

985 Great American Smokeout —

- November 18, 1999 986 Tobacco Use —
- United States, 1900–1999
- 994 Cigarette Smoking Among Adults United States, 1997
- **996** Recommendations Regarding the Use of Vaccines That Contain Thimerosal as a Preservative
- **1007** Withdrawal of Rotavirus Vaccine Recommendation

# Great American Smokeout — November 18, 1999

In 1997, approximately one fourth of U.S. adults and one third of U.S. high school students were cigarette smokers (*1,2*). Since 1977, the American Cancer Society (ACS) has sponsored the Great American Smokeout to encourage adults to stop smoking and young persons not to start. In 1998, an estimated 9 million persons participated in the Great American Smokeout community activities by either smoking less or not at all for 24 hours. Of those participants, 10% reported smoking less or not at all for 1–5 days after the event (ACS, unpublished data, 1998). This year, the Great American Smokeout on Thursday, November 18, will encourage smokers to adopt smoke-free, healthier lifestyles that continue into 2000.

The Great American Smokeout will focus on helping adults to quit smoking and on increasing young persons' awareness of the dangers of tobacco use. For the fourth consecutive year, ACS Commit to Quit program will provide adult smokers with information about methods of quitting smoking, including effective pharmacotherapies. ACS volunteers will conduct smoking-cessation and smoking-prevention activities at hospitals, work sites, schools, shopping malls, military installations, and other locations. To facilitate planning and implementation, the *1999 Guide for Great American Smokeout* activities is offered electronically for ACS volunteers and staff.

Additional information is available from ACS, telephone (800) 227-2345; CDC, telephone (800) 232-1311 or (770) 488-5705; or the ACS Great American Smokeout World-Wide Web site, http://www.cancer.org.\*

# References

- Schoenborn CA. Health behaviors in the 1997 National Health Interview Survey: smoking, alcohol, physical activity, and overweight. Presentation Proceedings from the National Center for Health Statistics Conference, Washington, DC, August 1999.
- 2. CDC. Youth Risk Behavior Surveillance—United States, 1997. MMWR 1998;47(no. SS-3).

# **U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH & HUMAN SERVICES**

<sup>\*</sup>References to sites of non-CDC organizations on the Internet are provided as a service to *MMWR* readers and do not constitute or imply endorsement of these organizations or their programs by CDC or the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. CDC is not responsible for the content of pages found at these sites.

Achievements in Public Health, 1900–1999

# Tobacco Use — United States, 1900–1999

Smoking—once a socially accepted behavior—is the leading preventable cause of death and disability in the United States. During the first decades of the 20th century, lung cancer was rare; however, as cigarette smoking became increasingly popular, first among men and later among women, the incidence of lung cancer became epidemic (Figure 1). In 1930, the lung cancer death rate for men was 4.9 per 100,000; in 1990, the rate had increased to 75.6 per 100,000 (1). Other diseases and conditions now known to be caused by tobacco use include heart disease, atherosclerotic peripheral vascular disease, laryngeal cancer, oral cancer, esophageal cancer, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, intrauterine growth retardation, and low birthweight. During the latter part of the 20th century, the adverse health effects from exposure to environmental tobacco smoke also were documented. These include lung cancer, asthma, respiratory infections, and decreased pulmonary function (2).

Large epidemiologic studies conducted by Ernst Wynder (see box) and others in the 1940s and 1950s linked cigarette smoking and lung cancer. In 1964, on the basis of approximately 7000 articles relating to smoking and disease, the Advisory Committee to the U.S. Surgeon General concluded that cigarette smoking is a cause of lung and laryngeal cancer in men, a probable cause of lung cancer in women, and the most important cause of chronic bronchitis in both sexes (3). The committee stated that "Cigarette smoking is a health hazard of sufficient importance in the United States to warrant appropriate remedial action." Substantial public health efforts to reduce the prevalence of tobacco use began shortly after the risk was described in 1964. With the subsequent decline in smoking, the incidence of smoking-related cancers (including cancers of the lung, oral cavity, and pharynx) have also declined (with the exception of



FIGURE 1. Annual adult per capita cigarette consumption and major smoking and health events — United States, 1900–1998

Sources: United States Department of Agriculture; 1986 Surgeon General's Report.

Tobacco Use — Continued

# Ernst L. Wynder, M.D.

Although cigarettes were considered a symbol of popularity and social acceptability from the opening of the 20th century, critics warned of the dangers of what they called "coffin nails," or "little white slavers." They implicated cigarettes in cancer, heart disease, and other serious health problems; however, opposition to the cigarette would gain little ground until compelling scientific evidence linked smoking and disease. Researcher, educator, and activist Ernst Wynder, M.D. (April 30, 1922–July 14, 1999), dedicated his career to producing this evidence.

Ernst Wynder was born in Herford, Germany. His family emigrated to New Jersey in 1938 to escape Nazi persecution. He attended medical school at Washington University, St. Louis, Missouri, and received both a bachelor of science and a medical degree in 1950. Wynder began his lung can-



**Courtesy American Health Foundation** 

cer investigations when he was a medical student. While attending a summer internship at New York University, his curiosity was piqued during the autopsy of a two-pack-a-day smoker who had died from lung cancer. Wynder began collecting case histories of lung cancer victims, first in New York City and then in St. Louis. His research brought him to thoracic surgeon Evarts Graham, who, despite initial skepticism about Wynder's premise (Graham was a heavy smoker), granted access to his extensive case records, and agreed to sponsor the medical student.

In 1950, the *Journal of the American Medical Association* published Wynder and Graham's "Tobacco Smoking as a Possible Etiologic Factor in Bronchiogenic Carcinoma: A Study of 684 Proven Cases." Wynder and Graham's retrospective study was not the first to link smoking and cancer, but its sophisticated design, impressive population size, and unambiguous findings demanded attention and further research. During the next decade, hundreds of reports were published linking cancer and smoking, including large prospective studies, pathologic, and animal investigations. A second effect was to convince doctors that the health risks of smoking were serious. Many gave up the habit, including Graham, who quit smoking in 1952. Too late, it would seem, as he wrote to Wynder in 1957, weeks before the surgeon died from lung cancer.

Wynder devoted his career to the study and prevention of cancer and chronic disease, writing hundreds of scientific papers advocating further research and public education. Through the 1950s and 1960s he worked at the Sloan-Kettering Institute for Cancer Research; in 1969, he founded the American Health Foundation, serving as its medical director. In 1972, the foundation launched *Preventive Medicine*, with Wynder as editor. In 1999, the foundation employed approximately 200 researchers representing medicine, public health, biology, chemistry, nutrition, and behavior science. Wynder endured years of criticism from the tobacco industry and skepticism from many researchers, but he remained determined.

#### Bibliography

Brandt A. The cigarette, risk, and American culture. Daedalus 1990;119:155-76.

Kluger R. Ashes to ashes: America's hundred-year cigarette war, the public health, and the unabashed triumph of Philip Morris. New York: Knopf, 1996.

Steinfeld JL. Smoking and lung cancer: a milestone in awareness. JAMA 1985;263:2995–7. Wynder EL. A corner of history: micro-epidemiology. Prev Med 1973;2:465–71.

Wynder EL, Graham E. Tobacco smoking as a possible etiologic factor in bronchiogenic carcinoma: a study of 684 proven cases. JAMA 1950;143:329–36.

#### Tobacco Use — Continued

lung cancer among women) (4). In addition, age-adjusted death rates per 100,000 persons (standardized to the 1940 population) for heart disease (i.e., coronary heart disease) have decreased from 307.4 in 1950 to 134.6 in 1996 (4). During 1964–1992, approximately 1.6 million deaths caused by smoking were prevented (5).

# **Smoking Trends During the Century**

Early in the 20th century, several events coincided that contributed to increases in annual per capita consumption, including the introduction of blends and curing processes that allowed the inhalation of tobacco, the invention of the safety match, improvements in mass production, transportation that permitted widespread distribution of cigarettes, and use of mass media advertising to promote cigarettes (6,7). Cigarette smoking among women began to increase in the 1920s when targeted industry marketing and social changes reflecting the liberalization of women's roles and behavior led to the increasing acceptability of smoking among women (8,9). Annual per capita cigarette consumption increased from 54 cigarettes in 1900 to 4345 cigarettes in 1963 and then decreased to 2261 in 1998 (10,11). Some decreases correlate with events, such as the first research suggesting a link between smoking and cancer in the 1950s, the 1964 Surgeon General's report, the 1968 Fairness Doctrine, and increased tobacco taxation and industry price increases during the 1980s (Figure 1).

An important accomplishment of the second half of the 20th century has been the reduction of smoking prevalence among persons aged ≥18 years from 42.4% in 1965 to 24.7% in 1997, with the rate for men (27.6%) higher than for women (22.1%) (Figure 2). The percentage of adults who never smoked increased from 44% in the mid-1960s to 55% in 1997. In 1998, tobacco use varied within and among racial/ethnic groups. The prevalence of smoking was highest among American Indians/Alaska Natives, and second highest among black and Southeast Asian men. The prevalence



FIGURE 2. Trends in cigarette smoking\* among persons aged  $\geq$ 18 years, by sex — United States, 1955–1997

\*Before 1992, current smokers were defined as persons who reported having smoked ≥100 cigarettes and who currently smoked. Since 1992, current smokers were defined as persons who reported having smoked ≥100 cigarettes during their lifetime and who reported now smoking every day or some days.

Sources: 1955 Current Population Survey; 1965–1997 National Health Interview Survey.

#### Tobacco Use — Continued

was lowest among Asian American and Hispanic women (*12*). Smokeless tobacco use has changed little since 1970, with a 5% prevalence in 1970 and a 6% prevalence in 1991 among men, and 2% and 1%, respectively, for women. The prevalence of smokeless tobacco use is highest among high school males, with prevalence being 20% among white males, 6% among Hispanics males, and 4% among blacks males. Prevalence of use tends to be lower in the northeastern region and higher in the southern region of the United States. Total consumption of cigars decreased from 8 million in 1970 to 2 million in 1993 but increased 68% to 3.6 million in 1997 (*13*).

