Cooperative Conservation Programs – Natural Resource, Recreation, and Historic (\$ in 000's)

Name of Program FY 2002 Enacted \$ FY 2003 Enacted \$ FY 2004 Enacted \$ FY 2005 Request \$	Funding Methodology	Match and Criteria	Authorizing Legislation	Eligible Entities ¹ (e.g. states, tribes, private landowners)	Purpose of Program
		nts; NPS recreational techni			
Land and Water Conservation Fund Stateside Grants \$140,900 \$94,382 \$91,360 \$91,360	Formula grants	Yes; 1:1 match To be eligible for grants, every State must prepare and regularly update a statewide recreation plan (sometimes called a SCORP). Criteria vary from state to state	16 U.S.C. 4601-4 to 1–11 The Land and Water Conservation Fund Act of 1965.	States, territories and the District of Columbia	The LWCF program provides matching grants to States and local governments for the acquisition and development of public outdoor recreation areas and facilities. The program is intended to create and maintain a nationwide legacy of high quality recreation areas and facilities and to stimulate non-federal investments in the protection and maintenance of recreation resources across the United States.
LWCF Grant program; Civil War Battlefields \$11,000 \$2,330 \$1,975 \$5,000	Competitive grants	Yes; 1;1 match.	FY 2002 Interior Appropriations Act P.L. 107-63 The Civil War Battlefield Preservation Act of 2002 P.L. 107-359	The LWCF grants will be awarded to units of State and local governments. In any case where a private non-profit organization seeks to acquire battlefield land with assistance from this program, that organization must apply in partnership with a State or local government agency.	These grants are authorized to be funded as part of the LWCF Stateside grant program (see above). LWCF funds are available to help States and local communities acquire and preserve threatened Civil War battlefield land. Grants are available for the fee simple acquisition of land, or for the acquisition of permanent, protective interests in land, at Civil War battlefields listed in the Civil War Sites Advisory Commission's (CWSAC) 1993 Report on the Nation's Civil War Battlefields.
Urban Parks and Recreation Recovery Program \$29,950 \$298 \$301 \$0	Competitive	Yes; at least a 30% match. Application criteria include heavier weighting of non-Federal resources as an encouragement to increase the leveraging of Federal funds.	The Urban Park and Recreation Recovery Act of 1978 The National Parks and Recreation Act of 1978, Title X P.L. 106-113; The Consolidated Appropriations Act of 2000	Local governments, particularly economically distressed urban communities.	UPARR targets grants to economically distressed urban communities for the rehabilitation of recreation facilities and to increase and enhance the provision of recreation programs and services.
Historic Preservation Grants-in-Aid to States and Territories \$39,000 \$33,779 \$34,570 \$34,570	Formula	Yes; 40% match is required for states and Puerto Rico.	16 U.S.C. 470 National Historic Preservation Act of 1966, as amended.	States and territories	To promote public-private and Federal/nonfederal partnerships to identify and protect irreplaceable historic and archeological resources. States are required to set aside at least 10% of the State's annual Historic Preservation Fund (HPF) grant allocation for subgrants to Certified Local Governments. See below.
Certified Local	Competitive: States	Match determined by the	16 U.S.C. 470 National Historic Preservation Act of	Local government units that have met NPS	The CLG program seeks:

