

Table of Contents

Table of Tables, Figures, and Graphs	iii
Executive Summary	v
The Definition of GIPSA’s Captive Supply Statistics.....	vi
Packers’ Reporting of Cattle Procured from Non-Reporting Subsidiaries, Affiliates, and Owners, Officers, and Employees	vi
GIPSA’s and Other Entities’ Captive Supply Statistics	vii
Verification of GIPSA’s Captive Supply Statistics.....	vii
Introduction	1
Section 1 – The Definition of GIPSA’s Captive Supply Statistics	2
Section 2 – Packers’ Reporting of Cattle Procured from Non-Reporting Subsidiaries, Affiliates, and Owners, Officers, and Employees	6
Section 3 – GIPSA’s and Other Entities’ Captive Supply Statistics	9
GIPSA’s Captive Supply Statistics.....	9
Other Captive Supply Statistics and Differences From GIPSA’s Statistics.....	14
Section 4 – Verification of GIPSA’s Captive Supply Statistics	32
Section 5 – Actions to be Undertaken	40
Definition of Captive Supply and Related Procurement and Pricing Methods.....	40
Improved Data Collection	40
Improved GIPSA Auditing and Reporting of Procurement Information	40
Appendix A – Captive Supply: Precedents and Parallels in Agriculture	42
Consolidation and Vertical Coordination in Beef Production.....	44
Commonly Expressed Concerns About Captive Supply	46
Commonly Expressed Support for Captive Supply.....	47
Appendix B – Summary of Analyses of Captive Supply	48
Early Academic Studies.....	48
USDA Sponsored Concentration Study	50
USDA Advisory Committee on Agricultural Concentration	54
Recent Academic Studies	56
GIPSA Investigation of Fed Cattle Procurement in the Texas Panhandle	58
USDA Forum on Captive Supply in the Livestock Industry.....	59
Known Effects of Captive Supply on Markets.....	60
Unresolved Questions About Effects of Captive Supply on Markets	61
Appendix C – Captive Supplies and the Packers and Stockyards Act	63

Table of Tables, Figures, and Graphs

Figure 1. GIPSA’s Annual Report of Packers	4
Table 1. Packer Fed, Forward Contract, Marketing Agreement Steer and Heifer Slaughter as a Percentage of Total Steer and Heifer Slaughter for the Largest 4 and Largest 15 Packers, 1990 to 1998	11
Table 2. Packer Fed Purchases of Steer and Heifer Slaughter as a Percentage of Total Steer and Heifer Slaughter for the Largest 4 and Largest 15 Packers, 1990 to 1998	12
Table 3. Forward Contract and Marketing Agreement Steer and Heifer Slaughter as a Percentage of Total Steer and Heifer Slaughter by the Largest 4 and Largest 15 Packers, 1990 to 1998	13
Figure 2. AMS’s Additional Movement, Reported Weekly on AMS’s Website until Implementation of Mandatory Price Reporting	16
Table 4. Major Differences between GIPSA’s Reported Captive Supply Statistics and AMS’s Additional Movement Statistics	17
Table 5. GIPSA’s Captive Supply Statistics and AMS’s Additional Movement Statistics, 1999	18
Graph 1. GIPSA Captive Supply and AMS Additional Movement for Colorado, Kansas, Oklahoma, Nebraska, Wyoming, and Texas by Month, 1999	19
Graph 2. GIPSA Captive Supply and AMS Additional Movement for Texas/Oklahoma by month, 1999	19
Graph 3. GIPSA Captive Supply and AMS Additional Movement for Kansas by Month, 1999	20
Graph 4. GIPSA Captive Supply and AMS Additional Movement for Colorado, Nebraska, and Wyoming by Month, 1999	20
Table 6. Comparison of Cattle-Fax’s Contract and Formula Priced Cattle Shipments and GIPSA’s Forward Contracted and Marketing Agreement Slaughter Reported by Largest 15 Packers’ Plants Operating in Cattle-Fax Regions, 1999	22
Graph 5. Cattle-Fax Total Captive Supply and GIPSA’s Captive Supply Statistics for Largest 15 Packers in Cattle-Fax’s Reporting Area, 1999	23
Table 7. Kansas Livestock Association Captive Supply Survey, February 27, 1995 through October 31, 1995	24
Figure 3. Nebraska Cattlemen’s Market Reporting Service	25
Table 8. Captive Supply in Nebraska Reported by Nebraska Cattlemen and by GIPSA, 1999	26
Table 9. Estimated Monthly Total Marketings and Shipments by Type as Reported by Texas Cattle Feeders Association (TCFA), TCFA Trade Area, 1999	27
Table 10. Comparison of TCFA’s and GIPSA’s Captive Supply Statistics, 1999	28
Graph 6. Texas Cattle Feeders Association (TCFA) Total Captive Supply and GIPSA’s Captive Supply Statistics for Largest 15 Packers in TCFA’s Reporting Area, 1999	29
Figure 4. WORC’s Graph of Captive Supply	30
Table 11. Slaughter Sales for Moses Lake, Washington, 1999	31
Table 12. Largest 4 Packers’ 1999 “Captive Supply” Reporting to GIPSA, GIPSA’s Replication of Packers’ Reporting Developed From Packers’ Transactions Records, and GIPSA’s Estimate of What Packers Should Have Reported	36

Table of Tables, Figures, and Graphs (cont.)

Table 13. Largest 4 Packers’ 1999 Reporting of Forward Contract and Marketing Agreement Procurement to GIPSA, GIPSA’s Replication of Packers’ Reporting Developed From Packers’ Transactions Records, and GIPSA’s Estimate of What Packers Should Have Reported..... 37

Table 14. Largest 4 Packers’ 1999 Reporting of Packer Fed and “Other” Forward Procurement Commitments to GIPSA, GIPSA’s Replication of Packers’ Reporting Developed From Packers’ Transactions Records, and GIPSA’s Estimate of What Packers Should Have Reported..... 38

Graph 9. Comparison of GIPSA’s Originally Reported Captive Supply and GIPSA’s Estimate of Captive Supply from Transactions Data, 1999..... 39

Graph 10. Comparison of GIPSA’s Originally Reported Captive Supply and GIPSA’s Estimate of Captive Supply from Transactions Data as a Percentage of Total Slaughter 39

Table A-1. Share of Contract Value of Production for Selected Commodities, 1998 43

Table A-2. Use of Contracting by Type of Farm, 1998 44

Table A-3. Steer and Heifer Slaughter Concentration: 4, 8 and 20 Largest Firms 45

Table A-4. Share of All Feedlots and Fed Cattle Marketings by Feedlot Size 45