Digital Government (Dig Gov)

Program Solicitation

NSF-02-156

DIRECTORATE FOR COMPUTER AND INFORMATION SCIENCE AND ENGINEERING DIVISION OF EXPERIMENTAL AND INTEGRATIVE ACTIVITIES

FULL PROPOSAL TARGET DATE(S):

November 7, 2002

Target due dates for subsequent years are the second Wednesday in October.





The National Science Foundation promotes and advances scientific progress in the United States by competitively awarding grants and cooperative agreements for research and education in the sciences, mathematics, and engineering.

To get the latest information about program deadlines, to download copies of NSF publications, and to access abstracts of awards, visit the NSF Web Site at:

http://www.nsf.gov

•	Location:	4201 Wilson Blvd. Arlington, VA 22230
•	For General Information (NSF Information Center):	(703) 292-5111
•	TDD (for the hearing-impaired):	(703) 292-5090
•	To Order Publications or Forms:	
	Send an e-mail to:	pubs@nsf.gov
	or telephone:	(301) 947-2722
•	To Locate NSF Employees:	(703) 292-5111

SUMMARY OF PROGRAM REQUIREMENTS

GENERAL INFORMATION

Program Title: Digital Government (Dig Gov)

Synopsis of Program: Government is a major collector and provider of data and information, provider of information—based services and user of information technologies. The research goals of the Digital Government Program are to support:

1) multi-disciplinary and multi-sector partnerships of researchers in information technologies and government agencies at all levels in order to foster collaboration among societal sectors, and 2) research on the relationships between the design and use of information technologies on: i) forms, processes, and outcomes of democracy, ii) government organizational forms, learning, and adaptation, iii) new forms of government-government collaboration, iv) citizen/government interaction, and v) other social/political science research related to IT and government. Academic/government collaborations are expected to contribute to government strategic planning for information technologies and services (external and internal) while providing interesting and unique new research problems and data sets for the academic research community.

Cognizant Program Officer(s):

- Lawrence E. Brandt, Program Manager, CISE, EIA, 1160, telephone: (703)292-8980, e-mail: lbrandt@nsf.gov.
- Valerie Gregg, Program Manager, CISE, EIA, 1160, telephone: (703)292-8980, e-mail: vgregg@nsf.gov.

Applicable Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance (CFDA) Number(s):

• 47.070 --- Computer and Information Science and Engineering

ELIGIBILITY INFORMATION

Organization Limit: None

• PI Eligibility Limit: None

• Limit on Number of Proposals: None

AWARD INFORMATION

- Anticipated Type of Award: Standard or Continuing Grant or Supplement
- Estimated Number of Awards: 10-20
- Anticipated Funding Amount: \$8 million per year, pending availability of funds, which may be supplemented by additional funds from other government agencies

PROPOSAL PREPARATION AND SUBMISSION INSTRUCTIONS

A. Proposal Preparation Instructions

- Full Proposals: Supplemental Preparation Guidelines
 - The program announcement/solicitation contains supplements to the standard Grant Proposal Guide (GPG) proposal preparation guidelines. Please see the full program announcement/solicitation for further information.

B. Budgetary Information

- **Cost Sharing Requirements:** Cost Sharing is not required.
- Indirect Cost (F&A) Limitations: Not Applicable.
- Other Budgetary Limitations: Other budgetary limitations apply. Please see the full program announcement/solicitation for further information.

C. Deadline/Target Dates

- Letters of Intent (optional): None
- **Preliminary Proposals**(*optional*): None
- Full Proposal Target Date(s):

November 7, 2002 Target due dates for subsequent years are the second Wednesday in October.

D. FastLane Requirements

- FastLane Submission: Required
- FastLane Contact(s):
 - Helen Walston, Support Manager, CISE, EIA, 1160, telephone: (702)292-8980, e-mail: hwalston@nsf.gov.