Reductions in smoking result from many factors, including scientific evidence of the relation among disease, tobacco use, and environmental exposure to tobacco; dissemination of this information to the public; surveillance and evaluation of prevention and cessation programs; campaigns by advocates for nonsmokers' rights; restrictions on cigarette advertising; counteradvertising; policy changes (i.e., enforcement of minors' access laws, legislation restricting smoking in public places, and increased taxation); improvements in treatment and prevention programs; and an increased understanding of the economic costs of tobacco.

The cigarette itself has changed. When cigarettes were first associated with lung cancer in the early 1950s, most U.S. smokers smoked unfiltered cigarettes. With a growing awareness of the danger of smoking came the first filter, which was designed to reduce the tar inhaled in the smoke. Later, low tar cigarettes were marketed; however, many smokers compensated by smoking more intensely and by blocking the filter's ventilation holes (13). Adenocarcinoma has replaced squamous cell carcinoma as the leading cause of lung cancer-related death in the United States. This increase in adenocarcinoma parallels the changes in cigarette design and smoking behavior (13).

Changes in the social norms surrounding smoking can be documented by examining changes in public policy, including availability of Fairness Doctrine counteradvertising messages on television and radio and increased restrictions on tobacco advertising beginning with the ban on broadcast advertising in 1971. Cigarette advertising no longer appears on television or billboards, and efforts to restrict sales and marketing to adolescents have increased. Indoor air policies switched from favoring smokers to favoring nonsmokers. Smoking is no longer permitted on airplanes, and many people, including 12.5% of adult smokers with children, do not smoke at home (*14*). Now 42 states have restrictions on smoking at government work sites and 20 states have restrictions at private work sites.

One of the most effective means of reducing the prevalence of tobacco use is by increasing federal and state excise tax rates. A 10% increase in the price of cigarettes can lead to a 4% reduction in the demand for cigarettes. This reduction is the result of people smoking fewer cigarettes or quitting altogether (*15*). Studies show that low-income, adolescent, Hispanic, and non-Hispanic black smokers are more likely than others to stop smoking in response to a price increase (*15*).

The November 1998 Master Settlement Agreement marks the end of the 20th century with an unprecedented event. Although admitting no wrongdoing, the tobacco companies signed an agreement with the attorneys general of 46 states. This agreement settled lawsuits totaling \$206 billion; however, the agreement did not require that any of the state money be spent for tobacco use prevention and control. The American Legacy Foundation was established as a result of a provision in the

#### Tobacco Use — Continued

Master Settlement Agreement that called for a foundation with a mandate to conduct effective tobacco education programs based on scientific research.

## **Future Challenges**

Despite the achievements of the 20th century, approximately 48 million U.S. adults smoke cigarettes; half of those who continue to smoke will die from a smoking-related disease. Tobacco use is responsible for approximately 430,000 deaths each year—one of every five. Parallel to the health burden is the economic burden of tobacco use, which amounts to at least \$50 billion in medical expenditures and \$50 billion in indirect costs. If trends continue, approximately 5 million children living today will die prematurely because as adolescents they started smoking cigarettes (*16*). Advances have been made in knowledge of tobacco use and its effect on health; intervention strategies to reduce these effects remain serious challenges.

First, trends from the 1975–1998 Monitoring the Future surveys (17) indicate that the 30-day prevalence of tobacco use (smoking on  $\geq$ 1 of the 30 days before the survey) among high school seniors decreased from the late 1970s to the mid-1980s, and prevalence was approximately 30%; however, during 1991–1997 smoking prevalence increased to 36.5% (Figure 3). Prevalence among high school seniors today is highest among whites and lowest among blacks (18). The recent increases in prevalence highlight the need for a nationwide comprehensive prevention program focused on this age group.

Second, decreasing prevalence among adults since the mid-1960s has not continued (Figure 2). Since 1990, prevalence among both men and women has remained constant (approximately 28.0% for men and approximately 22.5% for women). The stagnation emphasizes the need for policy changes that encourage quitting and for improved access to proven treatment interventions (e.g., Food and Drug Administration-approved pharmacotherapy and behavior counseling).



FIGURE 3. Trends in cigarette smoking\* among 12th graders, by racial/ethnic group — United States, 1977–1998<sup>†</sup>

\*Smoking on  $\geq$ 1 of the 30 days before the survey.

<sup>†</sup>2-year moving averages are used to stabilize estimates.

Source: University of Michigan, Monitoring the Future project.

#### Vol. 48 / No. 43

#### MMWR

## Tobacco Use — Continued

Third, large differences in tobacco use exist in the United States. For example, in 1997, smoking prevalence was 37.9% among American Indian/Alaska Native men, 32.1% among black men, and 27.6% among white men (19). There are marked differences in deaths from malignant diseases of the respiratory system; the age-adjusted death rates per 100,000 U.S. residents in 1995 were 80.5 among black men and 53.7 among white men (12). Age-adjusted death rates for cerebrovascular disease also reflect the disparity in health outcomes, with the rate being 53.1 per 100,000 among black men and 26.3 among white men (12). No single factor determines the patterns of tobacco use among racial/ethnic groups; these patterns result from complex interactions among multiple factors such as socioeconomic status, cultural characteristics, acculturation, stress, biologic elements, targeted advertising, price of tobacco products, and varying capacities of communities to mount effective tobacco-control initiatives. These disparities in use and adverse health outcomes based on race/ethnicity and socioeconomic status need to be addressed.

Fourth, exposure to environmental tobacco smoke (ETS) at home and at work is a substantial problem. One study found that 87.9% of children and adult nonusers of tobacco had detectable levels of serum cotinine (20). The distribution of serum cotinine levels is bimodal: one peak for nonsmokers exposed to ETS and a higher one for smokers (Figure 4). Both the number of smokers in the household and the hours exposed at work were associated with increased serum cotinine levels among non-smokers.





\*Smokers have higher levels of serum cotinine. Nonsmokers with measurable cotinine levels include those who reported no exposure to environmental tobacco smoke in the home or work site.

Source: Pirkle JL, Flegal KM, Bennert JT, Brody DJ, Etzel RA, Maurer KR. Exposure of the U.S. population to environmental tobacco smoke. JAMA 1996;275:1233–40.

#### Tobacco Use — Continued

Fifth, research is needed to determine whether new "highly engineered" products can reduce the harmful effects of tobacco or whether the mistakes associated with low tar and nicotine cigarettes will be repeated (*21*). Several novel tobacco products, (e.g., bidis from India) appear to be increasing in popularity, but little is known about long-term health effects or about social and other factors associated with their use (*22*).

Sixth, a dramatic increase in tobacco use has occurred worldwide. Because of the increase, the World Health Organization (WHO) established the Tobacco Free Initiative, and the World Health Assembly unanimously approved the development of a Framework Convention on Tobacco Control. This WHO effort will promote global cooperation on aspects of tobacco control that transcend national boundaries and will necessitate political action; mobilization of resources; and implementation of national, regional, and global strategies.

Much remains to be done despite the public health achievements in reducing tobacco use in the 20th century. The American Cancer Society has set goals for 2015 of a 25% reduction in cancer incidence and a 50% reduction in cancer mortality rates (*23*). Approximately 50% of that goal can be achieved with a 40%–50% reduction in smoking prevalence by 2005. Commensurate with the cost of the harm caused by tobacco, resources must be expended, including programs preventing adolescents from starting to smoke, getting adults and young people to quit smoking, and eliminating exposure to ETS and disparities among population groups.

Reported by: Office on Smoking and Health, National Center for Chronic Disease Prevention and Health Promotion, CDC.

#### References

- 1. American Cancer Society. Cancer facts and figures—1999. Atlanta, Georgia: American Cancer Society, 1999.
- Environmental Protection Agency. Respiratory health effects of passive smoking: lung cancer and other disorders. Washington, DC: Environmental Protection Agency, Office on Air and Radiation, 1992. Environmental Protection Agency publication EPA/600/6-90/006F.
- 3. US Public Health Service. Smoking and health. Report of the Advisory Committee to the Surgeon General of the Public Health Service. Atlanta, Georgia: US Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, Public Health Service, CDC, 1964 (PHS publication no. 1103).
- 4. Wingo PA, Ries LA, Giovino GA, et al. Annual report to the nation on the status of cancer, 1973–1996, with a special section on lung cancer and tobacco smoking. J Natl Cancer Inst 1999;91:675–90.
- 5. CDC. Decline in deaths from heart disease and stroke—United States, 1900–1999. MMWR 1999;48:649–56.
- 6. US Department of Health and Human Services. For a healthy nation: returns on investment in public health. Atlanta, Georgia: US Department of Health and Human Services, Public Health Service, Office of Disease Prevention and Health Promotion and CDC, 1995.
- 7. Giovino GA, Henningfield JE, Tomar SL, Escobedo LG, Slade J. Epidemiology of tobacco use and dependence. Epidemiologic Reviews 1995;17:48–65.
- 8. Waldron I. Patterns and causes of gender differences in smoking. Soc Sci Med 1991;32: 989–1005.
- US Department of Health and Human Services. The health consequences of smoking for women: a report of the Surgeon General. Washington, DC: US Department of Health and Human Services, Public Health Service, Office of Smoking and Health, 1980.
- CDC. Surveillance for selected tobacco-use behaviors—United States, 1900–1994. In: CDC surveillance summaries, November 18, 1994. MMWR 1994;43(no. SS-3).
- US Department of Agriculture, Economic Research Service. Tobacco situation & outlook / TBS-241/April 1999.
- 12. US Department of Health and Human Services. Tobacco use among U.S. racial/ethnic minority groups—African Americans, American Indians and Alaska Natives, Asian Americans and

#### Tobacco Use — Continued

Pacific Islanders, and Hispanics: report of the Surgeon General. Atlanta, Georgia: US Department of Health and Human Services, CDC, 1998.