¹ Definition of state includes U.S. territories and District of Columbia

Name of Program FY 2002 Enacted \$ FY 2003 Enacted \$ FY 2004 Enacted \$ FY 2005 Request \$	Funding Methodology	Match and Criteria	Authorizing Legislation	Eligible Entities ¹ (e.g. states, tribes, private landowners)	Purpose of Program
Government Program (See Historic Preservation Grants-in- Aid to States. States are required to set aside at least 10% of the State's annual Historic Preservation Fund (HPF) grant allocation for subgrants to Certified Local Governments. Additional funding at the discretion of the State.)	award grants and determine criteria.	States; though a 40% match is required for states to receive HPF grants-in-aid. All CLG grants must result in a completed, tangible product and/or measurable result; and all must be carried out in accordance with the applicable Secretary of the Interior's Standards for Archeology and Historic Preservation.	1966, as amended.	criteria to achieve Certified Local Government status.	 To develop and maintain local historic preservation programs that will influence the zoning and permitting decisions critical to preserving historic properties, and To ensure the broadest possible participation of local governments in the national historic preservation program while maintaining preservation standards established by the Secretary of the Interior.
Historic Preservation Grants-in-Aid to Tribes \$3,000 \$2,981 \$2,963 \$2,963	Competitive	No match required.	16 U.S.C. 470 National Historic Preservation Act of 1966, as amended.	Tribes	To assist tribes in building the capability to undertake cultural preservation activities, to preserve vanishing tribal cultural resources and heritage, and to participate in the nation preservation program. The program also works to develop capabilities for conducting sustainable preservation programs.
Save America's Treasures Grants \$30,000 \$29,805 \$32,592 \$30,000	Approximately 1/2 of the recipients are selected by Congress, the other half is competitively awarded	Yes, 1:1 match is required and projects are eligible only for one-time funding. In addition, a project must: be of national significance, and be endangered or demonstrate an urgent need.	16 U.S.C. 470 National Historic Preservation Act of 1966, as amended.	Grants are awarded to nationally significant, cultural or historic artifacts.	To preserve irreplaceable monuments of American heritage for future generations and to make them more accessible to scholars and the public through exhibits, traditional publications, and Internet web sites.
Maritime Heritage Grants Currently, there is no funding available for the Maritime Heritage Grants Program. It is also uncertain when, and if, enough funds will be available for future grants.	The grants program is funded from 25% of the proceeds from scrapped vessels of the National Defense Reserve Fleet (NDRF). Unfortunately problems in meeting adequate environmental and worker safety standards during the scrapping process have	Competitive	The National Maritime Heritage Act	State and local governments and private nonprofit organizations are eligible to apply.	The Maritime Heritage Grants Program is a Federal assistance program. It is a national, competitive matching grants program that provides funds for maritime heritage education and preservation projects designed to reach broad audiences and enhance public awareness and appreciation for the maritime heritage of the United States.

¹ Definition of state includes U.S. territories and District of Columbia

Name of Program FY 2002 Enacted \$ FY 2003 Enacted \$ FY 2004 Enacted \$ FY 2005 Request \$	Funding Methodology	Match and Criteria	Authorizing Legislation	Eligible Entities ¹ (e.g. states, tribes, private landowners)	Purpose of Program
	caused delays in the disposal of NDRF vessels. This has resulted in a decline in the profits generated by this resource and a loss of funds available for this grants program.				
American Battlefield Protection Program grants \$350 \$477 \$450 \$450	Competitive	Encourages, but does not require, matching funds or in-kind services. It does not fund land acquisition or capital improvements.	American Battlefield Protection Act of 1966	Organizations and government agencies may apply for project grants at any battlefield on American soil.	The ABPP supports partnership projects that lead to the protection of battlefield land and sites associated with battlefields. Types of projects that support this goal include: Historical research Cultural resources surveys Archaeological surveys National Register of Historic Places documentation and nominations Assessment of the condition of battlefields and potential threats to their continued survival Battlefield acquisition plans and preservation plans Local land use strategies for sensitive planning Technical assistance for organizations and governments needing help to protect battlefields Public education
Native American Graves Protection and Repatriation Act (NAGPRA) Grants \$2,647 \$2,451 \$2,437 \$2,437	Competitive The National NAGPRA program administers two types of awards, each with a different application process. Documentation grants are awarded competitively once per fiscal year. Collaborative documentation and consultation proposals that address contaminated or potentially contaminated collections are especially encouraged. Repatriation grants are	No match. Project objectives must be consistent with the goals of the Native American Graves Protection and Repatriation Act. The project must be designed to accomplish project objectives efficiently. The proposed budget must be reasonable to accomplish project tasks and activities. Project personnel must be qualified to accomplish project objectives.	Native American Graves Protection and Repatriation Act (NAGPRA) of 1990.	NAGPRA Grants are awarded to Indian tribes, Alaska Native villages and corporations, Native Hawaiian organizations, and museums that need financial assistance to carry out projects associated with NAGPRA compliance.	NAGPRA requires museums and federal agencies to inventory and identify Native American human remains and cultural items in their collections, and to consult with culturally affiliated Indian tribes, Alaska Native villages and corporations, and Native Hawaiian organizations regarding repatriation. Section 10 of the Act authorizes the Secretary of the Interior to award grants to assist in implementing provisions of the Act.

