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The Israeli citrus industry continued its declining trend during 2002 despite increased demand for new variations of easy peelers, which encouraged some new planting. Intense competition in export markets, low profitability and government's new production policy will continue to prevent expansion in the citrus sector in the future
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## Executive Summary

The size of the citrus industry continues its decade long shrinking during MY2002. Production in MY2002 totaled 496 thousand metric tons (tmt), 25,000 tmt lower than the previous year (-5 percent). Out of the total production, 121 tmt were exported ( 10 percent lower than the previous year), 246 tmt were processed ( -5 percent) and 129 tmt were consumed fresh, (same as the previous year). MY2003 total production forecast is between 480,000 to 490,000 tons. Although this is not yet apparent in the field, key people in the industry claim the industry reached a turning point in MY 2003: Summer 2003 was the first for many years in which no citrus was uprooted. More over, there are un official reports that summer 2003 saw new planting of approximately 600 hectares (ha) of citrus. Most of the new planted area is of the easy peelers, especially the new "Mor" and "Or" varieties. In the long term, it is expected that total citrus area will continue to shrink until production no longer exceeds local demands for fresh and processed citrus. This is mainly due to low profitability in export market because of increased competition.

The Sweetie variety exports decreased 15 percent as compared to the previous season. In order to stop the decrease in the exported quantity of the Sweetie it was declared "Organized Specie" in the Japanese and the Korean markets. It enables special treatment to the Sweetie and taking special export measures in those markets.
Good prices in Europe, as result of shortage of citrus, as well as improved quality of the Israeli citrus, and of favorable exchange rate, encouraged Israeli citrus exports this year. In addition, prices in local markets were 25 percent higher than in previous season. The higher income in these two markets improved the profitability of the citrus industry, compared to that in previous marketing season. This situation is not expected to repeat in MY2003, due to the full return of Israel's main competitors to the markets in Europe. Household expenditure survey for the year 2001 showed that 15 percent of the household expenditure for fruit is spent on citrus. During the winter, 70 percent of households consume fresh citrus.
In its proposal for Fiscal year 2004 budget, the Ministry of Finance included several economic policy steps which could decrease the total income of the Agricultural sector by 5 percent. These new proposals were opposed firmly by the Agricultural administration including the Ministry of Agriculture.

## Production

## Total Production

Total production in MY 2002/03 totaled 496 thousand tons (tmt), 25 tmt (-4.8\%) less than in MY 2001/02. Orange production dropped by 17 tmt ( $-10.6 \%$ ) and grapefruit including Sweetie, increased by $3 \mathrm{tmt}(1.1 \%)$. The reduction in total production is mainly a result of the decrease in the planted with fruit bearing trees.
The forecast for Production in MY 2003/04 can be expected to reach 480-490 tmt, same as in MY 2002/03.

Table 1: Citrus Production by Destination - Thousand Tons

| MY | Total <br> Production | Oranges | Easy <br> Peelers | Lemons | Grapefruit | Other <br> Citrus $^{\mathbf{1}}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $1997 / 98$ | 857.4 | 357.0 | 120.9 | 17.0 | 355.1 | 7.4 |
| $1998 / 99$ | 634.9 | 221.0 | 72.7 | 13.3 | 321.4 | 6.5 |
| $1999 / 00$ | 704.0 | 265.0 | 106.0 | 15.0 | 311.0 | 7.0 |
| $2000 / 01$ | 638.0 | 218.0 | 80.0 | 16.0 | 316.0 | 8.0 |
| $2001 / 02$ | 521.0 | 160.0 | 83.0 | 19.0 | 251.0 | 8.0 |
| $2002 / 03$ | 496.0 | 143.0 | 71.0 | 20.0 | 254.0 | 8.0 |
| $2003 / 04^{*}$ | 484.0 | 133.0 | 78.0 | 20.0 | 245.0 | 8.0 |

Source: Citrus Marketing Board of Israel
*Forecast: Based on information collected
Table 2: Species Share Out of Total Production- percent

| MY | Easy <br> Peelers | Lemons | Grapefruit | Other <br> Citrus | Total |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $1997 / 98$ | 41.6 | 14.1 | 2.0 | 41.4 | 0.9 | 100.0 |
| $1998 / 99$ | 34.8 | 11.5 | 2.1 | 50.6 | 1.0 | 100.0 |
| $1999 / 00$ | 37.6 | 15.1 | 2.1 | 44.2 | 1.0 | 100.0 |
| $2000 / 01$ | 34.2 | 12.5 | 2.5 | 49.6 | 1.2 | 100.0 |
| $2001 / 02$ | 30.7 | 16.0 | 3.6 | 48.3 | 1.4 | 100.0 |
| $2002 / 03$ | 28.9 | 14.3 | 4.0 | 51.2 | 1.6 | 100.0 |
| $2003 / 04^{*}$ | 27.5 | 16.1 | 4.1 | 50.6 | 1.7 | 100.0 |

Table 3: Citrus Disposition - by Destination - Thousands Tons, and Percent

| Period | Total Exports |  | Delivery to Processors |  | Local Fresh Consumption |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MY | Quantity | \% | Quantity | \% | Quantity | \% | Total Percent |
| 1997/98 | 330.4 | 38.5 | 386.0 | 45.0 | 141.0 | 16.5 | 100.0 |
| 1998/99 | 260.4 | 41.0 | 264.5 | 41.6 | 110.0 | 17.4 | 100.0 |
| 1999/00 | 234.9 | 33.4 | 354.1 | 50.2 | 115.0 | 16.4 | 100.0 |
| 2000/01 | 199.0 | 31.2 | 314.0 | 49.3 | 125.0 | 19.5 | 100.0 |
| 2001/02 | 133.0 | 25.5 | 258.0 | 49.5 | 130.0 | 25.0 | 100.0 |
| 2002/03 | 121.0 | 24.4 | 246.0 | 49.6 | 129.0 | 26.0 | 100.0 |
| 2003/04* | 117.0 | 24.2 | 236.0 | 48.7 | 131.0 | 27.1 | 100.0 |

Source: Citrus Marketing Board of Israel
*Forecast: Based on information collected
${ }^{1}$ Other Citrus- Kumquat, Lime, Ethrog (Citron), Pomelo, Limquat.

## Planted Area

Approximately 1,000 ha were uprooted during the summer of 2002. Thus, the planted area at the beginning of summer 2003 totaled 17,800 ha of which 16,376 ( $92 \%$ ) were fruit bearing. Out of the total area, 400 ha ( $2.2 \%$ ) are used for growing organic citrus.
There is an unchecked information of new 600 ha planted during the summer of 2003. According to the sources most of the new plantings are of Easy Peelers, in response to increasing demand in Europe. Post estimate that total planted area in crop year 2004 will exceed slightly 18,000 hectares.

