PREVENTING CHRONIC DISEASE

REVIEWER CHECKLIST FOR HEALTH ECONOMICS PAPERS

(Instructions for Reviewers: Please include this checklist with your Manuscript Evaluation.)

1.	1. Is the research question important?
2. Yes No	2. Is the question clearly stated?
3. Yes No	3. Does enough economic detail exist to allow peer review?
4. Yes No	4. Is the economic content sound?
5. Yes No	5. Is the rationale for choosing the comparison program or intervention
	justified?
6. □Yes □No	6. Is there a clear statement about any alternatives being compared?
7.	7. Is the evaluation method clearly described and justified?
8.	8. Do authors provide source(s) of effectiveness estimates?
9. Yes No	9. Is the study described in detail?
10. Yes No	10. Is the design appropriate for answering the research question?
11. Yes No	11. If results are based on an overview of a number of effectiveness studies, are
	details of the method of synthesis or meta-analysis given?
12. Yes No	12. Are primary outcome measure(s) for the economic evaluation clearly stated?
13. Yes No	13. Are methods used to place a value on health status clearly described?
14. Yes No	14. Are subjects from whom valuations were obtained described in detail?
15. Yes No	15. If productivity changes are included, are they reported separately?
16. □Yes □No	16. Do authors discuss relevance of productivity changes to the study question?
17.	17. Are quantities of resources reported separately from their unit costs?
18.	18. Do authors describe methods used for estimating unit costs?
19.	19. Are currency and price data recorded?
20. Yes No	20. Are models described adequately?
21. Yes No	21. Is the choice of models justified?
22. Yes No	22. Is the time horizon of costs and benefits stated?
23. Yes No	23. Is (Are) the discount rate(s) stated?

PREVENTING CHRONIC DISEASE PUBLIC HEALTH RESEARCH, PRACTICE, AND POLICY



24. Yes No	24. Is the choice of rate(s) stated?
25. Yes No	25. If costs or benefits are not discounted, is an explanation given?
26. □Yes □No	26. Are details of statistical tests and confidence intervals given?
27. Yes No	27. Is the approach to sensitivity analysis described?
28. Yes No	28. Is the choice of variables for sensitivity analysis justified?
29. Yes No	29. Are ranges given over which variables are varied?
30. □Yes □No	30. Do authors compare relevant alternatives?
31. □Yes □No	31. Is incremental analysis offered?
32. Yes No	32. Are major outcomes presented in a disaggregated and an aggregated form?
33. □Yes □No	33. Is the study question answered?
34. □Yes □No	34. Do conclusions follow from data reported?
35. Yes No	35. Do conclusions have appropriate caveats?

