

Appendix I

WIC FOOD PACKAGE

Table I.1.
Percentage of Adolescents that Actually Use Items in the WIC Food Package, By Race

Race	WIC Food Package Items									
	<i>Milk</i>	<i>Peanut Butter</i>	<i>Beans</i>	<i>Formula</i>	<i>Eggs</i>	<i>Cereal</i>	<i>Cheese</i>	<i>Juice</i>	<i>Carrots</i>	<i>Tuna</i>
White	95.1%* (1.9%)	90.0% (2.9%)	66.9% (6.6%)	99.6% (.3%)	95.1% (1.1%)	96.0% (1.6%)	96.7% (1.2%)	98.0% (.8%)	85.4% (12.1%)	58.3% (16.9%)
Black	93.9% (1.4%)	79.8% (2.3%)	76.9% (3.7%)	93.2% (2.9%)	93.1% (1.3%)	97.2% (1.2%)	96.9% (.7%)	97.4% (1.2%)	99.2% (1.1%)	98.5% (1.9%)
Hispanic	97.7% (.7%)	82.3% (2.6%)	94.5% (1.3%)	96.4% (1.4%)	95.9% (.7%)	95.9% (1.3%)	95.9% (.9%)	99.1% (.3%)	97.7% (2.1%)	98.4% (1.7%)
Other**	97.8% (2.0%)	95.6% (2.0%)	71.6% (4.3%)	99.9% (.2%)	95.5% (3.3%)	97.4% (2.3%)	56.3% (14.1%)	98.0% (1.9%)	50.0% (0.0%)	100.0% (0.0%)
Total	95.7% (.9%)	85.7% (1.7%)	77.5% (3.1%)	97.1% (.8%)	94.8% (.7%)	96.4% (.8%)	93.9% (2.3%)	98.1% (.5%)	92.9% (5.1%)	83.9% (7.8%)
Statistics	$\chi^2=7.8$; df=3; p-value=.07	$\chi^2=14.4$; df=3; p-value=.01	$\chi^2=29.6$; df=3; p-value=.00	$\chi^2=10.6$; df=3; p-value=.02	$\chi^2=3.2$; df=3; p-value=.37	$\chi^2=1.6$; df=3; p-value=.66	$\chi^2=1.8$; df=3; p-value=.63	$\chi^2=5.2$; df=3; p-value=.17	$\chi^2=2.2$; df=3; p-value=.55	$\chi^2=4.8$; df=3; p-value=.21

* Standard errors for percentage figures are in parentheses.

**The "other" category includes American Indian, Alaskan native, Asian, and Pacific Islander.

**Table I.2.
Percentage of Adolescents Who Believe WIC Provides
the Right Amount of Food, By Race**

Race	WIC Provides Appropriate Amount of Food		Total**
	Yes	No	
White	82.7%* (2.4%)	17.3% (2.4%)	100%
Black	74.6% (3.8%)	25.4% (3.8%)	100%
Hispanic	88.1% (1.3%)	11.9% (1.3%)	100%
Other***	94.2% (4.5%)	5.8% (4.5%)	100%
Total	83.1% (1.6%)	16.9% (1.6%)	100%

* Standard errors for percentage figures are in parentheses.

** Row percentages may not total to exactly 100% due to rounding.

*** The "other" category includes American Indian, Alaskan native, Asian, and Pacific Islander.

Note: $X^2=16.6$; $df=3$; $p\text{-value}=.00$

**Table I.3.
Percentage of Adolescents That Believe WIC Provides
the Right Amount of Eggs, By Race**

Race	WIC Provides Right Amount- Eggs			Total**
	<i>Need More</i>	<i>Right Amount</i>	<i>Too Much</i>	
White	16.2%* (2.3%)	76.6% (3.5%)	7.3% (2.7%)	100%
Black	13.8% (1.7%)	78.2% (5.1%)	8.1% (5.1%)	100%
Hispanic	32.5% (2.8%)	66.1% (2.7%)	1.5% (.8%)	100%
Other***	30.3% (5.4%)	69.0% (5.1%)	75.0% (.9%)	100%
Total	21.0% (1.5%)	73.6% (2.2%)	5.4% (1.8%)	100%

* Standard errors for percentage figures are in parentheses.

** Row percentages may not total to exactly 100% due to rounding.

*** The "other" category includes American Indian, Alaskan native, Asian, and Pacific Islander.

Note: $X^2 = 39.8$; $df=6$; $p\text{-value}=.00$

**Table I.4.
Percentage of Adolescents That Believe WIC Provides
the Right Amount of Milk, By Race**

Race	WIC Provides Right Amount- Milk			Total**
	<i>Need More</i>	<i>Right Amount</i>	<i>Too Much</i>	
White	26.3%* (2.1%)	67.9% (2.7%)	5.8% (2.1%)	100%
Black	20.0% (2.3%)	74.2% (2.5%)	5.8% (1.0%)	100%
Hispanic	33.5% (2.7%)	63.0% (2.8%)	3.5% (.7%)	100%
Other***	10.8% (7.8%)	73.1% (5.4%)	16.1% (3.2%)	100%
Total	25.3% (2.1%)	68.6% (1.6%)	6.1% (1.2%)	100%

* Standard errors for percentage figures are in parentheses.

** Row percentages may not total to exactly 100% due to rounding.

*** The "other" category includes American Indian, Alaskan native, Asian, and Pacific Islander.

Note: $X^2 = 20.5$; $df=6$; $p\text{-value}=.01$

**Table I.5.
Percentage of Adolescents That Believe WIC Provides
the Right Amount of Peanut Butter, By Race**

Race	WIC Provides Right Amount- Peanut Butter			Total**
	<i>Need More</i>	<i>Right Amount</i>	<i>Too Much</i>	
White	15.0%* (3.6%)	70.3% (4.6%)	14.7% (3.5%)	100%
Black	10.1% (2.8%)	79.9% (3.0%)	10.0% (2.5%)	100%
Hispanic	10.8% (1.9%)	80.0% (2.5%)	9.2% (1.4%)	100%
Other***	20.8% (9.8%)	64.4% (9.9%)	14.8% (5.8%)	100%
Total	13.0% (2.2%)	74.9% (2.6%)	12.2% (1.8%)	100%

* Standard errors for percentage figures are in parentheses.

** Row percentages may not total to exactly 100% due to rounding.

*** The "other" category includes American Indian, Alaskan native, Asian, and Pacific Islander.

Note: $X^2 = 11.3$; $df = 6$; $p\text{-value} = .11$

Table I.6.
Percentage of Adolescents That Believe WIC Provides
the Right Amount of Cereal, By Race

Race	WIC Provides Right Amount- Cereal			Total**
	<i>Need More</i>	<i>Right Amount</i>	<i>Too Much</i>	
White	29.4%* (4.1%)	62.9% (4.8%)	7.7% (2.2%)	100%
Black	32.6% (4.6%)	63.0% (4.2%)	4.4% (1.2%)	100%
Hispanic	25.4% (2.3%)	68.9% (2.6%)	5.7% (1.0%)	100%
Other***	29.7% (7.2%)	69.1% (7.9%)	1.2% (1.0%)	100%
Total	29.1% (2.4%)	65.0% (2.7%)	5.8% (1.2%)	100%

* Standard errors for percentage figures are in parentheses.

** Row percentages may not total to exactly 100% due to rounding.

*** The "other" category includes American Indian, Alaskan native, Asian, and Pacific Islander.

Note: $X^2 = 10.4$; $df = 6$; $p\text{-value} = .14$

**Table I.7.
Percentage of Adolescents That Believe WIC Provides
the Right Amount of Cheese, By Race**

Race	Food Amount- Cheese			Total**
	<i>Need More</i>	<i>Right Amount</i>	<i>Too Much</i>	
White	27.7%* (2.5%)	64.8% (2.7%)	7.6% (3.2%)	100%
Black	25.3% (3.3%)	71.4% (3.3%)	3.3% (.9%)	100%
Hispanic	33.8% (1.8%)	63.9% (1.7%)	2.3% (.9%)	100%
Other***	12.3% (8.4%)	86.1% (9.2%)	1.6% (1.3%)	100%
Total	27.8% (2.0%)	67.5% (2.2%)	4.7% (1.4%)	100%

* Standard errors for percentage figures are in parentheses.

