephone numbers were sampled for the study according to the parameters outlined above. About 20% of the numbers were non-residential, while nearly 15% were confirmed non-working or disconnected numbers. The refusal rate for the study was 22%, which is consistent with prior administrations of the study.

Sample Weighting

The final telephone sample of persons age 16 and older was weighted to equalize selection probabilities (at both the household and the individual levels) and to adjust for non-response bias by demographics.

Weighting occurred in multiple stages and was designed to equalize selection probabilities at both the household and individual levels as well as adjust for non-response bias by demographics. Each step was undertaken using data weighted from the previous step. The following five-stage procedure was use:

- 1. In the first stage weighting, Gallup adjusted for the unequal probability of selection for households. In the RDD procedure, households with more than one telephone line had a higher probability of selection in our sample. This disproportionality was corrected by applying an inverse weight to each respondent based on the number of residential telephone lines in his/her household.
- 2. The second stage weighting adjusted for any unequal probability of selection within a household. While the study is based on the total non-institutionalized residential population of the U.S., the actual sample units are households. Persons living in households with only one person of driving age have a higher probability of selection than those in households with several eligible persons. In the second stage weight, Gallup applied a weight to each respondent in the inverse to the number of persons of driving age in the household.
- 3. The third stage weight corrected for any unintentional disproportionality due to unequal participation rates among respondents by key demographic characteristics. At this stage, Gallup weighted the actual respondent database (weighted in the first two stages) to

match the known demographic characteristics of the U.S. population by age, race, and gender based on the most recent Census Population Projections. This was carried out at the individual state level.

This demographic weighting was done in several stages. The proportion of Hispanics/non-Hispanics was first adjusted to reflect the most recent Census Bureau estimates by census region. White/nonwhite distributions were then reviewed by census region and adjusted if necessary. The data were then examined and the distribution of gender by age (using three age categories–16-34; 35-54; 55+) was corrected if more than +/- 3% variation from the population projections by census region.

- 4. Fourth, the population of geographic areas was weighted back into the correct proportions to match the known proportion in the entire U.S. The sample for each of the 50 states and D.C. were recalculated to their correct proportion in the entire universe.
- 5. Finally, Gallup projected the sample population up to the total non-institutionalized national population age 16 or older.

The resulting weighted survey data distributions and that of the total non-institutionalized U.S. population age 16 and older appears in the table below:

	Total Non-Institution	tutionalize U.S. n Age 16+		d Data for 2001 iving Survey
	Population in 1000's	% of Total	Unweighted Sample Size	Weighted % of Total
TOTAL	201,626	100%	6,002	100%
Male	96,796	48	2607	48
Female	104,830	52	3395	52
16-20	18,961	9	901	9
21-29	30,320	15	804	15
30-45	65,534	33	1753	32
46-64	55,095	27	1615	27
65+	31,716	16	905	16
Unknown			24	0

Sampling Tolerances

When interpreting survey results, it should be borne in mind that all sample surveys are subject to various types of potential errors. Errors may occur due to non-response (where selected respondents are never reached or refuse to participate), interviewer administration error (where a response can be miskeyed or misinterpreted by the interviewer), incomplete or inaccurate answers from the respondent, or sampling less than the total population, among others.

The sampling design employed in this study was used to produce an unbiased estimate of the stated target population. An unbiased sample will have the same characteristics and behaviors as those of the total population from which it was drawn. In other words, with a properly drawn sample, we can make statements about the target population within a specific range of certainty. Sampling errors can be estimated and their measure used to help interpret the final data results.

The size of such sampling errors depends largely on the number of interviews and the complexity of the sampling design.

The confidence interval for sample estimates of population proportions at a level of 95% confidence, assuming a simple random sample without replacement is calculated using the following formula:

$$= z \sqrt{p(q)/n-1}$$

Where:

p = the proportion of the sample that exhibits a particular behavior or characteristic

q = (1-p)

n = the unweighted sample size

z = the standardized variable for a specific confidence level (for 95% level of confidence z is 1.96)

The sample of telephone households in this study was drawn as a simple random sample within each state. However, the stratification by state and the disproportionate sampling of persons within household introduces a design effect that could possibly suggest that the sample reflect other than a simple random sample.

To test the assertion that the resultant sample approximated one of a simple random sample, the sampling errors were calculated under a stratified design and were compared to the sampling errors for the same measures and sample sizes under the assumption of a simple random sample. These sample error comparisons were made for 23 of the key measures in the study. The net impact over the 23 measures was found to produce a 10% wider band of confidence around the estimates gathered in the study. For example, if an estimate from a study conducted under a pure simple random sample method had an error range of \pm 1.5, the more precise error range due to the more complex sampling method would be about \pm 1.65%.

Since the data presented in this report are rounded to whole numbers, the incremental increase in the sampling error range generally did not translate into a wider band around the estimate. Given the relatively small average design effect, the table of expected sampling error ranges based on a simple random sample is a useful approximation of the precision of the sample estimates.

The following tables may be used in estimating the sampling error in any percentage in this report. They may be interpreted as indicating the approximate range (plus or minus the figure shown) within which the results of repeated sampling in the same time period could be expected to vary 95% of the time, assuming the same sampling procedures, the same interviewers, and the same questionnaire.

Table A shows how much allowance should be made for the sampling error around a single percentage estimate in the study.

Table A: Recommended Allowance for Sampling Error of a Percentage In percentage points (at 95 in 100 confidence level)*

For percentages near:

Sample Sizes Near:	5/95% ±	10/90% ±	20/80% ±	30/70% ±	40/60% ±	50/50% ±
100	4.3	5.9	7.9	9.0	9.7	9.8
200	3.0	4.2	5.6	6.4	6.8	6.9
300	2.5	3.4	4.5	5.2	5.6	5.7
400	2.1	2.9	3.9	4.5	4.8	4.9
500	1.9	2.6	3.5	4.0	4.3	4.4
600	1.7	2.4	3.2	3.7	3.9	4.0
800	1.5	2.1	2.8	3.2	3.4	3.5
1,000	1.4	1.9	2.5	2.8	3.0	3.1
1,500	1.1	1.5	2.0	2.3	2.5	2.5
2,000	.96	1.3	1.8	2.0	2.1	2.2
2,500	.85	1.2	1.6	1.8	2.0	2.0
3,000	.78	1.1	1.4	1.6	1.8	1.8
4,000	.68	.90	1.2	1.4	1.5	1.5
5,000	.60	.80	1.2	1.3	1.3	1.4
6,000	.55	.76	1.0	1.2	1.2	1.3

^{*} The chances are 95 in 100 that the sampling error is not larger than the figures shown.

The table would be used in the following manner: Let us say a reported percentage is 30 for a group that includes about 300 respondents. Then we go to the column labeled "Percentages near 30/70%" in the table and go down to the row labeled "300." The number at this point is 5.2, which means that the 30% obtained in the sample is subject to a sampling error of approximately ± 5 points. Another way of saying this is that 95 times out of 100 the true figure in the population would be somewhere between 25% and 35%.

