STATE OF NEW MEXICO

STATEWIDE WATER QUALITY MANAGEMENT PLAN



NEW MEXICO WATER QUALITY CONTROL COMMISSION

P.O. Box 26110 Santa Fe, New Mexico 87502

December 20, 2001

1	Table of Contents	
2		
3	List of Acronyms and Abbreviations in this Plan	
4	List of Documents Included in this Plan by Reference	
5	Introduction	
6	Work Element 1 – Total Maximum Daily Loads (TMDLs)	
7	Requirements for Work Element 1	
8	Background	
9	Canadian Basin TMDLs	
10	TMDLs Completed in 1999	
11	TMDLs Completed in 2000	
12	TMDLs Completed in 2001	
13	Rio Grande Basin TMDLs	
14	TMDLs Completed Prior to 1999	
15	TMDLs Completed in 1999	
16	TMDLs Completed in 2000	
17	TMDLs Completed in 2001	
18	Gila River Basin TMDLs	
19	TMDLs Completed in 2001	
20	San Francisco River Basin	
21	TMDLs Completed in 2001	
22	Strategy	
23	Work Element 2 – Effluent Limitations.	
24	Requirements for Work Element 2	
25	Background	
26	Strategy	
27	Work Element 3 – Municipal and Industrial Waste Treatment	23
28	Requirements for Work Element 3	
29	Background	
30	Strategy	
31	Work Element 4 – Nonpoint Source Management and Control	
32	Requirements for Work Element 4	
33 34	Background	26
	Strategy	
35	Work Element 5 – Management Agencies	
36	Requirements for Work Element 5	
37	Introduction	
38	I Wastewater Management	
39	Background	
40 41	Strategy	
41 42	Strategy Work Florout 6 Legislamoutstian Magazina	
42 42	Work Element 6 – Implementation Measures	
43 4.4	Requirements for Work Element 6	
14 15	Background	
45 46	Strategy	32 32
±1)	WORK DECIENCY — FREQUE OF THE MOUSE	14

1	Requirements for Work Element 7	
2	Background	
3	Strategy	
4	Work Element 8 – Basin Plans	
5	Requirements for Work Element 8	
6	Background	36
7	Strategy	36
8	Work Element 9 – Ground water	
9	Requirements for Work Element 9	
10	Background	
11	Strategy	
12		
13		

1	List of Acronyms and Ab	breviations in this Plan
2	·	
3	BPJ	Best Professional Judgment
4	CFR	Code of Federal Regulations
5	CPP	New Mexico Continuing Planning Process
6		Federal Clean Water Act (33 U.S.C. 1251 et seq.)
7	CWNS	Clean Water Needs Survey
8	CWSRF	New Mexico's Clean Water State Revolving Fund
9	DMA	Designated Management Agency
10	LA	Load Allocation
11	MOS	Margin of Safety
12	MOU	Memorandum of Understanding
13	NMAC	New Mexico Administrative Code
14	NMED	New Mexico Environment Department
15	NMOCD	New Mexico Oil Conservation Division
16	NMWQA	New Mexico Water Quality Act (Chapter 74, Article 6 NMSA)
17	NPDES	National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System
18	NPS	Nonpoint Source(s) of Pollution
19	NPSMP	Nonpoint Source Management Program
20		Publicly Owned Treatment Works
21		New Mexico's Clean Water State Revolving Fund
22		Total Maximum Daily Load
23	USEPA	United States Environmental Protection Agency
24	WLA	Waste Load Allocation
25	WQBEL	Water Quality Based Effluent Limit
26	WQCC	New Mexico Water Quality Control Commission
27	WQMP	Water Quality Management Plan
28		

DRAFT WQMP Page 4 December 20, 2001

1	List of Documents Included in this Plan by Reference
2	
3	New Mexico Water Quality Standards for Interstate and Intrastate Surface Waters [20.6.4]
4	NMAC]
5	
6	All TMDL documents individually listed in Work Element 1 of the Water Quality Management
7	Plan
8	
9	Clean Water Needs Survey
10	•
11	Memorandum of Understanding Between the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Region 6
12	and the New Mexico Environment Department
13	
14	New Mexico Continuing Planning Process
15	
16	New Mexico Ground and Surface Water Protection Regulations [20.6.2 NMAC]
17	
18	New Mexico Nonpoint Source Management Plan
19	
20	Priority Rating System for Point Source, Non-Point Source and Brownfields Redevelopment
21	Projects
22	
23	

DRAFT WQMP Page 5 December 20, 2001

Introduction

 This 2001 update of the New Mexico Water Quality Management Plan (WQMP) represents a substantial change in format from previous updates. It has been over a decade since the WQMP was extensively updated. During that time, many of the preexisting management priorities and strategies have become outdated. In order to maintain the usefulness of this document into the future, documents that relate to required components of the WQMP (stipulated in 40 CFR 130.6(c)) have been incorporated by reference. Documents incorporated by reference may later be revised, after public notice and participation appropriate to each document. Such revised documents are considered to be incorporated herein by reference. Documents requiring approval by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) are considered incorporated after USEPA approval of the revised document. Accordingly, as referenced documents (e.g., Nonpoint Source Management Program, Continuing Planning Process) are updated, the WQMP is effectively updated. This approach is in keeping with current USEPA regulations found at 40 CFR 130.6(c).

While there are still some stand-alone elements contained in the WQMP, this format will enable the reader to use this document as an index to a wide variety of water quality documents, thus making it more "user friendly." Copies of this document and all incorporated references will be available on the New Mexico Environment Department's website http://www.nmenv.state.nm.us or in printed version from the Environment Department.

1 Work Element 1 – Total Maximum Daily Loads (TMDLs)

2 (Revised: [month/year])

Requirements for Work Element 1

Regulation 40 CFR 130.6(c)(1) requires: TMDLs in accordance with sections 303(d) and (e)(3)(C) of the Act and Sec. 130.7 of this part.

Background

TMDLs are a required component of the WQMP. However, according to federal regulations (40 CFR 130.6(c)), a plan element may be "referenced as part of the WQM plan if contained in separate documents." The process for development of TMDLs and individual water quality-based effluent limitations is contained in <u>State of New Mexico Continuing Planning Process</u>, July 1998. As TMDLs are developed and approved, they are incorporated into the water quality management plan and used as the basis for implementation of water pollution control activities.