** Row percentages may not total to exactly 100% due to rounding.

*** The "other" category includes American Indian, Alaskan native, Asian, and Pacific Islander.

Note: $X^2 = 11.0$; $df=6$; $p\text{-value}=.12$

**Table I.8.
Percentage of Adolescents That Believe WIC Provides
the Right Amount of Juice, By Race**

Race	WIC Provides Right Amount- Juice			Total**
	<i>Need More</i>	<i>Right Amount</i>	<i>Too Much</i>	
White	41.2%* (3.6%)	51.6% (4.0%)	7.1% (2.4%)	100%
Black	45.2% (3.6%)	52.8% (3.4%)	2.0% (.8%)	100%
Hispanic	45.9% (2.7%)	52.7% (2.6%)	1.4% (.5%)	100%
Other***	69.8% (7.6%)	30.1% (7.5%)	0.2% (.2%)	100%
Total	45.9% (2.5%)	50.3% (2.3%)	3.8% (1.1%)	100%

* Standard errors for percentage figures are in parentheses.

** Row percentages may not total to exactly 100% due to rounding.

*** The "other" category includes American Indian, Alaskan native, Asian, and Pacific Islander.

Note: $X^2 = 10.1$; $df = 6$; $p\text{-value} = .15$

Table I.9.
Percentage of Adolescents That Believe WIC Provides
the Right Amount of Beans, By Race

Race	WIC Provides Right Amount- Beans			Total**
	<i>Need More</i>	<i>Right Amount</i>	<i>Too Much</i>	
White	7.0%* (2.2%)	60.5% (8.1%)	32.5% (9.0%)	100%
Black	11.1% (3.0%)	74.5% (2.6%)	14.5% (4.0%)	100%
Hispanic	36.2% (2.8%)	62.1% (2.6%)	1.8% (1.1%)	100%
Other***	55.3% (9.5%)	44.3% (9.2%)	0.4% (.5%)	100%
Total	19.7% (2.7%)	63.3% (4.0%)	17.0% (3.7%)	100%

* Standard errors for percentage figures are in parentheses.

** Row percentages may not total to exactly 100% due to rounding.

*** The "other" category includes American Indian, Alaskan native, Asian, and Pacific Islander.

Note: $X^2 = 49.8$; $df = 6$; $p\text{-value} = .00$

Table I.10.
Percentage of Breastfeeding Adolescents That Believe WIC Provides
the Right Amount of Carrots, By Race

Race	WIC Provides Right Amount- Carrots			Total**
	<i>Need More</i>	<i>Right Amount</i>	<i>Too Much</i>	
White	0.0%* (0.0%)	95.1% (3.9%)	4.9% (3.9%)	100%
Black	5.2% (6.8%)	48.3% (3.4%)	46.5% (4.2%)	100%
Hispanic	19.3% (12.3%)	74.7% (12.3%)	6.0% (4.0%)	100%
Other***	50.0% (0.0%)	50.0% (0.0%)	0.0% (0.0%)	100%
Total	9.3% (4.8%)	74.6% (6.2%)	16.1% (8.3%)	100%

* Standard errors for percentage figures are in parentheses.

** Row percentages may not total to exactly 100% due to rounding.

*** The "other" category includes American Indian, Alaskan native, Asian, and Pacific Islander.

Note: $X^2 = 7.9$; $df=6$; $p\text{-value}=.27$

Table I.11.
Percentage of Breastfeeding Adolescents That Believe WIC Provides
the Right Amount of Tuna, By Race

Race	Food Amount- Tuna			Total**
	<i>Need More</i>	<i>Right Amount</i>	<i>Too Much</i>	
White	17.7%* (11.5%)	65.7% (19.3%)	16.6% (15.9%)	100%
Black	9.3% (10.8%)	89.0% (12.0%)	1.7% (2.1%)	100%
Hispanic	23.4% (12.7%)	75.8% (12.8%)	0.8% (.9%)	100%
Other***	50.0% (0.0%)	50.0% (0.0%)	0.0% (0.0%)	100%
Total	18.0% (7.5%)	75.3% (10.5%)	6.8% (6.1%)	100%

* Standard errors for percentage figures are in parentheses.

** Row percentages may not total to exactly 100% due to rounding.

*** The "other" category includes American Indian, Alaskan native, Asian, and Pacific Islander.

Note: $X^2 = 7.2$; $df = 6$; $p\text{-value} = .33$

**Table I.12.
Percentage of Adolescents That Believe WIC Provides
the Right Amount of Formula, By Race**

Race	Food Amount- Formula			Total**
	<i>Need More</i>	<i>Right Amount</i>	<i>Too Much</i>	
White	54.8%* (3.9%)	44.6% (3.8%)	0.6% (.3%)	100%
Black	62.6% (5.7%)	35.0% (4.9%)	2.4% (2.3%)	100%
Hispanic	60.7% (4.2%)	37.1% (4.2%)	2.3% (.8%)	100%
Other***	54.3% (4.3%)	42.1% (5.8%)	3.6% (4.1%)	100%
Total	58.4% (2.5%)	39.8% (2.3%)	1.8% (.9%)	100%

* Standard errors for percentage figures are in parentheses.

** Row percentages may not total to exactly 100% due to rounding.

*** The "other" category includes American Indian, Alaskan native, Asian, and Pacific Islander.

Note: $X^2 = 6.6$; $df=6$; $p\text{-value}=.39$

Table I.13
Distribution of Number of Servings of Fruits Eaten Yesterday

Number of Servings	Percentage
None	25.7%* (2.0%)
One	20.0% (1.4%)
Two	29.5% (1.4%)
Three	13.7% (1.3%)
Four	7.0% (1.0%)
Five or more	4.1% (0.6%)
Total**	100%
* Standard errors for percentage figures are in parentheses	
** Percentages may not total to exactly 100% due to rounding	

Table I.14	
Distribution of Number of Servings of Vegetables Eaten Yesterday	
Number of Servings	Percentage
None	20.1%* (1.9%)
One	24.5% (1.7%)
Two	28.4% (1.4%)
Three	15.2% (1.7%)
Four	6.3% (0.8%)
Five or more	4.8% (0.6%)
Total**	100%
* Standard errors for percentage figures are in parentheses	
** Percentages may not total to exactly 100% due to rounding	

Table I.15	
Distribution of Number of Servings of Meat or Beans Eaten Yesterday	
Number of Servings	Percentage
None	11.0%* (1.1%)
One	26.1% (2.5%)
Two	33.7% (1.9%)
Three	17.5% (1.5%)
Four	7.2% (1.1%)
Five or more	4.5% (0.8%)
Total**	100%
* Standard errors for percentage figures are in parentheses	
** Percentages may not total to exactly 100% due to rounding	

Appendix J
REFERRALS

**Table J.1.
Percentage of Adolescents Having Reported Participating
in the AFDC Program, By Age of Adolescent**

Respondent's Age	Ever Received AFDC		Total**
	Yes	No	
14 years old	25.2%* (5.3%)	74.8% (5.3%)	100%
15 years old	9.1% (2.9%)	90.9% (2.9%)	100%
16 years old	20.3% (4.0%)	79.7% (4.0%)	100%
17 years old	19.3% (5.9%)	80.7% (5.9%)	100%
18 years old	25.2% (4.4%)	74.9% (4.4%)	100%
19 years old	34.0% (6.7%)	66.0% (6.7%)	100%
Total	23.9% (2.8%)	76.1% (2.8%)	100%

* Standard errors for percentage figures are in parentheses.