- Fielding JF, Husten CG, Eriksen MP. Tobacco: health effects and control. In: Wallace RB, Doebbeling BN, Last JM, eds. Public health and preventive medicine. 14th ed. Stamford, Connecticut: Appleton & Lange, 1998.
- CDC. State-specific prevalence of cigarette smoking among adults, and children's and adolescents' exposure to environmental tobacco smoke—United States, 1996. MMWR 1997; 46:1038–43.
- Chaloupka FJ, Warner KE. The economics of smoking. In: Newhouse J, Culyer A, eds. The handbook of health economics. Amsterdam, The Netherlands: Elsevier Science, 1999 (in press).
- 16. CDC. Projected smoking-related deaths among youth—United States. MMWR 1996;45:971–4.
- 17. CDC. Response to increases in cigarette prices by race/ethnicity, income, and age groups— United States, 1976–1993. MMWR 1998;47:605–9.
- Johnston LD, O'Malley PM, Bachman JG. National survey results on drug use from the Monitoring the Future study, 1975–1998. Vol I: secondary school students. Rockville, Maryland: National Institutes of Health, National Institute on Drug Abuse, 1999 (NIH publication no. 99-4660).
- 19. CDC. Cigarette smoking among adults—United States, 1997. MMWR 1999;48:994-9.
- 20. Pirkle JL, Flegal KM, Bennert JT, Brody DJ, Etzel RA, Maurer KR. Exposure of the U.S. population to environmental tobacco smoke. JAMA 1996;275:1233–40.
- 21. Warner KE, Slade J, Sweanor DT. The emerging market for long-term nicotine maintenance. JAMA 1997;278:1087–92.
- 22. CDC. Bidi use among urban youth—Massachusetts, March–April 1999. MMWR 1999;48:796–9.
- 23. Byers R, Mouchawa J, Marks J, et al. The American Cancer Society challenge goals: how far can cancer rates decline in the U.S. by the year 2015? Cancer 1999;86:715–27.

# Cigarette Smoking Among Adults — United States, 1997

In the United States, cigarette smoking is the leading cause of preventable morbidity and mortality and results in approximately 430,000 deaths each year (1). One of the national health objectives for 2000 is to reduce the prevalence of cigarette smoking among adults to no more than 15% (objective 3.4) (2). To assess progress toward meeting this objective, CDC analyzed self-reported data about cigarette smoking among U.S. adults from the 1997 National Health Interview Survey (NHIS) Sample Adult Core Questionnaire. This report summarizes the findings of this analysis, which indicate that, in 1997, 24.7% of adults were current smokers and that the overall prevalence of current smoking in 1997 was unchanged from the overall prevalence of current smoking from the 1995 NHIS.

The 1997 NHIS Sample Adult questionnaire was administered to a nationally representative sample (n=36,116) of the U.S. noninstitutionalized civilian population aged  $\geq$ 18 years; the overall response rate for the survey was 80.4%. Participants were asked, "Have you smoked at least 100 cigarettes in your entire life?" and "Do you now smoke cigarettes every day, some days, or not at all?" Current smokers were persons who reported having smoked  $\geq$ 100 cigarettes during their lifetime and who smoked every day or some days at the time of the interview. Former smokers were those who had smoked  $\geq$ 100 cigarettes during their lifetime but who did not smoke currently. Attempts to quit were determined by asking current daily smokers, "During the past 12 months, have you stopped smoking for one day or longer because you were trying to stop smoking?" Data were adjusted for nonresponse and weighted to provide national estimates. Confidence intervals (CIs) were calculated using SUDAAN.

#### Cigarette Smoking Among Adults — Continued

In 1997, an estimated 48.0 million (24.7%) adults, including 25.7 million (27.6%) men and 22.3 million (22.1%) women, were current smokers (Table 1). Overall, 20.1% (95% Cl=±0.5) of adults were every-day smokers, and 4.4% (95% Cl=±0.2) were someday smokers (every-day smokers constituted 81.9% [95% Cl=±0.9] of all smokers). Prevalence of smoking was highest among persons aged 18–24 years (28.7%) and aged 25–44 years (28.6%) and lowest among persons aged  $\geq 65$  years (12%). Prevalence of current smoking was significantly higher among American Indians/Alaska Natives (34.1%), non-Hispanic blacks (26.7%), and non-Hispanic whites (25.3%) than among Hispanics (20.4%) or Asians/Pacific Islanders (16.9%). Current smoking prevalence was highest among persons with nine to 11 years of education (35.4%) and lowest among persons with  $\geq 16$  years of education (11.6%), and was higher among persons living below the poverty level\* (33.3%) than among those living at or above the poverty level (24.6%).

\*Published 1996 poverty thresholds from the Bureau of the Census are used in these calculations.

	N (n=1	/len 15,361)	Wo (n=2	omen 20,455)	Total (n=35,816)		
Characteristic	%	(95% CI <sup>+</sup> )	%	(95% CI)	%	(95% CI)	
Race/Ethnicity <sup>§</sup>							
White, non-Hispanic	27.4	(± 1.0)	23.3	(±0.8)	25.3	(±0.7)	
Black, non-Hispanic	32.1	(± 2.4)	22.4	(±1.7)	26.7	(±1.4)	
Hispanic	26.2	(± 2.1)	14.3	(±1.4)	20.4	(±1.4)	
American Indian/							
Alaska Native¶	37.9	(±13.7)	31.3	(±8.8)	34.1	(±7.7)	
Asian/Pacific Islander	21.6	(± 4.4)	12.4	(±3.5)	16.9	(± <b>2.7</b> )	
Education (yrs)**							
≤8	29.9	(± 3.0)	15.1	(±2.2)	22.5	(±1.9)	
9–11	41.3	(± 3.1)	30.5	(±2.4)	35.4	(±2.0)	
12	31.8	(± 1.7)	25.7	(±1.3)	28.4	(±1.0)	
13–15	27.4	(± 1.7)	23.1	(±1.4)	25.1	(±1.1)	
≥16	13.0	(± 1.2)	10.1	(±1.0)	11.6	(±0.8)	
Age group (yrs)							
18–24	31.7	(± 2.8)	25.7	(±2.4)	28.7	(±1.9)	
25–44	31.2	(± 1.3)	26.1	(±1.1)	28.6	(±0.8)	
45–64	27.6	(± 1.5)	21.5	(±1.3)	24.4	(±1.0)	
≥65	12.8	(± 1.4)	11.5	(±1.1)	12.0	(±0.9)	
Poverty status <sup>††</sup>							
At or above	27.3	(± 1.0)	21.8	(±0.8)	24.6	(±0.7)	
Below	38.7	(± 2.8)	29.8	(±1.9)	33.3	(±1.7)	
Unknown	23.4	(± 2.0)	18.2	(±1.5)	20.5	(±1.2)	
Total	27.6	(± 0.9)	22.1	(± <b>0.7</b> )	24.7	(±0.6)	

# TABLE 1. Percentage of persons aged $\geq$ 18 years who were current smokers,\* by selected characteristics — United States, National Health Interview Survey, 1997

\* Persons who reported having smoked ≥100 cigarettes during their lifetime and who reported now smoking every day or some days. Excludes 300 respondents for whom smoking status was unknown.

<sup>†</sup>Confidence interval.

<sup>§</sup>Excludes 74 respondents of unknown, multiple, and other racial/ethnic categories.

<sup>¶</sup>Wide variances on estimates reflect the small sample sizes.

\*\*Persons aged  $\geq$ 25 years. Excludes 305 persons with unknown years of education.

<sup>††</sup>Published 1996 poverty thresholds from the Bureau of the Census are used in these calculations.

#### Vol. 48 / No. 43

#### MMWR

#### Cigarette Smoking Among Adults — Continued

In 1997, an estimated 44.3 million adults (22.8% [95% Cl= $\pm$ 0.5]) were former smokers, including 25.1 million men and 19.2 women. Former smokers constituted 48.0% (95% Cl= $\pm$ 0.9) of persons who had ever smoked at least 100 cigarettes. Among current daily smokers in 1997, an estimated 16.0 million (40.7% [95% Cl= $\pm$ 1.4]) had stopped smoking for at least 1 day during the preceding 12 months.

Reported by: Epidemiology Br, Office on Smoking and Health, National Center for Chronic Disease Prevention and Health Promotion, CDC.

**Editorial Note**: The prevalence of smoking among adults aged  $\geq$ 18 years in 1997 was similar to that in 1995 (*3*). The findings in this report suggest that the goal of reducing the prevalence of cigarette smoking among adults to  $\leq$ 15% by 2000 will not be attained. The 1997 NHIS data also demonstrate substantial differences in smoking prevalence across populations and suggest that prevalence may be increasing among young adults.

In 1997, smoking prevalence among persons aged 18–24 years was as high as the prevalence among persons aged 25–44 years. Historically, smoking prevalence has been highest among persons aged 25–44 years and significantly lower among persons aged 18–24 years. In addition, the data show a generally higher (although not statistically significant) prevalence among persons aged 18–24 years in 1997 than in 1995. Smoking prevalence among persons aged 25–44 years remained essentially unchanged from 1995 through 1997.

Increased smoking prevalence among persons aged 18–24 years was reported in a recent study from a nationally representative sample of approximately 15,000 students at 116 four-year colleges (4). Among these college students, the prevalence of current smoking increased from 22.3% in 1993 to 28.7% in 1997. If high school students retain their smoking behavior as they enter young adulthood, the increases documented in recent NHIS surveys may reflect the increased prevalence among high school students in recent years and the aging of this cohort into young adulthood. Alternatively, the increase may indicate increased initiation of smoking among young adults (5). Additional surveillance data are needed to clarify these patterns.

The high prevalence of smoking among persons aged 18–24 years indicates a need to focus tobacco-use treatment interventions on this age group. Interventions for young adults before they become addicted may be critical in reducing tobacco use among young adults. However, only one third of college students aged 18–24 years reported receiving tobacco use prevention information at their educational institution (6).

Smoking prevalence reported for racial/ethnic subgroups showed few changes from 1995 (*3*) through 1997. Among Asian/Pacific Islander women, smoking prevalence increased from 4.3% in 1995 to 12.4% in 1997. However, the sample size for Asian/Pacific Islander women was small. In addition, there were procedural changes in the NHIS survey design and changes in the questions defining racial/ethnic groups. Therefore, these data should be interpreted with caution.

The findings in this report are subject to at least two limitations. First, the questionnaire for the 1997 NHIS was completely redesigned. Although the smoking questions remained unchanged, their context changed substantially; therefore, trend analysis or comparison of data from the 1997 NHIS with data from prior years must be conducted with caution. Second, the sample size of certain subgroups was small, potentially creating unstable estimates.

