

UNITED STATES HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENT REFORM – MINORITY STAFF SPECIAL INVESTIGATIONS DIVISION SEPTEMBER 2003

THE DISABLED VETERANS TAX IMPACTS THOUSANDS OF VETERANS IN TEXAS

PREPARED FOR

REP. CHET EDWARDS
REP. MARTIN FROST
REP. CHARLES W. STENHOLM
REP. SOLOMON P. ORTIZ
REP. GENE GREEN
REP. EDDIE BERNICE JOHNSON
REP. LLOYD DOGGETT
REP. RUBÉN HINOJOSA
REP. SILVESTRE REYES
REP. MAX SANDLIN
REP. JIM TURNER
REP. CIRO D. RODRIGUEZ
REP. CHARLES A. GONZALEZ

The Disabled Veterans Tax Impacts Thousands of Veterans in Texas

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Executive S	Summary1	
The Disabl	ed Veterans Tax	
Legislative	Efforts To Eliminate the Disabled Veterans Tax	
Objective a	nd Methodology4	
Findings		
1.	The Disabled Veterans Tax Affects Thousands of Veterans in Texas	
2.	The Disabled Veterans Tax Costs Veterans in Texas Millions of Dollars Annually	
3.	Legislation To Eliminate the Disabled Veterans Tax Would Have Significant Benefits in Texas	
Conclusion	6	
	: Impact of the Disabled Veterans Tax by Congressional District in	

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Every year, hundreds of thousands of disabled military veterans pay a "Disabled Veterans Tax" that effectively forces them to give up their disability benefits from the Department of Veterans Affairs. At the request of Reps. Edwards. Frost, Stenholm, Ortiz, Green, Johnson, Doggett, Hinojosa, Reyes, Sandlin, Turner, Rodriguez, and Gonzalez, this report analyzes the impact of this regressive tax on veterans in Texas.

Under current law, veterans with 20 years of military service are entitled to receive retirement benefits from the Department of Defense. In addition, veterans who incurred service-related disabilities are entitled to receive disability compensation benefits from the Department of Veterans Affairs. If a veteran has both 20 years of military service and a service-related disability, however, the veteran's military retirement benefit is reduced on a dollar-for-dollar basis by the amount the veteran receives in disability compensation. This reduction in the veteran's retirement benefits is commonly known as the "Disabled Veterans Tax." Effectively, the disability compensation received by these veterans is taxed at a 100% rate.

This report contains the first analysis of the effect of the Disabled Veterans Tax in Texas. The analysis finds that the Disabled Veterans Tax has a significant impact on veterans in the state. Specifically, it finds that:

- Thousands of veterans in Texas are subject to the Disabled Veterans Tax. Approximately 65,200 veterans in Texas lose military retirement benefits due to the Disabled Veterans Tax. More than one out of every three retired veterans in the state (38%) is subject to the Disabled Veterans Tax.
- The Disabled Veterans Tax costs veterans in Texas millions of dollars annually. Statewide, veterans subject to the Disabled Veterans Tax lose approximately \$386 million in benefits annually. This is an average of approximately \$5,910 per affected veteran in the state. For these veterans, this amounts to an estimated reduction in military benefits of 24%.
- Legislation to end the Disabled Veterans Tax would help veterans in Texas. The Texas representatives who requested this report have all cosponsored H.R. 303, the Retired Pay Restoration Act of 2003. Passage of this bill would end the Disabled Veterans Tax for any veteran with over 20 years of military service, restoring full benefits to veterans in Texas.

THE DISABLED VETERANS TAX

Disabled military retirees receive benefits through two agencies, the Department of Defense and the Department of Veterans Affairs.

Veterans who have served for at least 20 years in the military receive standard retirement benefits from the Department of Defense. These benefits are determined by multiplying 2.5% of the service member's final basic pay by the number of years of service. Thus, a service member who has served for 20 years would receive annual retirement benefits equal to 50% of their final basic pay. Presently, there are an estimated 1.4 million retired veterans who receive average retirement pay of \$22,000 annually.¹

Veterans who have service-related disabilities also receive compensation through the VA. The goal of these payments is to compensate the veterans for the reduction in earnings capacity resulting from disabilities incurred during military service.² These payments are based on a scale of disability severity. This scale ranges from 10% disabled to 100% disabled. Average monthly disability payments in 2002 ranged from approximately \$100 for a veteran with a 10% disability to \$2,100 for a veteran who was 100% disabled.³