PROPOSAL REVIEW INFORMATION

• Merit Review Criteria: National Science Board approved criteria. Additional merit review considerations apply. Please see the full program announcement/solicitation for further information.

AWARD ADMINISTRATION INFORMATION

- **Award Conditions:** Standard NSF award conditions apply.
- **Reporting Requirements:** Standard NSF reporting requirements apply.

I. INTRODUCTION

The Computer and Information Science and Engineering Directorate (CISE) of the National Science Foundation (NSF) announces a multi-disciplinary, multi-sector research program on government information services and technologies. Two classes of proposals are sought:

Class 1 - Technically based computer and information science research (IT) on emergent technologies. These proposals will demonstrate a strong partnership between academic researchers and one or more government agencies in the design and execution of the research. Research in this area is expected to inform government strategic planning, but is not expected to result in technologies that can be deployed immediately in production environments. Any technical discipline funded by NSF's Directorate for Computer and Information Science and Engineering (www.cise.nsf.gov) is appropriate.

Class 2 – Social, political, and behavioral research on the effect of information technologies on the forms, processes, impact and outcomes of IT within government, both from the standpoint of government agencies and from the standpoint of the public at large. Included here would be research on, for example, topics of digital democracy and new forms of IT-enabled civic interaction, exploration of governmental organization design, the relationship between IT design/adoption and inequality of access and use, and the impact of information-based networks on government agencies.

Proposals which include elements of both Classes are particularly welcome; i.e. collaboration of social, policy, and information sciences to help technical scientists understand the impact of their work on society, and to help social scientists develop a better understanding of future IT trends.

II. PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

The emergence of the Internet and its applications has fundamentally altered the environment in which government agencies at all levels conduct their missions and deliver services. Affected as well are the underlying mechanisms of democracy and civic discourse. Concurrently, expectations of the public for government are being driven by their experience of the private sector's rapid uptake and use of these information technologies in business-critical applications. In the arena of information technologies, government is unique in a number of ways. Among these are:

- * diversity and distributed nature
- * scale
- * role as a collector, interpreter, and maintainer of very large public data sets
- * requirement to deliver services to all sectors of society, no matter their location, income level, or expertise with computers
- * need to maintain a compact of trust, privacy, and reliability with citizens
- * need to maintain important digital objects in perpetuity
- * role as the implementer of societal mores, expressed in law, regulation, and administrative procedures

The goal of the Digital Government Program is to fund research at the intersections of the computer and information sciences research communities, related social, political, and behavioral science research communities, and the problems and missions of government agencies. The Program is predicated on three viewpoints:

- 1) The government sector can usefully inform its strategic vision through academic research collaborations, thus speeding the innovation, development, deployment, and application of more advanced technologies, methods, policies and processes into usable systems.
 - 2) The uniqueness of the government arena presents new opportunities for academic researchers to gain access to important problems and data in real-world large-scale contexts.
 - 3) It is critical to understand and predict the impact of these technologies on government agencies and services, governance, and the democratic process.

POTENTIAL RESEARCH TOPICAL AREAS

Class 1 example topic areas:

- * Capture of government decision making processes
- * Application of Information Technology to law and regulation
- * Confidentiality, privacy, trust
- * Very large scale data and information acquisition and management
- * Software engineering of large-scale government projects

Class 2 example topic areas:

- * Digital democracy, on-line campaigning and voting
- * New forms of civic engagement and interaction
- * IT-enabled government agency organizational design
- * IT-enabled government-government collaboration
- * Failures and successes of IT adoption in government
- * Disintermediation direct citizen/agency interaction
- * International implications and impact of IT on democracy and forms of governance
- * Impact of more transparent and understandable government processes and decision making

Examples of cross-agency domain areas include:

- * Electronic grants administration
- * Environmental Management
- * Electronic rulemaking
- * Interoperable data, networks and architectures
- * Long term archiving of digital objects
- * Security, privacy and information assurance
- * Criminal justice and law enforcement
- * Crisis management and emergency response
- * Federal statistics