Table 4: Planted Area by Varieties, MY 2001 - hectares and percent

| Species | Hectare | \% |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Oranges | 5,300 | 29.8 |
| Grapefruit | 5,200 | 29.2 |
| Easy-Peelers | 4,800 | 27.0 |
| Lemons | 1,700 | 9.6 |
| Other Citrus | 800 | 4.4 |

Source: Citrus Marketing Board of Israel
Table 5: Planted Area by Sectors - CY 2002 - hectares and percent

| Type of Sector | Hectare | \% |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Private \& Public Companies | 3,600 | 20.2 |
| Private Owners | 2,600 | 14.6 |
| Moshav | 8,200 | 46.0 |
| Kibbutz | 3,400 | 19.2 |
| Total Area | 17,800 | 100.0 |

Source: Citrus Marketing Board of Israel
One third of the total citrus plantation belongs to the private sector. During the last 3 years, most of the growers who left the ctrus industry were from the private sector.

## Production Conditions

Most of the citrus industry benefited from favorable production conditions during Crop Year 2002. Average yields remained the same as in the previous season. A heat wave during spring 2002 damaged the blossoming of the Easy-Peelers "Or" and "Mor". The early sechumas were most hurt by these weather conditions: 50 to 90 percent of the crop was damaged.

## Production Problems

Due to the increased use of recycled water by the citrus industry, there are some after effects on the long-term yields. There is a rise in the sodium level in the soil, and it is expected to decrease future yields. The new easy peelers "Or" and "Mor" still suffer from fertility problems.

Yields
Average yield in MY 2002 totaled 30.0 tons per hectare. The yield for grapefruit is 53.1 tons/ha, and for oranges it is 29.3 tons for hectare. Organic production on four hundred hectares reached $10,000-12,000$ tons. The average yield for the organic crop is 25 tons for hectare. The yield for organic crop is lower than the yield for conventional citrus due to the reduced use of chemicals.

## Organic Citrus

Out of the total area, 400 ha ( 2.2 percent) are grown organically. Most of the organic citrus (70-80 percent) is sold at local markets, and to the processing industry. The rest is exported. The major organic crops are of the Shamuti Oranges and Grapefruit.

## Citrus Value Compared to Other Agriculture Sectors

The importance of the citrus industry has decreased significantly during the past years: in 1990 the production value totaled $\$ 407.5$ million and represented almost 13 percent of the total agricultural production value. During the last few years, production in value decreased to $\$ 160$ - $\$ 170$ millions and citrus' share of the total agricultural production (volume) became approximately 5 percent.

Table 6: Agriculture Production Value, CY - \$ million

| Output | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ |  |  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Value <br> Nominal <br> Terms | Real <br> Value <br> Terms | \% | Value <br> Real <br> Terms | \% |  |
| Grand Total | $3,291.8$ | $3,544.5$ | 100 | $3,388.8$ | 100 |
| Of which: Citrus | 158.9 | 171.1 | 4.8 | 171.4 | 5 |

Source: Central Bureau of Statistics Israel

## Outlook for the medium term

Some policy makers consider crop year 2004 to be crucial to the citrus industry: if uprooting stops the total area may remain at its current size (approximately 18,000 ha). However, post anticipates that the area for citrus will continue to decline due to increasing competition and low profitability.

## Production Policy

For details see GAIN report \#IS2014.
In its new budget plan for CY 2004, the Ministry of Finance included three policies concerning the agricultural sector, which if implemented could have a significant impact on the citrus industry:

1. To raise the price for water by 20 percent, in addition to the 40 percent increase during the last 3 years.
As part of its solution to the high rate of unemployment the Israeli government plans to impose a 20 percent "Employers Tax" on employers who hire foreign laborers. This tax is supposed to reach 40 percent within 3 years. The purpose of the "Employers
2. applied, could have a disastrous impact on the agricultural sector, which relies on Thai workers. In addition, series of small levies were added, in connection with the foreign workers.
3. In its desire to save expenditure by the farming sector and to increase the efficiency in the services provided to the growers, the GOI decided to unify the 4 existing production and marketing boards of Citrus, Flowers, Vegetables and Fruit boards into one board. According to GOI's plan the boards should become divisions in the unified board and be managed by 4 different "industry committees" (For example the Citrus committee).

The total additional expenditure for the agricultural sector, as a consequence of these steps was estimated at NS600 million (\$136 million). The citrus' share was estimated to be NS45 to NS48 million ( $\$ 10 \mathrm{M}$ to $\$ 11 \mathrm{M}$ ).
All three steps that were described above were opposed firmly by the Agriculture Administration, including the Ministry of Agriculture. Finally, it was decided that price for water will not be raised, the "Employers Tax" will be reduced to 10 percent and will remain
at that level. Out of the three steps offered by the government, only the unification of the boards has been implemented as suggested by the Ministry of Finance. The additional expenditure to the agricultural sector has been reduced by 50 percent.

## R\&D

Main R\&D efforts are focused on development of new Easy Peelers. The big success in recent years was the development of the two popular easy peelers: "Or" and "Mor". Most of the R\&D work is executed in "Gilat" research station in the southern part of Israel.

## Consumption

According to official figures published by the Citrus Marketing Board of Israel (CMBI), 128 tmt of all kinds of citrus were consumed fresh in the local market. It is assumed that another 15 - 20 tmt are marketed through unofficial channels. Thus, actual annual consumption of fresh citrus is estimated at $140-150$ tmt. Annual consumption of fresh citrus, per capita stands at 22.0 kg , while consumption in Europe stands on 15.0 kg . Another 236 tmt were delivered to the processors. Local consumption per capita of processed citrus, in fresh terms, is estimated at 25.0 kg . Local consumption of citrus is expected to remain unchanged this year.

