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## Public Health Surveillance During the XVII Central American and Caribbean Games Puerto Rico, November 1993

To provide medical services at mass gatherings for scheduled special events (e.g., world fairs, music festivals, and athletic competitions such as the Olympics), organizers must have information to anticipate both routine and uncommon situations. In November 1993, approximately 9500 athletes and staff from 31 countries participated in the XVII Central American and Caribbean Games in San J uan, Puerto Rico. To monitor injury- and illness-related morbidity among participants, the schools of public health and medicine at the University of Puerto Rico and the Puerto Rico Olympic Committee established a public health surveillance system designed specifically for this event. This report summarizes selected results from the system, which underscore the usefulness of this approach in planning prevention, medical, and emergency services for similar events.

During the games, 4400 athletes competed in 28 sports at venues located in multiple sites around San J uan; the 5000 staff members included 500 trainers, judges, and delegates, and 4500 volunteers who were support personnel. The athletes lived at the Central American Village of the Caribbean at Camp Santiago in Salinas. Physicians provided medical care at the athletic village hospital, where an epidemiology unit conducted surveillance while the village was open. Staff in the epidemiology unit analyzed data daily and shared reports with games officials.

From November 14 through December 2, a total of 458 (58\%) of 794 consultations at the hospital were for athletes, and 336 (42\%) were for staff members. The largest numbers of patients were from Puerto Rico (249), Guatemala (49), and J amaica (46). Most (444 [56\%]) of the visits occurred during November 20-25, the peak of competition, when a daily average of 74 patients were evaluated. Among all 794 patients, the most common diagnosis was musculoskeletal injuries (302 [38\%]). Among the 229 athletes treated for injuries, the most frequent injury-related diagnoses were contusion (38), sprain (27), strain (27), tendinitis (25), abrasion (15), and myositis (15). The sports accounting for the largest number of injuries were field hockey (25), softball (22), soccer (21), and tae kwon do (21). Other diagnoses among all of those treated included respiratory (180 [23\%]), skin (85 [11\%]), gastrointestinal (56 [7\%]), genitourinary ( 25 [3\%]), and other (146 [18\%]) problems.
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Public Health Surveillance - Continued
Of 180 patients with respiratory diagnoses, 71 (39\%) were athletes whose most frequent diagnoses were upper respiratory tract infection (33) and pharyngitis (23). During the games, acute infectious conjunctivitis was diagnosed in 12 persons, including nine support staff and three athletes. Because of concern about the potential for spread, the nine support staff were provided treatment and asked to leave the games; the three athletes were treated and interviewed by epidemiologists to detect additional cases. Of the 15 cases of acute gastroenteritis, eight occurred in athletes, including three in members of one team. These three and their teammates were monitored by medical personnel for additional cases among teammates.

Of the 794 consultations, 727 ( $92 \%$ ) persons received medication, the most common of which were nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory agents (199), analgesics (162), antihistamines (58), and antibiotics (52). A total of 128 procedures were performed, including 26 clinical laboratory tests, 70 radiographic studies, and 32 procedures requiring suturing and local wound care.
Reported by: RV Pérez Perdomo, MD, CA Morell Rivera, AM Mayor Becerra, MD, RA Serrano Rodríguez, Graduate School of Public Health, Univ of Puerto Rico; WR Frontera, MD, School of Medicine, Univ of Puerto Rico, San J uan. E Martin, J Cantwell, MD, Atlanta Committee for the Olympic Games, Atlanta; D Blumenthal, MD, Fulton County Health Dept, Atlanta; P Wiesner, MD, DeKalb County Board of Health, Decatur; K Toomey, MD, State Epidemiologist, P Meehan, MD, Div of Public Health, Georgia Dept of Human Resources. J Clinton, MD, HHS Region IV. Office of the Director, Public Health Practice Program Office; Div of Surveillance and Epidemiology, Epidemiology Program Office, CDC.
Editorial Note: International sports events and other organized mass gatherings bring together large numbers of competitors and support staff from geographically widespread regions into sports venues and lodging facilities. Persons planning such events should recognize the data requirements of health-care and public health officials for providing necessary services during the events (1-3). The public health surveillance system established for the XVII Central American and Caribbean Games was simple and flexible and provided useful information on a timely basis (4). For example, information about patients treated at the hospital was used by the organizing committee's Division of Health Services for daily planning, and the system detected two conditions (conjunctivitis and gastroenteritis) with potential for spread.

Outbreaks of infectious diseases associated with competitive sports events may be transmitted by several modes, including person-to-person, common source, and airborne or droplet spread (5). Basic measures for preventing infectious diseases among athletes participating in such events include diagnosis and follow-up, prevention (e.g., vaccination), education about risk behaviors, and public health surveillance (e.g., prompt disease recognition and reporting). Health-care workers who provide medical care in these settings should recognize the potential risks for transmission of infectious diseases at three levels: the individual athlete, the team and support staff (as a group of individuals in close contact), and spectators or others exposed through viewing or related activities (5). In addition, members of these groups may be at risk for exposure to infectious diseases present among persons in the general community. Although the overall likelihood of transmission during competitive sports events is low, understanding of the levels for potential spread of infectious diseases facilitates rapid detection and intervention by medical and public health officials.

The surveillance system in San Juan focused on athletes but not spectators. At some competitions, particularly those extending over many days and held in
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different locations, the provision of medical care for spectators may entail extensive coordination between public health officials and event organizers ( $2,6,7$ ). Factors to be considered when planning such services include the type and length of event(s), physical facilities, availability of qualified on-site staff and other resources, weather and other environmental factors, local capacity for routine medical care, and relations among groups responsible for organizing the games.

The public health and safety needs for the 1996 Summer Olympics-scheduled for J uly 19-August 5 in Atlanta, Georgia-are complex and have required close cooperation among the Atlanta Committee for the Olympic Games (ACOG) and the local, state, and federal agencies responsible for these needs. To prepare medical and public health services for these events, ACOG and government agencies have reviewed the experiences of and information from prior events such as the XVII Central American and Caribbean Games, previous Olympics (2,8), and other large gatherings (9). ACOG has worked with the local community to plan medical services for the expected 11,000 athletes, 80,000 staff, and 2.2 million visitors during the 18 -day event. These plans have been closely integrated with the operations of existing local, state, and federal public health officials; emergency-management services; environmental health services; and other relevant agencies. Concerns about heat-related morbidity, in particular, prompted extensive planning efforts by ACOG and public health officials to develop for and distribute to the public educational materials regarding prevention measures, and to ensure the availability of adequate water and shade structures both within and outside the Olympic venues.

To monitor the health and safety of athletes, staff, and spectators at the venues and Olympic Village, CDC, at the request of ACOG Medical Services, has coordinated the design and implementation of a surveillance system that will collect information daily from approximately 100 medical assistance sites at the venues. These data will be provided to ACOG, the International Olympic Committee, and state and federal officials. To monitor infectious diseases and other health events that may require intervention in the community, the Division of Public Health, Georgia Department of Human Resources, has enhanced the existing notifiable disease system, which is based on reports from physicians, infection-control practitioners, and statewide laboratories. During the Olympics, the state public health laboratory and a private laboratory will provide daily reports to the state epidemiologist of selected tests requiring immediate public health follow-up. In addition, active surveillance at eight sentinel hospital emergency departments (four hospitals in the metropolitan Atlanta area and one hospital each at venues in Athens, Columbus, Macon, and Savannah) will include reports of potential foodborne illnesses and other infectious diseases, injuries, and heat-related illnesses.
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## Prevention of Perinatal Hepatitis B Through Enhanced Case Management Connecticut, 1994-95, and United States, 1994

Each year, an estimated 20,000 infants are born to women in the United States who are positive for hepatitis B surface antigen (HBsAg). These infants are at high risk for perinatal hepatitis B virus (HBV) infection and for chronic liver disease as adults. To identify newborns who require immunoprophylaxis to prevent perinatal HBV infection (1-4), all vaccine advisory groups have recommended routine HBsAg screening of all pregnant women during an early prenatal visit in each pregnancy. Federal funding to support perinatal hepatitis B-prevention programs became available in 1990, and by 1992, programs had been implemented in all 50 states and the District of Columbia. Specific objectives of these programs are to ensure that 1) all pregnant women are tested for HBsAg, and 2) infants born to HBsAg-positive women receive hepatitis B immune globulin (HBIG) and hepatitis B vaccine at birth, with follow-up doses of vaccine at ages 1 and 6 months (5). This report describes the case-management features of successful hepatitis B-prevention programs in Connecticut during 1994-95 and in the United States during 1994.

## Connecticut

In 1992, the Connecticut Department of Public Health implemented a perinatal hepatitis B-prevention program and recommended that 1) HBsAg-positive women be contacted before delivery and educated about HBV infection, 2) the infant's pediatrician and delivery hospital be informed of the mother's HBsAg status, and 3) a tracking system be used to ensure the infant receives appropriate postexposure prophylaxis. Local health departments (LHDs) initially were responsible for providing management to mother/infant pairs.

Enhanced case management (ECM) was implemented in two counties in J uly 1994 and a third county in April 1995. In addition to use of the basic recommendations, the ECM program employed a full-time nurse (hired by the state) who worked on a flexible schedule to manage all mother/infant pairs in the three-county area and a computerbased tracking system to identify pending births to infected mothers and the need for follow-up vaccine doses for infants. To evaluate program effectiveness, outcomes in the ECM program were compared with the LHD programs for HBsAg-positive women identified during 1994-95.

Prevention of Perinatal Hepatitis B - Continued
During 1994-95, the ECM program identified 64 HBsAg-positive pregnant women and maintained contact with all of these women throughout their pregnancies. During this period, LHD programs identified 71 HBsAg-positive pregnant women and established and/or maintained contact with 58 (82\%). The mothers in the LHD programs resided in 27 different local health jurisdictions. Three of these jurisdictions managed $\geq 10$ mothers, and 18 each managed one.

Documented compliance with the recommendation to administer HBIG and the first dose of hepatitis B vaccine within 24 hours of birth was higher in the ECM group (100\%) than in the LHD group (90\%) (Table 1). In addition, the rate of completion of the three-dose series by 6-8 months after birth was higher in the ECM program (91\%) than the LHD programs (48\%). No infants were lost to follow-up in the ECM program; in comparison, seven (12\%) infants in the LHD programs were lost to follow-up without documentation that the series was completed.

## United States

In March 1996, CDC conducted a survey to assess the effectiveness of the 58 federally funded perinatal hepatitis B-prevention programs for infants born to HBsAgpositive women in the United States during 1994. Of 8252 infants born to HBsAgpositive women, 7362 ( $89 \%$ ) received HBIG and the first dose of hepatitis B vaccine at birth, and 5042 (61\%) completed prophylaxis by age 6-8 months.