On-line information on NSF programs in general may be found at http://www.nsf.gov
Further information and context for Digital Government research can be obtained from the following reports:

- * "Information Technology Research, Innovation, and E-Government", a report by the National Research Council, available at http://www.cstb.org/web/pub_egovernment
- * The Web site www.digitalgovernment.org
- * "Some Assembly Required: Building a Government for the 21st Century", a report by the Center for Technology in Government of the University at Albany, SUNY, available at http://www.ctg.albany.edu/
- * "Toward the Digital Government in the 21st Century", a report by the Information Sciences Institute at the U. of Southern California, available at http://www.isi.edu/nsf/
- * "Research Challenges in Digital Archiving: Towards a National Infrastructure for Long-Term Prservation of Digital Information", a report from the University of Michigan School of Information, available at http://www.si.umich.edu/digarch/
- * "A Research Agenda for Granting Agencies", a report by the Center for Technology in Government of the University at Albany, SUNY, available at http://www.ctg.albany.edu/
- * "Developing a Social Science Research Agenda for Digital Government", a report by the John F. Kennedy School of Government at Harvard University, available at http://www.ksg.harvard.edu/digitalcenter
- * "Unexpected Events", a report by the Information Sciences Institute at the U. of Southern California, available at http://www.isi.edu/crue/

- * "Transforming Access to Government", a report from the President's Information Technology Advisory Committee, available at http://www.ccic.gov/pubs/index.html
- * The President's E-Government Strategy, available at http://www.whitehouse.gov/omb/inforeg/egovstrategy.pdf

NSF expects to make grants in the following categories:

- 1. Standard NSF research projects.
- 2. Centers proposers must demonstrate why a center is necessary, as opposed to other modes of support. Center activities might be focused around a particular government domain, a particular technical or social science discipline, a shared infrastructure, and/or other activities which are normally not supported under standard research grants.
- 3. Workshops primarily to develop research agendas for various government-related domains.
- 4. Planning grants preparatory to submission of full proposals the Digital Government program will support planning grants up to one year and \$20,000. These are one-time awards that may be used for preliminary work to determine the feasibility of a proposed partnership or line of inquiry.
- 5. Human development activities.
- 6. Supplements of current NSF/CISE awards (not current awards under the Digital Government Program) to develop new government partnerships. Supplements may be up to 10% of the total award amount, or \$100,000, whichever is lesser.
- 7. Supplements of current Digital Government awards to develop more completely systems and software preparatory to full-time operation or commercial productization. These supplements are limited to 10% of the total award amount, or \$100,000, whichever is lesser.
- 8. Small Grants for Exploratory Research under NSF rules see http://www.nsf.gov/pubs/2002/nsf0202 2.html

Specifically, NSF expects to fund approximately:

- * 5-10 awards in category 1
- * 1-3 awards in category 3
- * 3-5 awards in category 4
- * as much as \$300,000 total in category 6
- * as much as \$300,000 total in category 7

III. ELIGIBILITY INFORMATION

The categories of proposers identified in the <u>Grant Proposal Guide</u> are eligible to submit proposals under this program announcement/solicitation.

IV. AWARD INFORMATION

Under this announcement/solicitation, proposals may be submitted for any funding amount up to \$500,000 per year for up to three years. In exceptional cases, awards for up to five years may be considered if the justification and promise are compelling. Approximately \$8 million will be available for this initiative in FY 2003, pending availability of funds. This amount may be supplemented by funds from other government agencies. Funding decisions/recommendations are anticipated six months after the target submission date.

V. PROPOSAL PREPARATION AND SUBMISSION INSTRUCTIONS

A. Proposal Preparation Instructions

Full Proposal:

Proposals submitted in response to this program announcement/solicitation should be prepared and submitted in accordance with the general guidelines contained in the NSF *Grant Proposal Guide* (GPG). The complete text of the GPG is available electronically on the NSF Web Site at: http://www.nsf.gov/cgi-bin/getpub?gpg. Paper copies of the GPG may be obtained from the NSF Publications Clearinghouse, telephone (301) 947-2722 or by e-mail from pubs@nsf.gov.