There are presently an estimated 560,000 retired service members who qualify for military retirement benefits and VA disability benefits. An 1891 law, however, bars "concurrent receipt" of both military retirement benefits and disability benefits. As a result, for veterans who receive both military retirement benefits from DOD and disability benefits from the VA, military retirement benefits are reduced dollar-for-dollar for each dollar of VA disability benefits.⁴

Congressional Research Service, Military Retirement: Major Legislative Issues (July 10, 2003). In addition, retirees with less than 20 years service can also receive disability retirement benefits if they have either (1) completed at least 8 years of creditable military service and received a physical disability rating of 30% or greater from an evaluation board or (2) incurred a disability resulting from active duty. There are an estimated 100,000 of these service members currently receiving disability retirement.

² GAO, Military and Veterans Benefits: Observations on the Concurrent Receipt of Military Retirement and VA Disability Compensation (Mar. 2003) (GAO-03-575T). Additional benefits are paid to veterans who are at least 30% disabled and have dependents and to very severely disabled veterans who qualify for "special monthly compensation."

³ Id.

Disabled retirees can choose to have either their military retirement benefits reduced by the amount of their VA compensation, or their VA compensation reduced by the amount of their retirement benefit. Because military retirement benefits are taxable, while VA compensation is not, the vast majority of retirees choose to reduce their military compensation.

The result of this policy — known as "the Disabled Veterans Tax" — is that hundreds of thousands of disabled veterans are denied billions of dollars in benefits each year. According to data from the Department of Defense, an estimated 565,000 veterans lose over \$3 billion in benefits annually due to the Disabled Veterans Tax.

LEGISLATIVE EFFORTS TO ELIMINATE THE DISABLED VETERANS TAX

Periodically, Congress has made efforts to end the restrictions on concurrent receipt of military retiree and VA disability benefits. Most recently, in the 107th Congress in 2002, both the House and the Senate passed legislation to restore benefits to many veterans.⁵

Ultimately, neither the House nor the Senate proposals were enacted into law. The Bush Administration threatened to veto the entire DOD authorization legislation if either proposal was included in the final legislation. As a result, the final version of the legislation provided for "special pay" not subject to the offset only for veterans who suffered an injury for which they received a Purple Heart or who had a severe disability rated at 60% or more resulting from armed conflict, hazardous service, training activities that simulate war, or war-related circumstances.⁶ This law requires DOD to make a complicated calculation concerning each disability of each disabled retiree to determine which disabilities are combat related and therefore qualify for the special pay.

The final legislation was so narrowly crafted that it allows only an estimated 33,000 veterans to receive both military retirement and disability benefits. And it is so complicated that it may take years for retirees to prove their entitlement to special pay. For example, in cases involving a disability resulting from a retiree's collision with an armored tank, payment of special compensation will depend upon whether the tank hit the retiree or the retiree hit the tank.

The House legislation would have allowed veterans with disability ratings of 60% or greater to receive both military retirement benefits and VA disability benefits. This legislation would have restored benefits to an estimated 111,000 veterans. The Senate legislation would have allowed all disabled veterans to receive both military retirement pay and VA disability benefits. See Congressional Research Service, Concurrent Receipt of Military Retirement and VA Disability Benefits: Budgetary Issues (Nov. 15, 2002).

⁶ H.R. 4546, FY 2003 DOD Authorization Act (Nov. 2002).

⁷ CRS, *supra* note 5.

Department of Defense, *Combat-Related Special Compensation (CRSC) Web Site* (2003) (online at https://www.dmdc.osd.mil/crsc/).

Frustrated by Congress' repeated failures to repeal the Disabled Veterans Tax, Reps. Edwards. Frost, Stenholm, Ortiz, Green, Johnson, Doggett, Hinojosa, Reyes, Sandlin, Turner, Rodriguez, and Gonzalez and other members of the House of Representatives launched a renewed legislative effort to end the tax in the 108th Congress. The goal of this effort is to enact legislation cosponsored by these representatives: the Retired Pay Restoration Act of 2003 (H.R. 303). This legislation would eliminate the prohibition on concurrent receipt of veterans' retirements and disability benefits for all military retirees with at least 20 years of service. H.R. 303 currently has 352 cosponsors in the House.