A Total Maximum Daily Load (TMDL) can be best described as a watershed or basin-wide budget for pollutant influx to a watercourse. TMDLs may also be established for a portion or segment of a watershed. A TMDL, in actuality, is a planning document. The "allowable budget" is first determined by scientific study of a stream to determine the amount of pollutants that can be assimilated without causing the stream to exceed water quality standards set to protect the stream's designated uses (e.g., fishery, irrigation, etc.). Once this capacity is determined, sources of pollutants are considered.

Both point and nonpoint pollutant sources must be included. Once all sources are accounted for, pollutants are then allocated or budgeted among sources in a manner that describes the amount (the total maximum load) that can be assimilated into the river without causing the stream standard or "budget" to be exceeded. Nonpoint sources are grouped into a "load allocation" (LA) and point sources are grouped into a "wasteload allocation" (WLA). By federal regulation, the budget must also include a "margin of safety" (MOS). TMDLs can also be described by the following equation:

TMDL = LA + WLA + MOS

Implementation of TMDLs is described in the "Process for Establishing and Assuring Implementation of Water Quality Standards" section of the <u>State of New Mexico Continuing Planning Process</u>, *July 1998*. In summary, WLA allocations are implemented through the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) permit program for point source discharges and the LA is implemented through the voluntary NM Nonpoint Source Management Program.

In 1996 two groups, Forest Guardians and Southwest Environmental Center, jointly filed a lawsuit against the USEPA alleging that adequate TMDLs had not been developed by the State

as required under § 303 of the CWA. The State of New Mexico was not a litigant in this suit. In 1997 USEPA and plaintiffs negotiated a consent decree and settlement agreement avoiding formal litigation. The consent decree and the settlement agreement combined set forth a 20-year schedule to address TMDLs for many stream segments in the State. The USEPA and the New Mexico Environment Department have signed a Memorandum of Understanding outlining tasks the State will complete to meet the terms of the settlement.

TMDLs are "living documents" in that they should be periodically reviewed and updated as conditions and data change. The Environment Department Surface Water Quality Bureau has implemented a <u>watershed based water quality monitoring strategy</u> to continually gather new data. Currently, § 303 of the CWA requires states to review and update their "§ 303(d)" lists of impaired waters every two years. CWA § 303(d) further requires the development of a TMDL for a "§ 303(d)" listed water.

The following is a list of TMDLs adopted by the WQCC

Canadian Basin TMDLs

TMDLs Completed in 1999

The document entitled: <u>Total Maximum Daily Load for Turbidity</u>, <u>Stream Bottom Deposits</u>, <u>and Total Phosphorus in the Canadian River Basin (Cimarron)</u> adopted by the <u>WQCC August 10, 1999</u> and approved by <u>EPA September 30, 1999</u> includes the following TMDLs:

•Six-Mile Creek the inflow to Eagle Nest Lake to headwaters CR2-40000 (Canadian River Basin 2306) 6.6 miles for turbidity.

•Moreno Creek from the inflow to Eagle Nest Lake to the headwaters CR2-30000 (Canadian River Basin 2306) 14.4 miles for turbidity.

•Cieneguilla Creek from the inflow to Eagle Nest Lake to the headwaters CR2-50000 (Canadian River Basin 2306) 13.6 miles for turbidity and stream bottom deposits.

•North Ponil Creek from the confluence with South Ponil Creek to the mouth of McCrystal Creek CR2-10400 (Canadian River Basin 2306) 17.6 miles for turbidity, stream bottom deposits, and total phosphorus.

The document entitled: <u>Total Maximum Daily Load for Six-Mile Creek</u>, <u>Cieneguilla Creek</u>, <u>and Moreno Creeks – Cimarron Basin - Fecal Coliform</u> adopted by the <u>WQCC November 9</u>, 1999 and approved by <u>EPA December 17</u>, 1999 includes the following TMDLs:

1	•Six-Mile Creek the inflow to Eagle Nest Lake to headwaters CR2-40000
2	(Canadian River Basin 2306) 6.6 miles for fecal coliform.
2 3	
4	 Moreno Creek from the inflow to Eagle Nest Lake to the headwaters
5	CR2-30000 (Canadian River Basin 2306) 14.4 miles for fecal coliform.
6	
7	•Cieneguilla Creek from the inflow to Eagle Nest Lake to the headwaters
8	CR2-50000 (Canadian River Basin 2306) 13.6 miles for fecal coliform.
9	
10	The document entitled: <u>Total Maximum Daily Load For Temperature On North</u>
11	Ponil Creek Canadian River Basin (Cimarron) adopted by the WQCC November
12	9, 1999 and approved by EPA December 17, 1999 includes the following TMDL
13	
14	•North Ponil Creek from the confluence with South Ponil Creek to the
15	mouth of McCrystal Creek CR2-10400 (Canadian River Basin 2306) 10
16	miles for temperature.
17	•
4.0	
18	TMDLs Completed in 2000
19	The document entitled: <u>Total Maximum Daily Load For Stream Bottom Deposits</u>
20	In Rayado Creek And Metals (Chronic Aluminum) In The Cimarron River
21	adopted by the WQCC December 12, 2000 and approved by EPA February 16,
22	2000 includes the following TMDLs:
23	
24	•Rayado Creek from the mouth on the Cimarron River to Miami Lake
25	diversion (CR2-10100) 16.5 miles for stream bottom deposits.
26	
27	•Cimarron River from the mouth on the Canadian River to Turkey Creek
28	(CR2-10000) 35.5 miles for metals (chronic aluminum).
29	
30	The document entitled: <u>Total Maximum Daily Load For Metals (Chronic</u>
31	Aluminum) In Cieneguilla Creek adopted by the WQCC December 12, 2000 and
32	approved by EPA February 16, 2001 includes the following TMDL:
33	
34	•Cieneguilla Creek from the inflow to Eagle Nest Lake to the headwaters
35	CR2-50000 (Canadian River Basin 2306) 13.6 miles for metals (chronic
36	aluminum).
37	
20	TMDLs Completed in 2001
38	TMDLs Completed in 2001
39	The document entitled: <u>Total Maximum Daily Load For Metals (Chronic</u>
40	Aluminum) In Ponil Creek adopted by the WQCC July 10, 2001 and approved by
41	EPA September 27, 2001 includes the following TMDL:
42	