** Row percentages may not total to exactly 100% due to rounding.

Note: $X^2 = 12.8$; $df = 5$; $p\text{-value} = .04$

Table J.2.
Percentage of Adolescents Having Received AFDC,
Medicaid, or Food Stamps, By Race/Language

Race/Language	Type of Assistance Received		
	<i>Ever Received AFDC</i>	<i>Ever Received Medicaid for Child</i>	<i>Ever Received Food Stamps</i>
White	18.3%* (4.3%)	70.7% (4.1%)	31.5% (3.7%)
Black	29.8% (5.1%)	75.1% (3.5%)	34.6% (5.2%)
Spanish Speaking Hispanic	10.6% (3.4%)	53.6% (5.5%)	25.7% (3.5%)
English Speaking Hispanic	22.6% (1.9%)	60.4% (3.2%)	35.7% (3.3%)
Other***	50.1% (7.1%)	74.3% (4.8%)	39.8% (3.2%)
Total	23.9% (2.8%)	68.9% (2.3%)	33.3% (2.4%)
Statistics	$X^2=13.5$; df=4; p-value=.02	$X^2=15.1$; df=4; p-value=.01	$X^2=11.7$; df=4; p-value=.04

* Standard errors for percentage figures are in parentheses.

*** The "other" category includes American Indian, Alaskan native, Asian, and Pacific Islander.

**Table J.3.
Percentage of Adolescents Reporting They Received a Referral
To The Food Stamp Program, by Race/Language**

Race/Language	Provided Referral for Food Stamps		Total**
	Yes	No	
White	52.4%* (5.1%)	47.6% (5.1%)	100%
Black	40.3% (6.5%)	59.7% (6.5%)	100%
Spanish Speaking Hispanic	24.2% (3.6%)	75.8% (3.6%)	100%
English Speaking Hispanic	29.7% (2.5%)	70.4% (2.5%)	100%
Other***	25.4% (4.6%)	74.6% (4.6%)	100%
Total	41.0% (3.4%)	59.0% (3.4%)	100%

* Standard errors for percentage figures are in parentheses.

** Row percentages may not total to exactly 100% due to rounding.

*** The "other" category includes American Indian, Alaskan native, Asian, and Pacific Islander.

Note: $X^2 = 17.2$; $df = 4$; $p\text{-value} = .01$

**Table J.4.
Method of Referrals Preferred by Adolescents, by Race/Language**

Race/Language	Method of Referral Most Preferred				Total**
	<i>Appointment Made by WIC Staff</i>	<i>Telephone Number Provided by WIC Staff</i>	<i>Walk Over to Program With WIC Staff</i>	<i>Brochure Provided by WIC Staff</i>	
White	37.4%* (5.4%)	28.5% (4.9%)	7.2% (2.5%)	26.8% (3.3%)	100%
Black	56.5% (4.0%)	20.8% (2.7%)	7.1% (1.5%)	15.6% (2.0%)	100%
Spanish Speaking Hispanic	61.2% (2.7%)	12.4% (2.5%)	3.9% (1.4%)	22.5% (2.9%)	100%
English Speaking Hispanic	54.2% (2.7%)	19.9% (2.4%)	4.0% (1.6%)	21.9% (2.0%)	100%
Other***	69.8% (7.5%)	18.7% (2.8%)	2.9% (2.3%)	8.5% (6.2%)	100%
Total	49.4% (3.4%)	23.2% (2.6%)	6.0% (1.2%)	21.4% (2.2%)	100%

* Standard errors for percentage figures are in parentheses.

** Row percentages may not total to exactly 100% due to rounding.

*** The "other" category includes American Indian, Alaskan native, Asian, and Pacific Islander.

Note: $X^2 = 30.3$; $df=12$; $p\text{-value}=.01$

**Table J.5.
Percentage of Postpartum Adolescents Reporting a WIC Referral For Information
on Creating a Safe Home Environment, By Baby's Enrollment Status**

Is Baby Currently Enrolled in WIC	WIC Provided Information About Creating a Safe Home Environment		Total**
	<i>Yes</i>	<i>No</i>	
Yes	46.7%* (5.0%)	53.4% (5.0%)	100%
No	46.4% (15.6%)	53.6% (15.6%)	100%
Not sure, baby just born	19.4% (7.0%)	80.6% (7.0%)	100%
Total	45.7% (4.9%)	54.4% (4.9%)	100%

* Standard errors for percentage figures are in parentheses.

** Row percentages may not total to exactly 100% due to rounding.

Note: $X^2 = 7.0$; $df = 2$; $p\text{-value} = .04$

Table J.6.
Percentage of Postpartum Adolescents Reporting a WIC Referral
For Information on Childhood Immunizations, By Baby's Enrollment Status

Is Baby Currently Enrolled in WIC	WIC Provided Information About Immunizations		Total**
	<i>Yes</i>	<i>No</i>	
Yes	52.2%* (4.4%)	47.8% (4.4%)	100%
No	28.5% (9.9%)	71.5% (9.9%)	100%
Not sure, baby just born	19.2% (8.1%)	80.8% (8.1%)	100%
Total	49.1% (4.5%)	51.0% (4.5%)	100%

* Standard errors for percentage figures are in parentheses.

** Row percentages may not total to exactly 100% due to rounding.

Note: $X^2=9.8$; $df=2$; $p\text{-value}=.01$

Appendix K

CLINIC DIRECTOR DATA

Table K.1.
Frequency of Clinic Directors' Perceptions of How Adolescents
Hear About the WIC Program, by Agency Type, For All Clinic Directors

Type of WIC Sponsoring Agency	Clinic Directors' Perceptions of How Teens Hear About the WIC Program							
	<i>Food Stamp Program</i>	<i>Medicaid</i>	<i>AFDC</i>	<i>Friends/Family</i>	<i>Social Worker</i>	<i>Doctors</i>	<i>Written Information</i>	<i>School/Teachers</i>
Local government public health department	59.0% (6.6%)*	72.1% (6.0%)	66.5% (6.3%)	100.0% (0.0%)	70.1% (6.8%)	89.6% (3.4%)	57.0% (6.1%)	60.1% (6.5%)
Private, non-profit agency	58.6% (12.6%)	81.5% (10.7%)	68.4% (11.7%)	100.0% (0.0%)	83.4% (9.0%)	90.9% (4.3%)	74.4% (9.1%)	63.9% (11.7%)
Local or district health office run by state employers	56.9% (10.5%)	84.1% (9.3%)	70.2% (10.8%)	100.0% (0.0%)	87.6% (6.9%)	94.8% (2.9%)	77.7% (8.34%)	58.7% (12.0%)
Total	58.6% (5.5%)	76.6% (5.0%)	67.6% (5.4%)	100.0% (0.0%)	76.6% (5.0%)	90.7% (2.4%)	65.3% (5.0%)	61.2% (5.5%)
Statistics	$X^2=.03$; df=2; p-value=.99	$X^2=1.4$; df=2; p-value=.50	$X^2=0.8$; df=2; p-value=.96	$X^2=$; df=0; p-value=	$X^2=2.9$; df=2; p-value=.23	$X^2=1.2$; df=2; p-value=.55	$X^2=4.4$; df2; p-value=.11	$X^2=.1$; df=2; p-value=.95

* Standard errors for percentage figures are in parentheses

** Row percentages may not total to exactly 100% due to rounding.

Table K.2.
Frequency of Clinic Directors' Perception of Barriers to
Enrollment by Type of Barrier, for All Clinic Directors