As part of this survey, program coordinators completed a questionnaire about key programmatic elements; 48 ( $76 \%$ ) of the 58 programs provided complete information. ECM techniques associated with an increased likelihood of vaccination of infants born to HBsAg-positive mothers (Table 1) included routine reminders to HBsAg-positive women that their status should be reported to the delivery hospital, reporting of the maternal HBsAg status on the newborn metabolic screening card or birth certificate, routine reminders to the prenatal-care providers that the mother's HBsAg status should be reported to the delivery hospital, and use of a computer-based tracking system for HBsAg-positive pregnant women and their infants.
Reported by: AJ Roome, MPH, M Rak, JL Hadler, MD, State Epidemiologist, Connecticut Dept of Public Health. Epidemiology and Surveillance Div, National Immunization Program; Hepatitis Br, Div of Viral and Rickettsial Diseases, National Center for Infectious Diseases, CDC.
Editorial Note: Administration of appropriate immunoprophylaxis is approximately $90 \%$ effective in preventing perinatal HBV transmission (6). Because infants who are incompletely vaccinated with hepatitis B vaccine are at increased risk for perinatal HBV infection and less likely to be protected against infection compared with completely vaccinated children, timely provision of HBIG and the appropriate doses of vaccine is essential to prevention (7).

The findings in this report indicate that, compared with all U.S. infants born to HBsAg-positive women, a substantially higher proportion of such infants in the ECM program in Connecticut received HBIG and were completely vaccinated with hepatitis $B$ vaccine by age 6-8 months. One potential explanation for the increase in Connecticut was the use of comprehensive case-management techniques, including employment of staff specifically for the program, use of a computer-based tracking system, and use of reminder letters. Similar techniques improved case management in the national survey. In addition, reporting of maternal HBsAg status on newborn metabolic screening cards or birth certificates may help to ensure infants are vaccinated in the hospital and entered into tracking and recall systems at the state health department.

TABLE 1. Number and percentage of infants who were bom to HBsAg*-positive women and received hepatitis B immune globulin (HBIG) and the vaccine series for hepatitis B, by program and characteristics - Connecticut, 1994-95, and United States, 1994

| Program/Characteristic | No. infants bom to HBsAg-positive women | Infants who received HBIG and hepatitis $B$ vaccine at birth |  |  | Infants who received third dose of hepatitis B vaccine 6-8 months after birth |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | No. | (\%) | p value | No. | (\%) | $p$ value |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Use enhanced case-management (ECM) techniques |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yes (ECM program) <br> No (local health department | 64 | 64 | (100) | $0.01{ }^{\dagger}$ | 58 | (91) | $<0.01$ |
| program ${ }^{\text {) }}$ | 58 | 52 | ( 90) |  | 28 | (48) |  |
| United States ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Provide reminders to HBsAgpositive women to report their status to delivery hospital |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yes No | 6717 949 | 5978 835 | ( 89) $(88)$ | 0.16 | 4500 522 | (67) (55) | $<0.01$ |
| Report maternal HBsAg <br> status on newborn metabolic <br> screening card or birth <br> certificate** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yes No | 4995 | 4545 2224 | $(91)$ $(85)$ | $<0.01$ | 3347 1649 | (67) |  |
| Provide reminders to prenatal- <br> care providers to report mother's HBsAg status to delivery hospital |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { No } \end{aligned}$ | 6786 880 | 6107 | $\binom{90}{81}$ | $<0.01$ | 4547 493 | (67) (56) | $<0.01$ |
| Have computerized system to track HBsAg-positive pregnant women and their infants |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yes No | 6778 888 | 6168 693 | ( 91) $(78)$ | $<0.01$ | 4541 471 | (67) (53) | $<0.01$ |

[^0]$\dagger$ Fisher exact two-tailed test.
§ Documented compliance with the recommendation to administer HBIG and hepatitis B vaccine was verified with a chart review.
${ }^{9}$ Only 48 of the 58 programs in the United States reported complete data. Data from these programs were obtained from both active and passive surveillance systems.
** Excludes data for 54 infants for whom data were unknown.

Prevention of Perinatal Hepatitis B-Continued
Perinatal hepatitis B-prevention programs without intensive case management have been only moderately successful in ensuring that children of HBsAg-positive mothers are identified and complete the vaccine series by age 6-8 months. For example, in 1988, an evaluation of patients served by a large municipal hospital indicated that only $65 \%$ of infants at risk for perinatal HBV infection had received both HBIG and hepatitis B vaccine within 7 days after delivery (8). In addition, among 832 infants identified by a neonatal hepatitis B surveillance and vaccination program in New York City in 1988, only 59\% had received HBIG and completed the vaccine series by age 18 months (9).

Although this report did not include cost analysis, previous studies associate substantial cost savings with prevention of perinatal HBV transmission (10). For example, the estimated lifetime medical costs for one patient with cirrhosis of the liver (without transplantation) is $\$ 87,000$; however, the costs associated with the techniques employed by the ECM program were not estimated. In addition, the integration of perinatal HBV-prevention programs with existing and new perinatal screening programs (e.g., maternal screening for human immunodeficiency virus and group $B$ streptococcal infections) may improve overall cost effectiveness of these programs and facilitate comprehensive case management for other diseases that affect newborns.

A national health objective for the year 2000 is to reduce by approximately $80 \%$ the number of perinatal HBV infections in the United States (objective 20.3). Based on the national survey described in this report, only half of all births to HBsAg-positive mothers in the United States are reported to a perinatal hepatitis B-prevention program and entered into a tracking system. Based on recent studies, widespread use of comprehensive case-management techniques similar to those used by newborn metabolic screening programs are needed to achieve the year 2000 objective.
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## Cigarette Smoking Among Adults United States, 1994

Reducing the prevalence of cigarette smoking among adults to no more than $15 \%$ is one of the national health objectives for the year 2000 (objective 3.4) (1). To assess progress toward meeting this objective, CDC analyzed self-reported information about cigarette smoking among U.S. adults contained in the Year 2000 Objectives Supplement of the 1994 National Health Interview Survey (NHIS-2000). This report summarizes the findings of this analysis, which indicate that, in 1994, 25.5\% ( 48.0 million) of adults were current smokers and that the overall prevalence of current smoking and estimates for sociodemographic subgroups were unchanged from 1993 to 1994.

The 1994 NHIS-2000 was administered to a nationally representative sample ( $\mathrm{n}=19,738$ ) of the U.S. noninstitutionalized civilian population aged $\geq 18$ years; $79.5 \%$ responded. Participants were asked "Have you smoked at least 100 cigarettes in your entire life?" and "Do you now smoke cigarettes every day, some days, or not at all?" Current smokers were persons who reported having smoked $\geq 100$ cigarettes in their lifetime and who smoked every day or some days at the time of interview. Former smokers were those who had smoked $\geq 100$ cigarettes in their lifetime but who did not smoke currently. Interest in quitting smoking was determined by asking current smokers "Would you like to completely quit smoking cigarettes?" Quit attempt was determined by asking current every-day smokers "During the past 12 months, have you stopped smoking for one day or longer?" Data were adjusted for nonresponse and weighted to provide national estimates. Confidence intervals (Cls) were calculated using SUDAAN.

In 1994, an estimated 48.0 million adults ( $25.5 \%$ [ $95 \% \mathrm{Cl}= \pm 0.7 \%$ ]), including 25.3 million men and 22.7 million women, were current smokers (Table 1): 21.0\% (95\% $\mathrm{Cl}= \pm 0.7 \%$ ) were every-day smokers, and $4.6 \%$ ( $95 \% \mathrm{Cl}= \pm 0.4 \%$ ) were some-day smokers. Current every-day smokers in 1994 constituted $82.1 \% ~(95 \% \mathrm{Cl}= \pm 1.3 \%$ ) of current smokers, similar to that for 1993 ( $81.8 \%$ [ $95 \% \mathrm{Cl}= \pm 1.2 \%]$ ) (CDC, unpublished data, 1996). Men were significantly more likely to be current smokers ( $28.2 \%$ [ $95 \%$ $\mathrm{Cl}= \pm 1.1 \%]$ ) than were women ( $23.1 \%$ [ $95 \% \mathrm{Cl} \pm \pm 0.9 \%]$ ). Racial/ethnic group-specific prevalence was highest for American Indians/Alaskan Natives (42.2\% [95\% CI= $\pm 9.4 \%$ ]) and lowest for Asians/Pacific Islanders ( $13.9 \%$ [ $95 \% \mathrm{Cl}= \pm 3.5 \%$ ]). With the exception of persons with 0-8 years of education, smoking prevalence varied inversely with level of education and was highest among persons with 9-11 years of education (38.2\% [ $95 \% \mathrm{Cl}= \pm 2.5 \%$ ]). Smoking prevalence was higher among persons living below the poverty level* ( $34.7 \%$ [ $95 \% \mathrm{Cl}= \pm 2.3 \%$ ]) than among those living at or above the poverty level (24.1\% [95\% CI= $\pm 0.8 \%]$ ).

In 1994, an estimated 46.0 million adults ( $24.5 \%$ [ $95 \% \mathrm{Cl}= \pm 0.7 \%$ ]) were former smokers, including 26.0 million men and 20.0 million women. An estimated 33.2 million ( $69.3 \%$ [ $95 \% \mathrm{Cl}= \pm 1.6 \%]$ ) current smokers wanted to quit smoking completely, and 18.1 million ( $46.4 \%$ [ $95 \% \mathrm{Cl}= \pm 1.9 \%$ ]) current every-day smokers had stopped smoking for at least 1 day during the preceding 12 months.