Chart 1: Household Expenditure in Israel - CY


Source: Household Expenditure Survey, Different Years, CBI
Table 7: Household Average Monthly Expenditure on Citrus- by Variety - 2001-\$

| Species/ Quintiles | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | Average |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Oranges | 1.28 | 1.05 | 1.17 | 1.05 | 1.19 | $\mathbf{1 . 1 4}$ |
| Easy Peelers | 1.43 | 1.10 | 1.10 | 0.96 | 1.03 | $\mathbf{1 . 1 2}$ |
| Grapefruit | 0.11 | 0.11 | 0.13 | 0.20 | 0.27 | $\mathbf{0 . 1 6}$ |
| Lemon | 0.74 | 0.60 | 0.63 | 0.60 | $\mathbf{0 . 6 7}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 6 5}$ |
| Other Citrus | 0.06 | 0.16 | 0.18 | 0.25 | 0.25 | $\mathbf{0 . 1 8}$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{3 . 6 2}$ | $\mathbf{3 . 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{3 . 2 1}$ | $\mathbf{3 . 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{3 . 4 1}$ | $\mathbf{3 . 2 6}$ |

Source: Household Expenditure Survey 2001, CBI

Table 8: Fresh Citrus Consumed by Household's- MY- Percent

| Household <br> Kind | Winter $^{2}$ |  |  | Summer |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | $\mathbf{8 6 / 8 7}$ | $\mathbf{9 2 / 9 3}$ |  | $\mathbf{9 7 / 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{8 6 / 8 7}$ | $\mathbf{9 2 / 9 3}$ |
| Consumed <br> Citrus | 74 | 72 | 68 | 39 | 36 | 35 |
| Did Not <br> Consume <br> Citrus | 26 | 28 | 32 | 61 | 64 | 65 |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Source: Household Expenditure Survey, Different Years, CBI
Chart 2: Household's Budget Share For Fresh Consumption- Winter - Percent


Source: Household Expenditure Survey, Different Years, CBI

[^0]Table 9: Household's Budget Share for Fruit During Winter Time - percent

| Products | Budget Share <br> $\mathbf{8 6 / \mathbf { 7 }}$ | Budget Share <br> $\mathbf{9 2 / 9 3}$ | Budget Share <br> $\mathbf{9 7 / \mathbf { 9 8 }}$ | \% Change <br> $\mathbf{8 6 / \mathbf { 8 7 - 9 7 / 9 8 }}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Oranges | 15.17 | 12.16 | 11.23 | -26.0 |
| Easy-Peelers | 12.69 | 11.42 | 12.01 | -5.3 |
| Grapefruit | 3.29 | 1.56 | 1.40 | -57.0 |
| Lemons | 4.02 | 3.42 | 3.98 | -0.9 |
| Other Citrus | 1.14 | 1.42 | 1.08 | -5.2 |
| All Citrus | $\mathbf{3 6 . 3 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 9 . 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 9 . 7 0}$ | $\mathbf{- 1 8 . 2}$ |
| Apple | 14.39 | 23.27 | 21.28 | -5.0 |
| Banana | 0.72 | 14.55 | 17.16 | 19.2 |
| Watermelon | 4.33 | 1.62 | 1.18 | 63.8 |
| Pear | 2.98 | 4.46 | 5.25 | 21.2 |
| Mango | 3.63 | 0.84 | 1.09 | -63.4 |
| Grapes | 3.53 | 3.37 | 3.25 | -10.4 |
| Strawberry | 0.83 | 4.02 | 4.89 | 38.5 |
| Peach | 1.71 | 1.09 | 1.26 | 51.8 |
| Melon | 9.15 | 2.93 | 2.37 | 38.6 |
| Other Fruits | 100.0 | 13.87 | 12.57 | 37.4 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |  |

Source: Household Expenditure Survey, Different Years, CBI
Chart 3: Household Expenditure For Fresh Consumption - Summer- Percent


Source: Household Expenditure Survey, Different Years, CBI

## Chart 4: Fresh Citrus- Household Purchase by Outlet Type- \% of Total Quantity



Source: Household Expenditure Survey, Different Years, CBI
Chart 5: Annual Average Prices in The Local Stores- Fresh Citrus, CY


Source: Price Statistic Monthly, Different Years, CBI

## The Processing I ndustry

See details in GAIN report IS2014.
The declined in total citrus production has contributed to a 5 percent drop in the quantity shipped to the processing plants.
Due to insufficient quantities to operate the facilities in full capacity (their full capacity stands on 600 tmt ) the processors focus on high value products like fresh juices (pure citrus or mixed with other fruits).

Table 10: Delivery to the Processing plants, Marketing year - tons

| Variety | 2000/01 | 2001/02 | 2002/03 | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% Change } \\ 02 / 03-01 / 02 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { \% Change } \\ 02 / 03-00 / 01 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Shamuti | 54,795 | 37,674 | 34,328 | -8.9 | -37.3 |
| Valencia | 25,000 | 23,337 | 16,711 | -28.4 | -33.1 |
| Navels, Trovita | 4,898 | 2,799 | 2,677 | -4.3 | -45.3 |
| Total Oranges | 84,693 | 63,810 | 53,716 | -15.8 | -36.6 |
| White Grapefruit | 86,000 | 75,529 | 88,659 | 17.4 | -3.1 |
| Red Blush | 632 | 45 | 35 | -21.5 | -94.4 |
| Sunrise | 72,000 | 48,314 | 52,315 | 8.3 | -27.3 |
| Sweetie | 43,380 | 39,428 | 30,401 | -22.9 | -29.9 |
| Total Grapefruit | 202,012 | 163,316 | 171,410 | 5.0 | -15.1 |
| Easy Peelers | 9,145 | 23,296 | 14,088 | -39.5 | 54.0 |
| Lemon | 1,292 | 3,745 | 2,983 | -20.4 | 130.9 |
| Other Citrus | 16,755 | 4,824 | 3,884 | -19.5 | -76.8 |
| Total | 313,897 | 258,991 | 246,081 | -5.0 | -21.6 |

Source: Citrus Marketing Board of Israel
Trade
Citrus exports from Israel have dropped by 40 percent during the last two years: from almost 200 tmt in MY 2000 to 120 tmt in MY 2002. Forecast for Marketing Year 2003 is for another decrease of 2.5 percent to a total 117 tmt . Western Europe is still the major market for Israeli citrus, but demand in Eastern Europe is also increasing. The biggest drop in export was recorded for Oranges ( 63 percent) during the said period, while the export of lemons grew sharply ( 3,148 percent), seizing on the opportunity in special niche markets in Europe. Export value of the citrus industry has dropped by 67.9 percent during the last 6 years from \$180.5M in CY 1996 to \$57.9M in CY 2002.