In comparing survey results in two samples – for example, 2001 and 1999 – the question arises as to how large a difference between them must exist before one can be reasonably sure that it reflects a real difference. In Table B, the number of points, which must be allowed for in such comparisons, is shown.

Here is an example of how the table would be used: Let us say that in 2001, 53% of a particular portion of the sample report a particular behavior, while in 1999 47% of those in this sub-group report the same behavior, for a difference of six percentage points between them. Can we say with any assurance that the six-percentage point difference reflects a real difference between 2001 and 1999? The sample contains approximately 2,000 adults in the sub-group in 2001 and the same number in 1999. When we consult Table B, we look at the column headed 2,000 and the row labeled 2,000: we locate the number 3.1%. This means that the allowance for error should be 3.1 percentage points and that, in concluding that the percentage among the subgroup in 2001 is somewhere between three and nine points higher than among the subgroup in 1999 (our original reported difference of 6%, plus or minus the 3% in our table), we should be wrong only about 5% of the time. In other words, we can conclude with considerable confidence that a difference exists in the direction observed, and that it amounts to at least three percentage points.

In another example, if the responses for one sub-group within 2001 amount to 51% and another subgroup in 2001 to 54%, with the first sub-group having 1,100 people and the second just 300, we look for the number in the column headed 300 and row of 1,000 and find 6.5%. Since the 3% difference found in the data is less than the 6.5% in the table, the three-point difference is inconclusive.

Table B: Recommended Allowance for Sampling Error of the Difference In percentage points (at 95 in 100 confidence level)*

For percentages near 50%:

Sample Sizes Near:	100	300	400	500	600	800	1,000	2,000	4,000	6,000
- Campio Gizoo Houri										
100	13.9	11.3%	11.0%	10.7	10.6	10.4	10.3	10.0	9.9%	9.8%
	%			%	%	%	%	%		
300	11.3	8.1	7.5	7.2	7.0	6.7	6.5	6.1	5.9	5.8
400	11.0	7.5	6.9	6.6	6.3	6.0	5.8	5.4	5.1	5.0
500	10.7	7.2	6.6	6.2	5.9	5.6	5.4	4.9	4.6	4.5
600	10.6	7.0	6.3	5.9	5.7	5.3	5.1	4.6	4.3	4.2
800	10.4	7.7	6.0	6.0	5.6	5.0	4.7	4.1	4.0	3.7
1,000	10.3	6.5	5.8	5.4	5.1	4.7	4.4	3.8	3.4	3.3
2,000	10.0	6.1	5.4	4.9	4.6	4.3	3.8	3.1	2.7	2.5
4,000	9.9	5.9	5.1	4.6	4.3	4.0	3.4	2.7	2.2	2.0
6,000	9.8	5.8	5.0	4.5	4.2	3.7	3.3	2.5	2.0	1.8

^{*}The changes are 95 in 100 that the sampling error is not larger than the figures shown.

The table provided is for percentages near 50. For percentages higher or lower than 50%, the error to be allowed for is somewhat smaller than those shown in the table.

Survey Instrument – English Language Version

CRT

HARD COPY REQUIRED FINANCE, NHT53608 F608

FIELD FINAL - NOVEMBER 8, 2001
(Columns are "absolute")
(Revisions on last page)

THE GALLUP ORGANIZATION PROJECT REGISTRATION #132683 X APPROVED BY CLIENT NHTSA Washington, DC X APPROVED BY PROJECT MANAGER National Drinking and Driving Larsen/D. Royal/Richter OMB Approval 2127-061-2 Jane Wood, Specwriter Expires 10/31/04 October, 2001 n=5,6000 (1-6) I.D.#: **AREA CODE AND TELEPHONE NUMBER: (649 - 658) **INTERVIEW TIME:

(176 - 721)

**STATE:	(Code from "Fone" file)	(MINIMUM QUOTA =	100 per state	
	and DC)			
01	Alabama - SC	30	Montana - W	
02	Alaska - W	31	Nebraska - NC	
04	Arizona - W	32	Nevada - W	
05	Arkansas - SC	33	New Hampshire - NE	
06	California - W	34	New Jersey - NE	
08	Colorado - W	35	New Mexico - W	
09	Connecticut - NE	36	New York - NE	
10	Delaware - SC	37	North Carolina - SC	
11	Washington D.C SC	38	North Dakota - NC	
12	Florida - SC	39	Ohio - NC	
13	Georgia - SC	40	Oklahoma - SC	
15	Hawaii - W	41	Oregon - W	
16	Idaho - W	42	Pennsylvania - NE	
17	Illinois - NC	44	Rhode Island - NE	
18	Indiana - NC	45	South Carolina - SC	
19	Iowa - NC	46	South Dakota - NC	
20	Kansas - NC	47	Tennessee - SC	
21	Kentucky - SC	48	Texas - SC	
22	Louisiana - SC	49	Utah - W	
23	Maine - NE	50	Vermont - NE	
24	Maryland - SC	51	Virginia - SC	
25	Massachusetts - NE	53	Washington - W	
26	Michigan - NC	54	West Virginia - SC	
27	Minnesota - NC	55	Wisconsin - NC	
28	Mississippi - SC	56	Wyoming - W	
29	Missouri - NC			
				
			() ()
**REGION:	(Code from "Fone" file)			
TEGION:	(Code IIom Pone IIIe)			
1	NE			
2	NC			
3	SC			
4	W			()
				·
**ZIP CODE:	(Code from "Fone" file)			

Hello, this is $_$, from The Gallup Poll, calling on behalf of the U.S. Department of Transportation. We are conducting a study of American's opinions about driving laws and behaviors. The interview is completely confidential and no identifying information will be released outside The Gallup Organization. It only takes about fifteen minutes. (If respondent asks about OMB, say:) Please note that an agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a current valid OMB control number. The OMB control number for this collection is 2127-061-2. Including yourself, how many members of this household are age 16 or older? (Open ended and code actual number) 00 None - (Thank and Terminate) 01 One - (If other than respondent, ask to speak to that person, and Continue) 02-96+ 96 (Skip to S1a) 97 Not available - (Set time to call back) 98 (DK) (Thank and Terminate) 99 (Refused) (Thank and Terminate) (1002) (1003) Slaa. (If code "01" in S1, ask:) Are you 16 to 20 years old or age 21 or older? 16 to 20 (Skip to S3) 2 21 or older (Skip to S3)

(DK) (Refused)

S1.