1	•Ponil Creek from the mouth on the Cimarron River to the confluence of
2	North Ponil and South Ponil Creeks (Canadian River, 2306) metals
3	(chronic aluminum).
4	
5	The document entitled: <u>Total Maximum Daily Load For Temperature On Middle</u>
6	<u>Ponil Creek</u> adopted by the <u>WQCC July 10, 2001</u> and approved by <u>EPA</u>
7	<u>September 27, 2001</u> includes the following TMDL:
8	
9	•Middle Ponil Creek from the confluence with South Ponil Creek to the
10	headwaters (Canadian River, 2306) for temperature.
11 12	The document entitled: <i>Total Maximum Daily Load For Temperature On Ponil</i>
13	<u>Creek</u> adopted by the <u>WQCC July 10, 2001</u> and approved by <u>EPA September 27,</u>
14	2001 includes the following TMDL:
15	2001 metades the following TWDE.
16	•Ponil Creek from the mouth on the Cimarron River to the confluence of
17	North Ponil and South Ponil Creeks (Canadian River, 2306) temperature.
18	Trother form and south Form Crowns (Canadian 14 (C), 25 (C) temperature.
19	The document entitled: <u>TMDL for Turbidity in Middle Ponil and Ponil Creek</u>
20	adopted by the WQCC July 10, 2001 and approved by EPA September 27, 2001
21	includes the following TMDLs:
22	E Company of the Comp
23	•Middle Ponil Creek from the confluence with South Ponil Creek to the
24	headwaters (Canadian River, 2306) for turbidity.
25	
26	•Ponil Creek from the mouth on the Cimarron River to the confluence of
27	North Ponil and South Ponil Creeks (Canadian River, 2306) turbidity.
28	
20	D' C. I. D. ' TIMDI
29	Rio Grande Basin TMDLs
30	
31	TMDLs Completed Prior to 1999 ¹
32	1
33	Point Source Load Allocation for the Twining Water and Sanitation District
34	(NPDES Permit NM0022101), Taos County, New Mexico. 1981. [Table 1-1]
35	(1.12 2.2 1 0.1 1.1.10 0 22 10 1), 1 100 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
36	Point Source Load Allocation for the Town of Red River (NPDES Permit
37	NM0024899, Taos County, New Mexico. 1982. [Table 1-2]
38	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
39	Point Source Load Allocation for the City of Grants, Cibola County, New Mexico
40	(NPDES Permit No. NM0020737). 1989. [Table 1-3]
41	

¹ Prior to the 2001 revision of the WQMP, TMDLs were categorized in Work Element 6 of the WQMP. TMDLs previously adopted as Work Element 6 have been "relocated" to Work Element 1. The Point Source Load Allocation tables presented herein are copied from the former Work Element 6.

1	TMDLs Completed in 1999
2	The document entitled: Total Maximum Daily Load For The Rio Chamita From
3	The Confluence Of The Rio Chama To The New Mexico - Colorado Border
4	adopted by the WQCC August 10, 1999 and approved by EPA September 30,
5	1999 includes TMDLs for:
6	
7	•total phosphorus, total ammonia, and fecal coliform.
8	
9	The document entitled: <i>Total Maximum Daily Load For Temperature On The Rio</i>
0	<u>Chamita</u> adopted by the <u>WQCC November 9, 1999</u> and approved by <u>EPA</u>
1	December 17, 1999 includes the TMDL for:
2	
3	•Rio Chamita from mouth on the Rio Chama to New Mexico-Colorado
14 15	border URG2-30500, Rio Grande 2116 12.6 miles for temperature.
5	
6	The document entitled: <u>Total Maximum Daily Load For Turbidity, Stream Bottom</u>
7	<u>Deposits And Total Phosphorus For Cordova Creek</u> adopted by the <u>WQCC</u>
8	November 9, 1999 and approved by EPA December 17, 1999 includes the TMDL
9	for:
20	
21	 Cordova Creek from the mouth on Costilla to headwaters URG1-30300
22	(Rio Grande 2120) 3.8 miles for turbidity, stream bottom deposits, and
21 22 23 24 25 26	total phosphorus.
24	
25	The document entitled: <u>Total Maximum Daily Load (TMDL) For Temperature On</u>
26	The Middle Rio de las Vacas adopted by the WQCC October 12, 1999 and
27	approved by EPA December 2, 1999 includes the TMDLs for:
28	
29	•Middle Rio de las Vacas from the confluence with the Rio Cebolla to
30	Rito de las Palomas MRG2-20200 (Rio Grande 2106) 2 miles for
31	temperature.
32	
33	The document entitled: <u>Total Maximum Daily Load For Total Phosphorus For</u>
34 35	<u>Redondo Creek</u> adopted by the <u>WQCC October 12, 1999</u> and approved by <u>EPA</u>
35	<u>December 2, 1999</u> includes the TMDLs for:
36	
37	•Redondo Creek from the mouth on Sulphur Creek to the headwaters
38	MRG2-40100 (Rio Grande 2106) 5.2 miles for total phosphorus.
39	
10	The document entitled: <u>Total Maximum Daily Load For Turbidity And Stream</u>
11	Bottom Deposits In The Rio Grande Basin (Jemez) adopted by the WQCC
12	October 12, 1999 and approved by EPA December 2, 1999 includes the following
13	TMDLs:
14	