Table 11: Fresh Citrus Exports by Main Groups, MY - tons

| Variety | 2000/01 | 2001/02 | 2002/ 03 | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { \% Change } \\ 02 / 03-01 / 02 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | \% Change $02 / 03-00 / 01$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Shamuti | 45,007 | 23,432 | 17,177 | -27 | -62 |
| Valencia | 24,490 | 9,934 | 8,844 | -11 | -64 |
| Navels, Trovita | 1,523 | 702 | 389 | -45 | -74 |
| Total Oranges | 71,020 | 34,068 | 26,410 | -22 | -63 |
| White Grapefruit | 12,625 | 9,287 | 8,560 | -8 | -32 |
| Red Blush | 0 | 0 | 14 |  |  |
| Sunrise | 57,875 | 44,029 | 41,504 | -6 | -28 |
| Ray Ruby | 598 | 63 | 89 | 41 | -85 |
| Sweetie | 22,496 | 14,892 | 13,412 | -10 | -40 |
| Total Grapefruit | 93,594 | 68,271 | 63,579 | -7 | -32 |
| Easy Peelers | 29,729 | 25,518 | 23,359 | -8 | -21 |
| Lemon | 47 | 293 | 1,527 | 421 | 3148 |
| Other Citrus | 5,147 | 4,647 | 5,178 | 11 | 0.6 |
| Total | 199,537 | 132,797 | 120,053 | -10 | -40 |

Source: Citrus Marketing Board of Israel
Chart 6: Citrus Total Exports Value, by Year, CY - \$ thousands


Source: Foreign Trade Statistics, Exports, Different Years

Table 12: Exports of I sraeli Fresh Oranges by Destination, MY

|  | Thousand of Tons |  |  | \% of Total Export |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Destination | 99/00 | 00/01 | 01/02 | 99/00 | 00/01 | 01/02 |
| France | 0.3 | 0.4 | 3.0 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 8.8 |
| Germany | 4.0 | 4.8 | 2.3 | 5.8 | 6.8 | 6.8 |
| Holland | 2.3 | 1.9 | 0.4 | 3.3 | 2.7 | 1.2 |
| Belgium- Lux | 0.9 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 1.3 | 0.7 | 0.6 |
| U.K.- I reland | 27.7 | 33.7 | 10.2 | 40.1 | 47.5 | 30.1 |
| Denmark | 0.9 | 0.2 | 1.1 | 1.3 | 0.3 | 3.2 |
| Sweden | 5.7 | 8.5 | 3.4 | 8.3 | 12.0 | 10.0 |
| Finland | 6.2 | 4.0 | 2.6 | 9.0 | 5.6 | 7.7 |
| Austria | 1.6 | 1.1 | - | 2.3 | 1.5 | - |
| Italy | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.8 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 2.3 |
| Others E.U. | - | - | 1.4 | - | - | 4.1 |
| Total E.U. | 49.7 | 55.3 | 25.4 | 72.0 | 77.9 | 74.9 |
| Norway | 3.9 | 3.9 | 1.5 | 5.7 | 5.6 | 4.5 |
| Switzerland | 1.4 | 1.0 | - | 2.0 | 1.4 | - |
| West Europe | 55.0 | 60.2 | 26.9 | 79.7 | 84.8 | 79.3 |
| East Europe | 5.2 | 3.6 | 5.8 | 7.5 | 5.0 | 17.1 |
| Total Europe | 60.2 | 63.8 | 32.7 | 87.2 | 89.9 | 96.5 |
| America | 1.4 | 0.7 | 0.4 | 2.0 | 1.0 | 1.1 |
| Far East | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Others | 7.4 | 6.5 | 0.8 | 10.8 | 9.1 | 2.4 |
| Total, Except from Europe | 8.8 | 7.2 | 1.2 | 12.8 | 10.1 | 3.5 |
| Grand Total | 69.0 | 71.0 | 33.9 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

Source: C.L.A.M, Situation 2001-2002

Table 13: Exports of I sraeli Fresh Grapefruit by Destination, MY

|  | Thousand of Tons |  | \% of Total Export |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Destination | 00/01 | 01/02 | 00/01 | 01/02 |
| France | 18.9 | 13.5 | 20.1 | 19.8 |
| Germany | 10.5 | 8.5 | 11.2 | 12.4 |
| Holland | 7.4 | 2.4 | 7.9 | 3.5 |
| Belgium-Lux | 0.9 | 0.8 | 1.0 | 1.2 |
| U.K.- Ireland | 11.8 | 9.2 | 12.5 | 13.5 |
| Denmark | 0.4 | 0.8 | 0.4 | 1.1 |
| Sweden | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.6 | 2.2 |
| Finland | 0.2 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 0.7 |
| Austria | 0.6 | 0.1 | 0.6 | 0.1 |
| Italy | 8.7 | 6.2 | 9.2 | 9.0 |
| Others E.U. | - | 0.1 | - | 0.1 |
| Total E.U. | 60.9 | 43.6 | 64.8 | 63.8 |
| Norway | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| Switzerland | 2.4 | - | 2.5 | - |
| West Europe | 63.4 | 43.7 | 67.4 | 64.0 |
| East Europe | 8.0 | 10.8 | 8.6 | 15.8 |
| Total Europe | 71.4 | 54.5 | 76.0 | 79.8 |
| America | - | 0.1 | - | 0.1 |
| Far East | - | - | - | - |
| Others | 22.6 | 13.7 | 24.0 | 20.1 |
| Total, Except from Europe | 22.6 | 13.8 | 24.0 | 20.2 |
| Grand Total | 94.0 | 68.3 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

Source: C.L.A.M, Situation 2001-2002

Table 14: Exports of I sraeli Fresh Easy-Peelers by Destination, MY

|  | Thousand of Tons |  |  | \% of Total Export |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Destination | 99/00 | 00/01 | 01/02 | 99/00 | 00/01 | 01/02 |
| France | 3.1 | 2.4 | 3.2 | 8.8 | 8.3 | 12.6 |
| Germany | 1.5 | 1.3 | 1.5 | 4.3 | 4.5 | 5.9 |
| Holland | 3.5 | 3.2 | 0.1 | 10.0 | 11.0 | 0.4 |
| Belgium- Lux | 0.8 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 2.3 | 2.4 | 2.4 |
| U.K.-Ireland | 11.1 | 9.1 | 5.4 | 31.7 | 31.4 | 21.3 |
| Denmark | 0.3 | 0.4 | - | 0.8 | 1.4 | - |
| Sweden | 2.1 | 2.4 | 2.3 | 6.0 | 8.2 | 9.0 |
| Finland | 3.6 | 2.3 | 1.3 | 10.3 | 7.9 | 5.1 |
| Austria | 0.9 | 0.8 | - | 2.6 | 2.8 | - |
| Italy | - | - | 0.5 | - | - | 2.0 |
| Others E.U. | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Total E.U. | 26.9 | 22.6 | 14.9 | 76.8 | 77.9 | 58.7 |
| Norway | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.9 | 1.0 | 1.6 |
| Switzerland | 1.6 | - | - | 4.6 | - | - |
| West Europe | 28.8 | 22.9 | 15.3 | 82.3 | 78.9 | 60.2 |
| East Europe | 3.8 | 3.7 | 8.0 | 10.9 | 12.7 | 31.5 |
| Total Europe | 32.6 | 26.6 | 23.3 | 93.2 | 91.7 | 91.7 |
| America | 0.4 | 0.5 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1.7 | 4.3 |
| Far East | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Others | 2.0 | 1.9 | 1.0 | 5.7 | 6.6 | 4.0 |
| Total, Except from Europe | 2.4 | 2.4 | 2.1 | 6.8 | 8.3 | 8.3 |
| Grand Total | 35.0 | 29.0 | 25.4 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