### Cigarette Smoking Among Adults -- Continued

To reduce the prevalence of smoking among adults, public health programs should include smoking cessation interventions. Before 1999, tobacco-control programs did not specifically include cessation as a major feature, but concentrated on policy interventions and the prevention of the initiation of tobacco use. Although preventing tobacco use among adolescents is critical to the long-term success of tobacco-control goals, reductions in morbidity and mortality in the short term can only be achieved by helping current smokers quit. To assist in this process, Smoking Cessation: Clinical Practice Guideline includes recommendations for a multifaceted approach to treating nicotine dependence (7). This guideline has specific recommendations for three major target audiences: primary-care clinicians; tobacco cessation specialists and programs; and health-care administrators, insurers, and purchasers. CDC includes cessation as one of the nine core elements for tobacco control (8). In addition, CDC's National Tobacco Control Program includes promoting cessation among adults as one of its four goals. The other three goals are preventing smoking initiation, reducing exposure to environmental tobacco smoke, and eliminating disparities among various populations in the health effects of tobacco use.

#### References

- 1. CDC. Smoking-attributable mortality and years of potential life lost—United States, 1984. MMWR 1997;46:444–51.
- 2. Public Health Service. Healthy people 2000: midcourse review and 1995 revisions. Washington, DC: US Department of Health and Human Services, Public Health Service, 1995.
- 3. CDC. Cigarette smoking among adults-United States, 1995. MMWR 1997;46:1217-20.
- 4. Wechsler H, Rigotti NA, Gledhill-Hoyt LH. Increased levels of cigarette use among college students: a cause for national concern. JAMA 1998;280:1673–8.
- 5. Everett S, Husten C, Kann L, et al. Smoking initiation and smoking patterns among U.S. college students. J Am Coll Health 1999;48:55–60.
- 6. CDC. Youth risk behavioral surveillance: National College Health Risk Behavior Survey—United States, 1995. MMWR 1997;46(no. SS-6).
- 7. The Smoking Cessation Clinical Practice Guideline Panel and Staff. The Agency for Health Care and Research smoking cessation clinical practice guideline. JAMA 1996;275:1270–80.
- 8. CDC. Best practices of comprehensive tobacco control programs. Atlanta, Georgia: US Department of Health and Human Services, CDC, 1999.

# Recommendations Regarding the Use of Vaccines That Contain Thimerosal as a Preservative

On October 20, 1999, the Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices (ACIP) reviewed information about thimerosal in vaccines and received updates from CDC's National Immunization Program and several vaccine manufacturers on the current and anticipated availability of vaccines that do not contain thimerosal as a preservative. The review was prompted by a joint statement about thimerosal issued July 8, 1999, by the American Academy of Pediatrics (AAP) and the Public Health Service (PHS) (1) and a comparable statement released by the American Academy of Family Physicians (2). These statements followed a Congressionally mandated Food and Drug Administration (FDA) review of mercury in drugs and food, which included a reassessment of the use of thimerosal in vaccines.

Thimerosal is a mercury-containing preservative that has been used as an additive in biologics and vaccines since the 1930s because it prevents bacterial and fungal

#### Thimerosal — Continued

contamination, particularly in multidose containers. Given the widely acknowledged value of reducing exposure to mercury, vaccine manufacturers, FDA, and other PHS agencies are collaborating to reduce the thimerosal content of vaccines or to replace them with formulations that do not contain thimerosal as a preservative as soon as possible without causing unnecessary disruptions in the vaccination system. FDA will expedite review of supplements to manufacturers' product license applications that present formulations for eliminating or reducing the mercury content of vaccines.

# Hepatitis B, DTaP, and Hib Vaccines

A single-antigen, preservative-free hepatitis B vaccine (Recombivax HB<sup>®</sup>, Merck & Co., Inc., West Point, Pennsylvania)\* was licensed on August 27, 1999, and a second hepatitis B vaccine (Engerix-B<sup>®</sup>, SmithKline Beecham Biologicals, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania) that is preservative-free is under consideration for licensure (*3*). One manufacturer reported that the supply of its diphtheria and tetanus toxoids and acellular pertussis (DTaP) vaccine that does not contain thimerosal as a preservative would be sufficient to meet any increased demand during the next year, and three other manufacturers are developing similar DTaP vaccines that could be licensed in the future. Multiple single-antigen *Haemophilus influenzae* type b (Hib) vaccines and the hepatitis B/Hib combination vaccine that do not contain thimerosal as a preservative are licensed, and the supply of these products is adequate to meet national needs.

The risk, if any, to infants from exposure to thimerosal is believed to be slight. The demonstrated risks for not vaccinating children far outweigh the theoretical risk for exposure to thimerosal-containing vaccines during the first 6 months of life.

Given the availability of vaccines that do not contain thimerosal as a preservative, the progress in developing such additional vaccines, and the absence of any recognized harm from exposure to thimerosal in vaccines, hepatitis B, DTaP, and Hib vaccines that contain thimerosal as a preservative can continue to be used in the routine infant schedule beginning at age 2 months along with monovalent or combination vaccines that do not contain thimerosal as a preservative.

Reported failures to vaccinate newborns at high risk for perinatal hepatitis B virus (HBV) transmission suggest that some institutions may have misinterpreted or improperly implemented the recommendations contained in the joint statement by the AAP and PHS—and subsequent clarification–to postpone hepatitis B vaccination only for newborns who are not at high risk (1,3). Chronic HBV infection develops in approximately 90% of infants infected at birth; among chronically infected infants, the risk for premature death from HBV-related liver cancer or cirrhosis is approximately 25% (4). All hospitals and pediatric care providers should ensure that newborn infants receive hepatitis B vaccines that do not contain thimerosal as a preservative is limited, the priority for its use should be to vaccinate newborn infants (3).

# Influenza Vaccine

All influenza vaccines contain thimerosal; however, ACIP recommends no changes in the influenza vaccination guidelines, including those for children and pregnant women (6). Evidence suggests that children with certain medical conditions (e.g., cardiopulmonary disease, including asthma) are at substantially increased risk for complications of influenza (7,8). During the influenza season, rates of cardiopulmonary hospitalizations for otherwise healthy women in their second or third trimester of

<sup>\*</sup>Use of trade names and commercial sources is for identification only and does not imply endorsement by CDC or the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services.

# Thimerosal — Continued

Mother's HBsAg status at delivery	Recommendation
Positive or Unknown	Vaccinate at birth. Use vaccine that does not contain thimerosal as a preservative; if unavailable, use thimerosal-containing vaccine.
Negative	Vaccinate at birth or by age 2 months. At birth, use vaccine that does not contain thimerosal as a preservative. At 2 months of age, use either thimerosal-containing vaccine or vaccine that does not contain thimerosal as a preservative.
Negative–High-risk*	Same as "Negative" above, except thimerosal-containing vaccine can be administered at birth.

TABLE 1. Recommendations for hepatitis B vaccination of newborn infants with thimerosal-containing vaccines and vaccines that do not contain thimerosal as a preservative

\*Populations or groups that have a high risk for early childhood hepatitis B virus (HBV) transmission, including Alaska Natives, Asian-Pacific Islanders, immigrant populations from countries in which HBV is of high or intermediate endemicity, and households with persons with chronic HBV infection.

pregnancy are similar to that among persons aged  $\geq 65$  years who do not have a chronic medical illness and for whom influenza vaccination is also recommended (9). Pregnant women with chronic medical conditions are at higher risk and have a hospitalization rate more than two times greater than among pregnant women without other high-risk medical conditions. A substantial safety margin has been incorporated into the health guidance values for organic mercury exposure developed by the Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry and other agencies (10). ACIP concluded that the benefits of influenza vaccine outweigh the potential risks for thimerosal.

# References

- 1. CDC. Thimerosal in vaccines: a joint statement of the American Academy of Pediatrics and the Public Health Service. MMWR 1999;48:563–5.
- 2. American Academy of Family Physicians. Policy statement of the American Academy of Family Physicians on thimerosal in vaccines, July 8, 1999. Available at http://www.aafp.org/policy/camp/20.html.
- 3. CDC. Availability of hepatitis B vaccine that does not contain thimerosal as a preservative. MMWR 1999;48:780-2.
- 4. Margolis HS, Coleman PJ, Brown RE, Mast EE, Sheingold SH, Arevalo JA. Prevention of hepatitis B virus transmission by immunization: an economic analysis of current recommendations. JAMA 1995;274:1201–8.
- 5. CDC. Hepatitis B virus: a comprehensive strategy for eliminating transmission in the United States through universal childhood vaccination: recommendations of the Immunization Practices Advisory Committee. MMWR 1991;40(no. RR-13).
- 6. CDC. Prevention and control of influenza: recommendations of the Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices (ACIP). MMWR 1999;48(no. RR-4):1–28.
- 7. Mullooly JP, Barker WH. Impact of type A influenza on children: a retrospective study. Am J Public Health 1982;72:1008–16.
- 8. Glezen WP, Taber LH, Frank AL, Gruber WC, Piedra PA. Influenza virus infections in infants. Pediatr Infect Dis J 1997;16:1065–8.
- 9. Neuzil KM, Reed GW, Mitchel EF, Simonsen L, Griffin MR. Impact of influenza on acute cardiopulmonary hospitalizations in pregnant women. Am J Epidemiol 1998;148:1094–102.
- 10. Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry. Toxicological profile for mercury. Atlanta, Georgia: Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry, 1999.



# FIGURE I. Selected notifiable disease reports, comparison of provisional 4-week totals ending October 30, 1999, with historical data - United States

\*Ratio of current 4-week total to mean of 15 4-week totals (from previous, comparable, and subsequent 4-week periods for the past 5 years). The point where the hatched area begins is based on the mean and two standard deviations of these 4-week totals.

# TABLE I. Summary — provisional cases of selected notifiable diseases, United States, cumulative, week ending October 30, 1999 (43rd Week)

		Cum. 1999		Cum. 1999
Anthrax Brucellosis* Cholera Congenital ru Cyclosporiasi Diphtheria Encephalitis:	bella syndrome s* California* eastern equine* St. Louis* western equine*	36 6 5 49 4 51 5 10	HIV infection, pediatric* <sup>§</sup> Plague Poliomyelitis, paralytic Psittacosis* Rabies, human Rocky Mountain spotted fever (RMSF) Streptococcal disease, invasive Group A Streptococcal toxic-shock syndrome* Syphilis, congenital <sup>¶</sup>	121 5 - 447 1,766 31 168 31
Ehrlichiosis Hansen Disea Hantavirus pu Hemolytic ure	human granulocytic (HGE)* human monocytic (HME)* se* Ilmonary syndrome*† emic syndrome, post-diarrheal*	121 35 88 18 80	Toxic-shock syndrome Trichinosis Typhoid fever Yellow fever	102 8 263

-: no reported cases

\*Not notifiable in all states. <sup>†</sup> Updated weekly from reports to the Division of Viral and Rickettsial Diseases, National Center for Infectious Diseases (NCID). <sup>§</sup> Updated monthly from reports to the Division of HIV/AIDS Prevention–Surveillance and Epidemiology, National Center for HIV, STD, and TB Prevention (NCHSTP), last update October 24, 1999. <sup>¶</sup> Updated from reports to the Division of STD Prevention, NCHSTP.