(Thank and Terminate)

(Thank and Terminate)

(1030)

S1a.		many of the <u>(response in S1)</u> members of this household 16 to 20 years of age? (Open ended <u>and code actual</u> er)		
	00	None - (Skip to S2)		
	01	One - (If other than respondent, ask to speak to that person, and Skip to S3)		
	02- 96	96+ (Continue)		
	97	Not available - (Set time to call back)		
	98 99	(DK) (Thank and Terminate) (Refused) (Thank and Terminate)		
			(1004)	(1005)
S1b.		hose <u>(response in Sla)</u> persons age 16 to 20, I need to k to the one who had the most recent birthday.		
	1 2	Male respondent available (Skip to S3) Female respondent available (Skip to S3)		
	7	Respondent not available - (Set time to call back)		
	8	(Refused) - (Thank and Terminate)		(1006)
S2.		hose <u>(response in S1)</u> adults, I need to speak to the who had the most recent birthday.		
	1 2	Male respondent available (Continue) Female respondent available (Continue)		
	7	Respondent not available - (Set time to call back)		
	8	(Refused) - (Thank and Terminate)		(1007)

S3.	(When respondent is on the line: say that you are 16 years of age or older.		
	1 Yes - (Continue)		
	2 No - (Reset to S1)		
		d Terminate) d Terminate)	(1008)
S4.	Are you a permanent resident at this I've reached you, and not a visitor or		
	1 Yes, permanent resident - (Cont	inue)	
	<pre>No - (Ask to speak with permanent resident, 16 or older, and Reset to "Intro")</pre>		
	<pre>3 (DK) - (Ask to speak with permanent resident, 16 or older, and Reset to "Intro")</pre>		
	<pre>4 (Refused) - (Ask to speak with permanent resident, 16 or older, and Reset to "Intro")</pre>		(1009)
S4a.	AGE QUOTA: (SURVENT CODE ONLY)		
	1 (If code "1-2" in S1b OR If code code "01" in S1a:) 16-20 (n=	de "1" in Slaa OR If 900)	
	2 (If code "1-2" in S2 OR If code "2" in S1aa:) 21+	(n=4700)	(1010)
S4b.	FORM: (Randomly assign)		
	1 Form A (1/2 sample) 2 Form B (1/2 sample)	_	(1011)

001	Other (list)	
002	(DK)	
003	(Refused)	
004	None	
005	All	
006	AIDS	
800	Crime/Violence	
009	Drugs	
010	Drunk drivers	
011	Economy (general)	
012	Education	
013	Environment/Pollution	
014	Ethical/Moral/Religious decline	
015	Federal budget deficit/Federal debt	
018	Government/President Bush/ Congress/Politicians	
019	Health care/Hospitals	
022	Medicare increases/Senior citizen insurance	
023	Poverty/Hunger/Homelessness	
024	Race relations/Racism	
026	Taxes	
027	Unemployment/Jobs	
030	Alcohol/Drinking	
031	Bad drivers/Road rage	
032	Breakdown of family/family values	
039	Gun control/Violence	
044	Parenting/Control/Responsibility	
045	School violence	
049	Teenager/Juvenile issues/	
	Teen pregnancy/Teen violence	
050	Terrorism	
	1st	

(1015 - 1017)

Resp:

som eac imp all	am going to read you a list of concerns that metimes name as problems in the United States. After the one, please tell me if you think it is effortant, somewhat important, not very important, or important in terms of where tax dollars should be about (read and rotate A-E, as appropriate)?	r I read xtremely not at		
4 3 2 1	Extremely important Somewhat important Not very important Not at all important			
5 6	(DK) (Refused)			
A.	(If code "1" in S4b, ask:) Reducing violent crir	ne		_(1018
В.	(If code "2" in S4b, ask:) Reducing spousal an abuse	nd child		_(1019)
C.	(If code "1" in S4b, ask:) Gun control			_(1020
D.	(If code "2" in S4b, ask:) Educational oppor for children	tunities		_(1021
Ε.	Reducing drunk driving			_(1022
(Op you res	"people who are driving impaired" what does this pen ended and code) (If necessary, probe by saying think the drivers are impaired? (INTERVIEW spondent says "they shouldn't be driving", probesson for this) (Allow two responses) Other (list)	:) By what do WER NOTE: If		
02	(DK)			
03 04	(Refused) Nothing			
05	Everything			
06 07 08 09	Drunk/Alcohol Drugs Bad vision/Can't see Old age/Bad drivers			
10	Physical disability - (Probe for type of physical	al disability)		
		1st Resp:	(1023)	(1024)
		2nd Resp:	(1025)	(1026)

(READ:) Next, I have some questions about your driving habits.

- 1. How often do you usually drive a car or other motor vehicle? Would you say that you usually drive (read 1-5)?
 - 1 Every day
 - 2 Several days a week
 - 3 Once a week or less
 - 4 Only certain times a year, OR
 - 5 Never
 - 6 (DK)
 - 7 (Refused)

____(1301)

(There are no questions #2b or #2-#14)

(READ:) This next set of questions is about drinking habits.

- 15. During the last twelve months, how often did you usually drink any alcoholic beverages, including beer, light beer, wine, wine coolers, or liquor? Would you say you usually drank alcoholic beverages (read 1-7)?
 - 1 Every day
 - 2 Nearly every day
 - 3 Three or four days a week
 - 4 One or two days a week
 - 5 Two or three days a month
 - 6 Once a month or less, OR
 - 7 (Never drank alcoholic beverages
 in last twelve months) (Skip
 to "Read" before #57)
 - 8 (DK) (Skip to "Read" before #57)
 - 9 (Refused) (Skip to "Read" before #57)

____(1724)

(There is no #16)

17.	When you drink alcoholic beverages, which ONE of the following beverages do you drink MOST OFTEN? Do you usually drink (read and rotate 06-10, then 01)? (If respondent says "it varies", ask:) Which would you say you drank the most		
	servings of in the past year?		
	OR, something else (list) O2 (DK)		
	03 (Refused) 04 HOLD 05 HOLD		
	06 Beer 07 Light beer 08 Wine		
	09 Wine coolers 10 Hard liquor or mixed drinks		
		(1323)	(1324)
18.	When you drink [(response in #17)], about how many [(if code "06" in #17, say:) 12-ounce regular beers/(if code "07" in #17, say:) 12-ounce light beers/(if code "09" in #17, say:) 12-ounce wine coolers/(if code "08" in #17, say:) five-ounce glasses of wine/(if code "10" in #17, say:) drinks or shots of hard liquor/(if code "02" or "03" in #17, say:) drinks] do you usually drink per sitting? (Open ended and code actual number)		
	97 Less than one 98 (DK) 99 (Refused)		
		(1825)	(1826)
(Ther	e is no question #19)		
19a.	About how old were you when you first started drinking alcohol, not counting small tastes or sips of alcohol? (Open ended and-code actual age)		
	96 96 years or older 97 (Never drink/Drank) 98 (DK) 99 (Refused)		
		(1757)	(1758)

(READ:)

People often drink different amounts of alcohol depending on the time, place or occasion. On some days they may drink small amounts, on some days they may drink medium amounts, and on other days they may drink large amounts.