Source: C.L.A.M, Situation 2001-2002

Table 15: Exports of I sraeli Fresh Citrus by Type and Destination, CY2002 Value (\$ Thousands)

| Destination | Orange | Easy Peeler | Grapefruit | Sweetie $+$ Pomelo | Lemon | Other | Total | \% of <br> Total <br> Export |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| France | 1,238 | 716 | 3,024 | - | 235 | 2,092 | 7,305 | 12.6 |
| Germany | 216 | 30 | 886 | 437 | - | 161 | 1,730 | 3.0 |
| Belgium | 1,216 | 1,049 | 2,615 | 623 | - | 974 | 6,477 | 11.2 |
| U.k+I reland | 4,475 | 2,266 | 3,214 | 87 | - | 312 | 10,354 | 17.9 |
| Sweden | 2,193 | 636 | 303 | 17 | 11 | 12 | 3,172 | 5.5 |
| Finland | 1,775 | 797 | 298 | 12 | - | - | 2,882 | 5.0 |
| Italy | 236 | 115 | 2,166 | 149 | 3 | 31 | 2,700 | 4.7 |
| Other E.U. | 1,809 | 57 | 1,321 | 170 | 13 | 452 | 3,882 | 6.7 |
| Total E.U. | 13,158 | 5,666 | 13,827 | 1,495 | 262 | 4,034 | 38,442 | 66.5 |
| Norway+ Switzerland | 882 | 164 | 414 | 114 | - | 85 | 1,659 | 2.9 |
| Total West Europe | 14,040 | 5,830 | 14,241 | 1,609 | 262 | 4,119 | 40,101 | 69.4 |
| Russian Federation | 338 | 1,775 | 2,721 | 224 | - | 79 | 5,137 | 8.9 |
| Other East Europe | 470 | 1,086 | 1,589 | 182 | 98 | 152 | 3,577 | 6.2 |
| Total East Europe | 808 | 2,861 | 4,310 | 406 | 98 | 231 | 8,714 | 15.1 |
| Total Europe | 14,848 | 8,691 | 18,551 | 2,015 | 360 | 4,350 | 48,815 | 84.5 |
| US | 112 | 999 | 12 | 48 | - | 1,512 | 2,683 | 4.6 |
| S. America | - | - | 75 | - | - | 13 | 88 | 0.1 |
| Japan | - | - | 1,890 | 2,138 | - | 49 | 4,077 | 7.1 |
| Other S. E. Asia | 28 | 177 | 393 | 627 | 84 | 105 | 1,414 | 2.4 |
| Africa | 68 | 317 | 221 | 6 | - | 13 | 625 | 1.0 |
| Others | - | 9 | 41 | - | - | 50 | 100 | 0.1 |
| Total Out of Europe | 208 | 1,502 | 2,632 | 2,813 | 84 | 1,742 | 8,981 | 15.5 |
| Grand Total | 15,056 | 10,193 | 21,183 | 4,828 | 444 | 6,092 | 57,796 | 100 |

Source: CBI, Foreign Trade Statistics, Exports 2002.

Table 16: Exports of I sraeli Fresh Citrus by Type and Destination, CY2001 Value (\$ Thousands)

| Destination | Orange | Easy Peeler | Grapefruit | Sweetie $+$ Pomelo | Lemon | Other | Total | \% of Total Export |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| France | 1,234 | 1,301 | 4,649 | 508 | 13 | 2,063 | 9,768 | 11.9 |
| Germany | 661 | 1 | 190 | - | - | 88 | 940 | 1.1 |
| Belgium | 2,653 | 2,149 | 6,264 | 998 | - | 445 | 12,509 | 15.2 |
| U. $\mathrm{k}+\mathrm{I}$ reland | 11,077 | 3,273 | 3,169 | 171 | - | 267 | 17,957 | 21.9 |
| Sweden | 3,255 | 1,364 | 633 | 23 | - | 8 | 5,283 | 6.4 |
| Finland | 2,140 | 701 | 217 | 3 | - | - | 3,061 | 3.7 |
| Italy | 396 | 76 | 3,012 | 12 | - | 8 | 3,504 | 4.2 |
| Other E.U. | 2,542 | 95 | 612 | 54 | - | 337 | 3,640 | 4.4 |
| Total E.U. | 23,958 | 8,960 | 18,746 | 1,769 | 13 | 3,216 | 56,662 | 69.1 |
| Norway+ Switzerland | 2,186 | 152 | 154 | 26 | - | 31 | 2,549 | 3.1 |
| Total West Europe | 26,144 | 9,112 | 18,900 | 1,795 | 13 | 3,247 | 59,211 | 72.2 |
| Russian Federation | 770 | 1,578 | 2,255 | 92 | - | 60 | 4,755 | 5.8 |
| Other East Europe | 1,405 | 945 | 2,181 | 265 | - | 79 | 4,875 | 5.9 |
| Total East Europe | 2,175 | 2,523 | 4,436 | 357 | - | 139 | 9,630 | 11.7 |
| Total Europe | 28,319 | 11,635 | 23,336 | 2,152 | 13 | 3,386 | 68,841 | 83.9 |
| US | 106 | 496 | 25 | 73 | - | 1,111 | 1,811 | 2.2 |
| S. America | 590 | 83 | 1,176 | 13 | - | 16 | 1,878 | 2.3 |
| Japan | - | - | 2,420 | 5,279 | - | - | 7,699 | 9.4 |
| Other F. E. Asia | 201 | 143 | 257 | 422 | 33 | 2 | 1,058 | 1.3 |
| Africa | 197 | 249 | 150 | 13 | - | 4 | 613 | 0.7 |
| Others | 1 | 15 | 23 | - | - | 47 | 86 | 0.1 |
| Total Out of Europe | 1,095 | 986 | 4,041 | 5,800 | 33 | 1,180 | 13,135 | 16.1 |
| Grand Total | 29,414 | 12,621 | 27,377 | 7,952 | 46 | 4,566 | 81,976 | 100 |

Source: CBI, Foreign Trade Statistics, Exports 2001.