[^1]Cigarette Smoking - Continued
TABLE 1. Percentage of persons aged $\geq 18$ years who were current cigarette smokers*, by selected characteristics - Year 2000 Objectives Supplement of the National Health Interview Survey, United States, 1994

| Characteristic | $\begin{gathered} \text { Men } \\ (n=8,303) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Women } \\ (\mathrm{n}=11,435) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Total } \\ (n=19,738) \end{gathered}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% | (95\% CIt) | \% | (95\% CI) | \% | (95\% CI) |
| Race/Ethnicity ${ }^{\text {s }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| White | 28.0 | $( \pm$ 1.2) | 24.7 | ( $\pm$ 1.1) | 26.3 | $( \pm 0.9)$ |
| Black | 33.9 | ( $\pm 4.0)$ | 21.8 | $( \pm 2.2)$ | 27.2 | ( $\pm 2.3$ ) |
| Hispanic | 24.3 | $( \pm 4.1)$ | 15.2 | $( \pm 2.8)$ | 19.5 | $( \pm 2.5)$ |
| American Indian/ Alaskan Native ${ }^{n}$ | 53.7 | ( $\pm 16.9)$ | 33.1 | $( \pm 10.8)$ | 42.2 | $( \pm 9.4)$ |
| Asian/Pacific Islander | 20.4 | ( $\pm$ 6.1) | 7.5 | $( \pm 3.5)$ | 13.9 | $( \pm 3.5)$ |
| Education (yrs)** |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\leq 8$ | 30.4 | ( $\pm$ 4.1) | 17.8 | ( $\pm 2.8)$ | 23.7 | ( $\pm 2.4)$ |
| 9-11 | 45.8 | ( $\pm$ 3.9) | 32.1 | $( \pm 3.0)$ | 38.2 | $( \pm 2.5)$ |
| 12 | 33.2 | $( \pm 2.1)$ | 27.3 | $( \pm 1.6)$ | 29.8 | $( \pm 1.3)$ |
| 13-15 | 28.4 | ( $\pm 2.5)$ | 23.3 | $( \pm 2.1)$ | 25.7 | $( \pm 1.6)$ |
| $\geq 16$ | 13.8 | ( $\pm$ 1.7) | 10.4 | $( \pm 1.4)$ | 12.3 | $( \pm 1.1)$ |
| Age (yrs) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18-24 | 29.8 | ( $\pm$ 3.3) | 25.2 | $( \pm 2.8)$ | 27.5 | $( \pm 2.2)$ |
| 25-44 | 32.3 | ( $\pm$ 1.7) | 27.8 | $( \pm 1.4)$ | 30.0 | $( \pm 1.1)$ |
| 45-64 | 28.3 | $( \pm 2.1)$ | 22.8 | $( \pm 1.9)$ | 25.5 | $( \pm 1.4)$ |
| $\geq 65$ | 13.2 | $( \pm 1.9)$ | 11.1 | $( \pm 1.3)$ | 12.0 | $( \pm 1.1)$ |
| Poverty status ${ }^{\dagger t}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| At/Above | 26.6 | $( \pm$ 1.1) | 21.6 | $( \pm 1.0)$ | 24.1 | $( \pm 0.8)$ |
| Below | 41.9 | $( \pm 4.1)$ | 30.2 | $( \pm 2.6)$ | 34.7 | ( $\pm 2.3$ ) |
| Unknown | 31.8 | ( $\pm$ 4.2) | 26.8 | ( $\pm 3.4)$ | 28.8 | $( \pm 2.7)$ |
| Total | 28.2 | ( $\pm$ 1.1) | 23.1 | ( $\pm$ 0.9) | 25.5 | $( \pm 0.7)$ |

*Persons who reported having smoked $\geq 100$ cigarettes and who reported now smoking every day or some days. Excludes 171 respondents for whom smoking status was unknown.
${ }^{\dagger}$ Confidence interval.
§Excludes 251 respondents in unknown, multiple, and other racial categories.
${ }^{9}$ Estimates should be interpreted with caution because of the small sample sizes.
$* *$ Persons aged $\geq 25$ years. Excludes 118 persons with unknown years of education.
\#Poverty statistics are based on definitions developed by the Social Security Administration in 1964 (which were subsequently modified by federal interagency committees in 1969 and 1980) and prescribed by the Office of Management and Budget as the standard to be used by federal agencies for statistical purposes.

Reported by: Epidemiology Br, Office on Smoking and Health, National Center for Chronic Disease Prevention and Health Promotion, CDC.
Editorial Note: The findings in this report indicate that the overall prevalence of current cigarette smoking among U.S. adults in 1994 was unchanged compared with that in 1993 (2) and suggest a plateau in the prevalence (2,3); in addition, estimated prevalences were unchanged for sociodemographic subgroups, for current and every-day smokers, and for former smokers. From 1981 to 1993, average per capita consumption of cigarettes declined by 108.2 cigarettes annually ( 3836 cigarettes per adult to 2538); in comparison, the annual decline was only 11.5 cigarettes from 1993 to 1995 ( 2515 per adult) $(3,4)$. The plateau in prevalence and consumption corresponded with a $10.4 \%$ decrease in the real price per pack of cigarettes during 1992-1994 after annual increases of an average of $4 \%$ since 1984 (5). This decrease in the real price of

Cigarette Smoking - Continued
cigarettes was because of increased market shares for discount brands and price decreases in premium brands. In addition, during this period, domestic cigarette marketing expenditures increased at more than four times the rate of inflation, with the largest increases in expenditures for coupons and other items that make cigarettes more affordable (6).

Racial/ethnic variations in smoking prevalence probably reflect the differences in education level (7), income, employment status, and cultural factors. For example, in many Asian cultures, smoking by women is unacceptable (8). To further assess these differences, CDC has funded 11 academic institutions to collaborate in examining variations in smoking behavior among racial, ethnic, and sex groups. These studies include focus groups of teenagers to determine differences among groups in the functional values, parenting styles, and social norms associated with tobacco use.

To achieve national health objectives for decreased prevalence of smoking, efforts must be intensified to discourage the initiation of smoking among youth and to encourage smokers to quit. Specific prevention strategies include reducing both the access to and the appeal of tobacco products for minors, educational efforts encouraging cessation, improved access to cessation services for smokers interested in quitting, and implementation of other strategies (e.g., mass media campaigns) (9). The document Smoking Cessation: Clinical Practice Guideline recently released by the Agency for Health Care Policy and Research (10) should be widely disseminated and its recommendations fully implemented by all health-care professionals; in addition, all health insurance plans are encouraged to offer treatment for nicotine addiction as a covered benefit (1).

## References

1. Public Health Service. Healthy people 2000: midcourse review and 1995 revisions. Washington, DC: US Department of Health and Human Services, Public Health Service, 1995.
2. CDC. Cigarette smoking among adults—United States, 1993. MMWR 1994;43:925-30.
3. Giovino GA, Schooley MW, Zhu BP, et al. Trends and recent patterns in selected tobacco-use behaviors--United States, 1900-1993. MMWR 1994;43(no. SS-3).
4. US Department of Agriculture. Tobacco: situation and outlook report. Washington, DC: US Department of Agriculture, Economic Research Service, April 1996.
5. The Tobacco Institute. The tax burden on tobacco. Washington, DC: The Tobacco Institute, 1995.
6. US Federal Trade Commission. Federal Trade Commission report to Congress for 1993: pursuant to the Federal Cigarette Labeling and Advertising Act. Washington, DC: US Federal Trade Commission, 1995.
7. Escobedo LG, Zhu BP, Giovino GA, Eriksen MP. Educational attainment and racial differences in cigarette smoking. J Natl Cancer Inst 1995;87:1552-3.
8. Chollat-Traquet C. Women and tobacco. Geneva, Switzerland: World Health Organization, 1992.
9. US Department of Health and Human Services. Reducing the health consequences of smoking: 25 years of progress-a report of the Surgeon General. Washington, DC: US Department of Health and Human Services, Public Health Service, CDC, 1989; DHHS publication no. (CDC)898411.
10. US Department of Health and Human Services. Smoking cessation: clinical practice guideline [no. 18]. Washington, DC: US Department of Health and Human Services, Public Health Service, Agency for Health Care Policy and Research, 1996; DHHS publication no. (AHCPR)96-0692.

## Notice to Readers

## Publication of Surgeon General's Report On Physical Activity and Health

Physical Activity and Health: A Report of the Surgeon General was released on J uly 11, 1996, by the Public Health Service, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (1). This report assesses the role of physical activity in preventing disease and concludes that regular physical activity reduces the risk for developing or dying from coronary heart disease, noninsulin-dependent diabetes, hypertension, and colon cancer; reduces symptoms of anxiety and depression; contributes to the development and maintenance of healthier bones, muscles, and joints; and helps control weight. Physical activity also may help older adults maintain the ability to live independently and help prevent falling and fractures.

The Surgeon General's report emphasizes two important findings. First, demonstrated health benefits occur at a "moderate" level of activity-a level sufficient to expend about 150 calories of energy per day, or 1000 calories per week (e.g., walking briskly for 30 minutes each day). Second, although physical activity does not need to be vigorous to provide health benefits, the amount of health benefit is directly related to the amount of regular physical activity. These conclusions suggest a flexible approach to increasing physical activity. Because a moderate amount of physical activity can be achieved in many ways and must be sustained throughout life to produce benefits, persons unable or unwilling to adhere to a structured exercise program can incorporate into their daily lives physical activity appropriate to their personal preferences and life circumstances. Examples of moderate activity include playing volleyball for 45 minutes, raking leaves for 30 minutes, swimming laps for 20 minutes, playing basketball for 15-20 minutes, or running 1.5 miles in 15 minutes. These examples illustrate the balance between duration and intensity, with less strenuous activities requiring a longer duration to achieve the same caloric expenditure. Moderate amounts of activity will improve health for most of the U.S. population, who currently do not achieve the recommended amount of physical activity (including the $25 \%$ of U.S. adults who are not physically active). Those who currently achieve moderate amounts of physical activity on a regular basis can obtain further benefits by increasing the duration, intensity, or frequency of activity.

Although the study of methods to increase physical activity is in its early stages, some efforts have demonstrated promising results, most prominently in innovative physical education programs in schools. Other examples of effective approaches include counseling of patients by their physicians and, in some worksites, promoting physical activity among employees.

This first Surgeon General's report on physical activity and health was prepared by CDC in conjunction with academic experts in exercise science, physiology, epidemiology, public health, and the behavioral sciences. The President's Council on Physical Fitness and Sports joined CDC as a collaborating partner representing the Office of the Surgeon General. The National Institutes of Health and the Office of Public Health and Science assisted in planning the report, with consultation provided by the American College of Sports Medicine, the American Heart Association, and the American Alliance for Health, Physical Education, Recreation, and Dance. The

## Notice to Readers - Continued

executive summary for the report and an order form for the full report are available from CDC, telephone toll free (888) 232-4674 ([888] CDC-4NRG), and from the Internet at http://www.cdc.gov.

## Reference
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## Quarterly Immunization Table

To track progress toward achieving the goals of the Childhood Immunization Initiative (CII), CDC publishes quarterly a tabular summary of the number of cases of all diseases preventable by routine childhood vaccination reported during the previous quarter and year-to-date (provisional data). In addition, the table compares provisional data with final data for the previous year and highlights the number of reported cases among children aged $<5$ years, who are the primary focus of CII. Data in the table are reported through the National Electronic Telecommunications System for Surveillance (NETSS).