(INTERVIEWER: Pause here and SLOW down) Please think about the days when you drank alcohol during a typical thirty-day period (or typical month) at anytime during the past six months. Your best estimates here will be fine. (Pause)

[NOTE TO INTERVIEWER: One standard drink is approximately 12 ounces (341ml) bottle of beer (4.5% alcohol), 1 1/2 ounce (43ml) shot of liquor (40% alcohol), 5 ounce (142ml) glass of wine (11% alcohol), 3 ounce (85ml) glass of Sherry, Port or Vermouth (18% alcohol)]

- 20. On how many of the thirty days in this typical month did you have one or more alcoholic beverages to drink? (Open ended and code actual number)
 - 00 None (Skip to #26)

01-

30 30 (Everyday) (Continue)

DK (DK) - (probe for best estimate;
If still "DK", Skip to #26)

RF (Refused) - (Skip to #26)

(1327) (1328)

- 21. (If code "01-30" in #20, ask:) How many of those (response in #20) days did you drink two or more alcoholic drinks? (Open ended and code actual number)
 - 00 None (Skip to #26)

DK (DK) - (probe for best estimate;
If still "DK", Skip to #26)

RF (Refused) - (Skip to #26)

(1329) (1330)

22.		many of those <u>(response in #21)</u> days did you have r more drinks? (Open ended <u>and code actual number)</u>		
	00 N	one - (Skip to #26)		
		DK) - (probe for best estimate; f still "DK", Skip to #26)		
	RF (Refused) - (Skip to #26)		
			(1331)	(1332)
23.		many of the <u>(response in #22)</u> days did you have five drinks? (Open ended <u>and code actual number)</u>		
	00 N	one - (Skip to #26)		
		DK) - (probe for best estimate; f still "DK", Skip to #26)		
	RF (Refused) - (Skip to #26)		
			(1333)	(1334)
(The:	e is no o	question #24)		
		(If code "01-30" in #23, Continue; Otherwise, Skip to #26)		
25.		s the MAXIMUM number of drinks you had in any one day? nded and code actual number) (NOTE TO SURVENT: Block		
		DK) Refused)		
			(1337)	(1338)
26.	Have yo	u felt you should cut down on your drinking?		
	1 Y 2 N	es o		
	3 (DK)		(1220)
	4 (Refused)		_(1339)

27.	Have people annoyed you by criticizing your drinking?	
	1 Yes	
	2 No	
	3 (DK) 4 (Refused)	(1340)
	1 (Refused)	(1310)
28.	Have you felt bad or guilty about your drinking?	
	1 Yes	
	2 No	
	3 (DK) 4 (Refused)	(1341)
		,
29.	Have you had a drink first thing in the morning to steady your nerves or get rid of a hangover?	
	1 Yes	
	2 No	
	3 (DK) 4 (Refused)	(1342)
	1 (Netuseu)	(1342)
(Ther	re is no question #30)	
	(If code "1-4" in #1, and code "1-6" in #15, Continue;	
	Otherwise, Skip to "Interviewer Read" before #57)	
31.	In your opinion, how many [(if code "01", "02" or "03" in	
	#17, say:) drinks/(if code "06" in #17, say:) 12-ounce regular beers/(if code "07" in #17, say:) 12-ounce light	
	beers/(if code "09" in #17, say:) 12-ounce wine coolers/(if	
	<pre>code "08" in #17, say:)</pre> five-ounce glasses of wine/(if code	
	<pre>"10" in #17, say:) drinks or shots of hard liquor] could YOU drink in two hours before you should NOT DRIVE? (Open ended</pre>	
	and code actual number)	
	00 Less than one drink	
	96 No limit	
	DK (DK)	
	RF (Refused)	
		(1343) (1344)
(Ther	re is no question #32)	

©THE GALLUP ORGANIZATION

DRINKING AND DRIVING

33.	exper	I'd like to ask a few questions about your own rience. In the past twelve months, have you ever driven a vehicle WITHIN TWO HOURS AFTER drinking alcoholic rages?	
	1	Yes - (Continue)	
	2 3 4	No (Skip to #52) (DK) (Skip to #52) (Refused) (Skip to #52)	(1345)
34.	twelv	code "1" in #33, ask:) About how many times in the past we months would you say that you have driven WITHIN TWO AFTER drinking any alcohol? (Open ended and code actual er)	
	000	None/Never - (Skip to #52)	
	DK	(DK) - (Continue)	
	RF	(Refused) - (Skip to #52)	
			(1346 - 1348)
35.	days, two h	code "001-365" or "DK" in #34, ask:) In the past thirty how many times have you driven a motor vehicle within hours after drinking alcoholic beverages? (Open ended and actual number)	
	00 DK RF	None/Never (DK) (Refused)	
			(1349) (1350)
(The:	re is n	no question #36)	

©THE GALLUP ORGANIZATION

	most recent occasion that you drove within two hours of	
	sing alcoholic beverages. Where did you drink on that sion? (Open ended and code)	
occas	Ton: (open ended and code)	
01	Other (list)	
02	(DK)	
03	(Refused)	
04	HOLD	
05	HOLD	
09	Bar/Tavern/Club	
12	Bowling alley	
14	Country Club/Golf course	
15	Drank in the car/On the road	
07	Friend's home	
13	Hotel/Motel	
17	Movie theater	
8 0	Other residence	
22	Park/picnic	
21	Party Restaurant	
10 19		
16	Shopping/Store/Grocery store Sporting event	
20	Wedding	
11	Work	
06	Your home	
0 0	Tour nome	
		(1351)
How r	many drinks did you have on that occasion? (Open ended	
	code actual number)	
00	Less than one	
DK	(DK)	
RF	(Refused)	
		(1353)

39.			of time did you have those drinks? tual number of hours)	
	00 DK RF	Less than one hou (DK) (Refused)	r	
				(1355) (1356)
(Ther	e is n	o question #40)		
41.	(Oper	n ended <u>and code ac</u> z "121-997") (NO	tual number of minutes) TE TO INTERVIEWER: Respondent is	
	repor drink	rting on instance ring)	when drove within two hours of	
	000 120 DK RF	Less than one min Drove while dri 120 minutes (two (DK) (Refused)	nking	
				(1357 - 1359)
		no questions #42-#		
43a.			belt on this occasion?	
	1 2	Yes No		
	3 4	(DK) (Refused)		(1373)
44.			than yourself, were in the vehicle	
	00	None - (Skip to	#49)	
	01- 11	11 or more	(Continue)	
	DK RF	(DK) (Refused)	(Skip to #49) (Skip to #49)	
				(1365) (1366)

44a.	#44)	code "01-11" in #44, ask:) How many of these (response in passengers were under age 15? (Open ended and code al number)	
	00	None	
	01-		
	11	11 or more	
	DK RF	(DK) (Refused)	
	IXE	(Netused)	
			(1727) (1728)
(The	re are	no questions #44b, #45-#48)	
49.		his most recent occasion, do you think you were (read 1-)	
	1	Well below the legal limit	
	2	Just below the legal limit, OR	
	3	(Just over the legal limit)	
	4	Well over the legal limit	
	5	(DK)	
	6	(Refused)	
	7	(Just at the limit)	(1367)
(The	re are	no questions #50 and #51)	
52.	when	t how many times in the PAST TWELVE MONTHS did you drive you thought you were OVER THE LEGAL LIMIT FOR ALCOHOL? n ended and code actual number)	
	000	None/Never - (Skip to #54)	
	365	Every day	
	DK	(DK)	
	RF	(Refused)	
			(1368 - 1370)
			,