Chart 7: Exports of Israeli Fresh Oranges, MY


Source: C.L.A.M, Situation 2001-2002
Chart 8: Exports of Israeli Fresh Grapefruit, MY


Source: C.L.A.M, Situation 2001-2002
Chart 9: Exports of Israeli Fresh Easy-Peelers, MY


Source: C.L.A.M, Situation 2001-2002

## Chart 10: Exports of Israeli Fresh Lemons, MY



Source: C.L.A.M, Situation 2001-2002

Table 17: Export Trade Matrix- Fresh Oranges- MY, 2000, 2001

| Export Trade Matrix |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Israel <br> Fresh Oranges |  |  |  |
|  |  | Units: | Tons |
| Time period: | MY |  |  |
| Exports for | 2000 |  | 2001 |
| U.S. | 705.8 | U.S. | 412.82 |
| Others |  | Others |  |
| United Kingdom | 24977.96 | United Kingdom | 14673.62 |
| Germany | 2222.11 | Germany | 485.13 |
| Austria | 854.45 | Austria | 639.25 |
| Finland | 5852.49 | Finland | 4829.49 |
| Sweden | 5575.72 | Sweden | 2628.93 |
| Norway | 4917.24 | Norway | 2331.91 |
| Switzerland | 970.9 | Switzerland | 585.05 |
| Russia | 3555.81 | Russia | 1361.36 |
| South Africa | 163.2 | South Africa | 99.91 |
| Reunion | 652.52 | Reunion | 903.46 |
| Total for Others | 49742.4 | Total for Others | 28538.11 |
| Others not listed | 17106.39 | Others not listed | 6507.12 |
| Grand Total | 67554.59 | Grand Total | 35458.05 |

Source: Citrus Marketing Board of Israel

Table 18: Export Trade Matrix- Fresh Grapefruit (I ncl. Sweetie)- MY, 2000, 2001

| Export Trade Matrix |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I srael <br> Fresh Grapefruit |  | Units: | Tons |
|  |  |  |  |
| Time period: | MY |  |  |
| Exports for | 2000 |  | 2001 |
| U.S. | 62.78 | U.S. | 166.96 |
| Others |  | Others |  |
| Italy | 7101.68 | Italy | 6900.85 |
| Germany | 11676.06 | Germany | 6031.58 |
| Netherlands | 5184.18 | Netherlands | 3447.06 |
| United Kingdom | 8676.27 | United Kingdom | 9007.77 |
| France | 11009.95 | France | 8920.06 |
| Sweden | 1879.24 | Sweden | 1136.02 |
| Poland | 3165.4 | Poland | 4168.83 |
| Russia | 4885.81 | Russia | 6490.13 |
| J apan | 15940.06 | J apan | 9855.84 |
| Argentina | 4572.09 | Argentina | 1763.37 |
| Total for Others | 74090.74 | Total for Others | 57721.51 |
| Others not listed | 10173.67 | Others not listed | 8928.25 |
| Grand Total | 84327.19 | Grand Total | 66816.72 |

Source: Citrus Marketing Board of Israel

Table 19: Export Trade Matrix- Fresh Tangerines- MY, 2000, 2001

| Export Trade Matrix |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I srael <br> Fresh Tangerines |  |  |  |
|  |  | Units: | Tons |
| Time period: | MY |  |  |
| Exports for | 2000 |  | 2001 |
| U.S. | 276.56 | U.S. | 978.52 |
| Others |  | Others |  |
| Germany | 1845.93 | Germany | 485.2 |
| Netherlands | 1098.65 | Netherlands | 796.6 |
| United Kingdom | 6394.22 | United Kingdom | 7190.62 |
| France | 2928.36 | France | 2103.61 |
| Sweden | 1982.28 | Sweden | 427.55 |
| Finland | 2142.77 | Finland | 2384.99 |
| Norway | 8101.98 | Switzerland | 427.55 |
| Switzerland | 661.57 | Russia | 4488.39 |
| Russia | 3016.78 | Ukraine | 474.13 |
| Hong-Kong | 263.53 | Hong-Kong | 588.88 |
| Total for Others | 28436.07 | Total for Others | 19367.52 |
| Others not listed | 6479.8 | Others not listed | 4153.61 |
| Grand Total | 35192.43 | Grand Total | 24499.65 |

Source: Citrus Marketing Board of Israel

Table 20: Export Trade Matrix- Fresh Lemons- MY, 2000, 2001

| Export Trade Matrix |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I srael <br> sh Lemons |  |  |  |
|  |  | Units: | Tons |
| Time period: | MY |  |  |
| Exports for | 2000 |  | 2001 |
| U.S. | 0 | U.S. | 0 |
| Others |  | Others |  |
| Sweden | 0 | Sweden | 27.12 |
| Russia | 0 | Russia | 23.76 |
| Hong-Kong | 0 | Hong-Kong | 67.3 |
| Singapore | 0 | Singapore | 159.84 |
| Reunion | 0 | Reunion | 6.66 |
| Thailand | 49.35 | Thailand | 0 |
| Total for Others | 49.35 | Total for Others | 284.68 |
| Others not listed | 0 | Others not listed | 0 |
| Grand Total | 49.35 | Grand Total | 284.68 |

Source: Citrus Marketing Board of Israel
Table 21: Export Trade Matrix- Fresh Citrus - Others- MY, 2000, 2001

| Export Trade Matrix |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I srael Fresh Citrus, Other |  |  |  |
|  |  | Units: | Tons |
| Time period: | MY |  |  |
| Exports for | 2000 |  | 2001 |
| U.S. | 136.1 | U.S. | 51.06 |
| Others |  | Others |  |
| Belgium | 656.4 | Belgium | 486.38 |
| Germany | 1190.62 | Germany | 965.21 |
| Netherlands | 753.18 | Netherlands | 654.86 |
| United Kingdom | 220.6 | United Kingdom | 307.36 |
| France | 311 | France | 329.92 |
| Switzerland | 314.71 | Switzerland | 257.94 |
| Austria | 181.57 | Austria | 134.57 |
| Hong-Kong | 183.43 | Hong-Kong | 207.3 |
| J apan | 369.2 | Japan | 253.09 |
| Russia | 354.52 | Russia | 520.08 |
| Total for Others | 4535.23 | Total for Others | 4116.71 |
| Others not listed | 607.2 | Others not listed | 480.23 |
| Grand Total | 5278.53 | Grand Total | 4648 |

