1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	PATRICIA A. CUTLER, Assistant U.S. Trus STEPHEN L. JOHNSON, Trial Attorney (#1 EDWARD G. MYRTLE, Trial Attorney (DC# MARGARET H. McGEE, Trial Attorney (#14 U.S. Department of Justice Office of the United States Trustee 250 Montgomery Street, Suite 1000 San Francisco, CA 94104 Telephone: (415) 705-3333 Facsimile: (415) 705-3379 Attorneys for United States Trustee Linda Ekstrom Stanley	45771) 375913) 42722)	V COLIDT	
9	UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
10				
11				
12	In re) No.)	01-30923 DM	
13	PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY,) Chapter	11	
14	Debtor.	Date: Time:	October 22, 2001 1:30 p.m.	
15		Ctrm:	Hon. Dennis Montali 235 Pine Street, 22 nd Floor	
16)	San Francisco, California	
17				
18	SUPPLEMENTAL DECLARATION OF PATRICIA A. MARTIN IN SUPPORT OF UNITED STATES TRUSTEE'S REPLY OBJECTION TO PROFESSIONAL FEE APPLICATIONS OF			
19	DEBTOR'S PROFESSIONALS:			
20				
21	HELLER, EHRMAN, WHITE & McAULIFFE, LLP ERNST & YOUNG CORPORATE FINANCE LLC			
22				
23	AND			
24	OFFICIAL UNSECURED CREDITORS' COMMITTEE'S PROFESSIONALS:			
25	MILBANK, TWEED, HADLEY & McCLOY			
26	I, Patricia A. Martin, declare:	I, Patricia A. Martin, declare:		
27	I am a Bankruptcy Analyst employed by the United States Department of			
28	Justice, Office of United States Trustee for the Northern District of California. I am the			
	SUPPL. MARTIN DECL. IN REPLY OBJECTION PROFESSIONAL FEE APPLICATIONS			

- U.S. Trustee bankruptcy analyst who has been assigned to review and monitor the professional fees in the Chapter 11 Pacific Gas and Electric Company case. I previously filed a declaration in support of the U.S. Trustee's objections to various professionals' first fee applications in this case, including that of the Milbank firm, which were the subject of a court hearing held on October 22, 2001.
- 2. One of the U.S. Trustee's objections to the fees being sought by the Milbank firm was that the firm's fee application, as submitted, did not justify approximately \$660,000 in services which appeared to be related to regulatory and legislative matters. The firm had interspersed these services in three billing categories business operations, other litigation and business analysis. My previous declaration included a rough analysis of these three billing categories which totaled \$1,033,445 and which appeared to include non-regulatory matters (\$373,635) and regulatory/legislative (\$659,810). A copy of this previous exhibit is attached hereto as Exhibit A.
- 3. I have reviewed the Supplemental Declarations of Edwin F. Feo in which Mr. Feo provided a detailed breakdown and explanation of Milbank's regulatory and legislative services which were included without any specificity in the three general billing categories described above.
- 4. Mr. Feo has now identified thirty-five billing subcategories and has included, as an exhibit to his declaration, Milbank's time entries, annotated by the new subcategory. Mr. Feo's declaration did not provide a calculation or an even an estimate of the hours and fees attributable to the newly defined subcategories. The lack of this information makes it difficult for the U.S. Trustee's objection and Milbank's response to be fully and equitably considered from either party's perspective.
- 5. To assist the parties in interest and the court to resolve this particular component of the U.S. Trustee's objection to Milbank's fees, I entered the subcategory information supplied by Mr. Feo into the Milbank fee database which was electronically submitted to the Office of the U.S. Trustee, re-analyzed and re-sorted the three billing categories totaling roughly \$1,033,400 which included the roughly \$660,000 identified

earlier by the U.S. Trustee as largely legislative/regulatory in nature. Attached hereto as <u>Exhibit B</u> is a summary of the re-categorized \$1,033,400 fees. Also attached are separate exhibits for each re-categorized, re-sorted category as follows: <u>Exhibit C</u> - Business Operations, <u>Exhibit D</u> - Other Litigation, and <u>Exhibit E</u> - Business Analysis.

6. Exhibits C, D, and E include shaded areas. The time entries which have been shaded are time entries which were either (a) allocated by Mr. Feo or the Milbank professional between several subcategories or (b) allocated evenly between identified subcategories by me in the analysis since no specific allocation was provided. (If an allocation was made by me, a "u" is entered in the subcategory column.)

I declare under penalty of perjury of the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed this ____ day of November, 2001, at San Francisco, California.

Patricia A. Martin