Project elements might include a collaboration with one or more government agencies at any level (local, state, regional, tribal, Federal, international). The government agency will be a partner in the definition and execution of the proposed work. Such a partnership may not be necessary in Class 2 projects indicated above. Agencies are encouraged to partner through sharing of facilities, data, and personnel, as well as either direct funding for researchers or joint funding with the National Science Foundation, for support of non-government project costs. Participation by other sectors (vendors, industry, private research laboratories, foundations, other non-profit organizations) as appropriate, is encouraged.

As appropriate, proposals should also include:

- * A description of how the collaboration will be managed
- * Letters or other communication from the agencies explaining their commitment to the partnership and the resources they will bring to the partnership. These may be included in the Supplementary Documents section of FastLane.
- * Plans for evaluation, during the project and at its conclusion, to determine the utility and usability of its research products.
- * Upon project completion, plans to ensure capture of research results; e.g., involvement of potential commercial partners (systems integrators, software vendors), commitments by other organizations for continued funding, etc.
- * Integration of domain experts (users, customers) during the project life cycle

NSF funds may not be used to support costs incurred by other agencies directly related to carrying out their missions, such as staff, travel, and cost of facilities. Inasmuch as NSF does not intend to supplement the budgets of other government agencies, NSF will support only the research elements of the work proposed. For the non-research parts of the project, other sources of support must be identified. Proposers are responsible for identifying and addressing in the proposal any constraints by law or regulation on the collection, creation, dissemination or disposition of data; in particular as related to their government partner agencies.

Proposers are reminded to identify the program solicitation number (NSF-02-156) in the program announcement/solicitation block on the proposal Cover Sheet. Compliance with this requirement is critical to determining the relevant proposal processing guidelines. Failure to submit this information may delay processing.

B. Budgetary Information

Cost sharing is not required in proposals submitted under this Program Solicitation.

Other Budgetary Limitations: Award amounts are limited to no more than \$500,000/year for proposals submitted in response to this announcement

C. Deadline/Target Dates

Proposals must be submitted by the following date(s):

Full Proposals:

November 7, 2002 Target due dates for subsequent years are the second Wednesday in October.

D. FastLane Requirements

Proposers are required to prepare and submit all proposals for this Program Solicitation through the FastLane system. Detailed instructions for proposal preparation and submission via FastLane are available at: http://www.fastlane.nsf.gov/a1/newstan.htm. For FastLane user support, call the FastLane Help Desk at 1-800-673-6188 or e-mail fastlane@nsf.gov. The FastLane Help Desk answers general technical questions related to the use of the FastLane system. Specific questions related to this Program Solicitation should be referred to the NSF program staff contact(s) listed in Section VIII of this announcement/solicitation.

Submission of Electronically Signed Cover Sheets. The Authorized Organizational Representative (AOR) must electronically sign the proposal Cover Sheet to submit the required proposal certifications (see Chapter II, Section C of the Grant Proposal Guide for a listing of the certifications). The AOR must provide the required electronic certifications within five working days following the electronic submission of the proposal. Proposers are no longer required to provide a paper copy of the signed Proposal Cover Sheet to NSF. Further instructions regarding this process are available on the FastLane website at: http://www.fastlane.nsf.gov.

VI. PROPOSAL REVIEW INFORMATION

A. NSF Proposal Review Process

Reviews of proposals submitted to NSF are solicited from peers with expertise in the substantive area of the proposed research or education project. These reviewers are selected by Program Officers charged with the oversight of the review process. NSF invites the proposer to suggest, at the time of submission, the names of appropriate or inappropriate reviewers. Care is taken to ensure that reviewers have no conflicts with the proposer. Special efforts are made to recruit reviewers from non-academic institutions, minority-serving institutions, or adjacent disciplines to that principally addressed in the proposal.