Source: Citrus Marketing Board of Israel

Chart 11: Total I mport of Citrus, CY - Value (\$ Thousands)


Source: CBS, Foreign Trade Statistics, Imports, Different Years
Table 22: I mport of Fresh Citrus by Type and Origin, CY1996-CY2002
Value (\$ Thousands)

| Origin/Year | $\mathbf{1 9 9 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Jordan | - | 10 | 11 | - | 14 | 49 | 19 |
| Egypt | - | - | 3 | 4 | 7 | - | 6 |
| Turkey | - | 16 | 73 | - | 10 | 4 | 33 |
| United <br> Kingdom | - | 2 | - | 8 | - | - | 17 |
| France | - | 20 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Taiwan | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 |
| Thailand | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - |
| Guatemala | 34 | 28 | 38 | 19 | 9 | 15 | 41 |
| U.S.A | - | - | - | - | 49 | - | - |
| Total | $\mathbf{3 4}$ | $\mathbf{7 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 5}$ | $\mathbf{3 1}$ | $\mathbf{8 9}$ | $\mathbf{6 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 7}$ |

Source: CBS, Foreign Trade Statistics, Imports, Different Years

## Marketing

For details see GAIN report \#IS2014.
Due to the deep disappointment of the results in the Japanese market for the sweetie, the Citrus Marketing Board for Israel (CMBI), supported by the Ministry of Agriculture, decided to change the marketing policy for the sweetie: the sweetie was declared "Organized Specie" in Japan and in Korea. The new policy calls for the following measures to be taken:

1. The sweetie will be marketed in those markets by only "One Hand" and not by 3 different exporters, as it was done in the past.
2. In Japan only two importers were certified to sell the Israeli sweetie and not 5 as it was in the past ( 5 importers competed with each other by reducing prices in the market).
3. A quota was fixed for each market: Japan and Korea - 650,000 boxes and is supposed to increase by 50,000 boxes in each of the next 3 years. For the rest of the world a quota of 400,000 boxes was fixed.
4. Promotion and advertising will be done by the CMBI only.
5. The quality of the exported sweetie will be inspected strictly by the CMBI.
6. For the first time, a recommended price will be paid to the growers: for fruit exported to Korea and Japan a minimum farm gate price of $\$ 330 /$ ton will be paid, of which $\$ 275$ will be paid immediately after delivery to the packinghouse.
For sweetie to other destinations a minimum price of $\$ 147 /$ ton will be paid, of which
\$122/ton will be paid upon delivery.

| IsraelFresh Oranges |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2001 |  | 2002 |  | 2003 | UOM |
|  | Old | New | Old | New | Old | New |  |
| Market Year Begin |  | 09-2001 |  | 09-2002 |  | 09-2003 | (MM/YYYY) |
| Area Planted | 5600 | 5700 | 5300 | 5500 | 0 | 5115 | HECTARES |
| Area Harvested | 5500 | 5650 | 5100 | 5400 | 0 | 4980 | HECTARES |
| Bearing Trees | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | (1000 TREES) |
| Non-Bearing Trees | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | (1000 TREES) |
| TOTAL No. Of Trees | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | (1000 TREES) |
| Production | 160 | 160 | 140 | 143 | 0 | 133 | (1000 MT) |
| 1 mports | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | (1000 MT) |
| TOTAL SUPPLY | 160 | 160 | 140 | 143 | 0 | 133 | (1000 MT) |
| Exports | 34 | 34 | 19 | 28 | 0 | 25 | (1000 MT) |
| Fresh Dom. Consumption | 62 | 62 | 56 | 61 | 0 | 61 | (1000 MT) |
| Processing | 64 | 64 | 65 | 54 | 0 | 47 | (1000 MT) |
| TOTAL <br> DI STRI BUTI ON | 160 | 160 | 140 | 143 | 0 | 133 | (1000 MT) |


| Israel <br> Degrees Brix <br> Orange J uice |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ |  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | UOM |
|  | Old | New | Old | New | Old | New |  |
| Market Year Begin |  | $09-2001$ |  | $09-2002$ |  | $09-2003$ | (MM/YYYY) |
| Deliv. To Processors | 64000 | 64000 | 65000 | 54000 | 0 | 47000 | (MT) |
| Beginning Stocks | 16000 | 15000 | 13000 | 15000 | 0 | 9000 | (MT) |
| Production | 39000 | 40000 | 42000 | 44000 | 0 | 35000 | (MT) |
| Imports | 48000 | 45000 | 40000 | 35000 | 0 | 30000 | (MT) |
| TOTAL SUPPLY | 103000 | 100000 | 95000 | 94000 | 0 | 74000 | (MT) |
| Exports | 56000 | 37000 | 53000 | 40000 | 0 | 30000 | (MT) |
| Domestic Consumption | 34000 | 48000 | 36000 | 45000 | 0 | 35000 | (MT) |
| Ending Stocks | 13000 | 15000 | 6000 | 9000 | 0 | 9000 | (MT) |
| TOTAL DI STRI BUTI ON | 103000 | 100000 | 95000 | 94000 | 0 | 74000 | (MT) |


| IsraelDegrees Brix - Single StrengthGrapefruit Juice |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2001 |  | 2002 |  | 2003 | UOM |
|  | Old | New | Old | New | Old | New |  |
| Market Year Begin |  | 09-2001 |  | 09-2002 |  | 09-2003 | (MM/YYYY) |
| Deliv. To Processors | 199 | 163 | 137 | 171 | 0 | 162 | (1000 MT) |
| Beginning Stocks | 28 | 22 | 16 | 16 | 0 | 7 | (1000 MT) |
| Production | 101 | 81 | 71 | 71 | 0 | 9 | (1000 MT) |
| 1 mports | 15 | 12 | 15 | 15 | 0 | 19 | (1000 MT) |
| TOTAL SUPPLY | 144 | 115 | 102 | 102 | 0 | 116 | (1000 MT) |
| Exports | 105 | 78 | 70 | 70 | 0 | 71 | (1000 MT) |
| Domestic Consumption | 23 | 21 | 25 | 25 | 0 | 29 | (1000 MT) |
| Ending Stocks | 16 | 16 | 7 | 7 | 0 | 16 | (1000 MT) |
| TOTAL <br> DI STRI BUTI ON | 144 | 115 | 102 | 102 | 0 | 116 | (1000 MT) |


