

CASE STUDY

The Fort Peck Tribes Use Biological Criteria Their Water Quality Standards*

he Fort Peck Tribes used biological assessments to identify degraded waterbodies on the reservation. Damage to streambanks (such as erosion and loss of vegetation) resulting from heavy livestock grazing is a common cause of degradation to reservation waters. The Tribes have obtained federal grants to restore the streams. Integrating biological criteria into their water quality standards program helps the Tribes detect problems in reservation waters that other regulatory approaches cannot always find. For example, a type of fish may disappear because erosion has destroyed its spawning sites. Chemical criteria would not identify this, but an assessment of the plants and animals living in the stream could reveal

Biological criteria allow a tribe to set goals for waterbodies based on the types and numbers of aquatic species that should be present in the

waterbodies. Establishing biological criteria as part of their water quality standards will allow the Fort Peck Tribes to use federal programs, both regulatory and non-regulatory, to meet their water quality goals.

Why use biological information and biological criteria?

More than 25 years after it was passed, the Clean Water Act still challenges us to answer critical questions about the physical, chemical, and biological state of our waters. One of the most meaningful ways of answering these questions is to observe the plants and animals that live in bodies of water. The number and types of aquatic plants and animals are affected by both pollution and loss of habitat. They can reveal problems that might otherwise be missed or underestimated using chemical water quality criteria. Biological criteria are narrative or numeric standards that describe the biological community that should live in a waterbody. Biological data are the core for setting protection or restoration goals, for determining what to watch and how to understand what is found, for ranking which problem areas get worked on first, and for judging the effectiveness of management actions.

Fort Peck Reservation

Tribal Background and Operations

The Fort Peck Reservation and trust lands, located in northeastern Montana, are home to the Assiniboine and Sioux Tribes. Within the 2-million-acre reservation lie seven major watershed drainages, all of them tributaries to the Missouri River. Land use within the Fort Peck Tribes reservation is primarily agricultural: 55 percent rangeland, 43 percent cropland, and 2 percent forestland, plus low-density urban area and roads. Agricultural practices cause 98 percent of the problems in the Tribes' streams.

The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency approved the Tribes' water quality standards in 2000.

The Tribes' Office of Environmental Protection introduced the concept of including biological criteria in the Tribes' water quality management strategy. Incorporating biological criteria into their water quality standards complemented the chemical and physical criteria the Tribes had been using.

Environmental terms used in this case study are defined in the enclosed glossary.