¹ Definition of state includes U.S. territories and District of Columbia

Name of Program FY 2002 Enacted \$ FY 2003 Enacted \$ FY 2004 Enacted \$ FY 2005 Request \$	Funding Methodology	Match and Criteria	Authorizing Legislation	Eligible Entities ¹ (e.g. states, tribes, private landowners)	Purpose of Program
	awarded on a continuing basis throughout each fiscal year. Proposals are accepted throughout the year and are reviewed upon receipt.				
The Preservation Technology and Training Grants Program \$486 \$336 \$300 \$250	Competitive	No match	16 U.S.C 470x	U.S. colleges and universities; non-profits; museums; research labs; professional societies; Federal, State, local and Tribal governments; or private and/or international entities in partnership with the above organizations.	To ensure an effective and efficient system of research, information distribution, and skills training in all the related historic preservation fields. Through its grants program, the National Center for Preservation Technology and Training has developed partnerships for innovative work in preservation and conservation.
Rivers, Trails, and Conservation Assistance Program \$8,184 \$8,174 \$8,177 \$8,248	N/A Technical assistance	N/A Funded through the yearly appropriations process.	16 U.S.C. 1-5 Omnibus Parks and Public Lands Management Act of 1996	Local government agencies and non-profit organizations.	To work with community groups and local and State governments to conserve rivers, preserve open space, and develop trails and greenways; with the goal of helping communities achieve on-the-ground conservation successes for their projects.
Federal Lands to Parks Program \$475 \$474 \$514	N/A Technical assistance	N/A Funded through the yearly appropriations process.	The Federal Property and Administrative Services Act	State and local agencies	To help communities obtain Federal properties that have been declared surplus by the Federal government for public parks and recreation uses.
Heritage Partnership Program \$13,092 \$14,162 \$14,153 \$2,378	N/A Funding appropriated to NPS and passed through to non-profit organizations or states	N/A Funded through the yearly appropriations process.	Each Heritage Area is individually authorized	Private non-profit groups or states	To promote the conservation of natural, historic, scenic, and cultural resources. Control of the areas continues to rest with local governments.