The two National Science Board approved merit review criteria are listed below (see the <u>Grant Proposal Guide</u> Chapter III.A for further information). The criteria include considerations that help define them. These considerations are suggestions and not all will apply to any given proposal. While proposers must address both merit review criteria, reviewers will be asked to address only those considerations that are relevant to the proposal being considered and for which he/she is qualified to make judgements.

What is the intellectual merit of the proposed activity?

How important is the proposed activity to advancing knowledge and understanding within its own field or across different fields? How well qualified is the proposer (individual or team) to conduct the project? (If appropriate, the reviewer will comment on the quality of the prior work.) To what extent does the proposed activity suggest and explore creative and original concepts? How well conceived and organized is the proposed activity? Is there sufficient access to resources?

What are the broader impacts of the proposed activity?

How well does the activity advance discovery and understanding while promoting teaching, training, and learning? How well does the proposed activity broaden the participation of underrepresented groups (e.g., gender, ethnicity, disability, geographic, etc.)? To what extent will it enhance the infrastructure for research and education, such as facilities, instrumentation, networks, and partnerships? Will the results be disseminated broadly to enhance scientific and technological understanding? What may be the benefits of the proposed activity to society?

NSF staff will give careful consideration to the following in making funding decisions:

Integration of Research and Education

One of the principal strategies in support of NSF's goals is to foster integration of research and education through the programs, projects, and activities it supports at academic and research institutions. These institutions provide abundant opportunities where individuals may concurrently assume responsibilities as researchers, educators, and students and where all can engage in joint efforts that infuse education with the excitement of discovery and enrich research through the diversity of learning perspectives.

Integrating Diversity into NSF Programs, Projects, and Activities

Broadening opportunities and enabling the participation of all citizens -- women and men, underrepresented minorities, and persons with disabilities -- is essential to the health and vitality of science and engineering. NSF is committed to this principle of diversity and deems it central to the programs, projects, and activities it considers and supports.

Additional Review Criteria

The following additional evaluation criteria will be employed as appropriate to the nature of the proposal:

- * Feasibility of proposed work
- * Degree of government agency endorsement and commitment
- * Potential for impact on government information services, external and internal
- * Degree of in-kind leverage demonstrated by government project partners, including personnel, facilities, and involvement of associated but separately funded projects that will benefit the proposed work.

NSF may initiate an additional round of discussions for those proposals which are considered fundable, to allow proposers to: 1) address review comments and 2) to strengthen their government partnerships.

B. Review Protocol and Associated Customer Service Standard

All proposals are carefully reviewed by at least three other persons outside NSF who are experts in the particular field represented by the proposal. Proposals submitted in response to this announcement/solicitation will be reviewed by Mail and/or Panel Review.

Reviewers will be asked to formulate a recommendation to either support or decline each proposal. The Program Officer assigned to manage the proposal's review will consider the advice of reviewers and will formulate a recommendation.

A summary rating and accompanying narrative will be completed and submitted by each reviewer. In all cases, reviews are treated as confidential documents. Verbatim copies of reviews, excluding the identities of reviewers, are sent to the Principal Investigator/Project Director by the Program Director. In addition, the proposer will receive an explanation of the decision to award or decline funding.

In most cases, proposers will be contacted by the Program Officer after his or her recommendation to award or decline funding has been approved by the Division Director. This informal notification is not a guarantee of an eventual award.

NSF is striving to be able to tell applicants whether their proposals have been declined or recommended for funding within six months. The time interval begins on the closing date of an announcement/solicitation or the date of proposal receipt (whichever is later). The interval ends when the Division Director accepts the Program Officer's recommendation.