1 2 3	•Jemez River from Rio Guadalupe to the confluence of the East Fork of the Jemez River and San Antonio Creek MRG2-20000 (Rio Grande 2105.5 and 2106) 6.4 miles for turbidity and stream bottom deposits.
4 5 6 7 8	•Rio Guadalupe from the mouth on the Jemez River to the confluence of the Rio de las Vacas and Rio Cebolla MRG2-20100 (Rio Grande 2106) 2.4 miles for turbidity and stream bottom deposits.
9	TMDLs Completed in 2000
10	The document entitled: Water Quality Assessment For The Santa Fe River From
11	The Cochiti Pueblo To The Santa Fe Wastewater Treatment Plant For Chlorine
12	And Stream Bottom Deposits adopted by the WQCC January 11, 2000 and
12 13	approved by EPA March 20, 2000 includes the TMDLs for:
14	
15	•Santa Fe River from the Cochiti Pueblo to the Santa Fe WWTP URG1-
16	10300 (Rio Grande 2110) 12.7 miles for chlorine and stream bottom.
17	
18	The document entitled: <u>Total Maximum Daily Load For The Santa Fe River For</u>
19	<u>Dissolved Oxygen and pH</u> adopted by the <u>WQCC December 12, 2000</u> and
20	approved by EPA January 11, 2001 includes the TMDLs for:
21 22 23	
22	•Santa Fe River from the Cochiti Pueblo to the Santa Fe WWTP URG1-
23	10300 (Rio Grande 2110) 12.7 miles for dissolved oxygen and pH.
24	
25	TMDLs Completed in 2001
26	The document entitled <i>Middle Rio Grande Total Maximum Daily Load (TMDL)</i>
27	for Fecal Coliform adopted by the WQCC November 13, 2001 and approved by
28	EPA [pending] [insert hyperlink to copy of EPA's approval letter] includes the
29	TMDL for:
30	
31	•Middle Rio Grande from northern border of Isleta Pueblo to the southern
32	border of the Santa Ana Pueblo, Rio Grande, 2105, 2105.1) for fecal
33	coliform bacteria.
34	
35	Gila River Basin TMDLs
36	TMDLs Completed in 2001
	1 112 20 Completed in 2001
37 38	The document entitled: Total Maximum Daily Load Fox Metals (Chronic Aluminum) For The
39	The document entitled: <u>Total Maximum Daily Load For Metals (Chronic Aluminum) For The</u> East Fork Of The Gila River And Taylor Creek adopted by the WQCC November 13, 2001
10	and approved by EPA [pending] [insert hyperlink to copy of EPA's approval letter]
40 41	includes the following TMDLs:
12	merades die following Tribes.
• /	

DRAFT WQMP Page 12 December 20, 2001

1 2	•East Fork of the Gila River from the confluence with the west fork to Taylor Creek (Gila River, 20.6.4.503) metals (aluminum).
2 3 4	•Taylor Creek from the confluence with the Beaver Creek to Wall Lake
5 6	(Gila River, 20.6.4.503) metals (aluminum).
7	The document entitled: <u>Total Maximum Daily Load For Temperature On Taylor Creek</u>
8 9 10	adopted by the <u>WQCC November 13, 2001</u> and approved by EPA [<i>pending</i>] [insert hyperlink to copy of EPA's approval letter] includes the following TMDL for:
11 12 13	•Taylor Creek from the confluence with the Beaver Creek to Wall Lake, 2.9 mi. temperature.
13 14 15 16	The document entitled: <u>Total Maximum Daily Load For Temperature On Black Canyon Creek</u> adopted by the <u>WQCC November 13, 2001</u> and approved by EPA [pending] [insert hyperlink to copy of EPA's approval letter] includes the following TMDL for:
8 9	•Black Canyon Creek from the mouth on the East Fork of the Gila River to the headwaters (Gila River 20.6.4.503) temperature.
20 21 22 23 24 25 26	The document entitled: <u>Total Maximum Daily Load For Metals (Chronic Aluminum) For Mogollon Creek</u> adopted by the <u>WQCC November 13, 2001</u> and approved by EPA [pending] [insert hyperlink to copy of EPA's approval letter] includes the following TMDL for:
25 26 27 28	•Mogollon Creek, perennial potions above the USGS gauge (Gila River 20.6.4.503) metals (aluminum).
29 30 31 32	The document entitled: <u>Total Maximum Daily Load For Turbidity On Canyon Creek</u> adopted by the WQCC December 11, 2001 [insert hyperlink to WQCC minutes/approval] and approved by EPA [pending] [insert hyperlink to copy of EPA's approval letter] includes the following TMDL for:
34 35 36	•Canyon Creek from the mouth on the Middle Fork of the Gila to the headwaters, 4.5 mi. (Gila River 20.6.4.503 (turbidity)
37 38 39 40	The document entitled: <u>Total Maximum Daily Load For Plant Nutrients On Canyon</u> <u>Creek</u> adopted by the WQCC December 11, 2001 [insert hyperlink to WQCC minutes/approval] and approved by EPA [pending] [insert hyperlink to copy of EPA's approval letter] includes the following TMDL for:
11 12 13 14 15	•Canyon Creek from the mouth on the Middle Fork of the Gila to the headwaters, 4.5 mi. (Gila River 20.6.4.503 (plant nutrients)
15 16	The document entitled: <u>Total Maximum Daily Load For Turbidity On Sapillo Creek</u> adopted by the WQCC December 11, 2001 [insert hyperlink to WQCC