53.	Thinking about the most recent occasion when you thought you may have drunk enough to place you over the legal limit, whether or not you drove, how many drinks did you have on that occasion? (Open ended and code actual number)		
	00 Less than one DK (DK) RF (Refused)		
		(1371)	(1372)
54.	In the past twelve months, have you ever deliberately avoided driving a motor vehicle because you felt you probably had too much to drink to drive safely?		
	1 Yes - (Continue)		
	<pre>2 No (Skip to "Read" before #57) 3 (DK) (Skip to "Read" before #57)</pre>		
	4 (Refused) (Skip to "Read" before #57)		_(1412)
(Ther	(If code "1" in #54, ask:) On the most recent time that you deliberately avoided driving after drinking, how did you do it; that is, what did you do instead? (Open ended and code)		
	01 Other (list) 02 (DK)		
	03 (Refused) 04 HOLD		
	05 HOLD		
	06 Called a cab or ride		
	07 Rode the bus or subway		
	08 Rode with some other driver/Designated driver 09 Stayed overnight as a guest		
	10 Waited until after the effects of the alcohol wore off		
	11 Walked to your destination		
	ii warked to your destination		
	ii warked to your destination	(1413)	(1414)

DESIGNATED DRIVERS

(READ	Now, I'd like to ask about riding with others who have been drinking.		
57.	In the past twelve months, did you ever RIDE in a motor vehicle with a driver you thought might have consumed TOO MUCH alcohol to drive SAFELY?		
	1 Yes 2 No 3 (DK) 4 (Refused)		_(1415)
(Ther	re is no question #58-60)		
61.	Now, I'd like to ask you about designated drivers. In the past year, have you ridden anywhere with someone else who had agreed to be the designated driver? (NOTE TO INTERVIEWER: A designated driver is someone who agrees to abstain or limit drinking alcohol during an event and will drive others after)		
	1 Yes - (Continue)		
	2 No (Skip to #64b) 3 (DK) (Skip to #64b) 4 (Refused) (Skip to #64b)		_(1417)
62.	(If code "1" in #61, ask:) On the most recent occasion that you RODE somewhere with a designated driver, how many drinks, if any, within the two hours prior to driving did the designated driver have (before driving)? (Open ended and code actual number)		
	00 None/Less than one 30 30 or more drinks DK (DK) RF (Refused)		
		(1420)	(1421)
63.	(If code "1" in #61, ask:) Did the decision to have a designated driver for this occasion take place before or after you and your companions began drinking?		
	<pre>Before drinking began After drinking began (while drinking) (DK)</pre>		
	4 (Refused)		_(1374)

(There	e is no question #64a)			
64b.	Have you been a designated drive past year?	ver for other passengers in the		
	1 Yes - (Continue)			
	3 (DK)	(Skip to #66) (Skip to #66) (Skip to #66)		_(1424
65.		the most RECENT OCCASION that , how many drinks, if any, did or to driving? (Open ended and		
	00 None/Less than one 97 97 or more DK (DK) RF (Refused)			
			(1427)	(1428)
65a.		id the decision to have a designated place before or after you and your		
	<pre>Before drinking began After drinking began (whi (DK) (Refused)</pre>	ile drinking)		(1375
66.	What is the maximum number of he or she is the designated actual number)			_ :

©THE GALLUP ORGANIZATION

00

97

DK

RF

None/ Less than one

97 or more

(Refused)

(DK)

(1429) (1430)

OR code "7-9" in #15, Skip to "Read" before #87; Otherwise, Continue)

(There are no questions #67-70)

- 70a. Thinking of any occasions in the past year when you knew alcohol would be available at some event, HOW OFTEN, if ever, did you plan ahead BEFORE going to an event to avoid drinking and driving afterward? (Open ended and code) (If necessary, read 0-4)
 - Never had occasion where knew alcohol would be available
 - 1 Never planned ahead before event
 - 2 Rarely (a few times)
 - 3 Occasionally, OR
 - 4 Frequently or almost every time
 - 5 (DK)
 - 6 (Refused)

___(1731)

(There are no questions #71-#85)

HOSTING EVENTS

(READ:) Now, I'd like to ask you about social situations IN GENERAL.

(There is no question #86)

- 87. If you have hosted a social event (party) in the past year for adults in which you served alcoholic beverages, how concerned were you about having guests from your party driving home impaired? Would you say you were (read 1-2, 4-5, 8)?
 - 1 Very concerned
 - 2 Somewhat concerned
 - 3 (Neither concerned nor unconcerned)
 - 4 Not very concerned
 - 5 Not at all concerned, OR
 - 6 (DK)
 - 7 (Refused)
 - 8 Did you not host any events
 where you served alcohol -

(Skip to "Read" before #96)

(1444)

(There are no questions #88-#94)

©THE GALLUP ORGANIZATION

01	Other (list)		
02	(DK)		
03	(Refused)		
04	Nothing		
05	HOLD		
06	Have someone else drive them home		
07	Have a taxi or ride service drive them home	:	
8 0	Drive them home		
09	Have them spend the night		
10	Take their keys		
11	Serve food		
12	Serve non-alcoholic drinks		
13	Serve less alcohol/Limit drink		
14	Designate drivers		
15	Collect keys		
16	Provide sleeping accommodations		
17	HOLD		
18	Limit serving hours		
19	Make sure they are OK/Not impaired -		
	(Probe:) What did you $\underline{\text{DO}}$ to ensure th	nis?	
		1st	
		Resp:	(1445)
		2nd	(1447)

INTERVENTION

- (READ:) Now, I'd like to ask you about situations where intervention may have been possible; that is, where someone could have been stopped from drinking and driving.
- 96. In the last year, how many times were you in a situation where you were with a friend, family member or acquaintance who had too much to drink to drive safely? (Open ended and-code actual number)

000	None	(Skip	to	#102a)
DK	(DK)	(Skip	to	#102a)
RF	(Refused)	(Skip	to	#102a)

(1451 - 1453)

(There are no questions #97 - #99)

- 100. (If code "001-365" in #96, ask:) Think of the MOST RECENT TIME you were in this situation. Did you do something to try to stop them from driving?
 - 1 Yes (Continue)
 - 2 No (Skip to #102a)
 3 (DK) (Skip to #102a)
 4 (Refused) (Skip to #102a)

4 (Refused) (Skip to #102a) ____(1457)

(There is no question #101)

- 102. Did they drive anyhow?
 - 1 Yes
 - 2 No
 - 3 (DK)
 - 4 (Refused)