¹ Definition of state includes U.S. territories and District of Columbia

Name of Program FY 2002 Enacted \$ FY 2003 Enacted \$ FY 2004 Enacted \$ FY 2005 Request \$	Funding Methodology	Match and Criteria	Authorizing Legislation	Eligible Entities ¹ (e.g. states, tribes, private landowners)	Purpose of Program
Preserve America \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$10,000	Competitive	Yes, 50/50 match	National Historic Preservation Act	State and Tribal Historical Preservation offices and designated Preserve America communities or certified local governments	One-time start-up seed money to help eligible communities demonstrate sustainable uses of their historical and cultural sites, and the economic and educational opportunities related to heritage tourism.
FWS Grant Programs					
Clean Vessel Act Program \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000	National Competition	25%	The Clean Vessel Act o f 1992 (16 U.S.C. 777c), Section 5604, The Sportfishing and Boating Safety Act of 1998 (16 U.S.C. 777c-777g: Title I, Subtitle D of the Transportation Equity Act for the 21 st Century: P.L. 105- 178)	States	Construct and renovate sewage pumpout and dump station facilities for recreational boats, and to develop an education program to prevent recreational boat sewage from entering U.S. waters
Boating Infrastructure Grants Program \$8,000 \$8,000 \$8,000 \$8,000	Tier 1 - Up to \$100K per State Tier 2 – National Competition	25%	The Sportfishing and Boating Safety Act of 1998 (16 U.S.C. 777c-777g: Title I, Subtitle D of the TEA for the 21 st Century, P.L. 105-178)	States	Construct, renovate, or maintain tie-up facilities for transient, nontrailerable recreational vessels (26 feet in length or longer)
Hunter Education Enhancement Program \$7,500 \$8,000 \$8,000 \$8,000	Formula	25%	The Wildlife and Sport Fish Restoration Programs Improvement Act of 2000 (P.L. 106-408)	States	Enhance existing hunter education, safety, and development programs
Multinational Species Conservation Funds \$4,000 \$4,769 \$5,531 \$9,500	International Competition	AfECF/RTCF/ AsECF/GACFMatch or in-kind support is not required by authorization, but Service works toward 1:1. In many cases it is at least 2:1; NMBCF3:1 match	African Elephant Conservation Act Rhinoceros and Tiger Conservation Act Asian Elephant Conservation Act Great Ape Conservation Act Neotropical Migratory Bird Conservation Act	AfECF/RTCF/AseCF/GACFAny organization or individual with experience in the conservation of these species; and/or any African or Asian government agency responsible for the conservation and protection of the target species. NMBCF-An individual, corporation, partnership, trust, association, or other private entity; Federal Government, states, municipalities; foreign government; other entity subject to the jurisdiction of the United States or of any foreign country; or An international organization.	AfECF/RTCF/ AsECF/GACF Funds support projects that develop local ability to manage, conserve, research, or protect the target species NMBCF-To perpetuate healthy populations of neotropical migratory birds; assist in the conservation of neotropical migratory birds by supporting conservation initiatives in the United States, Latin America, and the Caribbean; and provide financial resources and to foster international cooperation for those initiatives.

¹ Definition of state includes U.S. territories and District of Columbia

Name of Program FY 2002 Enacted \$ FY 2003 Enacted \$ FY 2004 Enacted \$ FY 2005 Request \$	Funding Methodology	Match and Criteria	Authorizing Legislation	Eligible Entities ¹ (e.g. states, tribes, private landowners)	Purpose of Program
\$port Fish Restoration Program \$290,467 \$264,238 \$279,518 \$302,255	Formula	25%	Federal Aid in Sport Fish Restoration Act of 1950 (16 U.S.C. 777-777m), as amended	States	Restore and manage sport fish populations for the preservation and improvement of sport fishing and related uses of these fisheries resources
Multistate Conservation Grant Program \$6,000 \$3,000 \$3,000 \$3,000	National Competition	None	The Wildlife and Sport Fish Restoration Programs Improvement Act of 2000 (P.L. 106-408)	States; nongovernment organizations; the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (for the National Survey of Fishing, Hunting, and Wildlife-Associated Recreation)	Conduct sport fish and wildlife restoration projects identified by the International Association of Fish and Wildlife Agencies
Wildlife Restoration Program \$178,986 \$204,600 \$194,936 \$206,406	Formula	25%	Federal Aid in Wildlife Restoration Act of 1937 (16 U.S.C. 669-669k)	States	Restore or manage wildlife populations and provide public use of these resources, and provide facilities and services for conducting a hunter safety program. States have mostly used these funds on activities associated with game birds and game mammals.
Cooperative Endangered Species Conservation Fund \$96,235 \$80,474 \$81,596 \$90,000 (See Programs Below)	Varies by Program as described below	25% for a single State ¹ , 10% when two or more States implement a joint project	Endangered Species Act (Section 6)	States ¹ with which the Service has a current Cooperative Agreement and through the States to private landowners, communities and local governments	Provide financial assistance to States ¹ to participate in a wide array of voluntary conservation projects for candidate, proposed and listed species.
1. Conservation Grants \$7,520 \$7,471 \$7,427 \$10,906	Formula to Regions - Competition or Formula at Regional level	25% for a single State ¹ , 10% when two or more States implement a joint project	Endangered Species Act	States ¹ with which the Service has a current Cooperative Agreement and through the States to private landowners, communities and local governments	Provide financial assistance to States ¹ to implement conservation projects for listed and nonlisted species, such as habitat restoration, species status surveys, public education and outreach, captive propagation and reintroduction, nesting surveys, genetic studies and development of management plans.
Habitat Conservation Planning Assistance	National Competition	25% for a single State ¹ , 10% when two or more States implement a joint project	Endangered Species Act	States ¹ with which the Service has a current Cooperative Agreement and through the States to private landowners, communities and local governments	Provide financial assistance to States ¹ to support the development of Habitat Conservation Plans (HCPs) that provide for the conservation of imperiled species while allowing economic activities to proceed.

