PM/OZONE/REGIONAL HAZE IMPLEMENTATION AUGUST 1 - 2, 1995 FACT-GATHERING MEETING WITH STAKEHOLDERS IN PREPARATION FOR FEDERAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE ACT PROCESS

GOAL OF MEETING: Solicit early input, on key integrate d

designation and monitoring issues, from States, Tribes and local air quality agencies. This information will help form the framework of issues to be considered by the Federa language.

Advisory Committee Act (FACA) subcommittee.

QUESTIONS

- 1. Since the States must meet the Section 110 requirements to establish a monitoring network for a fine particulate matter (PM) standard, what criteria should be used to target prioricty monitoring areas (population centers, high concentration areas, rural/background areas, transport/upwind areas, agricultural areas and Class I areas)?
 - A. Are there benefits for common sampling locations for PM, regional haze and ozone?
 - B. How can existing PM10 and visi bility monitoring networks be linked with, or provide data for, a new fin e particulate matter network?
- 2. Should the existing criteria p ollutant monitoring networks be evaluated, given the possibility of new NAAQS and regiona laze programs, in view of limited resources?
- 3. What data and tools should be used in determinin g nonattainment designations and boundary size only monitori ng or a combination of monitoring, modeling, ratios?
 - A. Should health, economic impacts and risk exposure b e considered when designating areas to nonattainment?
- 4. How should transport be considered in designating NAAQ S nonattainment areas, and in establishing regional haz e planning groups?
 - A. Should sources contributing to the nonattainment status of downwind areas be included in the designate d nonattainment areas?
 - B. Is it reasonable to consider r egional designations where significant pollutant transport occurs across inter-stat e or intra-state lines?