____(1460)

102a.	situa had p	the last year, were you ever in any of the following tuations where you were encouraged to drink more than you ad planned to drink? How about any situations where you read and rotate A-D, as appropriate)?					
	1 2 3 4	Yes No (DK) (Refused)					
	(Item	A deleted)	OLD	0	(1376)		
	В.	Were having such a good time that you to stop drinking	didn't want		_(1377)		
	С.	Felt you had to drink because everyone	else was	-	_(1378)		
	D.	Did not realize that you were intoxica until later	ted		(1379)		
SOCI		Now, I'd like to ask you about your vand driving.	views regarding drinking				
103.	peopl	our opinion, how much is drinking and e a threat to the personal safety y? Would you say drinking and driving b	of you and your				
	1 2 3	A major threat A minor threat, OR Not a threat					
	4 5	(DK) (Refused)			_(1461)		
(There	e is no	o question #104)					

104a.	The following questions deal with attitudes about drinking alcoholic beverages and driving. For each of the following statements, please tell me whether you strongly agree, somewhat agree, somewhat disagree, or strongly disagree. (Read and rotate A-F, as appropriate)	
1	Strongly agree	
2	Somewhat agree	
3	Somewhat disagree	
4	Strongly disagree	
5	(DK)	
6	(Refused)	
Α.	(If code "1" in S4b ask:) Most people who drive after drinking too much alcohol are alcoholics or problem drinkers	(1314
(The	ere is no Item B)	
С.	(If code "2" in S4b ask:) People should not be allowed to drive if they have been drinking any alcohol at all	(1315
(There are	e no Items D and E)	
F.	(If code "1" in S4b ask:) The legal blood alcohol limit	
	should be set lower; that is, stricter, for people who	
	drive with children in their car	(1316

(There is no question #105)

105a.	about (and	a percentage scale from 0% to 100%, in your opinion, what percentage of drivers who are impaired by alcohol then drive) will (read and rotate A-B)? (Open ended and actual percent)		
	000 100 DK RF	None 100%/All (DK) (Refused)		
	Α.	Get stopped by the police		
			(1464	- 1466)
	В.	Have a crash		
			(1467	- 1469)
(READ	: <u>)</u>	Please tell me how likely each of the following events are to happen IF A PERSON SUCH AS YOURSELF DROVE AFTER HAVING TOO MUCH TO DRINK.		
106.		ikely are you to be stopped by a police officer for after you have had too much to drink? Is it (read 1-		
	1 2 3 4 5	Almost certain Very likely Somewhat likely Somewhat unlikely, OR Very unlikely		
	6 7	(DK) (Refused)		_(1470)
(There	e is no	o question #107)		
108.	(drun	police officer stops you for driving while intoxicated k driving), how likely would it be that you would be ted? Would it be (read 1-5)?		
	1 2 3 4 5	Almost certain Very likely Somewhat likely Somewhat unlikely, OR Very unlikely		
	6 7	(DK) (Refused)		_(1471)

109.	driv	you were ARRESTED for driving while intoxicated ing), what is the likelihood that you would be chat offense? Would it be (read 1-5)?			
	1 2 3 4 5	Almost certain Very likely Somewhat likely Somewhat unlikely, OR Very unlikely			
	6 7	(DK) (Refused)			_(1472)
110.	or s	would most likely happen to a driver the FIRST she was punished for drunk driving? (Open ended a ow three responses)			
	01 02 03 04 05	Other (list) (DK) (Refused) Nothing HOLD			
	06 07 08 09 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Probation License restricted License suspended for a period Fined under \$500 Fined over \$500 Going to jail Placed in a treatment program Community service DWI Class Reprimand/Warning Fine/Ticket - (Probe for dollar amount) \$500 fine Higher insurance Points			
	_,		1st Resp:	(1512)	(1513)
			2nd Resp:	(1514)	(1515)
			3rd Resp:	(1516)	(1517)

110a.	a. In your opinion, how much is drinking and driving by people CONVICTED OF MULTIPLE DRINKING AND DRIVING OFFENSES a threat to the personal safety of you and your family? Would you say drinking and driving by MULTIPLE OFFENDERS is (read 1-3)?						
	1 2 3	A major threat A minor threat, (Not a threat	DR				
	4 5	(DK) (Refused)		(1518)			
(Ther	e are		ENFORCEMENT and #112)				
(READ		and opinions of o	ions are about your actual experiences with, drinking and driving laws.				
113.		you been arrested me in the past two	for a drinking and driving violation years?				
	1	Yes - (Continue)				
	2 3 4	No (DK) (Refused)	(Skip to #116) (Skip to #116) (Skip to #116)	(1521)			
114.	years		ask:) How many times in the past two code actual number) (NOTE TO SURVENT: # #113 to "2")				

(1522) (1523)

(There are no questions #115 or 115a)

116.	In your opinion, should the penalties for violating drinking and driving laws be (read 1-6) ?	
	Much more severe Somewhat more severe Much less severe Stay the same as they are now, OR No penalties should be given	
	7 (DK) 8 (Refused)	(1524)
116a.	To the best of your knowledge, does your state have any law that makes it illegal to have an open container of alcohol inside the car while someone is driving? (INTERVIEWER NOTE: Someone does NOT have to be drinking the alcohol; the bottle, glass or can just needs to be open or have a broken seal. Open containers in the trunk of a car or back part of a van or SUV do not count as the container needs to be within reach of the driver)	
	1 Yes 2 No 3 (DK) 4 (Refused)	(1733)
116b.	Do you think that your state SHOULD have this type of open-container law? (INTERVIEWER NOTE: Someone does NOT have to be drinking the alcohol; the bottle, glass or can just needs to be open or have a broken seal. Open containers in the trunk of a car or back part of a van or SUV do not count as the container needs to be within reach of the driver) 1 Yes	
	2 No 3 (DK) 4 (Refused)	(1734)
(There	e are no questions #117-#119)	

SOBRIETY CHECK-POINT ITEMS

120.	check	point,	where	months, drivers impaired	are	stoppe	_		-
	1	Yes	- (Con	tinue)					

No 2 (Skip to #122) 3 (DK)

(Skip to #122) (Refused) (Skip to #122)

(If code "1" in #120, ask:) How many times have you been 121. through a checkpoint in the last twelve months? (Open ended and code actual number)

000 None

365 Every day

DK (DK)

RF (Refused)

(1547 - 1549)

- 122. Do you think sobriety checkpoints should be used more frequently, about the same as they are now, or less frequently?
 - More frequently
 - 2 About the same
 - 3 Less frequently
 - 4 (DK)
 - 5 (Refused)

(1550)

____(1551)

(1546)

(There is no question #122a)

BAC LIMITS

- 123. The amount of alcohol in a person's body can be measured in terms of the "Blood Alcohol Concentration", which is often called the BAC (B-A-C) level. Have you ever heard of blood alcohol concentration or BAC levels?
 - Yes (Continue)

2 No (Skip to #125a) 3 (DK) (Skip to #125a)

(Refused) (Skip to #125a)

©THE GALLUP ORGANIZATION

40 **NHTSA** NATIONAL DRINKING & DRIVING

(There is no question #124)

