# USDA FOREST SERVICE, ROCKY MOUNTAIN REGION PSD PERMIT COMPLETENESS DETERMINATION

SOURCE NAME:

SOURCE LOCATION:

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF SOURCE, PROCESSES AND EMISSIONS:

# I. PREDICTED SOURCE EMISSIONS:

- A. TSP EMISSIONS TONS/YEAR -
- B. PM-10 EMISSIONS TONS/YEAR -
- C. NOX EMISSIONS TONS/YEAR
- D. VOC EMISSIONS TONS/YEAR
- E. SO2 EMISSIONS TONS/YEAR
- F. TOXICS TONS/YEAR -
- II. NATIONAL FOREST SYSTEMS LANDS WHICH MAY BE IMPACTED BY THE SOURCE:
  - A. CLASS I AREAS ON NATIONAL FOREST SYSTEM LANDS WHICH MAY BE IMPACTED
    - 1. CLASS I AREAS (NAMES).
    - 2. DISTANCES FROM THE SOURCE TO THE CLASS I BOUNDARIES.
  - B. SENSITIVE CLASS II AREAS WITHIN NATIONAL FOREST

### BOUNDARIES

- 1. SENSITIVE CLASS II AREAS (NAMES).
- 2. DISTANCES FROM THE SOURCE TO THE SENSITIVE CLASS II BOUNDARIES

2

- C. CLASS I AREAS MANAGED BY OTHER FEDERAL LAND MANAGERS
- III. OTHER AIR POLLUTION SOURCES WITHIN THE REGION OF SOURCE INFLUENCE
  - A. MAJOR STATIONARY SOURCES WITHIN THE REGION OF SOURCE INFLUENCE
  - B. MINOR STATIONARY SOURCES WITHIN THE REGION OF SOURCE INFLUENCE
  - C. AREA SOURCES WITHIN THE REGION OF SOURCE INFLUENCE
  - D. PROJECTED SECONDARY GROWTH
- IV. METEOROLOGICAL MONITORING SITES WITHIN THE REGION OF SOURCE INFLUENCE
  - A. REPRESENTITIVENESS OF THE SURFACE METEOROLOGICAL DATA TO BOTH THE SOURCE LOCATION AND THE CLASS I AREA (DESCRIBE).
  - B. REPRESENTITIVENESS OF THE UPPER AIR METEOROLOGICAL DATA TO BOTH THE SOURCE LOCATION AND THE CLASS I AREA (DESCRIBE).

- V. ANALYSIS OF BEST AVAILABLE CONTROL TECHNOLOGY (BACT)
  - WERE THE BEST POSSIBLE AIR POLLUTION CONTROLS A. CONSIDERED IN THE TOP-DOWN BACT ANALYSIS FOR ALL POLLUTANTS?
  - WERE IMPACTS TO CLASS I AREAS OR SENSITIVE B. CLASS II AREAS CONSIDERED IN SELECTING CONTROL TECHNOLOGY WHICH IS LESS THAN THE BEST POSSIBLE (LAER - LOWEST ACHIEVABLE EMISSIONS RATE)?

LAER PROPOSED BACT

TSP TONS/YEAR - TONS/YEAR -TONS/YEAR - TONS/YEAR -PM-10 SO2 TONS/YEAR - TONS/YEAR -

- TONS/YEAR TONS/YEAR -
- NOx VOC TONS/YEAR - TONS/YEAR -
- - VI. AMBIENT AIR QUALITY, DEPOSITION, AND VISIBILITY MONITORING
    - A. WHAT MONITORING SITES WERE USED TO REPRESENT THE CURRENT AMBIENT CONCENTRATIONS OF THE FOLLOWING POLLUTANTS AT EACH CLASS I AND SENSITIVE CLASS II **BOUNDARY**?