¹ Definition of state includes U.S. territories and District of Columbia

Name of Program FY 2002 Enacted \$ FY 2003 Enacted \$ FY 2004 Enacted \$ FY 2005 Request \$ \$6,650 \$6,607 \$8,643 \$8,750	Funding Methodology	Match and Criteria	Authorizing Legislation	Eligible Entities ¹ (e.g. states, tribes, private landowners)	Purpose of Program
3. HCP Land Acquisition \$61,306 \$51,137 \$49,344 \$50,000	National Competition	25% for a single State ¹ , 10% when two or more States implement a joint project	Endangered Species Act	States ¹ with which the Service has a current Cooperative Agreement and through the States to private landowners, communities and local governments	Provide financial assistance to States ¹ to acquire land associated with approved HCPs to secure protection of endangered and threatened species habitats and expand conservation benefits of HCPs.
4. Recovery Land Acquisition \$17,759 \$12,676 \$13,589 \$17,759	Formula to Regions - Competition at Regional level	25% for a single State ¹ , 10% when two or more States implement a joint project	Endangered Species Act	States ¹ with which the Service has a current Cooperative Agreement and through the States to private landowners, communities and local governments	Provide financial assistance to States ¹ to acquire habitat for endangered and threatened species. Acquisition of habitat to secure long term protection is often an essential element of a comprehensive recovery effort for a listed species
State Wildlife Grants \$79,800 \$57,673 \$61,137 \$71,600	Formula	25% (planning grants) 50% (implementation projects)	Endangered Species Act of 1973 Fish and Wildlife Act of 1956 Fish and Wildlife Conservation Act	States (tribal wildlife grants addressed separately below)	Develop wildlife conservation plans and on-the-ground conservation projects
Tribal Wildlife Grants \$5,000 \$5,017 \$5,926 \$5,926	National Competition	To Be Determined	Endangered Species Act of 1973 Fish and Wildlife Act of 1956 Fish and Wildlife Conservation Act	Federally recognized Tribes	Develop wildlife conservation plans and on-the-ground conservation projects benefiting at-risk species.