Republican leaders in Congress oppose H.R. 303 and have not allowed a vote on the bill despite its broad support. In response, Rep. Jim Marshall (D-GA) filed a "discharge petition" on June 12, 2003, that would force House leaders to allow a vote on the bill. If the discharge petition receives the signatures of 218 House members (a majority of the House), House rules require a vote on the legislation. There are presently 200 Democratic members, one Republican member, and one independent member of the House who have signed the discharge petition. The Texas representatives who requested this report have all signed the discharge petition.

In another effort to end the Disabled Veterans Tax, Rep. Chet Edwards offered an amendment to the FY 2004 Budget Resolution in March 2003 that would have provided funding for a complete abolition of the tax. The amendment, which was offered during consideration of the bill by the House Budget Committee, was rejected by a party-line vote of 22 to 17.¹¹

OBJECTIVE AND METHODOLOGY

This report was requested by Rep. Chet Edwards, who represents the 11th Congressional District of Texas, Rep. Martin Frost, who represents the 24th Congressional District of Texas, Rep. Charles W. Stenholm, who represents the 17th Congressional District of Texas, Rep. Solomon P. Ortiz, who represents the 27th Congressional District of Texas, Rep. Gene Green, who represents the 29th Congressional District of Texas, Rep. Eddie Bernice Johnson, who represents the 30th Congressional District of Texas, Rep. Lloyd Doggett, who represents the 10th Congressional District of Texas, Rep. Rubén Hinojosa, who represents the 15th Congressional District of Texas, Rep. Silvestre Reyes, who represents the

House Speaker Dennis Hastert, *Transcript of Press Conference* (June 26, 2003) (online at http://releases.usnewswire.com/GetRelease.asp?id=137-06272003).

Discharge Petition 108-002.

H. Con. Res. 95, vote 20. Twenty-two Republican members of the committee voted against the amendment, and 17 Democratic members of the committee voted for the amendment.

16th Congressional District of Texas, Rep. Max Sandlin, who represents the 1st Congressional District of Texas, Rep. Jim Turner, who represents the 2nd Congressional District of Texas, Rep. Ciro D. Rodriguez, who represents the 28th Congressional District of Texas, and Rep. Charles A. Gonzalez, who represents the 20th Congressional District of Texas. These members of Congress requested this report in order to determine (1) the impact of the Disabled Veterans Tax on veterans in Texas and (2) the potential benefits for veterans in the state from enactment of H.R. 303.

To conduct this analysis, the Special Investigations Division obtained and analyzed data from the Office of the Actuary of the Department of Defense. The data includes zip code—level data on the total number of military retirees who are forced to pay the Disabled Veterans Tax. It also includes data on the monthly cost of the tax. Using this database, the Special Investigations Division summarized the impact of the Disabled Veterans Tax statewide and in each of Texas's congressional districts. ¹²

FINDINGS

The Disabled Veterans Tax Affects Thousands of Veterans in Texas

There are 173,932 veterans in Texas who receive military retirement benefits. Of these veterans, 65,229 veterans — 38% — also receive disability benefits from the VA and are subject to the Disabled Veterans Tax.

The Disabled Veterans Tax Costs Veterans in Texas Millions of Dollars Annually

Statewide, the Disabled Veterans Tax costs veterans \$32.1 million each month in lost disability benefits. This is equivalent to \$386 million annually.

On a per-veteran basis, the Disabled Veterans Tax costs each of the 65,229 impacted veterans in Texas an average of \$5,910 annually.

The average retired veteran in Texas receives retirement benefits of approximately \$18,250 annually. The Disabled Veterans Tax reduces benefits for affected veterans in the state by an estimated 24%.

All data in the DOD database was available at the 5-digit zip code level. Because 5-digit zip codes can sometimes be split between two or more congressional districts, the analysis assumes that when a zip code is split between two districts, half of the impacted veterans in the zip code are in each congressional district. Similarly, when a zip code is split between three districts, one-third of the impacted veterans in the zip code are assumed to be in each congressional district.

Appendix 1 contains information on the effect of the Disabled Veterans Tax in each of the congressional districts in Texas.