1 2	minutes/approval] and approved by EPA [pending] [insert hyperlink to copy of EPA's approval letter] includes the following TMDL for:
3	Samilla Creak from the mouth on the Cile Diver to Lake Deharts 5.0 mi
4	•Sapillo Creek from the mouth on the Gila River to Lake Roberts, 5.0 mi.
5 6	(Gila River 20.6.4.503 (turbidity)
7	The document entitled: <i>Total Maximum Daily Load For Total Organic Carbon (TOC) On</i>
8	Sapillo Creek adopted by the WQCC December 11, 2001 [insert hyperlink to WQCC]
9	minutes/approval] and approved by EPA [pending] [insert hyperlink to copy of EPA's
10	approval letter includes the following TMDL for:
11	approximations and rome many residence
12	•Sapillo Creek from the mouth on the Gila River to Lake Roberts, 5.0 mi.
13	(Gila River 20.6.4.503 (total organic carbon)
14	
15	San Francisco River Basin
16	TMDLs Completed in 2001
17	•
18	The document entitled: <u>Total Maximum Daily Load For Turbidity In Whitewater Creek</u>
19	adopted by the WQCC November 13, 2001 and approved by EPA [pending] [insert
20	hyperlink to copy of EPA's approval letter] includes the following TMDL for:
21	
22	•Whitewater Creek from the mouth on the San Francisco River to
23	Whitewater Campground (San Francisco River 20.6.4.603) turbidity.
24	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
25	The document entitled: <u>Total Maximum Daily Load For Temperature On The San</u>
26	Francisco River From Centerfire Creek To The New Mexico/Arizona Border adopted by
27	the WQCC November 13, 2001 [pending] [insert hyperlink to copy of EPA's approval
28	letter] includes the following TMDL for:
29	
30	•San Francisco from Centerfire Creek to the New Mexico-Arizona border
31	(San Francisco River 20.6.4.602) temperature.
32	
33	The document entitled: <u>Total Maximum Daily Load For Conductivity On Centerfire</u>
34	<u>Creek</u> adopted by the <u>WQCC November 13, 2001</u> and approved by EPA [pending]
35	[insert hyperlink to copy of EPA's approval letter] includes the following TMDL for:
36	
37	•Centerfire Creek from the mouth on the San Francisco River to the
38	headwaters (San Francisco River 20.6.4.603) conductivity.
39	The decomment artifled Tetal Maximum Della Land East Townson Co. El. C. d. E. J.
40	The document entitled: <u>Total Maximum Daily Load For Temperature On The South Fork</u>
41	Of Negrito Creek From The Confluence With The North Fork To The Headwaters
42 43	adopted by the <u>WQCC November 13, 2001</u> and approved by EPA [pending] [insert
43 44	hyperlink to copy of EPA's approval letter] includes the following TMDL for:
77	

1 2	•South Fork of Negrito Creek from the confluence with the North Fork to the headwaters (San Francisco River 20.6.4.603) temperature.
3	
4	The document entitled: Total Maximum Daily Load For Conductivity On The Tularosa
5	<u>River</u> adopted by the <u>WQCC November 13, 2001</u> and approved by EPA [pending]
6	[insert hyperlink to copy of EPA's approval letter] includes the following TMDL for:
7	
8	•Tularosa River from the mouth on the San Francisco River to Apache
9	Creek (San Francisco River 20.6.4.603) conductivity.
10	
11	The document entitled: <i>Total Maximum Daily Load For Plant Nutrients On Centerfire</i>
12	<u>Creek</u> adopted by the WQCC December 11, 2001 [insert hyperlink to WQCC
13	minutes/approval] and approved by EPA [pending] [insert hyperlink to copy of EPA's
14	approval letter includes the following TMDL for:
15	approval letter; merades the following TMDE for:
	Contenting Creats from the mouth on the Con Francisco Diverse to the
16	•Centerfire Creek from the mouth on the San Francisco River to the
17	headwaters, 7.1 mi. (San Francisco River Basin 20.6.4.603 (plant
18	nutrients).
19	
20	The document entitled: <u>Total Maximum Daily Load For Plant Nutrients On The San</u>
21	Francisco River from Centerfire Creek Upstream to the New Mexico/Arizona Border
22	adopted by the WQCC December 11, 2001 [insert hyperlink to WQCC
23	minutes/approval] and approved by EPA [pending] [insert hyperlink to copy of EPA's
24	approval letter] includes the following TMDL for:
25	
26	 San Francisco River from Centerfire Creek upstream to the New
27	Mexico/Arizona Border, 15 mi. (San Francisco River Basin 20.6.4.602
28	(plant nutrients).
29	4 /
30	The document entitled: <i>Total Maximum Daily Load For Chronic Aluminum On</i>
31	Whitewater Creek adopted by the WQCC December 11, 2001 [insert hyperlink to
32	WQCC minutes/approval] and approved by EPA [pending] [insert hyperlink to copy
33	of EPA's approval letter includes the following TMDL for:
	of EFA's approval letter; includes the following TMDL for.
34	
35	•Whitewater Creek from the mouth on the San Francisco River to
36	Whitewater Campground, 5.6 mi. (San Francisco River Basin 20.6.4.603
37	(dissolved chronic aluminum).
38	Strategy
39	1) The Ctate of New Manier will and in the Land TMDI (C. 1) of CDD
40	1) The State of New Mexico will continue to develop TMDLs as specified in the CPP,
41	and following the schedule and terms established in the federal Court monitored
42	consent decree, the settlement agreement, and the MOU between the NMED and
43	the USEPA. Additionally, the state will develop TMDLs as specified in negotiated
44	Clean Water Act § 106 and § 104(b)(3) grant commitments. The State may also act
45	independently of the aforementioned agreements to adopt TMDLs as it may find
46	necessary and appropriate.

December 20, 2001

TMDLs are considered "living documents," and will be reviewed and revised as necessary as new water quality data are received and water quality standards are developed

DRAFT WQMP Page 16 December 20, 2001

Work Element 1 Tables

Table 1-1

<u>Point Source Load Allocation for the Twining Water and Sanitation</u>

<u>District (NPDES Permit No. NM0022101), Taos County, New Mexico</u>

<u>Parameter</u>	Time <u>Interval</u>	7Q10 ^{A/} (ft ³ /sec)	Effluent Volume (mgd)	Allowable Mass Load (kg/day)	Allowable 30-day Average Conc. (mg/l)	Allowable 7-day Average Conc. (mg/l)
5-day biochemical oxygen demand	annual 3.3	0.095	10.8	30	45	
total suspended solids	annual	3.3	0.095	10.8	30	45
fecal coliform bacteria	annual	3.3	0.095		500 ^{<u>B</u>/}	$500^{\underline{B}/}$
total residual chlorine	annual	3.3	0.095		0.04	0.04
total ammonia nitrogen	annual	3.3	0.095	10.8	30	30
total phosphorus	January	3.3	0.095	0.36	1.0	1.0
-	February	3.3	0.095	0.36	1.0	1.0
	March	3.3	0.095	0.36	1.0	1.0
	Apri1	4.4	0.095	0.36	1.0	1.0
	May	8.9	0.095	0.72	2.0	2.0
	June	8.9	0.095	0.72	2.0	2.0
	July	6.1	0.048	0.55	3.0	3.0
	August	5.7	0.048	0.55	3.0	3.0
	September	5.0	0.019	0.36	5.0	5.0
	October	4.5	0.019	0.36	5.0	5.0
	November	3.3	0.095	0.36	1.0	1.0
	December	3.3	0.095	0.36	1.0	1.0

The critical low flow condition in the Rio Hondo is the average low flow that persists for seven consecutive days once every ten years, on the average (7Q10).