Barriers to Enrollment in the WIC Program	Frequency That Barriers Affect Decision to Enroll			
	<i>Very Often</i>	<i>Sometimes</i>	<i>Rarely</i>	<i>Total**</i>
Inconvenient Hours of Clinic Operation	4.5% (2.0%)*	27.8% (4.8%)	64.7% (5.0%)	100%
Inconvenient Clinic Location	5.7% (3.1%)	17.5% (3.3%)	76.8% (4.1%)	100%
Lack of Transportation to the Clinic	27.3% (4.6%)	36.2% (5.1%)	36.5% (5.2%)	100%
Teenagers' Belief That They Do Not Need WIC Services	16.6% (4.1%)	46.5% (5.7%)	36.9% (5.3%)	100%
Teenagers' Belief That They Do Not Qualify For WIC Services	12.1% (3.8%)	39.5% (5.6%)	48.4% (5.7%)	100%
Teenagers' Lack of Awareness About WIC	25.9% (4.5%)	50.4% (5.7%)	23.8% (4.6%)	100%
Teenagers Don't Know They Are Pregnant	38.3% (5.9%)	40.2% (5.6%)	21.5% (4.4%)	100%
Teenagers' Belief That the WIC Program Has a Welfare Stigma	11.3% (3.2%)	36.2% (5.3%)	52.6% (5.7%)	100%
Teenagers Don't Like WIC Foods	5.9% (2.6%)	22.2% (4.0%)	72.0% (4.5%)	100%
Waiting Period to Get An Appointment and Enroll in WIC	1.7% (.89%)	16.0% (3.5%)	82.3% (3.6%)	100%
Teenagers Being Embarrassed to Be on WIC	4.2% (1.5%)	43.2% (5.4%)	52.5% (5.6%)	100%
Reluctance to Ask For Public Assistance	15.6% (4.4%)	45.1% (5.5%)	39.3% (5.2%)	100%
Teenagers Not Wanting Others To Know That They Are Pregnant	34.8% (5.7%)	39.1% (5.1%)	26.1% (4.6%)	100%

* Standard errors for percentage figures are in parentheses

** Row percentages may not total to exactly 100% due to rounding.

Table K.3.
Frequency of Clinic Directors' Perception of People Who Influence
WIC Adolescents' Food Choices, for All Clinic Directors

People That Influence WIC Adolescents' Food Choices	Frequency That Food Choices Are Influenced			
	<i>A Lot</i>	<i>A Little</i>	<i>Not At All</i>	<i>Total**</i>
Parents	50.6%* (4.9%)	43.8% (5.0%)	5.6% (1.9%)	100%
Friends	85.4% (3.2%)	13.9% (3.2%)	0.7% (0.4%)	100%
Teachers	4.1% (1.6%)	74.7% (4.0%)	21.2% (3.8%)	100%
Family	17.4% (3.2%)	78.4% (3.4%)	4.2% (1.2%)	100%
WIC Staff	31.2% (4.8%)	68.4% (4.8%)	0.5% (0.5%)	100%
Health Care Professionals	23.9% (4.3%)	73.5% (4.4%)	2.7% (1.1%)	100%
Husband, Boyfriend, or Father of Baby	65.0% (4.9%)	32.4% (4.8%)	2.6% (0.9%)	100%

* Standard errors for percentage figures are in parentheses
** Row percentages may not total to exactly 100% due to rounding.

Table K.4.
Frequency of Clinic Directors' Perception of Factors Believed to
Influence WIC Adolescents' Food Choices, for All Clinic Directors

Factors That Influence WIC Adolescents' Food Choices	Frequency that Food Choices Are Influenced			
	<i>A Lot</i>	<i>A Little</i>	<i>Not At All</i>	<i>Total**</i>
TV/Radio	60.4%* (5.7%)	34.0% (5.7%)	5.6% (1.8%)	100%
Books/Magazines	20.0% (4.4%)	67.1% (5.7%)	12.9% (5.3%)	100%
Availability of Food in the Home	89.8% (2.9%)	10.2% (2.9%)	0.0% (0.0%)	100%
Availability of Food in the Local Stores	59.2% (5.2%)	28.4% (4.4%)	12.4% (3.3%)	100%
Convenience of Preparation	95.4% (1.3%)	3.7% (1.1%)	0.9% (0.7%)	100%
Nutritional Value of Foods	5.4% (2.0%)	74.4% (4.8%)	20.3% (4.8%)	100%
Cost of Food	56.6% (5.9%)	39.9% (6.0%)	3.6% (1.3%)	100%
Taste of Food	94.7% (1.7%)	5.2% (1.7%)	0.1% (0.1%)	100%

* Standard errors for percentage figures are in parentheses

** Row percentages may not total to exactly 100% due to rounding.

Table K.5.
Percentage of Clinic Directors Reporting Nutrition Education Methods
Used at the First Visit, for All Clinic Directors

	<i>Mostly one-on-one individual sessions</i>	<i>Mostly group classes taught by WIC staff</i>	<i>A Combinaton of one-on-one and group sessions</i>	<i>Another Method</i>	<i>Total**</i>
Nutrition education method used at the first visit	87.7% (2.8%)	1.4% (0.6%)	10.9% (2.7%)	0% (0%)	100%

* Standard errors for percentage figures are in parentheses

** Row percentages may not total to exactly 100% due to rounding.

**Table K.6.
Percentage of Clinic Directors Reporting Nutrition Education
Methods Used After the First Visit**

	<i>Mostly one-on-one individual sessions</i>	<i>Mostly group classes taught by WIC staff</i>	<i>A Combination of one-on-one and group sessions</i>	<i>Another Method</i>	<i>Total**</i>
Nutrition education method used after the first visits	56.4% (5.8%)	15.4% (2.8%)	27.7% 5.5%	0.5% (0.3%)	100%

* Standard errors for percentage figures are in parentheses

** Row percentages may not total to exactly 100% due to rounding.

**Table K.7.
Percentage of Clinic Directors Reporting Nutrition Education Methods
Used at First WIC Visit, by Agency Type, For All Clinic Directors**

Type of WIC Sponsoring Agency	Frequency of Nutrition Education Method Used at First WIC Visit				
	<i>Mostly one-on-one individual sessions</i>	<i>Mostly group classes taught by WIC staff</i>	<i>A Combination of one-on-one and group sessions</i>	<i>Another Method</i>	<i>Total**</i>
Local government public health department	82.0% (4.9%)*	1.7% (.8%)	16.3% (4.9%)	0.0% (0.0%)	100%
Private, non-profit agency	94.4% (2.3%)	1.7% (1.1%)	3.8% (1.7%)	0.0% (0.0%)	100%
Local or district health office run by state employers	89.1% (4.9%)	0.0% (0.0%)	10.9% (4.9%)	0.0% (0.0%)	100%
Total	87.1% (2.9%)	1.5% (.59%)	11.5% (2.9%)	0.0% (0.0%)	100%

* Standard errors for percentage figures are in parentheses

** Row percentages may not total to exactly 100% due to rounding.

Note: $X^2 = 12.8$; $df = 4$; $p\text{-value} = .01$

**Table K.8.
Percentage of Clinic Directors Reporting Nutrition Education Methods
Used After First WIC Visit, by Agency Type, For All Clinic Directors**

Type of WIC Sponsoring Agency	Frequency of Nutrition Education Method Used After First WIC Visit				
	<i>Mostly one-on-one individual sessions</i>	<i>Mostly group classes taught by WIC staff</i>	<i>A Combination of one-on-one and group sessions</i>	<i>Another Method</i>	<i>Total**</i>
Local government public health department	53.3% (6.3%)*	16.2% (3.7%)	30.3% (5.7%)	0.2% (.2%)	100%
Private, non-profit agency	55.3% (12.8%)	16.6% (6.1%)	27.3% (13.6%)	0.8% (.8%)	100%
Local or district health office run by state employers	58.8% (11.7%)	13.5% (6.2%)	26.3% (9.8%)	1.4% (1.5%)	100%
Total	54.6% (5.9%)	16.0% (2.9%)	28.8% (5.7%)	0.5% (.3%)	100%

* Standard errors for percentage figures are in parentheses

** Row percentages may not total to exactly 100% due to rounding.

Note: $X^2 = 1.6$; $df = 6$; $p\text{-value} = .95$

Table K.9.
Distribution of Clinic Directors' Perceptions of the Effectiveness
of One-On-One Counseling As a Nutrition Education Method
for Adolescents, By Type of WIC Sponsoring Agency

Type of WIC Sponsoring Agency	Effectiveness of One-on-One Counseling			
	<i>Very Effective</i>	<i>Somewhat Effective</i>	<i>Not Effective</i>	<i>Total**</i>
Local government public health department	46.6% (6.0%)	53.0% (6.0%)	0.4% (0.4%)	100%
Private, non-profit agency	49.1% (12.3%)	50.9% (12.3%)	0.0% (0%)	100%
Local district health office run by state employees	67.8% (9.4%)	32.2% (9.4%)	0.0% (0%)	100%
Total	50.0% (5.6%)	49.8% (5.6%)	0.2% (0.2%)	100%

* Standard errors for percentage figures are in parentheses.