							Escherichia coli 0157:H7*					
	AI	DS	Chla	nydia	Cryptosp	oridiosis	NET	rss	PH	LIS		
Reporting Area	Cum. 1999 <sup>†</sup>	Cum. 1998	Cum. 1999	Cum. 1998	Cum. 1999	Cum. 1998	Cum. 1999	Cum. 1998	Cum. 1999	Cum. 1998		
UNITED STATES	37,420	38,690	473,984	489,113	1,855	3,256	2,763	2,502	1,936	1,946		
NEW ENGLAND	1,904	1,517	16,532	16,842	124	139	282	292	293	243		
N.H.	38	26 25	802	819	24 17	29 14	34 28	33 42	29	42		
Vt. Mass	15	18 766	396	350	34	23	31	19 125	18 171	17		
R.I.	90	110	1,920	1,909	43	7	27	11	6	1		
Conn.	462	572	5,025	5,987	-	-	U	52	69	44		
Upstate N.Y.	9,663 1,146	10,367	51,826 N	50,792 N	282 138	493 294	235 184	264 188	/6	84		
N.Y. City	5,100	5,843	21,963	21,862	112	177	9	12	15	12		
Pa.	1,676	1,380	21,231	19,112	10	N	42 N	N N	29	21		
E.N. CENTRAL	2,519	2,736	68,585	83,074	445	663	592	398	425	320		
Ohio Ind.	403 285	567 446	19,151 9,080	22,486 9,168	56 34	64 52	199 84	106 89	168 55	61 47		
III.	1,201	1,037	22,045	22,212	60	76	203	102	81	73		
Wis.	504 126	530 156	18,309 U	17,680	42 253	37 434	106 N	N	73 48	62 77		
W.N. CENTRAL	846	750	27,266	29,104	187	249	529	425	370	373		
Minn. Iowa	161 72	146 60	5,658 3,438	5,853 3.752	71 52	80 61	207 107	181 88	158 70	196 56		
Mo.	408	363	9,298	10,420	26	23	44	42	57	59		
N. Dak. S. Dak.	6 13	5 15	707 1,338	855 1,277	7	29 19	16 44	10 29	14 59	15 34		
Nebr. Kans	61 125	60 101	2,601	2,346	14	31	90 21	44	- 12	- 12		
S. ATLANTIC	10.275	10.032	4,220	93,951	327	292	283	208	148	156		
Del.	147	122	2,280	2,149	- 17	3	6	-	3	2		
D.C.	496	750	9,734 N	6,158 N	8	21	- 33	35 1	Ű	14 U		
Va. W. Va	689 61	771 70	11,835	11,237	21	20	65 10	N 8	52	51		
N.C.	688	703	18,471	18,198	21	Ň	61	52	49	47		
S.C. Ga.	847 1.466	638 1.060	10,092 28,524	14,036 19 <i>.</i> 755	121	- 97	19 29	11 66	14	8		
Fla.	4,639	4,524	23,191	20,411	136	132	60	35	20	26		
E.S. CENTRAL	1,666 236	1,596 248	37,694 6 251	33,983 5 264	24 6	24 10	109 40	108 33	56	61		
Tenn.	643	590	11,502	11,311	6	8	43	48	36	39		
Ala. Miss.	423 364	417 341	10,446 9,495	8,509 8,899	10 2	N 6	21 5	21 6	16 4	18 4		
W.S. CENTRAL	3,822	4,742	67,530	74,402	79	893	106	84	109	94		
Ark. La	158 742	177 814	4,935 10 879	3,196 12 440	1 22	6 15	13 9	10 4	8 13	10 7		
Okla.	113	254	6,630	8,078	9	N	21	13	24	8		
ιέχ. Μοι ινιταίνι	2,809	3,497	45,060 26 358	26 967	47 86	072 119	266	57 321	04 167	228		
Mont.	11	26	1,287	1,066	10	10	200	15	-	5		
Idaho Wvo.	21 10	27 3	1,396 636	1,671 579	7	17 2	40 14	36 53	20 14	24 55		
Colo.	271	254	5,009	6,561	11	17	102	72	86	57		
Ariz.	78 745	550	10,502	2,965 9,615	38 12	46 18	28	43	5 19	26		
Utah	129 204	114 197	1,808	1,778	N 7	N	32 15	67 18	21	21		
PACIFIC	5.256	5.591	72,862	79,998	, 301	384	361	402	292	387		
Wash.	305	369	9,736	9,267	N	N	139	90	119	120		
Calif.	4,673	4,915	5,041 54,214	4,696 62,384	213	318	139	99 207	68 94	95 158		
Alaska Hawaii	13 80	17 144	1,563 2 308	1,541 2 110	-	- 3	1 9	6	1 10	- 14		
Guam	5	1	302	350	-	-	N	N	U	U IA		
P.R.	1,094	1,498	U	U	-	N	5	5	Ŭ	Ŭ		
Amer. Samoa		-	Ŭ	Ŭ	Ŭ	U	U	Ŭ	Ŭ	Ŭ		
C.N.M.I.	-	-	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U		

# TABLE II. Provisional cases of selected notifiable diseases, United States, weeks ending October 30, 1999, and October 31, 1998 (43rd Week)

U: Unavailable N: Not notifiable C.N.M.I.: Commonwealth of Northern Mariana Islands -: no reported cases

\*Individual cases may be reported through both the National Electronic Telecommunications System for Surveillance (NETSS) and the

Public Health Laboratory Information System (PHLIS). <sup>†</sup>Updated monthly from reports to the Division of HIV/AIDS Prevention–Surveillance and Epidemiology, National Center for HIV, STD, and TB Prevention, last update October 24, 1999.

	Gond	orrhea	Hep C/N	atitis A,NB	Legion	ellosis	Ly Dise	me ease
Reporting Area	Cum. 1999	Cum. 1998	Cum. 1999	Cum. 1998	Cum. 1999	Cum. 1998	Cum. 1999	Cum. 1998
UNITED STATES	264,406	292,166	2,791	2,718	722	1,085	9,146	13,788
NEW ENGLAND Maine N.H.	5,050 42 93	5,018 57 76	59 2	55	65 3 6	74 1 6	3,146 41 16	4,276 72 39
Mass. R.I. Conn.	2,116 491 2,271	1,848 319 2,686	48 3 -	47 3	13 24 8 11	31 19 11	1,022 408 1,641	661 556 2,937
MID. ATLANTIC Upstate N.Y. N.Y. City N.J. Pa.	32,964 5,644 11,762 5,309 10,249	31,679 6,057 9,847 6,638 9,137	116 81 - 35	184 94 - U 90	131 51 9 13 58	265 82 33 15 135	4,433 3,301 29 389 714	7,584 3,519 213 1,652 2,200
E.N. CENTRAL Ohio Ind. III. Mich. Wis.	45,644 11,535 5,009 16,295 12,805 U	57,446 14,666 5,425 18,504 13,590 5,261	1,339 3 1 39 705 591	582 7 5 37 401 132	214 65 32 22 59 36	360 112 62 48 73 65	105 68 19 12 1 5	699 40 34 14 12 599
W.N. CENTRAL Minn. Iowa Mo. N. Dak. S. Dak.	10,954 2,180 903 4,686 71 160	14,377 2,245 1,276 7,445 69 190	185 9 - 165 -	35 9 8 12 -	42 9 11 14 1 3	59 6 9 16 - 3	196 132 19 22 1	192 146 23 11
Nebr. Kans.	1,128 1,826	994 2,158	5 6	4 2	4	18 7	10 12	3 9
S. ATLANTIC Del. Md. D.C. Va. W. Va. N.C. S.C.	79,396 1,415 8,470 3,166 7,965 363 16,452 5,764	78,574 1,249 7,972 3,680 7,674 732 15,776 8,973	177 1 38 1 10 17 33 22	90 - 13 - 11 6 19 5	112 11 25 3 28 N 13 8	123 12 31 6 18 N 11 10	982 51 694 4 109 16 64 5	778 58 558 4 58 12 49 6
Ga. Fla.	19,413 16,388	16,698 15,820	1 54	9 27	1 23	8 27	39	5 28
E.S. CENTRAL Ky. Tenn. Ala. Miss.	30,143 2,821 9,268 9,400 8,654	32,907 3,069 9,893 10,921 9,024	214 16 80 2 116	247 19 147 4 77	36 18 14 4	59 26 21 5 7	9 30 19 13	94 24 41 16 13
W.S. CENTRAL Ark. La. Okla. Tex.	37,865 2,566 8,653 3,272 23,374	45,564 3,274 10,576 4,423 27,291	281 18 102 14 147	454 20 83 12 339	6 - 2 3 1	29 1 3 12 13	42 4 - 4 34	19 6 4 2 7
MOUNTAIN Mont. Idaho	7,807 45 69	7,534 34 145	124 5 7	340 7 86	41 - 2	64 2 2	16 - 5	15 - 5
Wyo. Colo. N. Mex. Ariz. Utah Nev.	26 1,984 632 3,794 181 1,076	28 1,733 723 3,474 188 1,209	37 20 8 33 6 8	85 27 84 11 21 19	- 11 1 6 15 6	1 15 2 15 21 6	3 - 1 - 5 2	1 - 4 - 5
PACIFIC Wash. Oreg. Calif. Alaska Hawaii	14,583 1,728 730 11,542 252 331	19,067 1,652 669 16,056 257 433	296 16 17 263	731 21 18 638 54	75 13 N 61 1	52 9 N 41 1	155 7 11 137 N	131 7 19 104 1 N
Guam P.R. V.I. Amer. Samoa C.N.M.I.	39 266 U U U	60 314 U U U	1 - U U U	1 - U U U	- - U U U	2 - U U U	- N U U U	1 N U U U