Legislation To Eliminate the Disabled Veterans Tax Would Have Significant Benefits in Texas

Legislation cosponsored by Reps. Edwards. Frost, Stenholm, Ortiz, Green, Johnson, Doggett, Hinojosa, Reyes, Sandlin, Turner, Rodriguez, and Gonzalez, the Retired Pay Restoration Act of 2003 (H.R. 303), would eliminate the Disabled Veterans Tax for all retired veterans with at least 20 years of service. Passage of H.R. 303 would result in increased benefits for 65,229 veterans in Texas. These veterans would receive an increase in benefits of \$386 million annually.

CONCLUSION

An 1891 law prevents disabled veterans from receiving both military retirement and VA service-connected disability compensation. As a result, hundreds of thousands of military veterans are forced to pay the Disabled Veterans Tax, effectively reducing their benefits by billions of dollars each year. This analysis finds that 65,229 disabled veterans in Texas are forced to pay the Disabled Veterans Tax. Legislation cosponsored by Reps. Edwards, Frost, Stenhom, Ortiz, Green, Johnson, Doggett, Hinojosa, Reyes, Sandlin, Turner, Rodriguez, and Gonzalez would eliminate the Disabled Veterans Tax for these veterans.

Appendix 1: Impact of the Disabled Veterans Tax by Congressional District in Texas

District	Representative	Number of	Total	Average	% of All
Number	1	Veterans in	Annual	Lost	Qualifying
		District Who	Lost	Benefits Per	Retired Veterans
		Lose Benefits to	Benefits	Veteran	in District Who
		the Disabled			Lose Benefits to
		Veterans Tax			the Disabled
TOT 1	1. C 11:	1 401	#0.220.064	Ø (100	Veterans Tax
TX-1	Max Sandlin	1,491	\$9,228,064	\$6,189	30%
TX-2	Jim Turner	1,227	\$7,110,870	\$5,795	26%
TX-3	Sam Johnson	808	\$4,032,410	\$4,991	27%
TX-4	Ralph M. Hall	1,497	\$8,988,089	\$6,004	32%
TX-5	Jeb Hensarling	1,063	\$6,166,287	\$5,803	34%
TX-6	Joe Barton	1,821	\$9,919,920	\$5,446	33%
TX-7	John Abney Culberson	290	\$1,521,564	\$5,244	22%
TX-8	Kevin Brady	624	\$3,313,768	\$5,308	25%
TX-9	Nick Lampson	701	\$3,661,698	\$5,224	24%
TX-10	Lloyd Doggett	2,171	\$12,148,587	\$5,596	45%
TX-11	Chet Edwards	8,901	\$55,627,344	\$6,249	51%
TX-12	Kay Granger	1,996	\$10,751,340	\$5,386	28%
TX-13	Mac Thornberry	2,229	\$12,635,055	\$5,668	39%
TX-14	Ron Paul	1,993	\$11,297,746	\$5,668	34%
TX-15	Rubén Hinojosa	683	\$3,862,562	\$5,657	25%
TX-16	Silvestre Reyes	5,309	\$39,943,018	\$7,523	56%
TX-17	Charles W. Stenholm	2,642	\$14,911,804	\$5,643	32%
TX-18	Sheila Jackson-Lee	278	\$1,438,200	\$5,167	22%
TX-19	Randy Neugebauer	855	\$4,893,782	\$5,726	32%
TX-20	Charles A. Gonzalez	4,775	\$28,371,980	\$5,941	37%
TX-21	Lamar S. Smith	7,278	\$43,514,131	\$5,979	48%
TX-22	Tom DeLay	652	\$3,326,958	\$5,104	23%
TX-23	Henry Bonilla	4,706	\$26,455,883	\$5,621	44%
TX-24	Martin Frost	1,028	\$5,529,500	\$5,380	33%
TX-25	Chris Bell	375	\$2,133,062	\$5,691	22%
TX-26	Michael C. Burgess	1,111	\$5,315,986	\$4,785	30%
TX-27	Solomon P. Ortiz	1,183	\$6,701,268	\$5,663	25%
TX-28	Ciro D. Rodriguez	4,649	\$27,911,824	\$6,004	41%
TX-29	Gene Green	264	\$1,401,916	\$5,304	25%
TX-30	Eddie Bernice Johnson	716	\$3,867,567	\$5,400	31%
TX-31	John R. Carter	1,273	\$6,659,863	\$5,231	30%
TX-32	Pete Sessions	461	\$2,291,782	\$4,975	23%