Units are organisms per 100 ml.

TABLE 1-2

<u>Point Source Load Allocation for the Town of Red River</u>
(NPDES Permit No. NM0024899), Taos County, New Mexico

<u>Parameter</u>	Time <u>Interval</u>	7Q10 ^{A/} (ft ³ /sec)	Effluent Volume (mgd)	Allowable Mass Load (kg/day)	Allowable 30-day Average <u>Conc. (mg/l)</u>	Allowable 7-day Average Conc. (mg/l)
5-day biochemical oxygen demand	annual	5.6	0.485	55.3	30	45
total suspended solids	annual	5.6	0.485	55.3	30	45
fecal coliform bacteria	annual	5.6	0.485		$500^{ ext{B}/}$	500 ^B /
total residual chlorine	annual	5.6	0.485		0.02	0.02
total phosphorus	January February March April May June July August September October November December	6.1 5.9 5.9 8.4 16.3 18.0 12.3 11.3 10.7 9.4 7.4. 5.6	0.388 0.388 0.388 0.097 0.097 0.485 0.485 0.485 0.097 0.097 0.388 0.388	1.5 1.5 1.5 0.37 2.8 3.1 2.2 2.2 1.8 1.5 1.5	1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 7.5 1.7 1.2 1.2 5.0 4.0 1.0	1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 7.5 1.7 1.2 1.2 5.0 4.0 1.0
total ammonia nitrogen	January February March April May June July August September October November December	6.1 5.9 5.9 8.4 16.3 18.0 12.3 11.3 10.7 9.4 7.4 5.6	0.388 0.388 0.388 0.097 0.097 0.485 0.485 0.485 0.097 0.097 0.388 0.388	44.0 44.0 29.4 7.3 11.0 36.7 25.7 33.0 11.0 11.0 44.0 44.0	30 30 20 20 30 20 14 18 30 30 30 30	30 30 20 20 30 20 14 18 30 30 30 30

The critical low flow condition in the Rio Hondo is the average low flow that persists for seven consecutive days once every ten years, on the average (7Q10).

B/ Units are organisms per 100 ml

TABLE 1-3

(NPDES Permit No. NM 0020737), Cibola County, New Mexico. Point Source Allocation for the City of Grants

Parameter	7Q10 ¹ (ft3/sec)	TMDL ² (kg/day)	Measured Back- ground (kg/day)	Allowable Mass Load (kg/day)	Allowable Average Conc. (mg/1)	Allowable Maximum Conc. (mg/1)
Total phosphorus (as P)	3.1	1.51	92.0	0.75	0.1	0.1
Total inorganic nitrogen (as N) $(NH_3 + NH_4 + N0_2 + N0_3)$	3.1	30.2	9.1	21.1	2.8	2.8
Total ammonia (as N)	3.1	1.89	1.14	0.75	0.15	0.15
Fecal coliform bacteria	NA	NA	NA	NA	100^{4}	100
Total chlorine residual	NA	NA	NA	NA	0.005^{5}	0.005
Biochemical oxygen demand (5-day)	NA	NA	NA	2276	30	NA
Total suspended solids	NA	NA	NA	227 ⁶	30	NA

¹The minimum average seven consecutive day flow which occurs with a frequency of once in ten years. 2 Total maximum daily load (TMDL) = $(7Q10 + WWTF \text{ design flow } (3.08 \text{ ft}^{3}/\text{sec})) \text{ X WQS X 2.447}.$

Page 19

³WLA (waste load allocation) = TMDL - MBG (measured background).

⁴Units are 100 organisms per 100 ml.

⁵A water quality-based effluent limitation based on implementation of Section 1-102.F, Hazardous

Substances, of the state's water quality standards. ⁶Loads and concentrations for BOD (5-day) and TSS are based on EPA's secondary treatment regulations (40 CFR Part 133); they are not based on water quality standards or TMDL

1 **Work Element 2 – Effluent Limitations**

2 (Revised: [month/year])

3

Requirements for Work Element 2

4 5 6

7

- Regulation 40 CFR 130.6(c)(2) requires: "[e]ffluent limitations including water quality based effluent limitations and schedules."
- **Background**

standards.

8 9 10

11

13

14 15

The "Effluent Limitations" element is a required (40 CFR 130.6(c)) element in the WQMP. However, according to the same regulation, a plan element may be "...referenced as part of the WQM plan if contained in separate documents...." A plan for effluent limitations is contained in 12 State of New Mexico Continuing Planning Process, July 1998 (CPP). An Implementation Plan is also incorporated in the NM Standards for Interstate and Intrastate Surface Waters². The intent of this element of the WQMP is to supplement, but not supersede, the CPP and the water quality

16

17 18

19

20

21 22 As specified in the CPP, the WQCC has determined that the primary mechanism for controlling point source discharges to surface waters ("waters of the United States"³) in New Mexico is the NPDES permit program established under § 402 of the federal CWA. The USEPA Region 6 in Dallas, Texas is responsible for issuing NPDES permits in New Mexico that specify the amount and concentration of contaminants that a permittee may discharge to a surface waterbody. The USEPA is also responsible for the enforcement of effluent limitations stipulated by NPDES permits.

24 25 26

27

28 29

30

23

Federal regulations, among other requirements, require NPDES permits include technology based effluent limitations and other necessary effluent limitations for toxic pollutants and sewage sludge⁴. The USEPA is responsible for development and promulgation of technology based effluent limitations pursuant to §§ 301, 304, 306, 307, and 316 of the Clean Water Act. Federally promulgated technology based effluent limitations are published by USEPA in the Code of Federal Regulations⁵.