- 125. To the best of your knowledge, what is the specific BAC limit for your state at which a person would be considered legally intoxicated? (Open ended and code) (INTERVIEWER NOTE: If respondent indicates there are different levels based on age, ask for the limit for age 21 or older)
 - 01 Other (list)
 - 02 (DK)
 - 03 (Refused)
 - 04 HOLD
 - 05 HOLD
 - 06 .01/Point 01
 - 07 .02/Point 02
 - 08 .03/Point 03
 - 09 .04/Point 04
 - 10 .05/Point 05
 - 11 .06/Point 06
 - 12 .07/Point 07
 - 13 .08/Point 08
 - 14 .09/Point 09
 - 15 .10/Point one "oh"
 - Zero/None/0.0/Any alcohol is illegal
 - 17 1.0/One point zero
 - 18 1.5/One point five
 - 19 .8/Point 8
 - 20 .15/Point one five
 - 21 .20/Point two oh
 - 22 .5/Point five

(1553) (1554)

- 125a. LEGAL LIMIT: (Autocode based on "State")
 - 1 (If code "08-10", "19", "22", "25-28", "30", "32", "34", "36", "38-39", "42", "45-47" or "54-56" in "STATE":) .10 (point one zero)
 - 2 (If code "01-02", "04-06", "11", "12-13", "15-18", "20-21", "23-24", "29", "31", "33", "35", "37", "40-41", "44", "48", "49", "50", "51" or "53" in "STATE":) .08 (point zero eight) (1555)

126.	opinion to dri	egal limit in your state is (response in #125a). In your on, how many beers would a person about your size have ink in a two-hour period to just reach the legal limit esponse in #125a)? (Open ended and code actual number) TO SURVENT: Block "31-99")		
	00 30	None/Less than one 30 or more		
	DK	(DK) - (probe for best estimate)		
	RF	(Refused)		
		- -	(1556)	(1557)
127.		ur opinion, how many drivers would actually be dangerous ng with a BAC at the legal limit? Would you say (read 1-		
	1 2 3 4 5	All Most Some Few, OR None		
	6 7	(DK) (Refused)		_(1558)
(There	are n	no questions #128 - #130)		
130a.	_	ur state, is the legal BAC LIMIT the same for drivers 21 as older drivers?		
	1 2 3 4	Yes No (DK) (Refused)		_(1563)
(Quest	ion #1	.30d moved to S4b)		

(If code "1" in S4b,

- INTERVIEWER READ:) A person's BAC (blood alcohol content) is determined by factors such as gender, body weight, the amount of alcohol consumed and how much food they have recently eaten. An average 170 pound man would have to drink about five beers in a two-hour period on an empty stomach, to reach a BAC of .08 (point oh-eight). He would have to drink about six beers in an hour to reach a BAC of .10.
- 130e. (If code "1" in #125a, ask:) The BAC limit in your state is currently .10 (point one-oh). In your opinion, should the BAC level in your state be lowered; that is, made stricter to a level of .08 (point oh-eight), or should it stay at its current level of .10?
 - 1 Lowered; that is, made stricter
 - 2 Stay at current level
 - 3 (DK)
 - 4 (Refused)
 - 5 (Raised, or made looser)

(1568)

(There is no question #130e-1)

- 130f. (If code "2" in #125a, ask:) The BAC limit in your state is currently .08 (point oh-eight). In your opinion, should the BAC level in your state be raised; that is, made looser to a level of .10 (point one-oh), or should it stay at its current level of .08?
 - 1 Raised; that is, made looser
 - 2 Stay at current level
 - 3 (DK)
 - 4 (Refused)
 - 5 (Lowered; that is, made stricter)

(1569)

CRASH/INJURY ITEMS

- 131a. In the past two years, have you been involved in a crash while driving a motor vehicle in which there was damage to your vehicle or another vehicle?
 - 1 Yes (Continue)

2 No (Skip to #135a) 3 (DK) (Skip to #135a) 4 (Refused) (Skip to #135a)

(1570)

133. (If code "1" in #131a, ask:) Was anyone injured (in any of these crashes)?

©THE GALLUP ORGANIZATION

	1 Yes 2 No 3 (DK) 4 (Refused)	(1571)
134.	(If code "1-6" in #15, ask:) Had you consumed alcohol within two hours prior to the crash?	
	1 Yes 2 No 3 (DK) 4 (Refused)	(1572)
135a.	In the past two years, have you been in a crash where you were a passenger?	
	1 Yes - (Continue)	
	2 No (Skip to #139) 3 (DK) (Skip to #139) 4 (Refused) (Skip to #139)	(1573)
(Ther	e are no questions #135b and #136)	
137.	<pre>(If code "1" in #135a, ask:) Was anyone injured (in any of these crashes)?</pre>	
	1 Yes 2 No 3 (DK) 4 (Refused)	(1612)
138.	Had your driver consumed alcohol within two hours before getting behind the wheel?	
	1 Yes 2 No 3 (DK)	
	4 (Refused)	(1613)

139.	strat drivi the f if you effect effect	his last section, I am going to ask you about specific regies that some believe will reduce or prevent drunking. In your opinion, how effective do you think each of following strategies would be? For each, please tell me ou think the strategy would be very effective, somewhat cive, neither effective nor ineffective, not very cive, or not at all effective. How about (read and the A-G, as appropriate)?	
	1	Very effective	
	2	Somewhat effective	
	3 4	Neither effective nor ineffective Not very effective, OR	
	5	Not at all effective	
	6	(DK)	
	7	(Refused)	
	Α.	(If code "2" in S4b ask:) Increasing police and other law enforcement efforts to arrest drunken drivers	(1739
	В.	(If code "1" in S4b ask:) Reducing the number of places selling alcohol or making it more difficult to get alcohol at certain times or days of the week	(1740)
	C.	(If code "2" in S4b ask:) Increasing the costs of alcohol, for example, through higher taxes on liquor sales	(1741
	D.	(If code "1" in S4b ask:) Making bars and stores that sell alcohol more legally responsible for selling to minors or drunk patrons, for example, by making them financially responsible for damages caused by drunk people who leave their place, or revoking or suspending the liquor licenses of those who sell to minors	(1742)
	E.	(If code "2" in S4b ask:) Providing people who have had too much to drink an alternate way of getting home other than driving themselves	(1743)
	F.	(If code "1" in S4b ask:) Limiting the amount of certain types of alcohol advertising and producing more public service announcements about the dangers of drunken driving	(1744
	G.	(If code "2" in S4b ask:) Making treatment for alcoholism and alcohol abuse problems more available to	

people

____(1745)

140.	penal offer is approat at a	appropriate do you feel that the following potential lties are for first time drinking and driving violation nders? For each, please tell me if you think the penalty very appropriate, somewhat appropriate, neither opriate nor inappropriate, not very appropriate, or not all appropriate. How about (read and rotate A-D, as opriate)?	
	1 2 3 4 5	Very appropriate Somewhat appropriate Neither appropriate nor inappropriate Not very appropriate, OR Not at all appropriate	
	6 7	(DK) (Refused)	
	Α.	(If code "1" in S4b ask:) Suspending their license for one year (so they cannot legally drive)	(1384)
	В.	(If code "2" in S4b ask:) Five-day minimum jail sentence	(1385)
	С.	(If code "1" in S4b ask:) Impounding of their vehicle	(1386)
	D.	(If code "2" in S4b ask:) Having a breath-a-lizer locking device mounted to their vehicle that they have to blow into to show they have not been drinking, in order for the vehicle engine to start	(1387)