Number of reported cases of diseases preventable by routine childhood vaccination - United States, April-J une 1996 and 1995-1996*

| Disease | No. cases, April-J une 1996 | Total cases J anuary-J une |  | No. cases among children aged $<5$ years ${ }^{\dagger}$ J anuary-J une |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1995 | 1996 | 1995 | 1996 |
| Congenital rubella |  |  |  |  |  |
| syndrome | 0 | 5 | 1 | 5 | 1 |
| Diphtheria | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Haemophilus influenzae§ | 279 | 668 | 623 | 175 | 142 |
| Hepatitis B ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 2410 | 4917 | 4468 | 39 | 27 |
| Measles | 192 | 231 | 259 | 86 | 45 |
| Mumps | 176 | 498 | 325 | 100 | 68 |
| Pertussis | 893 | 1415 | 1527 | 810 | 742 |
| Poliomyelitis, paralytic** | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| Rubella | 55 | 83 | 94 | 10 | 10 |
| Tetanus | 7 | 11 | 10 | 1 | 0 |

*Data for 1995 and 1996 are provisional.
${ }^{\dagger}$ For 1995 and 1996, age data were available for $\geq 93 \%$ cases, except for 1996 age data for measles, which were available for $81 \%$ of cases.
§Invasive disease; H. influenzae serotype is not routinely reported to the National Notifiable Diseases Surveillance System. Of 142 cases among children aged $<5$ years, serotype was reported for 32 cases, and of those, nine were type b, the only serotype of H. influenzae preventable by vaccination.
"Because most hepatitis B virus infections among infants and children aged $<5$ years are asymptomatic (although likely to become chronic), acute disease surveillance does not reflect the incidence of this problem in this age group or the effectiveness of hepatitis $B$ vaccination in infants.
**Three suspected cases with onset in 1996 have been reported to date. Two cases with onset in 1995 have been confirmed; these cases were vaccine-associated. An additional six suspected cases are under investigation for 1995. Five cases with onset in 1994 were confirmed; all were vaccine-associated.

FIGURE I. Selected notifiable disease reports, comparison of 4-week totals ending J uly 6, 1996, with historical data - United States

*Ratio of current 4-week total to mean of 154 -week totals (from previous, comparable, and subsequent 4 -week periods for the past 5 years). The point where the hatched area begins is based on the mean and two standard deviations of these 4-week totals.

## TABLE I. Summary - cases of selected notifiable diseases, United States, cumulative, week ending J uly 6, 1996 (27th Week)

|  | Cum. 1996 |  | Cum. 1996 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Anthrax | - | HIV infection, pediatric*§ | 138 |
| Brucellosis | 40 | Plague | - |
| Cholera | 2 | Poliomyelitis, paralytic ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | - |
| Congenital rubella syndrome | 1 | Psittacosis | 17 |
| Cryptosporidiosis* | 806 | Rabies, human | - |
| Diphtheria | 1 | Rocky Mountain spotted fever (RMSF) | 194 |
| Encephalitis: California* |  | Streptococcal toxic-shock syndrome* | 10 |
| eastern equine* | 1 | Syphilis, congenital** | - |
| St. Louis* | - | Tetanus | 10 |
| western equine* | 5 | Toxic-shock syndrome | 69 |
| Hansen Disease | 52 | Trichinosis | 12 |
| Hantavirus pulmonary syndrome ${ }^{*}{ }^{\dagger}$ | 8 | Typhoid fever | 166 |

-: no reported cases
*Not notifiable in all states.
$\dagger$ Updated weekly from reports to the Division of Viral and Rickettsial Diseases, National Center for Infectious Diseases (NCID).
§ Updated monthly to the Division of HIV/AIDS Prevention, National Center for HIV, STD, and TB Prevention (NCHSTP), last
update J une 25, 1996.
Il Three suspected cases of polio with onset in 1996 has been reported to date.
**Updated quarterly from reports to the Division of STD Prevention, NCHSTP. First quarter 1996 is not yet available.

## TABLE II. Cases of selected notifiable diseases, United States, weeks ending J uly 6, 1996, and J uly 8, 1995 (27th Week)

| Reporting Area | AIDS* |  | Chlamydia <br> Cum. <br> 1996 | Escherichia coli 0157:H7 |  | Gonornea |  | Hepatitis C/NA,NB |  | Legionellosis |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | NETSS $^{\dagger}{ }^{\text {PHLIS }}{ }^{\text { }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Cum. } \\ & 1996 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Cum. } \\ & 1995 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Cum. } \\ & 1996 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Cum. } \\ & 1996 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Cum. } \\ & 1996 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Cum. } \\ & 1995 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Cum. } \\ & 1996 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Cum. } \\ & 1995 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Cum. } \\ & 1996 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Cum. } \\ & 1995 \end{aligned}$ |
| UNITED STATES | 34,213 | 35,320 |  | 144,334 | 657 | 285 | 136,474 | 197,159 | 1,838 | 2,045 | 355 | 604 |
| NEW ENGLAND | 1,391 | 1,762 | 8,652 | 83 | 21 | 3,702 | 2,367 | 58 | 61 | 18 | 13 |
| Maine | 22 | 72 | - | 3 | - | 21 | 40 | - | - | 1 | 4 |
| N.H. | 42 | 53 | 372 | 8 | 5 | 71 | 63 | 3 | 10 | - | 1 |
| Vt. | 10 | 13 |  | 8 | 6 | 30 | 25 | 24 | 6 | 2 | - |
| Mass. | 648 | 793 | 3,390 | 29 | 10 | 1,109 | 1,382 | 28 | 44 | 9 | 7 |
| R.I. | 94 | 134 | 1,042 | 5 | - | , 267 | , 257 | 3 | 1 | 6 | 1 |
| Conn. | 575 | 697 | 3,848 | 30 | - | 2,204 | 600 | - | - | N | N |
| MID. ATLANTIC | 9,450 | 9,096 | 19,957 | 59 | 26 | 15,133 | 22,968 | 182 | 212 | 72 | 86 |
| Upstate N.Y. | 1,164 | 1,118 | N | 41 | 12 | 3,043 | 4,650 | 156 | 106 | 20 | 25 |
| N.Y. City | 5,299 | 4,481 | 8,875 |  | - | 4,635 | 9,227 | 1 | 1 | - | 2 |
| N.J. | 1,796 | 2,208 | 2,166 | 18 | 5 | 2,388 | 2,068 | - | 87 | 7 | 17 |
| Pa. | 1,191 | 1,289 | 8,916 | N | 9 | 5,067 | 7,023 | 25 | 18 | 45 | 42 |
| E.N. CENTRAL | 2,777 | 2,871 | 20,208 | 188 | 75 | 21,790 | 30,635 | 248 | 165 | 104 | 187 |
| Ohio | 622 | 609 | 10,507 | 51 | 19 | 7,663 | 12,717 | 10 | 5 | 48 | 87 |
| Ind. | 393 | 257 | 5,143 | 25 | 14 | 3,482 | 4,533 | 7 | 1 | 25 | 43 |
| III. | 1,202 | 1,271 | 298 | 73 | 16 | 8,632 | 10,016 | 43 | 49 | 2 | 20 |
| Mich. | 1,207 | , 562 | - | 39 | 26 | U | U | 188 | 110 | 23 | 21 |
| Wis. | 153 | 172 | 4,260 | N | - | 2,013 | 3,369 | - | - | 6 | 16 |
| W.N. CENTRAL | 820 | 844 | 12,417 | 110 | 65 | 6,123 | 10,061 | 66 | 34 | 22 | 45 |
| Minn. | 157 | 203 | - | 23 | 38 | U | 1,410 | - | 2 | 1 | - |
| Iowa | 57 | 44 | 1,951 | 24 | 11 | 504 | 716 | 33 | 5 | 4 | 14 |
| Mo. | 402 | 339 | 6,583 | 21 | - | 4,213 | 5,892 | 20 | 11 | 6 | 13 |
| N. Dak. | 8 | 4 | 2 | 8 | 6 | 1 | 16 | - | 3 | - | 2 |
| S. Dak. | 8 | 9 | 689 | 4 | - | 95 | 103 | - | 1 | 2 |  |
| Nebr. | 55 | 71 | 878 | 8 | 2 | 159 | 530 | 3 | 9 | 7 | 11 |
| Kans. | 133 | 174 | 2,314 | 22 | 8 | 1,151 | 1,394 | 10 | 3 | 2 | 5 |
| S. ATLANTIC | 8,571 | 9,004 | 27,692 | 37 | 9 | 50,528 | 55,515 | 123 | 130 | 59 | 99 |
| Del. | 167 | 163 |  |  | 1 | 742 | 1,047 | 1 |  | 3 | 1 |
| Md. | 1,026 | 1,297 | 3,190 | N | 1 | 6,571 | 6,510 | - | 6 | 9 | 17 |
| D.C. | 591 | 576 | N | - | - | 2,275 | 2,372 | - | - | 3 | 4 |
| Va . | 546 | 640 | 5,554 | N | 2 | 4,797 | 5,600 | 8 | 5 | 12 | 7 |
| W. Va. | 64 | 43 | 5,55 | N | - | 242 | 529 | 7 | 26 | 1 | 3 |
| N.C. | 464 | 491 | - | 9 | 2 | 9,531 | 12,306 | 27 | 27 | 5 | 20 |
| S.C. | 443 | 450 | 627 | 6 | 3 | 5,693 | 6,148 | 15 | 12 | 4 | 19 |
| Ga. | 1,288 | 1,094 | 6,327 | 8 | - | 10,926 | 10,449 | - | 15 | 1 | 14 |
| Fla. | 3,982 | 4,250 | 12,621 | 11 | - | 9,751 | 10,654 | 65 | 39 | 21 | 14 |
| E.S. CENTRAL | 1,136 | 1,105 | 15,254 | 21 | 13 | 16,083 | 28,505 | 360 | 611 | 27 | 32 |
| Ky. | 174 | 156 | 3,447 | 2 | 1 | 2,097 | 2,324 | 18 | 18 | 3 | 6 |
| Tenn. | 444 | 435 | 6,675 | 9 | 12 | 5,722 | 6,959 | 293 | 591 | 11 | 13 |
| Ala. | 325 | 296 | 4,317 | 5 | - | 6,712 | 16,508 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 4 |
| Miss. | 193 | 218 | U | 5 | - | 1,552 | 2,714 | 47 | - | 11 | 9 |
| W.S. CENTRAL | 3,320 | 3,104 | 6,749 | 26 | 4 | 9,980 | 28,024 | 234 | 135 | 2 | 12 |
| Ark. | 145 | 136 | - | 7 | 2 | 2,179 | 2,713 | 2 | 3 | - | 5 |
| La. | 787 | 496 | 3,630 | 4 | 2 | 3,988 | 6,217 | 101 | 87 | - | 2 |
| Okla. | 138 | 155 | 3,119 | 2 | - | 1,985 | 2,769 | 66 | 25 | 2 | 3 |
| Tex. | 2,250 | 2,317 | - | 13 | - | 1,828 | 16,325 | 65 | 20 | - | 2 |
| MOUNTAIN | 984 | 1,120 | 5,286 | 52 | 23 | 3,645 | 4,614 | 344 | 253 | 22 | 70 |
| Mont. | 14 | 9 | 5,286 | 5 | - | 13 | 39 | 10 | 9 | 1 | 4 |
| Idaho | 23 | 26 | 794 | 14 | 4 | 55 | 69 | 84 | 33 | - | 2 |
| Wyo. | 3 | 7 | 329 | 7 | 2 | 13 | 25 | 103 | 109 | 3 | 6 |
| Colo. | 301 | 373 | - | 17 | 5 | 911 | 1,536 | 29 | 35 | 6 | 27 |
| N. Mex. | 56 | 107 | - ${ }^{-}$ | 2 | - | 444 | 528 | 35 | 32 | 1 | 4 |
| Ariz. | 287 | 298 | 3,072 | N | 9 | 1,933 | 1,605 | 38 | 18 | 7 | 6 |
| Utah | 104 | 69 | , 254 | 10 |  | 1,939 | 113 | 38 | 8 | 2 | 7 |
| Nev. | 196 | 231 | 837 | 4 | 3 | 227 | 699 | 7 | 9 | 2 | 14 |
| PACIFIC | 5,764 | 6,414 | 28,119 | 81 | 49 | 9,490 | 14,470 | 223 | 444 | 29 | 60 |
| Wash. | 383 | 490 | 4,904 | 18 | 5 | 1,079 | 1,295 | 34 | 116 | 2 | 7 |
| Oreg. | 266 | 223 | 2,804 | 27 | 18 | 259 | 202 | 4 | 31 | - | - |
| Calif. | 5,013 | 5,514 | 19,251 | 33 | 21 | 7,752 | 12,288 | 84 | 287 | 27 | 48 |
| Alaska | 14 | 46 | 535 | 3 | - | 227 | 368 | 2 | 1 | - | - |
| Hawaii | 88 | 141 | 625 | N | 5 | 173 | 317 | 99 | 9 | - | 5 |
| Guam | 4 | - | 114 | N | - | 26 | 65 | 1 | 4 | - | 1 |
| P.R. | 1,057 | 1,489 | N | 13 | U | 149 | 310 | 66 | 112 | - | - |
| V.I. | 14 | 21 | N | N | U | - | 23 | - | - | - | - |
| Amer. Samoa | - | - | - | N | U | - | 13 | - | - | - | - |
| C.N.M.I. | - | - | N | N | U | 11 | 27 | - | 5 | - | - |
| N : Not notifiable | U: Un | ailable | -: no rep | orted cas |  | N.M.I.: Com | mmonweat | h of No | ern Mariar | Island |  |
| *Updated monthly <br> ${ }^{\dagger}$ National Electroni <br> §Public Health Lab | the Di Telecom atory In | on of H unicatio mation | V/AIDS Prev s System fo System. | ntion, Na Surveilla | onal Cen ce. | for HIV, | STD, and | B Preve | n, last | date J u | 25, 199 |