** Row percentages may not total to exactly 100% due to rounding.

Note: $X^2 = 4.5$; $df = 4$; $p\text{-value} = .35$

Table K.10.
Distribution of Clinic Directors' Perceptions of the Effectiveness
of All-Age Group Sessions As a Nutrition Education Method for
Adolescents, By Type of WIC Sponsoring Agency

Type of WIC Sponsoring Agency	Effectiveness of All-Age Group Sessions			
	<i>Very Effective</i>	<i>Somewhat Effective</i>	<i>Not Effective</i>	<i>Total**</i>
Local government public health department	17.6% (4.6%)	67.7% (5.9%)	14.8% (3.9%)	100%
Private, non-profit agency	3.7% (2.0%)	54.2% (12.9%)	42.2% (13.3%)	100%
Local district health office run by state employees	10.7% (4.9%)	80.0% (7.1%)	9.3% (5.4%)	100%
Total	11.9% (2.8%)	64.2% (5.9%)	23.9% (6.2%)	100%

* Standard errors for percentage figures are in parentheses.

** Row percentages may not total to exactly 100% due to rounding.

Note: $X^2 = 7.4$; $df = 4$; $p\text{-value} = .12$

**Table K.11.
Distribution of Clinic Directors' Perceptions of the Effectiveness
of Teen-Only Group Sessions As a Nutrition Education Method
for Adolescents, By Type of WIC Sponsoring Agency**

Type of WIC Sponsoring Agency	Effectiveness of Teen-Only Group Sessions			Total**
	<i>Very Effective</i>	<i>Somewhat Effective</i>	<i>Not Effective</i>	
Local government public health department	35.1% (6.8%)	52.7% (6.7%)	12.2% (3.9%)	100%
Private, non-profit agency	46.0% (14.0%)	42.9% (15.4%)	11.1% (8.9%)	100%
Local district health office run by state employees	68.9% (12.1%)	31.1% (12.1%)	0.0% (0%)	100%
Total	42.3% (6.3%)	47.2% (6.4%)	10.5% (3.7%)	100%

* Standard errors for percentage figures are in parentheses.

** Row percentages may not total to exactly 100% due to rounding.

Note: $X^2 = 8.0$; $df = 4$; $p\text{-value} = .10$

Table K.12.
Distribution of Clinic Directors' Perceptions of the Effectiveness
of Video and Multimedia As a Nutrition Education Method for
Adolescents By Type of WIC Sponsoring Agency

Type of WIC Sponsoring Agency	Effectiveness of Video/Multimedia Presentations			Total**
	<i>Very Effective</i>	<i>Somewhat Effective</i>	<i>Not Effective</i>	
Local government public health department	30.5% (5.7%)	58.0% (5.9%)	11.6% (3.5%)	100%
Private, non-profit agency	25.4% (9.2%)	60.6% (11.8%)	14.0% (8.8%)	100%
Local district health office run by state employees	33.9% (11.7%)	55.9% (11.9%)	10.2% (5.8%)	100%
Total	29.1% (5.0%)	58.6% (5.5%)	12.3% (3.6%)	100%

* Standard errors for percentage figures are in parentheses.

** Row percentages may not total to exactly 100% due to rounding.

Note: $X^2 = 0.4$; $df = 4$; $p\text{-value} = .98$

Table K.13.
Distribution of Clinic Directors' Perceptions of the Effectiveness
of Games As a Nutrition Education Method for Adolescents,
By Type of WIC Sponsoring Agency

Type of WIC Sponsoring Agency	Effectiveness of Games			Total**
	<i>Very Effective</i>	<i>Somewhat Effective</i>	<i>Not Effective</i>	
Local government public health department	41.0% (6.8%)	46.5% (6.7%)	12.5% (3.8%)	100%
Private, non-profit agency	29.7% (10.0%)	56.4% (12.5%)	13.9% (7.8%)	100%
Local district health office run by state employees	65.3% (11.9%)	28.0% (11.3%)	6.8% (4.2%)	100%
Total	39.3% (5.8%)	48.2% (6.2%)	12.5% (3.5%)	100%

* Standard errors for percentage figures are in parentheses.

** Row percentages may not total to exactly 100% due to rounding.

Note: $X^2 = 3.6$; $df = 4$; $p\text{-value} = .46$

Table K.14.
Distribution of Clinic Directors' Perceptions of the Effectiveness
of Demonstrations As a Nutrition Education Method for
Adolescents, By Type of WIC Sponsoring Agency

Type of WIC Sponsoring Agency	Effectiveness of Demonstrations			Total**
	<i>Very Effective</i>	<i>Somewhat Effective</i>	<i>Not Effective</i>	
Local government public health department	69.0% (5.8%)	22.6% (5.1%)	8.3% (3.1%)	100%
Private, non-profit agency	62.9% (13.5%)	36.9% (13.5%)	0.2% (0.2%)	100%
Local district health office run by state employees	82.8% (8.6%)	15.9% (8.5%)	1.3% (1.0%)	100%
Total	68.4% (6.2%)	27.1% (6.1%)	4.6% (1.7%)	100%

* Standard errors for percentage figures are in parentheses.

** Row percentages may not total to exactly 100% due to rounding.

Note: $X^2 = 9.0$; $df = 4$; $p\text{-value} = .07$

Table K.15.
Distribution of Clinic Directors' Perceptions of the Effectiveness of
Field Trips to the Store As a Nutrition Education Method for
Adolescents, By Type of WIC Sponsoring Agency

Type of WIC Sponsoring Agency	Effectiveness of Field Trips to the Store			
	<i>Very Effective</i>	<i>Somewhat Effective</i>	<i>Not Effective</i>	<i>Total**</i>
Local government public health department	48.1% (6.9%)	31.5% (6.0%)	20.4% (5.0%)	100%
Private, non-profit agency	38.0% (13.0%)	61.4% (13.1%)	0.6% (0.5%)	100%
Local district health office run by state employees	55.5% (11.5%)	42.2% (11.5%)	2.4% (1.8%)	100%
Total	45.6% (6.4%)	42.8% (6.7%)	11.7% (3.0%)	100%

* Standard errors for percentage figures are in parentheses.

** Row percentages may not total to exactly 100% due to rounding.

Note: $X^2 = 12.2$; $df = 4$; $p\text{-value} = .02$

Table K.16.
Distribution of Clinic Directors' Perception of the Extent to Which
Adolescents Value the Nutrition Education Provided at WIC

	<i>Very valuable</i>	<i>Somewhat valuable</i>	<i>Not valuable</i>	<i>Total**</i>
Value of Nutrition Education to Teens	8.5% (2.1%)	75.8% (5.3%)	15.6% (5.5%)	100%
* Standard errors for percentage figures are in parentheses				
** Row percentages may not total to exactly 100% due to rounding.				