¹ Definition of state includes U.S. territories and District of Columbia

Name of Program FY 2002 Enacted \$	Funding Methodology	Match and Criteria	Authorizing Legislation	Eligible Entities 1 (e.g. states, tribes, private landowners)	Purpose of Program
FY 2003 Enacted \$ FY 2004 Enacted \$ FY 2005 Request \$,	
BLM Challenge Cost Share \$9,150 [CCI = \$0] \$13,882 [CCI=\$5,000] \$16,177 [CCI=\$7,408] \$21,000 [CCI=\$10,000]	National Competition	50% match of cash and/or in kind goods and services; projects must identify one or more partners. In 2003, the Department is working to create consistent criteria across the bureaus.	Funding has been authorized through the appropriations process.	Private and public organizations, tribal interests and individuals.	To leverage federal dollars with private and state funding for conservation efforts, benefiting resources on BLM administered public lands. The program solicits partnerships and partnership funding through a variety of resource management programs, including fisheries, wildlife, Threatened and Endangered species, cultural resources and recreation. Funding for the CCI program was initially provided in FY 2003 in preexisting bureau challenge cost share programs. In FY 2003, this included \$5.0 million in each bureau challenge cost share program; to be targeted specifically at resource restoration and habitat enhancement. In FY 2004; the entire challenge cost share program request is characterized as part of the CCI.
FWS National Wildlife Refuge System Challenge Cost Share \$3,876 [CCI = \$0] \$4,845 [CCI = \$2,981] \$9,754 [CCI=\$5,927] \$12,000 [CCI=\$7,600]	National Competition	50% match of cash and/or in kind goods and services; projects must identify one or more partners. In 2003, the Department is working to create consistent criteria across the bureaus.	Explicit funding has been authorized via appropriations since 1988.	Private and public organizations, tribal interests and individuals.	Foster innovative and creative cooperative efforts to restore natural resources and establish or expand wildlife habitat, with an emphasis on federal lands and resources. Funding for the CCI program was initially provided in FY 2003 in preexisting bureau challenge cost share programs. In FY 2003, this included \$5.0 million in each bureau challenge cost share program; to be targeted specifically at resource restoration and habitat enhancement. In FY 2004; the entire challenge cost share program request is characterized as part of the CCI.
Partners for Fish and Wildlife \$36,596 \$37,825 \$42,401 \$50,000	Regional Competition	Flexible; programs seeks one-to-one match. Project selection is based on projected fish and wildlife benefits and cost.	Fish and Wildlife Act of 1956 Fish and Wildlife Conservation Act	All private lands, including tribal, Hawaiian homelands, other non-federal and non-state.	Voluntary habitat restoration program that provides financial assistance and restoration expertise to private landowners, tribes and other conservation partners who desire to improve the condition of fish and wildlife habitat to their land.
\$11,299 \$11,021 \$10,186 \$13,060	N/A; FWS works in 16 high priority coastal areas to encourage sustainable development.	N/A; The FWS Coastal program works in 16 high priority coastal areas.	Coastal Barrier Resources Act of 1982, as amended in 1990	The program works with a variety of partners, including other Federal and State agencies, local and tribal governments, businesses, conservation organizations and private landowners.	The Coastal Program identifies important coastal resource problems and solutions, seeks partnerships to carry out on-the-ground conservation projects, and encourages public action in 16 of the nation's highest priority coastal areas. The Coastal Program provides incentives for voluntary protection of threatened, endangered and other species on private and public lands alike.