TABLE II. (Cont'd.) Cases of selected notifiable diseases, United States, weeks ending J uly 6, 1996, and July 8, 1995 (27th Week)

| Reporting Area | Lyme Disease |  | Malaria |  | Meningococcal Disease |  | Syphilis(Primary \& Secondary) |  | Tuberculosis |  | Rabies, Animal |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Cum. } \\ & 1996 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Cum. } \\ & 1995 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Cum. } \\ & \hline 1996 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Cum. } \\ & 1995 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Cum. } \\ & 1996 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Cum. } \\ & 1995 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Cum. } \\ & 1996 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Cum. } \\ & 1995 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Cum. } \\ 1996 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Cum. } \\ & 1995 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Cum. } \\ & 1996 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Cum. } \\ & 1995 \end{aligned}$ |
| UNITED STATES | 2,278 | 3,200 | 537 | 567 | 1,953 | 1,849 | 5,399 | 8,479 | 8,747 | 9,745 | 2,791 | 3,823 |
| NEW ENGLAND | 338 | 483 | 24 | 22 | 82 | 92 | 78 | 97 | 212 | 223 | 309 | 843 |
| Maine | 6 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 11 | 6 | - | 2 | 4 | - | - | - |
| N.H. | 7 | 15 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 16 | 1 | 1 | 8 | 8 | 39 | 96 |
| Vt. | 2 | 5 | 2 | - | 3 | 6 | - | - | 1 | 2 | 91 | 112 |
| Mass. | 54 | 25 | 7 | 7 | 30 | 31 | 39 | 37 | 99 | 122 | 55 | 297 |
| R.I. | 51 | 88 | 3 | 2 | 7 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 23 | 22 | 26 | 153 |
| Conn. | 218 | 347 | 8 | 10 | 28 | 30 | 37 | 56 | 77 | 69 | 98 | 185 |
| MID. ATLANTIC | 1,656 | 2,149 | 127 | 145 | 172 | 242 | 229 | 457 | 1,557 | 2,171 | 424 | 1,094 |
| Upstate N.Y. | 906 | 1,080 | 36 | 26 | 52 | 65 | 36 | 45 | 187 | 247 | 241 | 635 |
| N.Y. City | 160 | , 181 | 55 | 73 | 25 | 29 | 68 | 200 | 833 | 1,264 | 2 | - |
| N.J. | 90 | 416 | 28 | 33 | 45 | 62 | 73 | 99 | 365 | 356 | 75 | 203 |
| Pa. | 500 | 472 | 8 | 13 | 50 | 86 | 52 | 113 | 172 | 304 | 108 | 256 |
| E.N. CENTRAL | 28 | 129 | 46 | 84 | 255 | 276 | 727 | 1,303 | 1,023 | 982 | 33 | 22 |
| Ohio | 22 | 11 | 7 | 5 | 97 | 79 | 263 | 461 | 157 | 149 | 4 | 2 |
| Ind. | 6 | 7 | 7 | 11 | 38 | 39 | 124 | 152 | 98 | 84 | 1 | 3 |
| III. | - | 10 | 8 | 48 | 67 | 75 | 244 | 579 | 562 | 533 | 5 | 5 |
| Mich. | - | 1 | 16 | 12 | 29 | 51 | U | U | 156 | 186 | 12 | 11 |
| Wis. | U | 100 | 8 | 8 | 24 | 32 | 96 | 111 | 50 | 30 | 11 | 1 |
| W.N. CENTRAL | 38 | 48 | 12 | 13 | 145 | 107 | 194 | 432 | 222 | 308 | 276 | 190 |
| Minn. | 3 | - | 3 | 3 | 15 | 16 | 27 | 26 | 46 | 71 | 15 | 11 |
| Iowa | 8 | 6 | 2 | 2 | 29 | 19 | 11 | 27 | 34 | 40 | 142 | 65 |
| Mo. | 7 | 22 | 5 | 4 | 64 | 43 | 146 | 363 | 89 | 113 | 13 | 19 |
| N. Dak. | - | - | - | - | 2 | 1 | - | - | 3 | 1 | 31 | 18 |
| S. Dak. | - | - | - | 1 | 6 | 5 | - | - | 13 | 13 | 59 | 51 |
| Nebr. | ${ }^{-}$ | 4 | - | 3 | 12 | 8 | 6 | 7 | 13 | 17 | 3 | 1 |
| Kans. | 20 | 16 | 2 | - | 17 | 15 | 4 | 9 | 24 | 53 | 13 | 25 |
| S. ATLANTIC | 119 | 261 | 121 | 111 | 428 | 295 | 1,963 | 2,140 | 1,446 | 1,526 | 1,369 | 1,127 |
| Del. | 19 | 30 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 19 | 8 | 20 | 28 | 38 | 65 |
| Md. | 49 | 164 | 28 | 27 | 41 | 27 | 300 | 215 | 158 | 205 | 330 | 228 |
| D.C. | 1 | 1 | 5 | 9 | 7 | 2 | 92 | 62 | 73 | 56 | 7 | 10 |
| Va . | 7 | 18 | 16 | 22 | 35 | 34 | 234 | 322 | 149 | 136 | 289 | 220 |
| W. Va. | 4 | 13 | 1 | 1 | 10 | 5 | 1 | 8 | 27 | 49 | 53 | 57 |
| N.C. | 27 | 22 | 10 | 8 | 49 | 49 | 550 | 599 | 249 | 192 | 356 | 242 |
| S.C. | 2 | 8 | 4 | - | 40 | 38 | 224 | 329 | 40 | 174 | 38 | 74 |
| Ga. | - | 5 | 8 | 12 | 94 | 59 | 333 | 396 | 345 | 16 | 156 | 156 |
| Fla. | 10 | - | 47 | 31 | 150 | 77 | 210 | 201 | 385 | 670 | 102 | 75 |
| E.S. CENTRAL | 32 | 29 | 14 | 11 | 110 | 113 | 1,364 | 1,925 | 708 | 701 | 99 | 138 |
| Ky. | 10 | 6 | 2 | 1 | 19 | 30 | 70 | 102 | 129 | 150 | 26 | 11 |
| Tenn. | 11 | 15 | 6 | 4 | 12 | 34 | 503 | 432 | 222 | 226 | 34 | 52 |
| Ala. | 1 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 40 | 26 | 281 | 624 | 234 | 200 | 37 | 72 |
| Miss. | 10 | 7 | 3 | 1 | 39 | 23 | 510 | 767 | 123 | 125 | 2 | 3 |
| W.S. CENTRAL | 25 | 51 | 12 | 13 | 230 | 221 | 561 | 1,675 | 993 | 1,300 | 34 | 136 |
| Ark. | 11 | 4 | - | 2 | 27 | 22 | 105 | 261 | 102 | 106 | 11 | 29 |
| La. | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 41 | 32 | 298 | 563 | U | 116 | 13 | 22 |
| Okla. | 3 | 19 | - | - | 20 | 23 | 84 | 96 | 34 | - | 10 | 21 |
| Tex. | 10 | 26 | 10 | 10 | 142 | 144 | 74 | 755 | 798 | 1,078 | - | 64 |
| MOUNTAIN | 4 | 3 | 29 | 35 | 115 | 138 | 64 | 127 | 299 | 306 | 66 | 72 |
| Mont. | - | - | 3 | 2 | 4 | 2 | - | 3 | 14 | 3 | 10 | 25 |
| Idaho | 1 | - | - | 1 | 16 | 5 | 1 | - | 4 | 6 |  | - |
| Wyo. | 2 | 2 | 2 | - | 3 | 5 | 2 |  | 3 | 1 | 16 | 19 |
| Colo. | 2 | - | 14 | 17 | 20 | 37 | 21 | 71 | 44 | 25 | 18 | - |
| N. Mex. | - | - | 1 | 3 | 20 | 26 | 2 | 5 | 45 | 42 | 1 | 3 |
| Ariz. | - | - | 3 | 6 | 32 | 42 | 37 | 20 | 114 | 148 | 16 | 19 |
| Utah | 1 | - | 4 | 4 | 11 | 9 | - | 4 | 34 | 19 | 2 | 5 |
| Nev. | - | 1 | 2 | 2 | 9 | 12 | 3 | 24 | 41 | 62 | 3 | 1 |
| PACIFIC | 38 | 47 | 152 | 133 | 416 | 365 | 219 | 323 | 2,287 | 2,228 | 181 | 201 |
| Wash. | 3 | 4 | 10 | 11 | 59 | 59 | 3 | 9 | 117 | 137 | - | 4 |
| Oreg. | 7 | 6 | 11 | 8 | 74 | 69 | 5 | 6 | 47 | 23 | - | 1 |
| Calif. | 27 | 37 | 125 | 105 | 277 | 230 | 211 | 307 | 2,003 | 1,932 | 173 | 189 |
| Alaska | - | - | 2 | 1 | 4 | 5 | - | 1 | 37 | 44 | 8 | 7 |
| Hawaii | 1 | - | 4 | 8 | 2 | 2 | - | - | 83 | 92 | - | - |
| Guam | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 35 | 66 |  | - |
| P.R. | - | - | - | 1 | 4 | 13 | 77 | 154 | 63 | 85 | 28 | 29 |
| V.I. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | - | - | - | - |
| Amer. Samoa | - | - | - | , | - | - | , | I | - | 3 | - | - |
| C.N.M.I. | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | 23 | - | - |