TSP

**PM-10** 

SO2

NOx

O3

**SULFATE** 

#### NITRATE

- B. WHAT VISIBILITY MONITORING SITE WAS USED TO REPRESENT THE CURRENT VISIBILITY CONDITION AT EACH CLASS I AND SENSITIVE CLASS II BOUNDARY?
- C. WAS A QA/QC PLAN DEVELOPED AND FOLLOWED FOR ALL AMBIENT AIR QUALITY MONITORING ACTIVITIES?
- D. EXISTING AND PREDICTED AMBIENT AIR CONCENTRATIONS AND DEPOSITION LOADINGS AT THE CLASS I BOUNDARY (BACKGROUND AND BACKGROUND PLUS THE PROPOSED SOURCE)

#### EXISTING PREDICTED

TSP UG/M3 - UG/M3 -PM-10 UG/M3 - UG/M3 -

4

SO2 UG/M3 - UG/M3 -NOx UG/M3 - UG/M3 -VOC UG/M3 - UG/M3 -OZONE PPM - PPM -SULFATES KG/HA - KG/HA -NITRATES KG/HA - KG/HA -

E. EXISTING AND PREDICTED AMBIENT AIR CONCENTRATIONS AND DEPOSITION LOADINGS AT THE SENSITIVE CLASS II BOUNDARIES (BACKGROUND AND BACKGROUND PLUS THE PROPOSED SOURCE)

EXISTING PREDICTED TSP UG/M3 - UG/M3 -PM-10 UG/M3 - UG/M3 -SO2 UG/M3 - UG/M3 -NOx UG/M3 - UG/M3 - VOC UG/M3 - UG/M3 -OZONE PPM - PPM -SULFATES KG/HA - KG/HA -NITRATES KG/HA - KG/HA -

### F. CLASS I INCREMENT ANALYSIS

BASELINE	CLASS I	PREDIC	TED
CONCENTRATIO	ON INCR	EMENT	CONCENTRATION

TSP UG/M3 - UG/M3 - UG/M3 - S02 UG/M3 - UG/M3 - UG/M3 - UG/M3 -

NOx UG/M3 - UG/M3 - UG/M3 -

5

#### VII. AIR QUALITY AND DEPOSITION MODELING

A. WHAT MODELS WERE USED TO PREDICT AMBIENT AIR QUALITY, ATMOSPHERIC DEPOSITION, AND VISIBILITY FOR CLASS I AREAS AND SENSITIVE CLASS II AREAS? (LIST EACH MODEL USED FOR EACH POLLUTANT ANALYZED)

- B. WERE THE MODELS USED EVALUATED OR APPROVED FOR USE BY EPA, THE STATE, OR THE FOREST SERVICE?
- VIII. AIR QUALITY RELATED VALUE ANALYSIS
  - A. WHAT SENSITIVE RECEPTORS, IF ANY, WERE ANALYZED FOR EACH AIR QUALITY RELATED VALUE?
    - 1. FLORA
    - 2. FAUNA
    - 3. SOIL
    - 4. WATER
    - 5. ODOR
    - 6. VISIBILITY
  - B. EXISTING AND PREDICTED AIR POLLUTION CAUSED CHANGES TO EACH IDENTIFIED SENSITIVE RECEPTOR (SEE TABLE 1 FOR POTENTIAL AIR POLLUTION CAUSED CHANGE FOR SENSITIVE RECEPTORS FOR EACH AIR QUALITY RELATED VALUE).

EXISTING AIRPREDICTED AIRPOLLUTIONPOLLUTIONCAUSED CHANGECAUSED CHANGE

- 1. FLORA
- 2. FAUNA
- 3. SOIL
- 4. WATER
- 5. ODOR
- 6. VISIBILITY

- C. WHAT MODELS WERE USED TO DETERMINE THE IMPACT ON EACH SENSITIVE RECEPTOR? (LIST EACH MODEL USED)
- D. WHAT CRITERIA (LIMIT OF ACCEPTABLE CHANGE), IF ANY, WERE USED TO DETERMINE IF THE SOURCE WOULD CAUSE OR CONTRIBUTE TO AN ADVERSE IMPACT ON EACH IDENTIFIED SENSITIVE RECEPTOR.

6

 $\rightarrow$