## **U.S. Department of Labor**

Office of Administrative Law Judges 800 K Street, NW, Suite 400-N Washington, DC 20001-8002

(202) 693-7300 (202) 693-7365 (FAX)



**Issue Date: 25 November 2003** 

CASE NOS.: 2002-CCP-1, 2003-CCP-1

*In the Matters of:* 

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF LABOR, OFFICE OF APPRENTICESHIP TRAINING, EMPLOYER AND LABOR SERVICES,

Prosecuting Party,

ν.

## CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF INDUSTRIAL RELATIONS,

Respondent

and

## CALIFORNIA APPRENTICESHIP COUNCIL,

Respondent.

## **ORDER GRANTING EXTENSION**

On November 24, 2003, the parties filed a Joint Motion for an Extension of Pre-Hearing Deadlines. The parties indicated that the thirty day extension to all pre-hearing deadlines was necessary to provide the parties time to engage in settlement negotiations. Accordingly, this motion is granted and the parties have agreed to the following deadlines:

- 1. The deadline for discovery requests is extended from December 12, 2003 until January 12, 2004. OATELS is granted until January 12, 2004 to review documents produced by CDIR and CAC and to determine if any additional discovery is required. Any additional discovery requests shall be made as needed; however, all additional discovery requests will be made by **January 12, 2004.**
- 2. The date for the meeting between the parties is extended from January 15, 2004 until February 16, 2004. On **February 16, 2004**, the parties will meet and confer as to prehearing stipulations and any other pre-hearing issues. The parties are encouraged to attempt to resolve any issues before the pre-hearing conference.

3. The date for the pre-hearing conference is extended from January 29 and 30, 2004 until March 1 and 2, 2004. The undersigned will conduct a pre-hearing conference on **March 1 and 2, 2004**. This conference will commence at **9:00 a.m.** and will be held at the following location:

Office of Administrative Law Judges 50 Fremont Street Suite 2100 San Francisco, CA 94105

In addition, the Office of Administrative Law Judges offers, as an optional and voluntary procedure, appointment of settlement judges pursuant to 29 C.F.R. § 18.9(e). Settlement judges are specially trained in mediation techniques and are available at any time prior to the conclusion of a formal hearing to assist in the facilitation of settlements. Requests for the appointment of a settlement judge must be agreed to by **all** parties and should be made by a joint, written request to the presiding judge. The parties will be promptly notified whether a settlement judge will be appointed.

SO ORDERED.

Α

JOHN M. VITTONE Chief Administrative Law Judge