N : Not notifiable

TABLE III. Cases of selected notifiable diseases preventable by vaccination, United States, weeks ending J uly 6, 1996, and J uly 8, 1995 (27th Week)

| Reporting Area | H. influenzae, invasive |  | Hepatitis (viral), by type |  |  |  | Measles (Rubeola) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | A |  | B |  | Indigenous |  | Imported ${ }^{\text {t }}$ |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Cum. } \\ & 1996^{*} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Cum. } \\ & 1995 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Cum. } \\ & 1996 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Cum. } \\ & 1995 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Cum. } \\ & 1996 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Cum. } \\ & 1995 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 1996 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Cum. } \\ & 1996 \end{aligned}$ | 1996 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Cum. } \\ & 1996 \end{aligned}$ |
| UNITED STATES | 643 | 689 | 13,488 | 13,996 | 4,587 | 5,102 | 17 | 256 | - | 20 |
| NEW ENGLAND | 15 | 38 | 160 | 125 | 93 | 117 | - | 7 | - | 2 |
| Maine | 2 | 3 | 12 | 16 | 2 | 6 |  |  | - |  |
| N.H. | 7 | 7 | 8 | 7 | 7 | 12 | - |  | - |  |
| Vt. |  | 1 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 2 | - | 1 | - |  |
| Mass. | 5 | 8 | 80 | 48 | 26 | 39 | - | 5 | - | 2 |
| R.I. | 1 | 3 | 7 | 16 | 6 | 8 | - |  | - |  |
| Conn. | - | 16 | 50 | 34 | 47 | 50 | - | 1 | - | - |
| MID. ATLANTIC | 97 | 89 | 757 | 898 | 665 | 717 | 1 | 14 | - | 5 |
| Upstate N.Y. | 30 | 21 | 209 | 199 | 182 | 179 | - |  | - |  |
| N.Y. City | 16 | 22 | 313 | 443 | 313 | 235 | - | 5 | - | 3 |
| N.J. | 32 | 12 | 133 | 127 | 98 | 179 | - | - | - |  |
| Pa. | 19 | 34 | 102 | 129 | 72 | 124 | 1 | 9 | - | 2 |
| E.N. CENTRAL | 98 | 125 | 1,121 | 1,723 | 478 | 578 | - | 6 | - | 3 |
| Ohio | 56 | 62 | 470 | 982 | 64 | 66 |  | 2 | - |  |
| Ind. | 7 | 17 | 160 | 80 | 85 | 115 | - | - | - |  |
| III. | 24 | 29 | 209 | 345 | 106 | 153 | - | 2 | - | 1 |
| Mich. | 6 | 15 | 198 | 201 | 193 | 202 | - | 1 | - | 2 |
| Wis. | 5 | 2 | 84 | 115 | 30 | 42 | - | 1 | - | - |
| W.N. CENTRAL | 22 | 38 | 1,077 | 896 | 216 | 329 | - | 16 | - | 1 |
| Minn. | 10 | 14 | 50 | 88 | 19 | 26 | - | 13 | - | 1 |
| Iowa | 5 | 1 | 216 | 54 | 44 | 25 | - |  |  |  |
| Mo. | 4 | 16 | 501 | 635 | 119 | 237 | - | 2 | - |  |
| N. Dak. |  |  | 28 | 13 |  | 3 | - |  |  |  |
| S. Dak. | 1 | 1 | 37 | 21 |  | 2 | - | - | - |  |
| Nebr. | 1 | 3 | 127 | 21 | 11 | 16 |  |  |  |  |
| Kans. | 1 | 3 | 118 | 64 | 23 | 20 |  | 1 | - | - |
| S. ATLANTIC | 150 | 156 | 608 | 591 | 732 | 698 | - | 1 | - | 3 |
| Del. | 1 |  | 6 | 8 | 3 | 6 |  | 1 |  |  |
| Md. | 37 | 48 | 108 | 100 | 164 | 135 | - | 2 | - |  |
| D.C. | 5 |  | 18 | 10 | 27 | 12 | - | - | - |  |
| Va . | 4 | 18 | 83 | 96 | 80 | 47 | - | - | - | 2 |
| W. Va. | 4 | 6 | 12 | 11 | 14 | 29 | - | - | - |  |
| N.C. | 18 | 20 | 68 | 61 | 182 | 153 | - | - | - |  |
| S.C. | 3 | - | 30 | 21 | 43 | 28 | - | - | - |  |
| Ga. | 65 | 37 | 41 | 50 | 7 | 62 | - | - | - | 1 |
| Fla. | 13 | 27 | 242 | 234 | 212 | 226 | - | - | - |  |
| E.S. CENTRAL | 16 | 5 | 824 | 807 | 389 | 496 | - | - | - | - |
| Ky . | 4 | 1 | 16 | 30 | 32 | 48 | - |  |  |  |
| Tenn. | 6 | , | 568 | 678 | 240 | 382 | - | - | - |  |
| Ala. | 5 | 4 | 101 | 49 | 27 | 66 | - | - | - |  |
| Miss. | 1 | - | 139 | 50 | 90 |  | - | - | - | - |
| W.S. CENTRAL | 28 | 35 | 2,671 | 1,530 | 575 | 558 | - | 1 | - | 2 |
| Ark. |  | 5 | 265 | 154 | 41 | 26 | - |  |  |  |
| La. | 2 | 1 | 83 | 46 | 58 | 98 | - | - | - | - |
| Okla. | 24 | 17 | 1,077 | 370 | 58 | 82 | - |  |  |  |
| Tex. | 2 | 12 | 1,246 | 960 | 418 | 352 | - | 1 | - | 2 |
| MOUNTAIN | 68 | 75 | 2,175 | 2,147 | 556 | 439 | 15 | 81 | - | 1 |
| Mont. |  |  | 67 | 52 | 6 | 14 |  |  | - |  |
| Idaho | 1 | 2 | 136 | 208 | 62 | 49 |  | 1 |  |  |
| Wyo. | 33 | 4 | 21 | 69 | 19 | 13 | U |  | U | 1 |
| N. Mex. | 8 | 11 | 245 | 418 | 178 | 174 | u | 4 | U | 1 |
| Ariz. | 9 | 18 | 873 | 604 | 141 | 60 |  | 8 |  |  |
| Utah | 6 | 9 | 501 | 451 | 61 | 41 | 15 | 58 |  |  |
| Nev . | 5 | 22 | 121 | 83 | 22 | 21 | - | 5 | - | - |
| PACIFIC | 149 | 128 | 4,095 | 5,279 | 883 | 1,170 | 1 | 128 | - | 3 |
| Wash. | 2 | 5 | 287 | 384 | 56 | 92 | - | 45 |  |  |
| Oreg. | 21 | 18 |  | 1,342 | 37 | 77 | - | 2 | - |  |
| Calif. | 123 | 102 | 3,202 | 3,431 | 779 | 983 | 1 | 17 | - | 2 |
| Alaska Hawaii | 1 2 | 3 | 27 45 | 23 99 | 5 6 | ${ }^{7}$ | U | 63 1 | U | 1 |
|  |  |  | 2 | 3 |  | 4 | U |  | U | - |
| P.R. | 1 | 2 | 44 | 49 | 155 | 282 | 1 | 7 | - | - |
| V.I. | - | - | - | - | - |  | U | - | U | - |
| Amer. Samoa |  |  |  | 5 |  |  | U | - | U |  |
| C.N.M.I. | 10 | 10 | 1 | 18 | 5 | 7 | U | - | U | - |
| N : Not notifiable | U: Unav |  | o reported |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