# TABLE II. (Cont'd.) Provisional cases of selected notifiable diseases, United States,<br/>weeks ending October 30, 1999, and October 31, 1998 (43rd Week)

N: Not notifiable U: Unavailable -: no reported cases

		-		Salmonellosis*						
	Ма	laria	Rabies,	Animal	NE	TSS	PH	LIS		
Reporting Area	Cum. 1999	Cum. 1998	Cum. 1999	Cum. 1998	Cum. 1999	Cum. 1998	Cum. 1999	Cum. 1998		
UNITED STATES	1,065	1,240	5,020	6,270	30,479	35,255	24,551	29,216		
NEW ENGLAND	51	52	745	1,249	1,415	2,115	1,813	2,006		
N.H.	3	4 5	48	201 74	120	148	90 121	203		
Vt.	4	1	86	57	82	119	73	93		
R.I.	4	8	79	438	119	1,164	993 147	34		
Conn.	22	18	207	396	U	405	389	430		
MID. ATLANTIC	238 63	370 81	926 701	1,369 954	3,302 1,110	5,634 1,365	3,244 900	5,111 1,222		
N.Y. City	111	210	Ŭ	Ŭ	1,158	1,676	853	1,291		
N.J. Pa.	43 21	51 28	152 73	189 226	508 526	1,244 1.349	535 956	1,190 1,408		
E.N. CENTRAL	126	130	137	117	4,585	5,431	2,986	4,123		
Ohio	18	14	33	54	1,138	1,317	913	995		
III.	46	53	12	9 N	1,391	1,666	399	454 1,303		
Mich. Wie	36	42	79 3	35 19	841 771	993 875	841 478	918 453		
WN CENTRAL	63	84	619	624	1 911	1 969	1 952	2 034		
Minn.	33	50	94	104	556	483	608	568		
lowa Mo.	13 13	/ 14	144 14	136	232 599	333 530	195 792	261 738		
N. Dak.	-	2	127	122	41	52	48	67		
S. Dak. Nebr.	-	- 1	150	143	179	157	106	42		
Kans.	4	10	87	76	219	314	203	249		
S. ATLANTIC	297 1	254	1,807 37	2,048 41	7,181	7,061 70	4,599 144	5,248 107		
Md.	84	75	345	398	761	790	827	767		
D.C. Va.	17 62	16 49	- 483	- 490	65 1.117	64 943	U 872	0 775		
W. Va.	2	2	94	65	138	129	137	135		
S.C.	20 17	23	129	121	576	534	418	470		
Ga.	21	33	201	261	1,191	1,383	651 277	1,304		
F.S. CENTRAI	20	28	223	241	1,588	1,968	924	1.378		
<u>К</u> у.	7	5	33	28	344	322	-	124		
Ienn. Ala.	6	15 6	/9 110	125 86	317 495	505 601	473 374	608 510		
Miss.	1	2	1	2	432	540	77	136		
W.S. CENTRAL	16	32	87	28	3,285	4,031	2,838	2,751		
La.	10	13	-	- 20	334	608	472	683		
Okla. Tex	2 1	3 15	73	N -	359 2.038	418 2.493	291 1.955	198 1.562		
MOUNTAIN	42	60	176	229	2,615	2,170	2,200	1,785		
Mont.	4	1	54	47 N	53	71	1	43		
Wyo.	1	-	42	55	59	57	49	51		
Colo. N. Mex	16 2	18 12	1	42	622 341	474 264	644 217	449 227		
Ariz.	9	8	57	47	825	692	692	613		
Utah Nev.	4 3	1 12	8 5	26 6	455 166	305 206	463 53	122 195		
PACIFIC	212	230	300	365	4,597	4,876	3,995	4,780		
Oreg.	19	15	1	7	382	264	452	287		
Calif. Alaska	162 1	191 2	292 7	335 23	3,335 51	3,920 53	2,610 15	3,638 32		
Hawaii	7	5	-	-	292	238	248	257		
Guam	-	2	-	-	24	31	U	U		
V.I.	Ū	U	U	45 U	255 U	U	Ŭ	Ŭ		
Amer. Samoa C.N.M.I.	U U	U U	U U	U U	U U	U U	U U	U U		

# TABLE II. (Cont'd.) Provisional cases of selected notifiable diseases, United States, weeks ending October 30, 1999, and October 31, 1998 (43rd Week)

N: Not notifiable U: Unavailable -: no reported cases \*Individual cases may be reported through both the National Electronic Telecommunications System for Surveillance (NETSS) and the Public Health Laboratory Information System (PHLIS).

	Shigellosis*				Syp	hilis	Tuberculosis		
	NE	TSS	PH	ILIS	(Primary &	Secondary)	Tuber	culosis	
<b>Reporting Area</b>	Cum. 1999	Cum. 1998	Cum. 1999	Cum. 1998	Cum. 1999	Cum. 1998	Cum. 1999⁺	Cum. 1998†	
UNITED STATES	12,967	17,841	6,167	10,128	5,479	5,941	11,474	13,813	
NEW ENGLAND	620	372	638	329	49	65	333	358	
N.H.	5 16	12	- 14	19	- 1	2	10	-	
Vt.	6	6	4	1	3	4	1	4	
R.I.	23	31	9	13	2	1	35	49	
Conn.	U	62	48	60	13	20	74	97	
MID. ATLANTIC	750 242	2,077 513	398 45	1,540 182	218 24	266 35	2,093 261	2,402 305	
N.Y. City	242	630	82	543	79	63	1,120	1,187	
N.J. Pa	195 71	608 326	121 150	576 239	48 67	82 86	422 290	510 400	
E.N. CENTRAL	2,352	2,432	1,121	1,309	1,186	863	1,070	1,380	
Ohio	370	434	116	115	75	124	200	202	
III.	254 889	1,332	592	1,095	321	355	465	657	
Mich.	375	234	255	4	185	160	243	305	
WIS.	404	209	617	527	102	57 114	63 363	302	
Minn.	211	270	209	300	9	9	129	124	
lowa Mo	47 579	61 124	44 320	41 94	9 67	2 85	39 137	40 145	
N. Dak.	2	7	2	3	-	-	6	8	
S. Dak. Nebr	13 64	31 339	6	21 19	- 7	1	17 16	16 18	
Kans.	37	61	36	49	10	13	19	41	
S. ATLANTIC	2,010	3,592	397	1,114	1,721	2,151	2,414	2,565	
Md.	12	29 180	8 49	25 63	8 304	20 583	223	260	
D.C.	46	25	U	U	59 124	71	35	92	
W. Va.	8	11	40 5	7	2	2	35	35	
N.C.	185 111	255 153	79 56	149 73	400	618 251	348 210	365	
Ga.	201	932	37	221	344	241	521	438	
Fla.	1,193	1,831	115	496	246	239	809	854	
E.S. CENTRAL Kv.	915 218	1,012	450	//1 45	939 87	1,035	718 151	959 135	
Ténn.	508	430	393	511	517	485	257	322	
Ala. Miss.	96 93	418 48	47 10	208	188	239	254 56	185	
W.S. CENTRAL	2,286	3,591	1,806	1,122	790	904	1,246	2,060	
Ark. La	73 118	184 288	23	56 242	59 200	99 363	140	120 246	
Okla.	425	428	149	121	158	78	110	146	
lex.	1,670	2,691	1,535	703	373	364	996	1,548	
Mont.	935	1,080	560	639	205	217	369	466 18	
Idaho	24	18	9	13	1	2	14	10	
Colo.	163	3 179	123	135	2	10	Ŭ	54 54	
N. Mex.	113 /89	260 522	62 341	145 295	11 182	22 163	52 180	55 181	
Utah	58	38	18	28	2	4	35	45	
Nev.	78	52	6	19	6	15	75	99	
PACIFIC Wash.	2,146 96	2,792	180 79	2,777 156	269 63	326 27	2,868 136	3,231 218	
Oreg.	78	131	74	138	9	4	86	116	
Alaska	1,943	2,433	- 2	2,433	194	291	2,455 46	2,706	
Hawaii	26	41	25	46	2	3	145	146	
Guam PB	8	31 48	U	U	1 127	1 152	11 41	77 122	
V.I.	U	Ű	Ŭ	Ŭ	Ű	U	Ŭ	Ű	
Amer. Samoa C.N.M.I.	U U	U U							

# TABLE II. (Cont'd.) Provisional cases of selected notifiable diseases, United States, weeks ending October 30, 1999, and October 31, 1998 (43rd Week)

 N: Not notifiable
 U: Unavailable
 -: no reported cases

 \*Individual cases may be reported through both the National Electronic Telecommunications System for Surveillance (NETSS) and the Public Health Laboratory Information System (PHLIS).

 \*Cumulative reports of provisional tuberculosis cases for 1999 are unavailable ("U") for some areas using the Tuberculosis Information System (TIMS).