Table K.17.
Frequency of Clinic' Directors' Perception of Adolescents' Preference
for WIC Foods, For All Clinic Directors

WIC Foods	Frequency That Teenagers Like WIC Foods		
	<i>Likes</i>	<i>Doesn't Like</i>	<i>Total**</i>
Eggs	75.1% (5.8%)*	24.9% (5.8%)	100%
Milk	80.4% (5.4%)	19.6% (5.4%)	100%
Peanut Butter	95.9% (1.1%)	4.1% (1.1%)	100%
Cereal	96.1% (1.5%)	3.9% (1.5%)	100%
Juice	96.3% (1.5%)	3.7% (1.5%)	100%
Beans	20.7% (4.1%)	79.3% (4.1%)	100%
Tuna	61.8% (5.9%)	38.2% (5.9%)	100%
Carrots	55.3% (5.7%)	44.7% (5.7%)	100%

* Standard errors for percentage figures are in parentheses

** Row percentages may not total to exactly 100% due to rounding.

Table K.18.
Frequency of Clinic Directors' Perception of Factors That Influence Teenagers'
Decision to Use WIC Foods, by Factor, For All Clinic Directors

Factor	Frequency That Factors Affect Decision to Use WIC Foods			
	<i>A Lot</i>	<i>A Little</i>	<i>Not At All</i>	<i>Total**</i>
Likes Taste of Food Item	93.6% (2.2%)*	4.9% (1.9%)	1.5% (1.2%)	100%
Cultural Eating Habits	69.1% (5.4%)	18.7% (4.5%)	12.2% (4.0%)	100%
Food Available in Stores She Shops At	70.8% (4.7%)	12.7% (2.7%)	16.5% (3.9%)	100%
Knowledge of Preparation/Use of Foods	74.4% (4.8%)	19.5% (4.4%)	6.2% (2.6%)	100%
Pressure from Family or Friends to Eat WIC Foods	55.2% (5.3%)	35.9% (5.3%)	8.9% (2.7%)	100%
Difficulty of Using WIC Vouchers at the Grocery Store	36.1% (4.8%)	44.9% (5.8%)	19.0% (4.1%)	100%
Dependency on Someone Else to Purchase WIC Foods	34.4% (4.5%)	47.4% (5.6%)	18.3% (4.3%)	100%
Embarrassed to Use WIC Vouchers at the Store	24.5% (4.3%)	47.4% (5.5%)	28.2% (4.8%)	100%

* Standard errors for percentage figures are in parentheses

** Row percentages may not total to exactly 100% due to rounding.

**Table K.19.
Distribution of Clinic Directors' Response to the Effect of
Cultural Beliefs, Cultural Norms, or Food Preferences on
Use of Specific Foods, For All Clinic Directors**

	<i>Yes</i>	<i>No</i>	<i>Total**</i>
Do teenagers in certain ethnic groups served by the WIC program not use specific foods in the WIC food package due to cultural beliefs, cultural norms, or food preferences?	38.3%	61.7%	100%
	(5.3%)*	(5.3%)	
* Standard errors for percentage figures are in parentheses			
** Row percentages may not total to exactly 100% due to rounding.			

Table K.20.
**Frequency of WIC Clinic Referrals of Adolescents to other Health
and Social Service Agencies and Programs, By Type of Program**

Agencies or Programs	Whether or not WIC Clinic Refers Adolescents to Agencies or Programs		
	<i>Yes</i>	<i>No</i>	<i>Total**</i>
Refer teens to food stamps	92.0% (3.0%)	8.0% (3.0%)	100%
Refer teens to Medicaid	98.8% (0.6%)	1.2% (0.6%)	100%
Refer teens to AFDC	93.9% (2.6%)	6.1% (2.6%)	100%
Refer teens to unemployment	37.0% (5.3%)	63.1% (5.3%)	100%
Refer teens to family planning	93.2% (1.7%)	6.8% (1.7%)	100%
Refer teens to childhood immunizations	98.6% (0.7%)	1.4% (0.7%)	100%

* Standard errors for percentage figures are in parentheses

** Row percentages may not total to exactly 100% due to rounding.

Table K.21.
Percentage of Clinic Directors Reporting Referrals of Adolescents to Other Social Service Programs, By Agency Type, For All Clinic Directors

Type of WIC Sponsoring Agency	Programs For Which Teens are Referred					
	<i>Refer Teens to Food Stamp Program</i>	<i>Refer Teens to Medicaid</i>	<i>Refer Teens to AFDC</i>	<i>Refer Teens to Unemployment</i>	<i>Refer Teens to Family Planning</i>	<i>Refer Teens to Childhood Immunizations</i>
Local government public health department	88.1% (5.4%)*	98.3% (1.0%)	90.8% (4.7%)	37.6% (5.5%)	94.1% (2.1%)	98.2% (1.1%)
Private, non-profit agency	95.8% (2.3%)	99.5% (.48%)	97.5% (1.6%)	36.0% (11.1%)	90.9% (4.2%)	99.8% (.2%)
Local or district health office run by state employers	98.6% (1.4%)	98.6% (1.4%)	98.6% (1.4%)	47.5% (12.4%)	92.8% (3.7%)	96.5% (3.5%)
Total	92.0% (3.1%)	98.8% (.6%)	94.0% (2.7%)	38.2% (5.4%)	92.9% (1.8%)	98.6% (.7%)
Statistics	$X^2=3.3$; df=2; p-value=.20	$X^2=1.3$; df=2; p-value=.52	$X^2=2.3$; df=2; p-value=.32	$X^2=.6$; df=2; p-value=.76	$X^2=.5$; df=2; p-value=.76	$X^2=2.9$; df=2; p-value=.24

* Standard errors for percentage figures are in parentheses

** Row percentages may not total to exactly 100% due to rounding.

Table K.22.
Distribution of WIC Clinics that Make Calls or Appointments for Adolescents
at Other Social Service and Health Agencies, by Type of WIC Sponsoring Agency

Type of WIC Sponsoring Agency	Make Calls or Appointments For Adolescents			
	<i>Yes, for all referrals</i>	<i>Yes, for some referrals</i>	<i>No</i>	<i>Total**</i>
Local government public health department	11.9% (4.2%)	57.0% (6.0%)	31.1% (5.5%)	100%
Private, non-profit agency	5.7% (3.0%)	68.8% (11.0%)	25.5% (10.7%)	100%
Local district health office run by state employees	8.7% (5.6%)	69.0% (10.2%)	22.3% (9.0%)	100%
Total	9.4%	62.5%	28.2%	100%
	(2.6%)	(5.2%)	(5.0%)	

* Standard errors for percentage figures are in parentheses.

** Row percentages may not total to exactly 100% due to rounding.

Note: $X^2 = 2.3$; $df = 4$; $p\text{-value} = .69$

Table K.23.
Distribution of WIC Clinics that Walk Teenagers to Other Services
in Their Facility, by Type of WIC Sponsoring Agency

Type of WIC Sponsoring Agency	Walk Teenagers to Other Services in Facility			
	<i>Yes, for all referrals</i>	<i>Yes, for some referrals</i>	<i>No</i>	<i>Total**</i>
Local government public health department	13.2% (4.0%)	42.4% (6.3%)	44.4% (6.1%)	100%
Private, non-profit agency	17.1% (8.1%)	41.0% (12.0%)	41.9% (13.3%)	100%
Local district health office run by state employees	3.8% (2.8%)	33.8% (10.0%)	62.4% (10.1%)	100%
Total	13.4% (3.4%)	41.0% (5.6%)	45.7% (5.7%)	100%

* Standard errors for percentage figures are in parentheses.

** Row percentages may not total to exactly 100% due to rounding.

Note: $X^2 = 4.5$; $df = 4$; $p\text{-value} = .34$

Table K.24.
Distribution of WIC Clinics that Give Teenagers Written Information
About Services, By Type of WIC Sponsoring Agency

Type of WIC Sponsoring Agency	Give Adolescents Written Information About Services			Total**
	<i>Yes, for all referrals</i>	<i>Yes, for some referrals</i>	<i>No</i>	
Local government public health department	50.6% (5.9%)	47.3% (6.0%)	2.2% (1.2%)	100%
Private, non-profit agency	46.8% (12.0%)	52.0% (12.1%)	1.2% (1.0%)	100%
Local district health office run by state employees	61.0% (11.0%)	35.1% (10.5%)	4.0% (4.0%)	100%
Total	50.5% (5.4%)	47.4% (5.5%)	2.1% (0.9%)	100%

* Standard errors for percentage figures are in parentheses.