TABLE III. (Cont'd.) Cases of selected notifiable diseases preventable by vaccination, United States, weeks ending J uly 6, 1996, and J uly 8, 1995 (27th Week)

| Reporting Area | Measles (Rubeola), cont'd. |  | Mumps |  |  | Pertussis |  |  | Rubella |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Cum. } \\ & 1996 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Cum. } \\ & 1995 \end{aligned}$ | 1996 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Cum. } \\ & 1996 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Cum. } \\ & 1995 \end{aligned}$ | 1996 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Cum. } \\ & 1996 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Cum. } \\ & 1995 \end{aligned}$ | 1996 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Cum. } \\ & 1996 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Cum. } \\ & 1995 \end{aligned}$ |
| UNITED STATES | 276 | 232 | 5 | 333 | 509 | 35 | 1,601 | 1,497 | - | 98 | 86 |
| NEW ENGLAND | 9 | 5 | - | - | 10 | - | 323 | 243 | - | 11 | 31 |
| Maine |  | - | - | - | 4 | - | 8 | 20 | - | - | 1 |
| N.H. | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | 20 | 23 | - | - | 1 |
| Vt. | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | 7 | 24 | - | 2 | - |
| Mass. | 7 | 2 | - | - | 2 | - | 285 | 166 | - | 7 | 6 |
| R.I. | - | 2 | - | - | - | - |  | - | - |  | - |
| Conn. | 1 | 1 | - | - | 3 | - | 3 | 10 | - | 2 | 23 |
| MID. ATLANTIC | 19 | 5 | - | 49 | 76 | 3 | 119 | 132 | - | 4 | 9 |
| Upstate N.Y. | - | - | - | 13 | 17 | 1 | 65 | 63 | - | 3 | 2 |
| N.Y. City | 8 | - | - | 13 | 8 | - | 17 | 27 | - | 1 | 6 |
| N.J. |  | 5 | - | 1 | 12 | - |  | 6 | - | - | 1 |
| Pa. | 11 | 5 | - | 23 | 39 | 2 | 37 | 36 | - | - | - |
| E.N. CENTRAL | 9 | 13 | 1 | 66 | 83 | 7 | 175 | 201 | - | 3 | 2 |
| Ohio | 2 | 1 | - | 27 | 26 | 6 | 82 | 52 | - | - | - |
| Ind. |  | - | - | 5 | 5 |  | 15 | 18 | - | - | - |
| III. | 3 | 1 | 1 | 18 | 24 | 1 | 59 | 32 | - | 1 | - |
| Mich. | 3 | 5 | - | 15 | 28 | - | 14 | 32 | - | 2 | 2 |
| Wis. | 1 | 6 | - | 1 |  | - | 5 | 67 | - | - | - |
| W.N. CENTRAL | 17 | 1 | - | 4 | 31 | 1 | 69 | 85 | - | 1 | - |
| Minn. | 14 | - | - | 1 | 2 | - | 42 | 27 | - | - | - |
| lowa | - | - | - | - | 8 | - | 2 | 3 | - | 1 | - |
| Mo. | 2 | 1 | - | 1 | 17 | - | 16 | 26 | - | - | - |
| N. Dak. | - | - | - | 2 | - | 1 | 1 | 6 | - | - | - |
| S. Dak. | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | 7 | - | - | - |
| Nebr. | - | - | - | - | 4 | - | 2 | 5 | - | - | - |
| Kans. | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | 4 | 11 | - | - | - |
| S. ATLANTIC | 6 | 3 | 3 | 48 | 77 | 7 | 178 | 111 | - | 23 | 18 |
| Del. | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | 9 | 6 | - | - |  |
| Md. | 2 | - | 1 | 14 | 24 | 2 | 61 | 16 | - | - | 1 |
| D.C. |  | - | - |  | - | - | - | 3 | - | 1 | - |
| Va. | 2 | - | 1 | 5 | 14 | - | 21 | 8 | - | 2 | - |
| W. Va. |  | - | - | - | , | - | 2 | - | - | - | - |
| N.C. | - | - | 1 | 11 | 16 | - | 36 | 55 | - | 9 | - |
| S.C. | - | - | - | 5 | 7 | 1 | 11 | 13 | - | 1 | - |
| Ga. | 1 | 2 | - | 2 | 4 | - | 9 | 4 | - | - | - |
| Fla. | - | 1 | - | 11 | 12 | 4 | 29 | 6 | - | 10 | 17 |
| E.S. CENTRAL | - | - | - | 16 | 7 | - | 47 | 42 | - | 2 | - |
| Ky. | - | - | - | - | - | - | 24 | 8 | - | - | - |
| Tenn. | - | - | - | 2 | - | - | 14 | 7 | - | - | - |
| Ala. | - | - | - | 3 | 4 | - | 4 | 27 | - | 2 | , |
| Miss. | - | - | - | 11 | 3 | - | 5 |  | N | N | N |
| W.S. CENTRAL | 3 | 19 | - | 14 | 36 | 5 | 47 | 90 | - | 2 | 3 |
| Ark. |  | 2 | - | - | 5 | - | 3 | 14 | - | - | - |
| La. | - | 17 | - | 10 | 8 | - | 4 | 7 | - | 1 | - |
| Okla. | - | 1 | - | 10 | - | - | 5 | 16 | - | - | - |
| Tex. | 3 | - | - | 4 | 23 | 5 | 35 | 53 | - | 1 | 3 |
| MOUNTAIN | 82 | 66 | - | 20 | 23 | 1 | 173 | 320 | - | 6 | 4 |
| Mont. |  | - | - | - | 1 | - | 6 | 3 | - | - | - |
| Idaho | 1 | - | - | - | 2 | - | 69 | 78 | - | 2 | - |
| Wyo. | - |  | U | - |  | U | 1 | 1 | U | 2 | - |
| Colo. | 6 | 26 | - | 2 | - | , | 27 | 53 | - | 2 | - |
| N. Mex. | 4 | 29 | N | N | N | U | 31 | 47 | U | 2 | - |
| Ariz. | 8 | 10 | , | 1 | 2 | - | 11 | 114 | - | 1 | 3 |
| Utah | 58 |  | - | 2 | 10 | 1 | 7 | 13 | - | - | 1 |
| Nev. | 5 | 1 | - | 15 | 8 | - | 21 | 11 | - | 1 | - |
| PACIFIC | 131 | 120 | 1 | 116 | 166 | 11 | 470 | 273 | - | 46 | 19 |
| Wash. | 45 | 17 | - | 17 | 10 | 10 | 199 | 44 | - | 1 |  |
| Oreg. | 2 | 1 | N | N | N | - | 27 | 20 | - | 1 | 1 |
| Calif. | 19 | 100 | 1 | 82 | 140 | 1 | 233 | 182 | - | 41 | 15 |
| Alaska | 63 | 100 | - | 2 | 12 | - | 2 | - | - |  | - |
| Hawaii | 2 | 2 | U | 15 | 4 | U | 9 | 27 | U | 3 | 3 |
|  | 7 | - | U | 3 | 3 | U | 1 | 2 | U | - | 1 |
| P.R. | 7 | 2 | - | 1 | 2 | - | 1 | 1 | U | - | 1 |
| V.I. | - | - | U | - | 2 | U | - | - | U | - | - |
| Amer. Samoa | - | - | U | - | 2 | U | - | - | U | - | - |
| C.N.M.I. | - | - | U | - | - | U | - | - | U | - | - |

N : Not notifiable

TABLE IV. Deaths in 121 U.S. cities,* week ending J uly 6, 1996 (27th Week)