| IsraelDegrees Brix - Single StrengthGrapefruit Juice |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2001 |  | 2002 |  | 2003 | UOM |
|  | OId | New | OId | New | Old | New |  |
| Market Year Begin |  | 09-2001 |  | 09-2002 |  | 09-2003 | (MM/YYYY) |
| Deliv. To Processors | 199 | 163 | 137 | 171 | 0 | 162 | (1000 MT) |
| Beginning Stocks | 28 | 22 | 16 | 16 | 0 | 7 | (1000 MT) |
| Production | 101 | 81 | 71 | 71 | 0 | 9 | (1000 MT) |
| 1 mports | 15 | 12 | 15 | 15 | 0 | 19 | (1000 MT) |
| TOTAL SUPPLY | 144 | 115 | 102 | 102 | 0 | 116 | (1000 MT) |
| Exports | 105 | 78 | 70 | 70 | 0 | 71 | (1000 MT) |
| Domestic Consumption | 23 | 21 | 25 | 25 | 0 | 29 | (1000 MT) |
| Ending Stocks | 16 | 16 | 7 | 7 | 0 | 16 | (1000 MT) |
| $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { TOTAL } \\ \text { DI STRI BUTI ON } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 144 | 115 | 102 | 102 | 0 | 116 | (1000 MT) |


| I sraelFresh Tangerines |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2001 |  | 2002 |  | 2003 | UOM |
|  | Old | New | Old | New | Old | New |  |
| Market Year Begin |  | 09-2001 |  | 09-2002 |  | 09-2003 | (MM/YYYY) |
| Area Planted | 5000 | 4900 | 4730 | 4900 | 0 | 4750 | HECTARES |
| Area Harvested | 4900 | 4750 | 4550 | 4800 | 0 | 4465 | HECTARES |
| Bearing Trees | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | (1000 TREES) |
| Non- Bearing Trees | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | (1000 TREES) |
| TOTAL No. Of Trees | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | (1000 TREES) |
| Production | 83 | 83 | 85 | 71 | 0 | 78 | (1000 MT) |
| I mports | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | (1000 MT) |
| TOTAL SUPPLY | 83 | 83 | 85 | 71 | 0 | 78 | (1000 MT) |
| Exports | 25 | 25 | 28 | 23 | 0 | 24 | (1000 MT) |
| Fresh Dom. Consumption | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 0 | 31 | (1000 MT) |
| Processing | 28 | 28 | 27 | 18 | 0 | 23 | (1000 MT) |
| TOTAL DI STRI BUTI ON | 83 | 83 | 85 | 71 | 0 | 78 | (1000 MT) |


| IsraelDegrees Brix - Single StrengthTangerine Juice |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2001 |  | 2002 |  | 2003 | UOM |
|  | Old | New | Old | New | OId | New |  |
| Market Year Begin |  | 09-2001 |  | 09-2002 |  | 09-2003 | (MM/YYYY) |
| $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \text { Deliv. To } \\ \text { Processors } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 28 | 28 | 27 | 18 | 0 | 23 | (1000 MT) |
| Beginning <br> Stocks | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 4 | (1000 MT) |
| Production | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 0 | 10 | (1000 MT) |
| 1 mports | 20 | 20 | 25 | 25 | 0 | 20 | (1000 MT) |
| TOTAL SUPPLY | 37 | 37 | 42 | 42 | 0 | 34 | (1000 MT) |
| Exports | 20 | 20 | 25 | 25 | 0 | 18 | (1000 MT) |
| Domestic Consumption | 15 | 15 | 13 | 13 | 0 | 15 | (1000 MT) |
| Ending Stocks | 2 | 2 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 1 | (1000 MT) |
| TOTAL <br> DI STRI BUTI ON | 37 | 37 | 42 | 42 | 0 | 34 | (1000 MT) |


| Commodity: Fresh Lemons |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2001 |  | 2002 |  | 2003 | UOM |
|  | OId | New | Old | New | OId | New |  |
| Market Year Begin |  | 09-2001 |  | 09-2002 |  | 09-2003 | (MM/YYYY) |
| Area Planted | 1700 | 1700 | 1700 | 1700 | 0 | 1690 | HECTARES |
| Area Harvested | 1250 | 1250 | 1550 | 1650 | 0 | 1400 | HECTARES |
| Bearing Trees | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | (1000 TREES) |
| Non- Bearing Trees | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | (1000 TREES) |
| TOTAL No. Of Trees | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | (1000 TREES) |
| Production | 20 | 19 | 23 | 20 | 0 | 20 | (1000 MT) |
| Imports | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | (1000 MT) |
| TOTAL SUPPLY | 20 | 19 | 23 | 20 | 0 | 20 | (1000 MT) |
| Exports | 1 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 2 | (1000 MT) |
| Fresh Dom. Consumption | 15 | 15 | 16 | 15 | 0 | 15 | (1000 MT) |
| Processing | 4 | 4 | 5 | 2 | 0 | 3 | (1000 MT) |
| TOTAL <br> DI STRI BUTI ON | 20 | 19 | 23 | 20 | 0 | 20 | (1000 MT) |


| I srael <br> Fresh Citrus, Other |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2001 |  | 2002 |  | 2003 | UOM |
|  | Old | New | Old | New | Old | New |  |
| Market Year Begin |  | 09-2001 |  | 09-2002 |  | 09-2003 | (MM/YYYY) |
| Area Planted | 830 | 830 | 600 | 600 | 0 | 555 | HECTARES |
| Area Harvested | 700 | 700 | 550 | 550 | 0 | 420 | HECTARES |
| Bearing Trees | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | (1000 TREES) |
| Non- Bearing Trees | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | (1000 TREES) |
| TOTAL No. Of Trees | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | (1000 TREES) |
| Production | 12 | 11 | 15 | 8 | 0 | 8 | (1000 MT) |
| I mports | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | (1000 MT) |
| TOTAL SUPPLY | 12 | 11 | 15 | 8 | 0 | 8 | (1000 MT) |
| Exports | 9 | 2 | 10 | 5 | 0 | 5 | (1000 MT) |
| Fresh Dom. Consumption | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 3 | (1000 MT) |
| Processing | 1 | 7 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | (1000 MT) |
| TOTAL <br> DI STRI BUTI ON | 12 | 11 | 15 | 8 | 0 | 8 | (1000 MT) |
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