¹ Definition of state includes U.S. territories and District of Columbia

Name of Drawn	Funding	Motob and Critoria	Authorizina Logiolotica	Fligible Entities 1/o a states tribes private	Divenage of Drogram
Name of Program FY 2002 Enacted \$ FY 2003 Enacted \$ FY 2004 Enacted \$ FY 2005 Request \$	Funding Methodology	Match and Criteria	Authorizing Legislation	Eligible Entities ¹ (e.g. states, tribes, private landowners)	Purpose of Program
Migratory Bird Joint Venture \$6,999 \$7,369 \$10,225 \$11,449	FWS provides base funding for JV operations	N/A; the operational funding is provided to 15 established joint ventures.	Migratory Bird Conservation Act (16 U.S.C. 715-715d)	The FWS provides operational support to 15 joint ventures	Joint ventures were formed to implement the North American Waterfowl Management Plan (NAWMP). They are self-directed partnerships involving federal, state and local governments, corporations, and a wide range of non-governmental conservation organizations that have proven to be successful tools for developing cooperative conservation efforts to protect waterfowl and other bird habitat.
NPS Challenge Cost Share \$6,980 [CCI = \$0] \$11,902 [CCI = \$4,967] \$14,723 [CCI=\$7,871] \$20,970 [CCI=\$11,996]	National Competition	50% match of cash and/or in kind goods and services; projects must identify one or more partners. In 2003, the Department is working to create consistent criteria across the bureaus.	Funding has been authorized through the appropriations process.	Private and public organizations, tribal interests and individuals.	To increase the participation of neighboring communities and qualified partners in preserving and improving the cultural, natural and recreational resources for which the Service is responsible. Funding for the CCI program was initially provided in FY 2003 in preexisting bureau challenge cost share programs. In FY 2003, this included \$5.0 million in each bureau challenge cost share program; to be targeted specifically at resource restoration and habitat enhancement. In FY 2004; the entire challenge cost share program request is characterized as part of the CCI. This includes the traditional program that to enhance cultural and recreational resources.
Take Pride in America \$0 \$0 \$493 \$1,000		No match per se; funding is used to increase public awareness about volunteerism opportunities and encourage private donations and in-kind efforts.	16 U.S.C. Section 4604	Private and public organizations, local, tribal and state governments, and private individuals.	To rally citizen commitment of time and service to restoring resources in local communities.
Wetlands Progran	ns				
North American Wetlands Conservation Act \$43,500 \$38,309 \$37,532 \$54,000	International Competition (U.S., Canada, Mexico)	1:1 match, Federal: Non- federal; Criteria set per statute for wetland and bird conservation	North American Wetlands Conservation Act	Private and Public organizations and individuals who have developed partnerships to carry out wetlands conservation projects in the U.S., Canada, or Mexico.	Provide funding assistance to promote conservation of wetlands and associated habitats for migratory birds and other wildlife.
National Coastal Wetlands Conservation Grants \$13,040	National Competition	25%	The Coastal Wetlands Planning, Protection and Restoration Act of 1990 (16 U.S.C. 3951 et seq.)	States	Acquire interests in coastal lands or waters, and restore, enhance, or manage coastal wetlands ecosystems

¹ Definition of state includes U.S. territories and District of Columbia

Name of Program FY 2002 Enacted \$ FY 2003 Enacted \$ FY 2004 Enacted \$ FY 2005 Request \$ \$12,201 \$12,648 \$13,403	Funding Methodology	Match and Criteria	Authorizing Legislation	Eligible Entities ¹ (e.g. states, tribes, private landowners)	Purpose of Program
	tance limited to Agricultur			·	
Landowner Incentive Program \$0 \$0 \$25,867 \$43,600	National Competition	25% minimum	Endangered Species Act of 1973 Fish and Wildlife Act of 1956 Fish and Wildlife Conservation Act	States	Establish or supplement existing landowner incentive programs that provide technical or financial assistance, including habitat protection and restoration, to private landowners to benefit species at risk
Tribal - Landowner Incentive Program \$0 \$0 \$2,904 \$4,900 This is included above within the overall Landowner incentive program	National Competition	To Be Determined	Endangered Species Act of 1973 Fish and Wildlife Act of 1956 Fish and Wildlife Conservation Act	Federally recognized Tribes	Develop on-the-ground conservation projects benefiting at risk species
Private Stewardship Grants \$0 \$0 \$7,408 \$10,000	Regional Competition	10% non-Federal - Financial or in-kind	Endangered Species Act of 1973 Fish and Wildlife Act of 1956 Fish and Wildlife Conservation Act	Groups and individuals engaged in conservation activities on private lands	Provide financial assistance for on-the-ground conservation projects on private lands to benefit at-risk species.

TOTALS, NPS Cultural conservation and recreational grants:

FY 2002	\$279,084
FY 2003	\$189,649
FY 2004	\$189,792
FY 2005 (request)	\$178,170

TOTALS: FWS, CCI, Wetlands, and Landowner grants:

FY 2002	\$910,663
FY 2003	\$860,976
FY 2004	\$926,965
FY 2005 (request)	\$1.057.484

¹ Definition of state includes U.S. territories and District of Columbia