| Reporting Area | All Causes, By Age (Years) |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { P\&I }{ }^{\dagger} \\ & \text { Total } \end{aligned}$ | Reporting Area | All Causes, By Age (Years) |  |  |  |  |  | P\&I ${ }^{\dagger}$ <br> Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { All } \end{gathered}$ | >65 | 45-64 | 25-44 | 1-24 | $<1$ |  |  | $\begin{array}{c\|} \hline \text { All } \\ \text { Ages } \end{array}$ | >65 | 45-64 | 25-44 | 1-24 | $<1$ |  |
| NEW ENGLAND | 527 | 361 | 89 | 53 | 13 | 11 | 14 | S. ATLANTIC | 1,011 | 588 | 220 | 131 | 45 | 25 | 50 |
| Boston, Mass. | 111 | 69 | 24 | 14 | 2 | 2 | 1 | Atlanta, Ga. | 43 | 20 | 11 | 8 | 3 | 1 |  |
| Bridgeport, Conn. | 37 | 25 | 5 | 6 | - | 1 | 1 | Baltimore, Md. | 182 | 98 | 34 | 38 | 10 | 2 | 12 |
| Cambridge, Mass. | 8 | 6 | 2 | - |  | - | - | Charlotte, N.C. | 44 | 27 | 12 | 4 | 1 | - | 5 |
| Fall River, Mass. | 19 | 15 | 2 | 1 |  | 1 | - | J acksonville, Fla. | 100 | 62 | 24 | 4 | 6 | 3 | 2 |
| Hartford, Conn. | 44 | 28 | 10 | 4 | 1 | 1 |  | Miami, Fla. | 120 | 71 | 27 | 12 | 3 | 6 |  |
| Lowell, Mass. | 26 | 21 | 5 |  |  | - | 2 | Norfolk, Va. | 40 | 25 | 3 | 5 | 5 | 2 | 5 |
| Lynn, Mass. | 13 | 12 |  | 1 |  |  |  | Richmond, Va. | 44 | 25 | 11 | 4 | - | 4 | 7 |
| New Bedford, Mass. | 25 | 21 | 4 |  |  |  | 1 | Savannah, Ga. | 51 | 33 | 10 | 7 | 1 | - | 7 |
| New Haven, Conn. | 54 | 34 | 6 | 10 | 3 | 1 | 1 | St. Petersburg, Fla. | 31 | 21 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 2 |
| Providence, R.I. | 49 | 35 | 8 | 3 | 1 | 2 |  | Tampa, Fla. | 123 | 83 | 24 | 12 | 4 |  | 10 |
| Somerville, Mass. | 5 | 3 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  | Washington, D.C. | 216 | 110 | 60 | 32 | 10 | 4 | 4 |
| Springfield, Mass. | 46 | 29 | 11 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 3 | Wilmington, Del. | 17 | 13 | - | 4 | - | - | - |
| Waterbury, Conn. | 30 | 22 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 1 | E.S. CENTRAL | 566 | 360 | 124 | 49 | 14 | 19 | 42 |
| Worcester, Mass. | 60 | 41 | 8 | 5 | 4 | 2 | 4 | B.irmingham, Ala. | U | U | 124 | U | U | U | U |
| MID. ATLANTIC | 2,218 | 1,462 | 402 | 248 | 66 | 39 | 104 | Chattanooga, Tenn. | 67 | 49 | 14 | 3 |  | 1 | 3 |
| Albany, N.Y. | 33 | 23 | 5 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 2 | Knoxville, Tenn. | 82 | 44 | 24 | 9 | 2 | 3 | 9 |
| Allentown, Pa. | 22 | 10 | 7 | 4 | 1 |  |  | Lexington, Ky. | 58 | 41 | 11 | 3 |  | 3 | 4 |
| Buffalo, N.Y. | 101 | 71 | 15 | 10 | 2 | 3 | 9 | Memphis, Tenn. | 178 | 118 | 33 | 19 | 5 | 3 | 18 |
| Camden, N.J. | 36 | 19 | 6 | 7 | 3 | 1 | 2 | Mobile, Ala. | 47 | 33 | 10 | 2 |  | 2 |  |
| Elizabeth, N.J. | 16 | 12 | 1 | 2 |  | 1 |  | Montgomery, Ala. | 41 | 25 | 9 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Erie, Pa.§ | 40 | 24 | 12 | 3 | 1 | - | 1 | Nashville, Tenn. | 93 | 50 | 23 | 8 | 6 | 6 | 7 |
| J ersey City, N.J . | 43 | 26 | 8 | 7 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| New York City, N.Y. | 1,188 | 763 | 224 | 149 | 31 | 21 | 44 | W.S. CENTRAL Austin, Tex. | 1,102 | 669 27 | 239 13 | 105 9 | 63 1 | 26 1 | 45 |
| Newark, N.J Paterson, N.j | 72 16 | 29 9 | 19 4 | 13 | 8 | 2 | 3 | Austin, Tex. Baton Rouge, La. | 40 | 29 | 13 4 | 9 5 | 2 | 1 | - |
| Paterson, N. ${ }^{\text {Philadelphia, Pa. }}$ | 300 | 215 | 50 | 24 | $\frac{1}{6}$ | 5 | 18 | Corpus Christi, Tex. | 49 | 29 | 12 | 5 | 2 | 1 | 2 |
| Pittsburgh, Pa.§ | 50 | 38 | 9 | 2 | 1 | 5 | 3 | Dallas, Tex. | 153 | 78 | 40 | 21 | 10 | 4 | 3 |
| Reading, Pa. | 2 | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | El Paso, Tex. | 65 | 42 | 13 | 6 | 2 | 2 | 7 |
| Rochester, N.Y. | 111 | 87 | 12 | 8 | 2 | 2 | 5 | Ft. Worth, Tex. | 80 | 55 | 13 | 3 | 7 | 2 | 4 |
| Schenectady, N.Y. | 39 | 30 | 5 | 1 | 3 | - | 3 | Houston, Tex. Little Rock, Ark | 254 61 | 137 | 75 13 | 23 | 17 | 2 | 17 |
| Scranton, Pa.§ | 21 | 15 | 6 |  |  | 2 | 5 | New Orleans, La. | 92 | 64 | 14 | 7 | 6 | 1 |  |
| Syracuse, N.Y. | 72 | 51 | 11 | 6 | 2 | 2 | 5 | San Antonio, Tex. | 163 | 108 | 27 | 16 | 9 | 3 | 7 |
| Trenton, N.J. | 17 | 7 9 | 4 1 | 3 2 | 3 | - | 3 | Shreveport, La. | 39 | 30 | 5 | 1 | 3 | - | 3 |
| Yonkers, N.Y. | 27 | 22 | 3 | 2 | - | - | 4 | Tulsa, Okla. | 55 | 38 | 10 | 4 | 2 | 1 |  |
| E.N. CENTRAL | 1,836 | 1,180 | 400 | 141 | 60 | 54 | 113 | MOUNTAIN | 725 | 483 | 145 | 55 | 23 | 19 | 38 |
| Akron, Ohio | 35 | 1,185 | 7 | 1 | 2 | - |  | Albuquerque, N.M. | 73 | 49 | 13 | 9 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Canton, Ohio | 41 | 27 | 12 | 2 | - | - | 3 | Colo. Springs, Colo. | 35 | 17 | 12 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 4 |
| Chicago, III. | 463 | 254 | 111 | 54 | 29 | 14 | 32 | Denver, Colo. | 104 | 66 | 23 | 9 | 5 | 1 | 8 |
| Cincinnati, Ohio | 109 | 79 | 21 | 5 | - | 4 | 9 | Las Vegas, Nev. | 133 | 93 | 32 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 4 |
| Cleveland, Ohio | 116 | 77 | 22 | 10 | 1 | 6 | 3 | Ogden, Utah | +23 | 15 | 4 | 11 | 1 | 7 |  |
| Columbus, Ohio | 185 | 110 | 46 | 17 | 4 | 8 | 11 | Phoenix, Ariz. | 123 | 80 | 20 | 11 | 5 | 7 | 10 |
| Dayton, Ohio | 97 | 72 | 14 | 6 | 1 | 4 | 3 | Pueblo, Colo. | 24 | 18 | 4 | 2 | 4 | 4 |  |
| Detroit, Mich. | 178 | 96 | 54 | 19 | 5 | 4 | 9 | Salt Lake City, Utah | 90 | 62 | 17 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 3 |
| Evansville, Ind. | 46 | 31 | 7 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 1 | Tucson, Ariz. | 120 | 83 | 20 | 11 | 5 | 1 | 8 |
| Fort Wayne, Ind. | 53 | 40 | 9 | 1 | 3 | - | 2 | PACIFIC | 1,394 | 967 | 225 | 126 | 42 | 32 | 94 |
| Gary, Ind. | 12 | 8 | 4 | - | - | - |  | Berkeley, Calif. | 1,39 | 13 | 5 |  | 1 |  |  |
| Grand Rapids, Mich. | 45 | 38 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 8 | Fresno, Calif. | 55 | 34 | 13 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 2 |
| Indianapolis, Ind. | 129 | 84 | 32 | 9 | 3 | 1 | 4 | Glendale, Calif. | 20 | 17 | 2 | 1 | - | - | 5 |
| Madison, Wis. | U | U | U | U | U | U | U | Honolulu, Hawaii | 82 | 62 | 13 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 10 |
| Milwaukee, Wis. | 89 | 55 | 22 | 4 | 2 | 6 | 10 | Long Beach, Calif. | 54 | 38 | 8 | 5 | 3 | - | 7 |
| Peoria, III. | 35 | 28 | 6 | - | - | 1 | 7 | Los Angeles, Calif. | 394 | 255 | 64 | 45 | 17 | 13 | 15 |
| Rockford, III. | 38 | 26 | 9 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 5 | Pasadena, Calif. | 20 | 17 | 1 | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |
| South Bend, Ind. | 32 | 25 | 3 | 2 | - | 2 | 1 | Portland, Oreg. | 89 | 63 | 16 | 9 | 1 | - | 5 |
| Toledo, Ohio | 77 | 59 | 9 | 4 | 4 | 1 | 5 | Sacramento, Calif. | 139 | 99 | 26 | 8 | 1 | 5 | 15 |
| Youngstown, Ohio | 56 | 46 | 8 | 1 | 1 | - | - | San Diego, Calif. | 97 | 63 | 18 | 8 | 3 | 4 | 10 |
| W.N. CENTRAL | 643 | 471 | 88 | 42 | 18 | 17 | 34 | San Francisco, Calif. | U | U | U | U | U | U | U |
| Des Moines, Iowa | 57 | 41 | 12 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 4 | San J ose, Calif. | 143 | 99 | 20 | 19 | 4 | - | 11 |
| Duluth, Minn. | 19 | 17 | 1 | 1 |  | 1 | 2 | Santa Cruz, Calif. | 40 | 35 | 2 | 3 | 3 | - | 2 |
| Kansas City, Kans. | 29 | 21 | 3 | 4 | 1 | - | 2 | Seattle, Wash. | 111 | 80 | 18 | 10 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| Kansas City, Mo. | 105 | 75 | 11 | 8 | 2 | 2 | 10 | Spokane, Wash. Tacoma, Wash | 46 85 | 32 60 | 11 | 3 8 | $\frac{1}{3}$ | 2 | 4 6 |
| Lincoln, Nebr. | 30 | 22 | 6 | 1 | 1 |  | 1 | Tacoma, Wash. | 85 | 60 | 11 | 8 | 3 | 3 | 6 |
| Minneapolis, Minn. | 151 | 108 | 21 | 11 | 4 | 7 | 6 | TOTAL | 10,022 ${ }^{\text {" }}$ | 6,541 | 1,932 | 950 | 344 | 242 | 534 |
| Omaha, Nebr. | 73 | 55 | 11 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| St. Louis, Mo. | 78 | 54 | 10 | 7 | 3 | 4 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| St. Paul, Minn. | 57 | 49 | 5 | 2 | 1 | - | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Wichita, Kans. | 44 | 29 | 8 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

$*$ Mortality data in this table are voluntarily reported from 121 cities in the United States, most of which have populations of 100,000 or more. A death is reported by the place of its occurrence and by the week that the death certificate was filed. Fetal deaths are not included.
${ }^{\dagger}$ Pneumonia and influenza.
§Because of changes in reporting methods in these 3 Pennsylvania cities, these numbers are partial counts for the current week. Complete
counts will be available in 4 to 6 weeks.
ITotal includes unknown ages.

## Contributors to the Production of the MMWR (Weekly)

Weekly Notifiable Disease Morbidity Data and 121 Cities Mortality Data
Denise Koo, M.D., M.P.H.
Deborah A. Adams
Timothy M. Copeland
Patsy A. Hall
Carol M. Knowles
Sarah H. Landis
Myra A. Montalbano
Desktop Publishing and Graphics Support
J olene W. Altman
Morie M. Higgins
Peter M.J enkins

The Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report (MMWR)Series is prepared by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) and is available free of charge in electronic format and on a paid subscription basis for paper copy. To receive an electronic copy on Friday of each week, send an e-mail message to lists@list.cdc.gov. The body content should read subscribe mmwr-toc. Electronic copy also is available from CDC's World-Wide Web sever at http://www.cdc.gov/ or from CDC's file transfer protocol server at ftp.cdc.gov. To subscribe for paper copy, contact Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Govemment Printing Office, Washington, DC 20402; telephone (202) 512-1800.

Data in the weekly MMWR are provisional, based on weekly reports to CDC by state health departments. The reporting week concludes at close of business on Friday; compiled data on a national basis are officially released to the public on the following Friday. Address inquiries about the MMWR Series, including material to be considered for publication, to: Editor, MMWR Series, Mailstop C-08, CDC, 1600 Clifton Rd., N.E., Atlanta, GA 30333; telephone (404) 332-4555.

All material in the MMWR Series is in the public domain and may be used and reprinted without permission; citation as to source, however, is appreciated.

Director, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention
David Satcher, M.D., Ph.D.
Deputy Director, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention
Claire V. Broome, M.D.
Director, Epidemiology Program Office Stephen B. Thacker, M.D., M.Sc.
Editor, MMWR Series

Richard A. Goodman, M.D., M.P.H.
Managing Editor, MMWR (weekly) Karen L. Foster, M.A.
Writers-Editors, MMWR (weekly)
David C. Johnson
Darlene D. Rumph Person
Caran R. Wilbanks
Editorial Assistant, MMWR (weekly)
Teresa F. Rutledge
$\AA$ U.S. Govemment Printing Office: 1996-733-175/47015 Region IV


[^0]:    * Hepatitis B surface antigen.

[^1]:    *Poverty statistics are based on definitions originated by the Social Security Administration in 1964 (which were subsequently modified by federal interagency committees in 1969 and 1980) and prescribed by the Office of Management and Budget as the standard to be used by federal agencies for statistical purposes.

