

THE TEXT YOU ARE VIEWING IS A COMPUTER-GENERATED OR RETYPED VERSION OF A PAPER PHOTOCOPY OF THE ORIGINAL. ALTHOUGH CONSIDERABLE EFFORT HAS BEEN EXPENDED TO QUALITY ASSURE THE CONVERSION, IT MAY CONTAIN TYPOGRAPHICAL ERRORS. TO OBTAIN A LEGAL COPY OF THE ORIGINAL DOCUMENT, AS IT CURRENTLY EXISTS, THE READER SHOULD CONTACT THE OFFICE THAT ORIGINATED THE CORRESPONDENCE OR PROVIDED THE RESPONSE.

UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
REGION IV
345 COURTLAND STREET
ATLANTA, GEORGIA 30365

4APT-AP/lms

JUN 18 1986

Mr. J. Preston Campbell, P.E.
Environmental Engineer
Bureau of Air Quality Control
South Carolina Department Of Health
and Environmental Control
2600 Bull Street
Columbia, South Carolina 29201

Dear Mr. Campbell:

This is in response to your June 9 letter to Roger Pfaff concerning exemption of dimethyl acetamide (DMAC) from regulation under Prevention of Significant Deterioration (PSD) review.

EPA's policy on exclusion of organic compounds from regulations under PSD was forwarded to your agency in a July 31, 1981, letter from Thomas W. Devine. The letter can be found at Section 2.18 of the Region IV New Source Review PSD/Nonattainment Policy Reference Guide. The policy is that eleven organic compounds may be excluded. DMAC is not one of those compounds, and therefore can not be excluded from review.

The North Carolina and California regulations referenced in your letter are not PSD regulations. Further, the North Carolina PSD regulation (15 NCAC .0530) contains a list of nine organic compounds not regulated under PSD, and DMAC is not one of those listed.

I hope this letter fully responds to your requests. If you need further clarification, please contact Mr. Pfaff.

Sincerely yours,

Bruce P. Miller
Acting Chief
Air Programs Branch
Air, Pesticides, and Toxics
Management Division