31 32

33 Federal regulations require NPDES permits must, contain water quality based effluent limits 34 (WQBELs)⁶ when necessary to protect applicable water quality standards for the receiving water 35 adopted in accordance with CWA § 303. Therefore, WQBELs are required where technology 36 based effluent limits are not sufficient to protect water quality standards. WQBELs may be

² 20.6.4 NMAC.

³ As defined in 40 CFR 122.2.

⁴ Refer to 40 CFR 122.44(a) and 40 CFR 122.44(b) for more detail.

⁵ The term technology based effluent limitations in this section generally refers to the "Secondary Treatment Regulation" (40 CFR 133) for publicly owned treatment works (POTWs); the "Effluent Guidelines and Standards" (40 CFR Subchapter N) for non POTWs, and/or technology based effluent limitations based upon the "best professional judgment" (BPJ) of the permit writer where appropriate. BPJ is usually considered where technology based effluent limitations have not been previously established in regulation for a particular industry.

⁶ Refer to 40 CFR 122.44(d) for more detail.

calculated at the time a permit is issued by the permitting agency or WOBELs may be calculated as part of a WLA in a TMDL.

2 3 4

1

Federal regulations require NPDES permits must implement (be consistent with) State adopted water quality management plans (e.g., WLAs in TMDLs in Work Element 1 of this WQMP).

5 6 7

8

9

10

11 12 The WQCC is authorized under the New Mexico Water Quality Act (NMWQA) [§ 74-6-1 et seq. NMSA 1978] to adopt regulations, including effluent limitations for the protection of surface water quality. The WQCC has adopted regulations for protection of surface water quality specifying effluent limitations under certain specified conditions. These regulations are found in Subpart 2 of the WQCC's Ground and Surface Water Protection Regulations⁸. Effluent limitations for discharges to surface and ground waters are adopted in accordance with all requirements (e.g., public participation) specified in the NMWQA.

13 14 15

16

17

The WQCC has, in addition to adopting regulations specifying effluent limitations for discharges to surface waters, previously adopted as part of this WQMP a strategy to control the pH of discharges and the discharge of pathogens (as indicated by fecal coliform bacteria) for the protection of public health and the environment.

18 19 20

21 22

23 24

25

26

27

The WQCC has adopted, and periodically revises, water quality standards for surface waters in the State of New Mexico. The WQCC through the water quality standards allows, in specified circumstances, schedules of compliance to be included in NPDES permits⁹. Federal regulation also allows for schedules of compliance in NPDES permits under certain limitations¹⁰. Such schedules of compliance will be for the purpose of providing a permittee with adequate time to make treatment facility modifications necessary to comply with water quality based limitations determined to be necessary to implement new or revised water quality standards. Implementation of schedules of compliance should be in accordance with provisions of the NPDES regulations and the water quality standards.

28 29 30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37 38

Where a State, such as New Mexico, is not delegated primacy for the issuance of federal permits (e.g., NPDES permits) pursuant to Section 401 of the federal Clean Water Act, the State in which the discharge originates is authorized to review discharges (and permits) to ensure the discharge will: 1) be compatible with appropriate state law; 2) protect water quality standards adopted in accordance with § 303 of the CWA; and 3) implement an effective water quality management plan. In such review, or certification, the State may: 1) approve the discharge without condition; 2) approve the discharge subject to conditions necessary to meet one of the three aforementioned criteria; 3) deny certification; or 4) waive certification. The NMWQA¹¹ assigns the responsibility for certifying permits issued under the CWA to the New Mexico Environment Department. The NMWQA also specifies ¹² conditions where a certification shall be denied.

39 40

⁷ 40 CFR 122.44(d)(6) and 40 CFR 130.12(a)

^{8 20.6.2} NMAC

⁹ Subsection J of 20.6.4.11 NMAC

¹⁰ 40 CFR 122.47

¹¹ § 74-6-4.E - NMSA 1978, 1993 Replacement Pamphlet ¹² § 74-6-5.E - NMSA 1978, 1993 Replacement Pamphlet

1	Strategy	
2		
3 4	1)	The CPP is incorporated herein by reference. Effluent limits and decisions regarding effluent limits should be consistent with the CPP.
5 6 7 8	2)	The NPDES permitting authority will incorporate, as appropriate, technology based effluent limitations in NPDES permits in accordance with federal NPDES regulations;
9 10 11 12	3)	The NPDES permitting authority will review NPDES permit applications and relevant water quality data to determine and include water quality based effluent limits as appropriate and necessary to protect water quality standards;
14 15 16	4)	The NPDES permitting authority will incorporate WLAs for point source discharges adopted in TMDLs by the WQCC and approved by the USEPA as part of this WQMP (see Work Element 1);
18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	5)	The NM Environment Department will review NPDES permit actions for purposes of state certification ¹³ . The Environment Department will assure through appropriate review and communication with the permitting authority that permit requirements and effluent limitations are: compatible with appropriate state law, protect water quality standards and implement the water quality management plan.
23 24 25 26 27	6)	The Environment Department will use the effluent limitation ¹⁴ of 500 fecal coliform bacteria per 100 milliliters and the range 6.0- 9.0 for pH for state certifications of NPDES permits except when:
28 29 30 31		 a. more stringent limitations are needed to meet the antidegradation policy and implementation plan of the New Mexico Water Quality Standards, (20.6.4 NMAC);
32 33 34		b. the WQCC has adopted more stringent limitation in a point source load allocation.
35 36 37		In all cases, state-certified effluent limitations for fecal coliform bacteria and pH shall be stringent enough so that receiving waters meet water quality standards.

¹³ CWA § 401 and NMWQA § 74-6-4.E.
14 Strategy number 6 was originally adopted by the WQCC in 1989 in Work Element 6. This strategy is relocated without amendment to this Work Element for continuity.

Work Element 3 – Municipal and Industrial Waste Treatment

(Revised: [month/year])

Requirements for Work Element 3

Regulation 40 CFR 130.6(c)(3) requires:

 Identification of anticipated municipal and industrial waste treatment works, including facilities for treatment of stormwater-induced combined sewer overflows; programs to provide necessary financial arrangements for such works; establishment of construction priorities and schedules for initiation and completion of such treatment works including an identification of open space and recreation opportunities from improved water quality in accordance with section 208(b)(2) (A) and (B) of the Act.