** Row percentages may not total to exactly 100% due to rounding.

Note: $X^2 = 1.8$; $df = 4$; $p\text{-value} = .78$

Table K.25.
Distribution of Clinic Directors' Perceptions As To Whether or Not Adolescents
Follow Through With Referrals Provided to them by the WIC Program

	<i>Yes, for all referrals</i>	<i>Yes, for some referrals</i>	<i>No</i>	<i>Total**</i>
Most teens follow through with referral	12.7% (3.1%)	78.0% (4.2%)	9.3% (3.2%)	100%

* Standard errors for percentage figures are in parentheses

** Row percentages may not total to exactly 100% due to rounding.

Table K.26.
Distribution of Clinic Directors' Perception That Teenagers Follow
Through with Referrals, by Agency Type, For All Clinic Directors

Type of WIC Sponsoring Agency	Frequency That Teens Follow Through with Referrals			
	<i>All Referrals</i>	<i>Some Referrals</i>	<i>No</i>	<i>Total**</i>
Local government public health department	17.3% (5.1%)*	78.6% (5.3%)	4.1% (1.9%)	100%
Private, non-profit agency	4.1% (2.0%)	76.7% (9.4%)	19.1% (9.2%)	100%
Local or district health office run by state employers	17.5% (9.1%)	72.4% (9.5%)	10.0% (6.0%)	100%
Total	13.0% (3.2%)	77.2% (4.3%)	9.8% (3.3%)	100%

* Standard errors for percentage figures are in parentheses

** Row percentages may not total to exactly 100% due to rounding.

Note: $X^2 = 7.6$; $df = 4$; $p\text{-value} = .11$

Table K.27.
Distribution of Clinic Directors' Response as to Whether
WIC Clinics Track Referrals Given to Adolescents

	<i>Yes, for all Referrals</i>	<i>Yes, for Some Referrals</i>	<i>No</i>	<i>Total**</i>
Track teens with regard to referrals	28.3% (5.0%)	26.8% (4.9%)	45.0% (5.7%)	100%

* Standard errors for percentage figures are in parentheses

** Row percentages may not total to exactly 100% due to rounding.

Table K.28.
Percentage of Clinic Directors Reporting That Their Agency Tracks Teenagers
with Regard to Referrals, by Agency Type, For All Clinic Directors

Type of WIC Sponsoring Agency	Frequency That Agency Tracks Teens with Regard to Referrals			
	<i>All Referrals</i>	<i>Some Referrals</i>	<i>No</i>	<i>Total**</i>
Local government public health department	37.5% (6.2%)*	28.3% (5.5%)	34.2% (5.3%)	100%
Private, non-profit agency	16.6% (8.3%)	17.4% (8.0%)	66.0% (10.7%)	100%
Local or district health office run by state employers	28.9% (10.1%)	27.2% (8.6%)	44.0% (10.6%)	100%
Total	29.4% (5.1%)	24.5% (4.4%)	46.2% (5.8%)	100%

* Standard errors for percentage figures are in parentheses

** Row percentages may not total to exactly 100% due to rounding.

Note: $X^2 = 5.8$; $df = 4$; $p\text{-value} = .22$

Table K.29.
**Distribution of Clinic Directors' Belief that Inconvenient Hours of
 Operation Affect Adolescent Enrollment, By Type of Agency**

Type of WIC Sponsoring Agency	Inconvenient Hours of Clinic Operation			
	<i>Very Often</i>	<i>Sometimes</i>	<i>Rarely</i>	<i>Total**</i>
Local Government Public Health Department	6.1%* (3.7%)	29.4% (5.5%)	64.5% (5.9%)	100%
Private, Non-Profit Agency	4.2% (2.1%)	36.7% (11.7%)	59.1% (11.7%)	100%
Local or District Health Office Run by State Employees	0	6.7% (4.2%)	93.3% (4.2%)	100%
Total	4.8% (2.1%)	29.1% (5.0%)	66.1% (5.1%)	100%

* Standard errors for percentage figures are in parentheses

** Row percentages may not total to exactly 100% due to rounding.

Note: $X^2 = 10.9$; $df = 4$; $p\text{-value} = .03$

Table K.30.
Distribution of Clinic Directors' Belief that Inconvenient Clinic Location
Affects Adolescent Enrollment, By Type of Agency

Type of WIC Sponsoring Agency	Inconvenient Clinic Location			
	<i>Very Often</i>	<i>Sometimes</i>	<i>Rarely</i>	<i>Total**</i>
Local Government Public Health Department	4.5%* (3.6%)	16.8% (3.7%)	78.7% (4.8%)	100%
Private, Non-Profit Agency	7.4% (7.1%)	20.2% (7.8%)	72.4% (10.0%)	100%
Local or District Health Office Run by State Employees	8.5% (8.1%)	17.2% (7.9%)	74.3% (10.3%)	100%
Total	6.0% (3.3%)	18.0% (3.4%)	76.0% (4.3%)	100%

* Standard errors for percentage figures are in parentheses

** Row percentages may not total to exactly 100% due to rounding.

Note: $X^2 = .5$; $df=4$; $p\text{-value}=.98$

Table K.31.
Distribution of Clinic Directors' Belief that Lack of Transportation
Affects Adolescent Enrollment, By Type of Agency

Type of WIC Sponsoring Agency	Lack of Transportation			
	<i>Very Often</i>	<i>Sometimes</i>	<i>Rarely</i>	<i>Total**</i>
Local Government Public Health Department	23.9%* (5.0%)	43.1% (6.2%)	33.0% (5.6%)	100%
Private, Non-Profit Agency	24.5% (9.3%)	33.5% (11.0%)	42.0% (12.9%)	100%
Local or District Health Office Run by State Employees	31.7% (9.5%)	27.4% (8.8%)	41.0% (10.5%)	100%
Total	25.0% (4.1%)	38.0% (5.2%)	37.0% (5.3%)	100%

* Standard errors for percentage figures are in parentheses

** Row percentages may not total to exactly 100% due to rounding.

Note: $X^2 = 2.0$; $df = 4$; $p\text{-value} = .73$

Table K.32.
Distribution of Clinic Directors' Belief that an Adolescent's Belief that
They Do Not Need WIC Affects Adolescent Enrollment, By Type of Agency

Type of WIC Sponsoring Agency	Teenager's Belief That They Do Not Need WIC			
	<i>Very Often</i>	<i>Sometimes</i>	<i>Rarely</i>	<i>Total**</i>
Local Government Public Health Department	19.5%* (5.6%)	41.3% (5.8%)	39.3% (6.0%)	100%
Private, Non-Profit Agency	14.8% (7.5%)	64.4% (10.8%)	20.8% (8.4%)	100%
Local or District Health Office Run by State Employees	17.8% (11.7%)	26.9% (8.6%)	55.3% (11.8%)	100%
Total	17.6% (4.3%)	47.4% (5.7%)	34.9% (5.1%)	100%

* Standard errors for percentage figures are in parentheses

** Row percentages may not total to exactly 100% due to rounding.

Note: $X^2 = 6.1$; $df=4$; $p\text{-value}=.20$

Table K.33.
Distribution of Clinic Directors' Belief that an Adolescent's Belief That They Do Not Qualify for WIC Affects Adolescent Enrollment, By Type of Agency

Type of WIC Sponsoring Agency	Teenager's Belief That They Don't Qualify for WIC			
	<i>Very Often</i>	<i>Sometimes</i>	<i>Rarely</i>	<i>Total**</i>
Local Government Public Health Department	15.2%* (5.5%)	45.4% (6.1%)	39.4% (6.0%)	100%
Private, Non-Profit Agency	9.9% (7.2%)	44.2% (13.3%)	45.9% (12.3%)	100%
Local or District Health Office Run by State Employees	10.8% (6.4%)	9.4% (6.6%)	79.8% (8.3%)	100%
Total	12.9% (4.0%)	40.7% (6.0%)	46.5% (5.7%)	100%

* Standard errors for percentage figures are in parentheses

** Row percentages may not total to exactly 100% due to rounding.

Note: $X^2 = 13.0$; $df = 4$; $p\text{-value} = .01$

Table K.34.
Distribution of Clinic Directors' Belief that an Adolescent's Lack of Awareness
About WIC Affects Adolescent Enrollment, By Type of Agency