	H. influ	ienzae,	Hepatitis (Viral), by type					Measles (Rubeola)							
	inva	sive		Α		В	Indi	genous	Imp	orted*	То	tal			
Reporting Area	Cum. 1999†	Cum. 1998	Cum. 1999	Cum. 1998	Cum. 1999	Cum. 1998	1999	Cum. 1999	1999	Cum. 1999	Cum. 1999	Cum. 1998			
UNITED STATES	958	902	13,724	18,548	5,256	7,987	2	56	1	23	79	79			
NEW ENGLAND	78	62	233	242	83	176	-	6	-	5	11	3			
Maine N.H.	5 17	3 10	11 15	17 11	1 13	2 16	-	-	-	- 1	- 1	-			
Vt.	5	7	17	15	2	8	-	-	-	-	-	1			
Mass. R I	29 5	36 5	75 16	109 14	34 33	66 58	-	5	-	3	8	2			
Conn.	17	1	99	76	-	26	-	1	-	1	2	-			
MID. ATLANTIC	146	142	784	1,452	529	1,040	-	-	-	2	2	14			
Upstate N.Y.	71 34	47 39	229 242	302 506	158 168	199 365	-	-	-	2	2	2			
N.J.	40	49	64	307	41	181	-	-	-	-	-	8			
Pa.	1	7	249	337	162	295	-	-	-	-	-	4			
E.N. CENTRAL	149 51	151 45	2,396	2,998	551 81	1,213	-	1	1	2	3	15 1			
Ind.	21	36	96	132	36	95	-	1	1	1	2	3			
III. Mich	63 13	54 9	564 1 120	668 1 759	1 425	205 387	-	-	-	- 1	- 1	- 10			
Wis.	1	7	50	174	423	458	-	-	-	-	-	1			
W.N. CENTRAL	81	80	677	1,212	277	345	1	2	-	-	2	-			
Minn. Iowa	40 9	62	75 121	112 384	49 33	42 51	-	1	-	-	1	-			
Mo.	23	9	380	566	153	205	1	1	-	-	1	-			
N. Dak. S. Dak	1	-	2	3 30	- 1	4	-	-	-	-	-	-			
Nebr.	3	1	50	25	14	18	-	-	-	-	-	-			
Kans.	4	6	40	92	27	23	U	-	U	-	-	-			
S. ATLANTIC	212	162	1,692	1,602	1,014	842	-	10	-	5	15	8			
Md.	55	50	311	350	144	116	-	-	-	-	-	1			
D.C. Va	4	- 16	54 146	55 181	21 75	11 88	-	- 10	-	- 3	- 13	- 2			
W. Va.	6	6	32	6	22	8	-	-	-	-	-	-			
N.C.	31	23	134	102	201	195	-	-	-	-	-	-			
Ga.	55	39	416	505	149	127	-	-	-	-	-	2			
Fla.	40	25	556	367	338	258	-	-	-	2	2	2			
E.S. CENTRAL	52	51 7	337	343	348	420	-	2	-	-	2	2			
Tenn.	28	30	142	199	166	237	-	-	-	-	-	1			
Ala. Miss	15	12	49	61 55	76	65 77	-	-	-	-	-	1			
WISS CENTRAL	45	2 /18	3 /63	3 290	756	1 757	1	8		1	12				
Ark.	2	-	48	78	56	93	1	3	-	-	3	-			
La.	7 32	20 25	73 389	85 509	77 108	127	-	-	-	-	-	-			
Tex.	4	3	2,953	2,618	515	1,466	-	5	-	4	9	-			
MOUNTAIN	98	106	1,103	2,750	495	704	-	3	-	-	3	1			
Mont. Idaho	3	- 1	17 36	87 222	17 25	5 38	-	-	-	-	-	-			
Wyo.	1	1	7	35	12	9	-	-	-	-	-	-			
Colo. N Mex	12 18	21	195 43	276 133	81 155	90 274	-	-	-	-	-	-			
Ariz.	52	54	643	1,634	130	155	-	1	-	-	1	1			
Utah	8	4	46 116	166 197	31	62 71		2	, i	-	2	-			
PACIFIC	97	100	3 030	4 659	1 203	1 490	-	24	-	5	29	36			
Wash.	5	8	273	875	56	91	-	-	-	-	-	1			
Oreg. Calif	38 41	38 44	218 2 527	363 3 354	81 1 040	161 1 212	-	9 15	-	-	9 19	-7			
Alaska	6	3	2,527	16	14	13	-	-	-	-	-	28			
Hawaii	7	7	12	51	12	13	-	-	-	1	1	-			
Guam PR	- 1	- 2	2 112	1 62	2 102	2 205	U	1	U	-	1	-			
V.I.	Ů	Ű	Ű	Ŭ	Ű	Ű	U	U	U	U	U	U			
Amer. Samoa C.N.M.I.	UU	U U	UU	U U	UU	U U	U U	U U	U U	U U	U U	U U			

# TABLE III. Provisional cases of selected notifiable diseases preventable by vaccination,<br/>United States, weeks ending October 30, 1999,<br/>and October 31, 1998 (43rd Week)

N: Not notifiable U: Unavailable -: no reported cases

\*For imported measles, cases include only those resulting from importation from other countries.

<sup>†</sup>Of 184 cases among children aged <5 years, serotype was reported for 94 and of those, 26 were type b.

	Mening Dise	ococcal ease	Mumps		Pertussis			Rubella			
Departing Area	Cum.	Cum.	4000	Cum.	Cum.	4000	Cum.	Cum.	4000	Cum.	Cum.
	1999	2 207	1999 7	1999 280	1998 560	1999 75	1999 4 530	1998 5 409	1999	1999 226	1998 345
NEW ENGLAND	97	102	-	8	7	4	536	861	-	7	38
Maine N.H.	5 12	6 11	-	- 1	-	-	- 78	5 95	-	-	-
Vt. Mass	4 57	5 48	-	1 4	-	-	56 355	68 645	-	-7	- 8
R.I.	4	7	-	2	1	-	33	9	-	, -	1
MID. ATLANTIC	15	23	-	- 29	178	- 10	699	533	-	- 22	29 146
Upstate N.Y.	58 46	64 30	-	10	6 155	10	613 10	284 37	-	18	114 18
N.J.	41	53	-	- 16	6	-	12	24	-	1	13
E.N. CENTRAL	345	337	- 1	34	72	21	364	698	-	2	-
Ohio	122	123	-	14	27	7	184	246	-	- 1	-
III. Mish	93	87	1	9	10	8	65	101	-	1	-
Wis.	42 32	28	-	-	27	-	49 4	163	-	-	-
W.N. CENTRAL Minn	217 49	188 29	1	13 1	28 12	2	333 187	476 276	-	123	39
lowa	39	37	1	7	10	-	47	64	-	29	-
N. Dak.	3	5	-	-	2	-	51 4	32	-	-	-
S. Dak. Nebr.	11 12	7 13	-	-	-	-	5 4	8 15	-	- 87	-
Kans.	18	28	U	3	1	U	35	78	U	-	37
Del.	346	365	-	46	44	-	355	5	-	30	18
Md. D.C.	50 1	26 1	1	5 2	-	1 -	97	55 1	-	1	1 -
Va. W. Va.	45 6	36 16	-	10	8	10	29 3	30 1	-	-	1
N.C. S.C.	40 42	51 51	-	8 4	10 6	1	86 15	90 25	-	35	13
Ga. Fla	56	84 98	-	4 13	1 19	2	37 83	24 46	-	-	- 3
E.S. CENTRAL	121	169	1	12	10	1	70	110	-	1	2
Ky. Tenn.	26 43	31 60	-	-	- 1	-	21 27	49 33	-	-	- 2
Ala. Miss	30 22	44 34	1	9 3	8 5	1	19 3	24 4	-	1	-
W.S. CENTRAL	165	267	1	33	55	5	157	330	-	15	87
Ark. La.	31 34	27 51	-	- 3	12 7	1 -	19 3	75 8	-	6	-
Okla. Tex.	26 74	37 152	- 1	1 29	36	- 4	12 123	31 216	-	- 9	- 87
MOUNTAIN	125	124	1	25	36	10	611	941	-	16	5
Mont. Idaho	2 10	4 10	-	- 1	- 4	-	2 135	9 212	-	-	-
Wyo. Colo.	4 32	5 23	-	- 5	1 6	- 1	2 179	8 232	-	- 1	-
N. Mex. Ariz	14 42	24 39	N 1	N 8	N 6	7	133 100	87 191	-	- 13	1 1
Utah	14	12	:	6	5	-	55	161	-	1	2
PACIFIC	388	, 421	1	80	126	8	1,405	1,183	-	4	10
Wash. Oreg.	59 69	58 73	- N	2 N	9 N	6 1	593 47	275 81	-	-	5
Calif.	249	282	1	64	91	1	731	797 14	-	4	3
Hawaii	6	5	-	12	24	-	30	16	-	-	2
Guam P.R.	2 5	2 9	U	1	5 3	U	1 16	1 6	U	-	14
V.I. Amer Samoa	Ŭ	Ŭ	U	U	Ŭ	U	Ŭ	Ŭ	U	U	U
C.N.M.I.	Ŭ	Ŭ	Ŭ	Ŭ	Ŭ	Ŭ	Ŭ	Ŭ	Ŭ	Ŭ	Ŭ

# TABLE III. (Cont'd.) Provisional cases of selected notifiable diseases preventable<br/>by vaccination, United States, weeks ending October 30, 1999,<br/>and October 31, 1998 (43rd Week)