(NOTE TO INTERVIEWER: Thank respondent and tell them they are almost done)

DEMOGRAPHICS BEGIN HERE:

(READ	<u>:)</u>	Now, I have just a few last questions ONLY to help us make sure we have included enough people from different backgrounds so that our poll will be accurate. [(If necessary, say:) I want to assure you again that Gallup has a strict policy never to release this information outside of our company.]		
D1.	AGE:	What is your age? (Open ended and code actual age)		
	99	99+		
	DK RF	(DK) (Continue) (Refused) (Continue)		
			(1614)	(1615)
Dla.	AGE:	(If "DK" or "RF" in D1, ask:) Could you please tell me in which of the following age categories you belong? (Read 1-5)		
	1 2 3 4 5	16 to 20 21 to 29 30 to 45 46 to 64, OR 65 and older		
	6 7	(DK) (Refused)		_(1616)
D1b.	your	any children, under 18 years of age, currently reside in household? Please do not count students living away from or boarders. (Open ended and code actual number)		
	0 7 8	None 7 or more (DK)		44.54.5
	9	(Refused)		_(1746)

D2.	EMPL	OYMENT STA	TUS: Are you currently employed full-time, part- time, un-employed and looking for work, retired, going to school, a homemaker, or do you do something else?		
	01	Somethin	ng else (list)		
	02	(DK)			
	03	(Refused			
	04	HOLD			
	05	HOLD			
	06		full-time		
	07		l part-time		
	08		red and looking for work		
	09	Retired	a sha a l		
	10 11	Going to Homemake			
	12	(Disable			
D3.	EDUC		What is the highest grade or year of school you have completed? (Open ended and code)	(1617)	(1018)
	01	No forma	l education		
	02		rough 7th grade		
	03	8th grad			
	04		rh school		
	05		ool graduate		
	06	Some col			
	07		r college graduate		
	08		duate school		
	09	Graduate	e aegree		
	10	(DK)			
	11	(Refused	1)		
				(1619)	(1620)

D4.	MARITAL STATUS: Are you currently married, divorced, separated, widowed, or single?						
	1 2 3 4 5 6	Married Divorced Separated Widowed Single (DK) (Refused)				(1621)	
D5.	ETHN		Are you of descent?	Hispanic or Latino origin or			
	1	Yes - (Con	tinue)				
	2 3 4	No (DK) (Refused)		(Skip to D6) (Skip to D6) (Skip to D6)		(1622)	
D5a.		code "1" in D5 ic background?		would you say is your primary			
	01 02 03 04 05 06	Cuban Mexican Spanish South America Central America Puerto Rican Something ela	ican , OR				
	08 09 10	(Multiple - ((DK) (Refused)	cannot choose	e one)			
					(1747)	(17/8)	

D6.	RACE:	Which of these gr background? (Read three responses)				
	01	OR, some other racial gr	coup (list)			
	02 03	(DK) (Refused)	(Skip to D7a) (Skip to D7a)			
	04 05	HOLD HOLD				
	06 07 08	White Black or African-America Asian (includes Chinese, Filipino, Japanese, Asian Indian, Korean, Vietnamese, other Asia				
	09	American Indian or Alask				
	10	(Hispanic)				
	11 12	Native Hawaiian Other Pacific Islander				
					.st Resp:	(1623) (1624)
					2nd Resp:	(1751) (1752)
				_	Brd Resp:	(1753) (1754)

(If code "10" in D6, Continue;
Otherwise, Skip to "Note" before D6b)

D6a.	Do y Hispa	ou consider yourself to be white-Hispanic or black- nic?
	01 02 03 04 05	Other (list) (DK) (Refused) HOLD HOLD
	06 07 08	White-Hispanic Black-Hispanic (Hispanic/Respondent refused to discriminate)
		(1637) (1638) (If more than ONE response in D6, Continue;
D6b.		ONE of these groups; that is <u>(responses in D6, as priate)</u> BEST describes you? (Open ended and code)
	01 02 03	OR, some other racial group (list) (DK) (Refused)
	04 05	HOLD HOLD
	06 07 08	White Black or African-American Asian (includes Chinese, Filipino, Japanese, Asian Indian, Korean, Vietnamese, other Asian)
	09 10 11 12	American Indian or Alaskan Native (Hispanic) Native Hawaiian Other Pacific Islander
	/175	(1752)
	(1756	(DEMOGRAPHICS CONTINUED)

©THE GALLUP ORGANIZATION

D7a.		you a licensed driver; that is, do you have a ver's license?	alid
	1 2 3 4	Yes No (DK) (Refused)	(1626)
D8.	INCOM	Which of the following categories best descr your total household income before taxes in 2 Your best estimate is fine. Would it be <u>(reactor)</u> ?	000?
	1 2 3 4 5 6 7	Less than \$5,000 \$5,000 to less than \$15,000 \$15,000 to less than \$30,000 \$30,000 to less than \$50,000 \$50,000 to less than \$75,000 \$75,000 to less than \$100,000, OR \$100,000 or more	
	8 9	(DK) (Refused)	(1627)
D9.	person class:	cly, the effects of alcohol on driving can vary from on to another, depending on their body weight. Sification purposes only, please tell me your approximat? (Open ended and code actual number of pounds)	For
	DK RF	(DK) (Refused)	
			(1628 - 1630)
D10.	GENDE	CR: (Do not ask; code only)	
	1 2	Male Female	(1790)
		(DEMOGRAPHICS CONTINUED)	

©THE GALLUP ORGANIZATION

D11a.	have a	nalysis purposes only, please tell me, does your household a single phone NUMBER, or does it have more than ONE phone R coming into it? (NOTE TO INTERVIEWER: We need the number of rent phone lines, NOT the number of TELEPHONES in the	
	1 2 3 4	Single phone number More than one phone number (DK) (Refused)	(1749)
(NOTE	:	If code "1", "3" or "4" in D11a, Autocode "1" in D11, and Skip to "Interviewer Read" after D11; Otherwise, Continue)	
D11.	used s	NOT including lines dedicated to a fax machine, modem or strictly for business purposes, how many different phone RS do you have coming into your household? (Open ended ode)	
	1 2 3 4 5	One Two Three Four Five or more	
	6 7	(DK) (Refused)	(1636)
(READ:	<u>:)</u>	Again, this is, with The Gallup Organization of Lincoln, Nebraska. I'd like to thank you for your time. Our mission is to "help people be heard", and your opinions are important to Gallup in accomplishing this.	
		(VALIDATE PHONE NUMBER AND THANK RESPONDENT)	
		INTERVIEWER I.D. #:	(571- 574)
** <u>(CO</u>	DE ONLY	Was this interview conducted in English or Spanish?	
	1 2	English Spanish	(1780)
jlw\20	001\NHT	TSA\nhtsa-drinking & driving-0110	