Type of WIC Sponsoring Agency	Teenager's Lack of Awareness About WIC			
	<i>Very Often</i>	<i>Sometimes</i>	<i>Rarely</i>	<i>Total**</i>
Local Government Public Health Department	29.7%* (5.8%)	49.7% (6.2%)	20.6% (4.9%)	100%
Private, Non-Profit Agency	18.0% (8.1%)	69.1% (10.1%)	12.8% (5.5%)	100%
Local or District Health Office Run by State Employees	40.9% (10.5%)	25.1% (7.2%)	34.0% (9.8%)	100%
Total	27.1% (4.7%)	53.3% (5.7%)	19.6% (3.9%)	100%

* Standard errors for percentage figures are in parentheses

** Row percentages may not total to exactly 100% due to rounding.

Note: $X^2 = 9.5$; $df = 4$; $p\text{-value} = .06$

Table K.35.
Distribution of Clinic Directors' Belief that an Adolescent's Not Knowing They Are Pregnant Affects Their Enrollment in WIC, By Type of Agency

Type of WIC Sponsoring Agency	Teenagers Don't Know They Are Pregnant			
	<i>Very Often</i>	<i>Sometimes</i>	<i>Rarely</i>	<i>Total**</i>
Local Government Public Health Department	30.8%* (5.8%)	41.5% (6.1%)	27.7% (6.0%)	100%
Private, Non-Profit Agency	55.5% (12.1%)	27.8% (10.9)	16.7% (6.3%)	100%
Local or District Health Office Run by State Employees	36.0% (12.8%)	48.6% (12.2%)	15.4% (9.9%)	100%
Total	40.1% (6.0%)	37.6% (5.3%)	22.3% (4.6%)	100%

* Standard errors for percentage figures are in parentheses

** Row percentages may not total to exactly 100% due to rounding.

Note: $X^2 = 4.0$; $df = 4$; $p\text{-value} = .42$

Table K.36.
Distribution of Clinic Directors' Belief that an Adolescent's Belief That WIC
Has a "Welfare Stigma" Affects Their Enrollment in WIC, By Type of Agency

Type of WIC Sponsoring Agency	Teenager's Belief That WIC Has a "Welfare Stigma"			
	<i>Very Often</i>	<i>Sometimes</i>	<i>Rarely</i>	<i>Total**</i>
Local Government Public Health Department	11.4%* (3.5%)	39.2% (6.3%)	49.4% (6.3%)	100%
Private, Non-Profit Agency	10.7% (7.3%)	38.9% (12.4%)	50.4% (13.1%)	100%
Local or District Health Office Run by State Employees	13.6% (7.1%)	32.8% (9.9%)	53.7% (12.1%)	100%
Total	11.4% (3.3%)	38.3% (5.5%)	50.3% (5.8%)	100%

* Standard errors for percentage figures are in parentheses

** Row percentages may not total to exactly 100% due to rounding.

Note: $X^2 = .4$; $df=4$; $p\text{-value}=.98$

Table K.37.

Distribution of Clinic Directors' Belief that an Adolescent's Belief That They Don't Like WIC Food Affects Their Enrollment in WIC, By Type of Agency

Type of WIC Sponsoring Agency	Teenagers Don't Like WIC Foods			
	<i>Very Often</i>	<i>Sometimes</i>	<i>Rarely</i>	<i>Total**</i>
Local Government Public Health Department	4.2%* (2.1%)	21.4% (4.4%)	74.4% (4.7%)	100%
Private, Non-Profit Agency	9.5% (7.3%)	22.6% (9.0%)	67.9% (10.7%)	100%
Local or District Health Office Run by State Employees	3.6% (3.6%)	34.8% (10.3%)	61.6% (10.2%)	100%
Total	5.9% (2.7%)	23.4% (4.2%)	70.7% (4.7%)	100%

* Standard errors for percentage figures are in parentheses

** Row percentages may not total to exactly 100% due to rounding.

Note: $X^2 = 1.8$; $df = 4$; $p\text{-value} = .77$

Table K.38.
Distribution of Clinic Directors' Belief that Lengthy Waiting Periods for Enrollment
In WIC Affect an Adolescent's Willingness to Enroll in WIC, By Type of Agency

Type of WIC Sponsoring Agency	Waiting Period to Enroll in WIC			
	<i>Very Often</i>	<i>Sometimes</i>	<i>Rarely</i>	<i>Total**</i>
Local Government Public Health Department	1.5%* (.7%)	17.1% (4.6%)	81.4% (4.6%)	100%
Private, Non-Profit Agency	3.0% (2.6%)	17.6% (8.0%)	79.4% (8.4%)	100%
Local or District Health Office Run by State Employees	0	9.0% (4.2%)	91.0% (4.2%)	100%
Total	1.8% (.9%)	16.3% (3.6%)	81.9% (3.7%)	100%

* Standard errors for percentage figures are in parentheses

** Row percentages may not total to exactly 100% due to rounding.

Note: $X^2 = 5.4$; $df = 4$; $p\text{-value} = .26$

Table K.39.
Distribution of Clinic Directors' Belief that an Adolescent's Embarrassment to Be on WIC Affect their Willingness to Enroll in WIC, By Type of Agency

Type of WIC Sponsoring Agency	Teenagers Are Embarrassed to Be On WIC			
	<i>Very Often</i>	<i>Sometimes</i>	<i>Rarely</i>	<i>Total**</i>
Local Government Public Health Department	5.3%* (2.3%)	41.3% (6.0%)	53.4% (6.2%)	100%
Private, Non-Profit Agency	1.0% (.8%)	53.5% (13.2%)	45.5% (13.2%)	100%
Local or District Health Office Run by State Employees	10.0% (6.4%)	37.0% (10.1%)	53.0% (12.3%)	100%
Total	4.4% (1.5%)	44.9% (5.5%)	50.7% (5.7%)	100%

* Standard errors for percentage figures are in parentheses

** Row percentages may not total to exactly 100% due to rounding.

Note: $X^2 = 5.4$; $df = 4$; $p\text{-value} = .26$

Table K.40.				
Distribution of Clinic Directors' Belief that Adolescents' and Their Families' Reluctance to Ask for Public Assistance Affect an Adolescent's Willingness to Enroll in WIC, By Type of Agency				
Type of WIC Sponsoring Agency	Teenagers and Their Families Are Reluctant to Ask For Public Assistance			
	<i>Very Often</i>	<i>Sometimes</i>	<i>Rarely</i>	<i>Total**</i>
Local Government Public Health Department	11.7%* (3.3%)	49.5% (6.0%)	38.8% (5.9%)	100%
Private, Non-Profit Agency	25.0% (11.5%)	47.6% (12.4%)	27.5% (9.0%)	100%
Local or District Health Office Run by State Employees	15.0% (9.1%)	31.9% (9.8%)	53.1% (10.0%)	100%
Total	16.6% (4.6%)	46.8% (5.6%)	36.7% (4.9%)	100%

* Standard errors for percentage figures are in parentheses
** Row percentages may not total to exactly 100% due to rounding.
Note: $X^2 = 4.2$; $df = 4$; $p\text{-value} = .38$

Table K.41.
Distribution of Clinic Directors' Belief that Adolescents Not Knowing They Are Pregnant Affects an Adolescent's Willingness to Enroll in WIC, By Type of Agency

Type of WIC Sponsoring Agency	Teenagers Don't Want Others to Know They Are Pregnant			
	<i>Very Often</i>	<i>Sometimes</i>	<i>Rarely</i>	<i>Total**</i>
Local Government Public Health Department	31.0%* (5.7%)	40.1% (6.0%)	28.9% (5.2%)	100%
Private, Non-Profit Agency	48.0% (12.9%)	38.8% (12.1%)	13.3% (5.3%)	100%
Local or District Health Office Run by State Employees	29.0% (12.9%)	47.6% (12.2%)	23.4% (9.2%)	100%
Total	36.5% (5.8%)	40.6% (5.1%)	23.0% (3.9%)	100%

* Standard errors for percentage figures are in parentheses

** Row percentages may not total to exactly 100% due to rounding.

Note: $X^2 = 3.6$; $df = 4$; $p\text{-value} = .47$