N: Not notifiable U: Unavailable -: no reported cases

	A	All Cau	ses, By	Age (Y	'ears)		P&I <sup>†</sup>		All Causes,		ises, By	s, By Age (Years)			P&I <sup>†</sup>
Reporting Area	All Ages	>65	45-64	25-44	1-24	<1	Total	Reporting Area	All Ages	>65	45-64	25-44	1-24	<1	Total
NEW ENGLAND Boston, Mass. Bridgeport, Conn. Cambridge, Mass. Fall River, Mass. Hartford, Conn. Lowell, Mass. Lynn, Mass. New Bedford, Mass. New Bedford, Mass. New Haven, Conn. Providence, R.I. Somerville, Mass. Springfield, Mass. Waterbury, Conn.	605 154 48 23 20 58 20 12	421 105 35 19 15 40 15 8 28 30 34 2 37 17	120 29 2 4 10 4 3 4 11 14 10 7	39 12 3 2 1 6 1 2 4 3 - 4 3	15 5 - 2 - 1 2 - 1 2 2 2	8 3 - - 2 1 - 1 -	42 9 2 1 10 3 4 1 4 1 2	S. ATLANTIC Atlanta, Ga. Baltimore, Md. Charlotte, N.C. Jacksonville, Fla. Miami, Fla. Norfolk, Va. Richmond, Va. Savannah, Ga. St. Petersburg, Fla. Tampa, Fla. Washington, D.C. Wilmington, Del.	1,050 U 200 105 132 103 50 60 54 61 175 100 10	673 U 112 71 86 65 34 34 34 42 130 62 5	221 U 45 20 28 21 8 17 10 14 31 22 5	99 U 26 7 11 12 4 7 8 2 10 12 -	33 U 11 3 4 2 2 2 1 1 3 -	24 U 6 4 3 1 2 2 3 1 -	70 19 9 13 10 2 3 4 4 6 -
Worcester, Mass. MID. ATLANTIC Albany, N.Y. Allentown, Pa. Buffalo, N.Y. Camden, N.J. Elizabeth, N.J. Erie, Pa.	49 2,188 64 U 38 11 40	36 1,560 46 U 23 7 28	12 406 13 U U 6 3 11	- 142 2 U U 8 1 1	1 34 1 U - -	44 2 U U 1	4 82 5 U 0 3 - 2	Birmingham, Ala. Chattanooga, Tenn. Knoxville, Tenn. Lexington, Ky. Memphis, Tenn. Mobile, Ala. Montgomery, Ala. Nashville, Tenn.	169 57 75 76 160 71 36 131	490 108 40 52 47 90 45 27 87	38 12 19 18 37 18 7 29	51 11 3 1 7 21 2 1 5	27 6 1 3 7 4 1 5	5 2 2 1 5 2 5 2 5	13 4 11 7 16 2 8 7
Jersey City, N.J. New York City, N.Y. Newark, N.J. Philadelphia, Pa. Pittsburgh, Pa.§ Reading, Pa. Rochester, N.Y. Schenectady, N.Y. Scranton, Pa. Syracuse, N.Y. Trenton, N.J. Utica, N.Y. Yonkers, N.Y.	41 1,137 U 25 389 51 42 108 U 29 143 41 29 U	25 795 U 15 274 42 34 89 U 25 105 26 26 U	10 234 U 7 66 5 3 11 U 3 24 7 3 U	3 78 U 3 27 2 2 5 U 1 4 5 U	2 7 U - 13 1 3 2 U - 5 - U	1 21 9 1 - 1 U - 5 3 - U	- 16 U 2 14 4 2 8 U 7 17 2 - U	W.S. CENTRAL Austin, Tex. Baton Rouge, La. Corpus Christi, Tex. Dallas, Tex. El Paso, Tex. Ft. Worth, Tex. Houston, Tex. Little Rock, Ark. New Orleans, La. San Antonio, Tex. Shreveport, La. Tulsa, Okla.	1,326 81 28 62 168 75 115 306 52 40 233 63 103	891 48 19 48 96 46 78 203 39 21 166 50 77	264 21 4 11 47 15 22 57 7 11 42 9 18	100 8 3 1 20 11 5 26 4 4 13 2 3	34 2 5 1 2 10 1 3 7 3	37 4 2 2 8 10 1 5 2 2	102 4 6 6 2 9 34 4 2 18 7 9
E.N. CENTRAL Akron, Ohio Canton, Ohio Chicago, III. Cincinnati, Ohio Cleveland, Ohio Columbus, Ohio Dayton, Ohio Detroit, Mich. Evansville, Ind. Fort Wayne, Ind.	2,038 52 33 387 79 149 216 102 180 46 63	1,396 35 27 235 54 93 150 74 105 37 47	420 9 97 15 38 40 17 49 5 11	135 3 35 6 11 17 8 15 3 2	46 1 11 5 4 1 6 1	40 4 8 32 5 2 5 2 5	143 34 34 7 2 13 4 10 4 7	MOUNTAIN Albuquerque, N.M. Boise, Idaho Colo. Springs, Colo. Denver, Colo. Las Vegas, Nev. Ogden, Utah Phoenix, Ariz. Pueblo, Colo. Salt Lake City, Utah Tucson, Ariz.	1,022 104 37 55 129 215 29 195 21 107 130	694 70 30 41 86 136 23 120 16 80 92	182 22 5 8 18 44 2 42 3 14 24	91 10 1 3 15 23 2 18 1 9 9	33 1 1 4 6 2 8 1 4 5	20 1 2 5 6 - 6 -	75 8 3 8 13 2 13 2 10 13
Gary, Ind. Grand Rapids, Mich Indianapolis, Ind. Lansing, Mich. Milwaukee, Wis. Peoria, III. Rockford, III. South Bend, Ind. Toledo, Ohio Youngstown, Ohio	U 59 158 51 108 57 63 46 121 68	U 44 104 36 87 41 44 33 90 60	U 11 33 12 18 11 16 9 18 5	U 2 12 2 2 1 2 8 3	U - 7 - 1 2 2 3 -	U 2 1 2 - 2 2	U 3 11 3 15 2 2 2 11 6	PACIFIC Berkeley, Calif. Fresno, Calif. Glendale, Calif. Honolulu, Hawaii Long Beach, Calif. Los Angeles, Calif. Pasadena, Calif. Portland, Oreg. Sacramento, Calif.	1,007 15 87 U 78 56 U 44 119 U	725 10 64 U 50 37 U 32 94 U	185 2 16 U 15 13 U 7 17 U	63 3 7 U 7 5 U 3 6 U	16 - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	17 - U 2 1 U 1 1 U	81 8 0 9 0 3 11 0
W.N. CENTRAL Des Moines, Iowa Duluth, Minn. Kansas City, Kans. Kansas City, Mo. Lincoln, Nebr. Minneapolis, Minn. Omaha, Nebr. St. Louis, Mo. St. Paul, Minn. Wichita, Kans.	917 146 25 106 42 214 67 110 107 75	660 103 20 17 64 32 172 51 62 89 50	167 25 4 7 25 8 26 9 32 10 21	49 10 1 9 1 9 5 9 3 1	18 6 3 1 2 1 2 3	23 2 5 5 1 5 2 3	74 9 1 3 5 22 10 4 10 7	San Diego, Calif. San Francisco, Calif San Jose, Calif. Santa Cruz, Calif. Seattle, Wash. Spokane, Wash. Tacoma, Wash. TOTAL	136 168 28 124 61 91 10,928 <sup>¶</sup>	82 U 122 21 89 51 73 7,516	34 U 31 6 22 8 14 2,143	11 U 9 1 7 2 2 769	3 U 3 - 1 256	6 U 3 - 235	9 U 13 2 11 3 6 737

# TABLE IV. Deaths in 122 U.S. cities,\* week ending October 30, 1999 (43rd Week)

U: Unavailable -: no reported cases \*Mortality data in this table are voluntarily reported from 122 cities in the United States, most of which have populations of 100,000 or more. A death is reported by the place of its occurrence and by the week that the death certificate was filed. Fetal deaths are not included. \*Pneumonia and influenza. \*Because of changes in reporting methods in this Pennsylvania city, these numbers are partial counts for the current week. Complete counts will be available in 4 to 6 weeks. Total includes unknown ages.

# Withdrawal of Rotavirus Vaccine Recommendation

In July 1999, CDC recommended that health-care providers and parents postpone use of the rhesus rotavirus vaccine-tetravalent (RRV-TV) (RotaShield<sup>®</sup>\*, Wyeth Laboratories, Inc., Marietta, Pennsylvania), for infants, at least until November 1999. This action was based on reports to the Vaccine Adverse Event Reporting System of intussusception (a type of bowel obstruction that occurs when the bowel folds in on itself) among 15 infants who received rotavirus vaccine. Also at that time, the manufacturer, in consultation with the Food and Drug Administration, voluntarily ceased further distribution of the vaccine.

On October 22, 1999, the Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices (ACIP), after a review of scientific data from several sources, concluded that intussusception occurs with significantly increased frequency in the first 1–2 weeks after vaccination with RRV-TV, particularly following the first dose. Therefore, ACIP no longer recommends vaccination of infants in the United States with RRV-TV and withdraws its recommendation that RRV-TV be administered at 2, 4, and 6 months of age. Children who received rotavirus vaccine before July and remain well are not now at increased risk for intussusception.

Rotavirus remains the cause of a substantial health burden for children in the United States. It accounts for 20–40 deaths annually, and >50,000 hospitalizations from severe diarrhea and dehydration. Vaccination against rotavirus would be the optimal means to prevent such illnesses. RRV-TV was recommended because it was shown in prelicensure trials to be a safe and effective vaccine. In those trials, RRV-TV prevented rotavirus in at least 50% of cases of diarrhea and almost all of the hospitalizations. Postlicensure evaluation, however, has identified intussusception as an uncommon, serious adverse event associated with the vaccine.

The relation between intussusception and RRV-TV merits further research. The findings could impact directly on use of this and other rotavirus vaccines. In addition, the worldwide burden of rotavirus disease remains substantial. Thus, the ACIP's decision may not be applicable to other settings, where the burden of disease is substantially higher and where the risks and benefits of rotavirus vaccination could be different.

In the United States, rotavirus remains the primary cause of parents seeking health care for children with severe dehydrating diarrhea, particularly during the winter. Because of the withdrawal of this vaccine recommendation, the ACIP recommends that educational efforts be directed at parents and health-care providers to help parents prevent dehydration and to recognize and immediately seek medical care for severe diarrhea in children. These efforts should focus on the early diagnosis and treatment of severe dehydration from diarrhea, particularly among infants and children aged ≤5 years.

<sup>\*</sup>Use of trade names and commercial sources is for identification only and does not imply endorsement by CDC or the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services.

The Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report (MMWR) Series is prepared by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) and is available free of charge in electronic format and on a paid subscription basis for paper copy. To receive an electronic copy on Friday of each week, send an e-mail message to *listserv@listserv.cdc.gov*. The body content should read SUBscribe mmwr-toc. Electronic copy also is available from CDC's World-Wide Web server at http://www.cdc.gov/ or from CDC's file transfer protocol server at ftp.cdc.gov. To subscribe for paper copy, contact Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, DC 20402; telephone (202) 512-1800.

Data in the weekly *MMWR* are provisional, based on weekly reports to CDC by state health departments. The reporting week concludes at close of business on Friday; compiled data on a national basis are officially released to the public on the following Friday. Address inquiries about the *MMWR* Series, including material to be considered for publication, to: Editor, *MMWR* Series, Mailstop C-08, CDC, 1600 Clifton Rd., N.E., Atlanta, GA 30333; telephone (888) 232-3228.

All material in the *MMWR* Series is in the public domain and may be used and reprinted without permission; citation as to source, however, is appreciated.

Director, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Jeffrey P. Koplan, M.D., M.P.H.	Acting Director, Epidemiology Program Office Barbara R. Holloway, M.P.H.	Writers-Editors, <i>MMWR</i> (weekly) Jill Crane						
Acting Deputy Director for Science and Public Health, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Stephen M. Ostroff, M.D.	Editor, <i>MMWR</i> Series John W. Ward, M.D. Managing Editor, <i>MMWR</i> (weekly) Karen L. Foster, M.A.	David C. Johnson Teresa F. Rutledge Caran R. Wilbanks Desktop Publishing Morie M. Higgins Peter M. Jenkins						
☆U.S. Government Printing Office: 2000-533-206/08033 Region IV								