In all cases, after programmatic approval has been obtained, the proposals recommended for funding will be forwarded to the Division of Grants and Agreements for review of business, financial, and policy implications and the processing and issuance of a grant or other agreement. Proposers are cautioned that only a Grants and Agreements Officer may make commitments, obligations or awards on behalf of NSF or authorize the expenditure of funds. No commitment on the part of NSF should be inferred from technical or budgetary discussions with a NSF Program Officer. A Principal Investigator or organization that makes financial or personnel commitments in the absence of a grant or cooperative agreement signed by the NSF Grants and Agreements Officer does so at one's own risk.

VII. AWARD ADMINISTRATION INFORMATION

A. Notification of the Award

Notification of the award is made to *the submitting organization* by a Grants Officer in the Division of Grants and Agreements. Organizations whose proposals are declined will be advised as promptly as possible by the cognizant NSF Program Division administering the program. Verbatim copies of reviews, not including the identity of the reviewer, will be provided automatically to the Principal Investigator. (See section VI.A. for additional information on the review process.)

B. Award Conditions

An NSF award consists of: (1) the award letter, which includes any special provisions applicable to the award and any numbered amendments thereto; (2) the budget, which indicates the amounts, by categories of expense, on which NSF has based its support (or otherwise communicates any specific approvals or disapprovals of proposed expenditures); (3) the proposal referenced in the award letter; (4) the applicable award conditions, such as Grant General Conditions (NSF-GC-1)* or Federal Demonstration Partnership (FDP) Terms and Conditions;* and (5) announcement or other NSF issuance that may be incorporated by reference in the award letter. Cooperative agreement awards also are administered in accordance with NSF Cooperative Agreement Terms and Conditions (CA-1). Electronic mail notification is the preferred way to transmit NSF awards to organizations that have electronic mail capabilities and have requested such notification from the Division of Grants and Agreements.

*These documents may be accessed electronically on NSF's Web site at http://www.nsf.gov/home/grants/grants_gac.htm. Paper copies may be obtained from the NSF Publications Clearinghouse, telephone (301) 947-2722 or by e-mail from pubs@nsf.gov.

More comprehensive information on NSF Award Conditions is contained in the NSF *Grant Policy Manual* (GPM) Chapter II, available electronically on the NSF Web site at http://www.nsf.gov/cgi-bin/getpub?gpm. The GPM is also for sale through the Superintendent of Documents, Government Printing Office (GPO), Washington, DC 20402. The telephone number at GPO for subscription information is (202) 512-1800. The GPM may be ordered through the GPO Web site at http://www.gpo.gov.

C. Reporting Requirements

For all multi-year grants (including both standard and continuing grants), the PI must submit an annual project report to the cognizant Program Officer at least 90 days before the end of the current budget period.

Within 90 days after the expiration of an award, the PI also is required to submit a final project report. Approximately 30 days before expiration, NSF will send a notice to remind the PI of the requirement to file the final project report. Failure to provide final technical reports delays NSF review and processing of pending proposals for that PI. PIs should examine the formats of the required reports in advance to assure availability of required data.

NSF has implemented an electronic project reporting system, available through FastLane. This system permits electronic submission and updating of project reports, including information on: project participants (individual and organizational); activities and findings; publications; and other specific products and contributions. Pls will not be required to re-enter information previously provided, either with a proposal or in earlier updates using the electronic system.

VIII. CONTACTS FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

General inquiries regarding Digital Government should be made to:

- Lawrence E. Brandt, Program Manager, CISE, EIA, 1160, telephone: (703)292-8980, e-mail: lbrandt@nsf.gov.
- Valerie Gregg, Program Manager, CISE, EIA, 1160, telephone: (703)292-8980, e-mail: vgregg@nsf.gov.

For questions related to the use of FastLane, contact:

 Helen Walston, Support Manager, CISE, EIA, 1160, telephone: (702)292-8980, e-mail: hwalston@nsf.gov.

IX. OTHER PROGRAMS OF INTEREST

The NSF *Guide to Programs* is a compilation of funding for research and education in science, mathematics, and engineering. The NSF *Guide to Programs* is available electronically at http://www.nsf.gov/cgi-bin/getpub?gp. General descriptions of NSF programs, research areas, and eligibility information for proposal submission are provided in each chapter.

Many NSF programs offer announcements or solicitations concerning specific proposal requirements. To obtain additional information about these requirements, contact the appropriate NSF program offices. Any changes in NSF's fiscal year programs occurring after press time for the *Guide to Programs* will be announced in the NSF <u>E-Bulletin</u>, which is updated daily on the NSF Web site at http://www.nsf.gov/home/ebulletin, and in individual program announcements/solicitations. Subscribers can also sign up for NSF's <u>Custom News Service</u> (http://www.nsf.gov/home/cns/start.htm) to be notified of new funding opportunities that become available.

ABOUT THE NATIONAL SCIENCE FOUNDATION

The National Science Foundation (NSF) funds research and education in most fields of science and engineering. Awardees are wholly responsible for conducting their project activities and preparing the results for publication. Thus, the Foundation does not assume responsibility for such findings or their interpretation.

NSF welcomes proposals from all qualified scientists, engineers and educators. The Foundation strongly encourages women, minorities and persons with disabilities to compete fully in its programs. In accordance with Federal statutes, regulations and NSF policies, no person on grounds of race, color, age, sex, national origin or disability shall be excluded from participation in, be denied the benefits of, or be subjected to discrimination under any program or activity receiving financial assistance from NSF (unless otherwise specified in the eligibility requirements for a particular program).

Facilitation Awards for Scientists and Engineers with Disabilities (FASED) provide funding for special assistance or equipment to enable persons with disabilities (investigators and other staff, including student research assistants) to work on NSF-supported projects. See the program announcement/solicitation for further information.

The National Science Foundation has Telephonic Device for the Deaf (TDD) and Federal Information Relay Service (FIRS) capabilities that enable individuals with hearing impairments to communicate with the Foundation about NSF programs, employment or general information. TDD may be accessed at (703) 292-5090, FIRS at 1-800-877-8339.

The National Science Foundation is committed to making all of the information we publish easy to understand. If you have a suggestion about how to improve the clarity of this document or other NSF-published materials, please contact us at plainlanguage@nsf.gov.

PRIVACY ACT AND PUBLIC BURDEN STATEMENTS

he information requested on proposal forms and project reports is solicited under the authority of the National Science Foundation Act of 1950, as amended. The information on proposal forms will be used in connection with the selection of qualified proposals; project reports submitted by awardees will be used for program evaluation and reporting within the Executive Branch and to Congress. The information requested may be disclosed to qualified reviewers and staff assistants as part of the proposal review process; to applicant institutions/grantees to provide or obtain data regarding the proposal review process, award decisions, or the administration of awards; to government contractors, experts, volunteers and researchers and educators as necessary to complete assigned work; to other government agencies needing information as part of the review process or in order to coordinate programs; and to another Federal agency, court or party in a court or Federal administrative proceeding if the government is a party. Information about Principal Investigators may be added to the Reviewer file and used to select potential candidates to serve as peer reviewers or advisory committee members. See Systems of Records, NSF-50, "Principal Investigator/Proposal File and Associated Records," 63 Federal Register 267 (January 5, 1998), and NSF-51, "Reviewer/Proposal File and Associated Records," 63 Federal Register 268 (January 5, 1998). Submission of the information is voluntary. Failure to provide full and complete information, however, may reduce the possibility of receiving an award.

Pursuant to 5 CFR 1320.5(b), an agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to an information collection unless it displays a valid OMB control number. The OMB control number for this collection is 3145-0058. Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 120 hours per response, including the time for reviewing instructions. Send

comments regarding this burden estimate and any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to: Suzanne Plimpton, Reports Clearance Officer, Information Dissemination Branch, Division of Administrative Services, National Science Foundation, Arlington, VA 22230, or to Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs of OMB, Attention: Desk Officer for National Science Foundation (3145-0058), 725 17th Street, N.W. Room 10235, Washington, D.C. 20503.

OMB control number: 3145-0058.