Background

New Mexico's plan for waste treatment is addressed in two documents.

The first document is the Clean Water Needs Survey (CWNS)

... is required by Sections 205(a) and 516(b)(1) of the CWA. The CWNS is a summary of the estimated capital costs for water quality projects and other activities eligible for SRF support as authorized by the 1987 CWA Amendments. These activities include both facilities and certain water quality program elements. Activities include the planning, design, and construction of publicly owned wastewater collection and treatment systems and projects controlling CSOs, SW, and NPS pollutants. Other eligible water quality program elements are those that involve one-time expenditures supporting the CWA goals, such as program development and implementation. [From introduction to EPA's "1996 Clean Water Needs Survey Report to Congress -- (EPA 832-R-97-003)]]

In the past the State of New Mexico has participated in these surveys by collecting information and submitting it to the EPA for inclusion in periodic (once every four years) reports Congress. The 1996 Clean Water Needs Survey Report to Congress (EPA 832-R-97-003) is the most recent and current version of the report.

The second document is the *Priority Rating System for Point Source, Nonpoint Source and Brownfields Redevelopment Projects.* Previous priority rating systems for evaluating proposed projects for CWSRF funding were limited to point source discharges. In 2000, NMED's Construction Programs Bureau, in consultation with the Surface Water Quality and Ground Water Quality Bureaus, revised and prepared an update to the WQCC's 1986 *Water Quality Control Commission Priority Rating System for Wastewater Facility Construction Loan Projects.* The revisions were adopted by the WQCC in a document now known as the *Water*

1 2 3	-	ntrol Commission Priority Rating System for Point Source, Non-Point Source and Redevelopment Projects.
4	Strategy	
5		
6 7	1)	The 1996 CWNS is incorporated into the WQMP by reference.
8	2)	The State of New Mexico, principally through the New Mexico Environment
9		Department, will continue to participate in future CWNS data collection efforts.
10		
11	3)	Future CWNS Reports, when finalized by EPA and sent to Congress as required by
12		law, will be automatically incorporated by reference into this element of the
13		WQMP.
14		
15	4)	The 2000 Water Quality Control Commission Priority Rating System for Point
16		Source, Non-Point Source and Brownfields Redevelopment Projects is incorporated
17		into the WQMP by reference.
18	~`	
19	5)	Future revisions of the <i>Priority Rating System for Point Source</i> , <i>Non-Point Source</i>
20		and Brownfields Redevelopment Projects when adopted by the WQCC will be
21		automatically incorporated into this element of the WQMP by reference.
22		

1 Work Element 4 – Nonpoint Source Management and Control

(Revised: [month/year])

Requirements for Work Element 4

Regulation 40 CFR 130.6(c)(4) requires:

- (i) The [Water Quality Management] plan shall describe the regulatory and non-regulatory programs, activities and Best Management Practices (BMPs) which the agency has selected as the means to control nonpoint source pollution where necessary to protect or achieve approved water uses. Economic, institutional, and technical factors shall be considered in a continuing process of identifying control needs and evaluating and modifying the BMPs as necessary to achieve water quality goals.
- (ii) Regulatory programs shall be identified where they are determined to be necessary by the State to attain or maintain an approved water use or where non-regulatory approaches are inappropriate in accomplishing that objective.
- (iii) BMPs shall be identified for the nonpoint sources identified in section 208(b)(2)(F)-(K) of the Act and other nonpoint sources as follows:
- (A) Residual waste. Identification of a process to control the disposition of all residual waste in the area which could affect water quality in accordance with section 208(b)(2)(J) of the Act.
- (B) Land disposal. Identification of a process to control the disposal of pollutants on land or in subsurface excavations to protect ground and surface water quality in accordance with section 208(b)(2)(K) of the Act.
- (C) Agricultural and silvicultural. Identification of procedures to control agricultural and silvicultural sources of pollution in accordance with section 208(b)(2)(F) of the Act.
- (D) Mines. Identification of procedures to control mine-related sources of pollution in accordance with section 208(b)(2)(G) of the Act.
- (E) Construction. Identification of procedures to control construction related sources of pollution in accordance with section 208(b)(2)(H) of the Act.
- (F) Saltwater intrusion. Identification of procedures to control saltwater intrusion in accordance with section 208(b)(2)(I) of the Act.
- (G) Urban stormwater. Identification of BMPs for urban stormwater control to achieve water quality goals and fiscal analysis of the necessary capital and operations and maintenance expenditures in accordance with section 208(b)(2)(A) of the Act.
- (iv) The nonpoint source plan elements outlined in Sec. 130.6(c) (4)(iii)(A)(G) of this regulation shall be the basis of water quality activities implemented through agreements or memoranda of understanding between EPA and other departments, agencies or instrumentalities of the United States in accordance with section 304(k) of the Act.

1 **Background** 2 3 As defined in federal regulations (40 CFR 122.2), a point source is a discrete discharge of 4 pollutants, as through a pipe or similar conveyance (e.g., a ditch). A nonpoint source (NPS) is 5 essentially any source of pollutant(s) that is not a point source. 6 7 Nonpoint sources of water pollution are now widely recognized as the biggest contributors to 8 water pollution in New Mexico, as well as the nation. Principal sources of surface water NPS 9 pollution in New Mexico include erosion from rangelands, agricultural activities, construction, 10 silviculture, resource extraction, land disposal, unsurfaced roads, and recreation. 11 Hydromodification may affect attainment of designated uses by diverting water out of stream 12 channels, by impounding waters, through streambed channelization, and dredge-and-fill activities. Principal known sources of NPS ground water pollution in rural and suburban areas 13 14 include household septic tanks, cesspools, and agricultural activities. 15 16 NPS management is a required component of the WOMP. However, according to federal regulations (40 CFR 130.6(c)), a plan element may be "referenced as part of the WOM plan if 17 contained in separate documents." New Mexico's plan for management of NPS pollution is 18 19 described in the CPP under the *Process for Establishing and Assuring Implementation of Water* 20 Quality Standards and in New Mexico Nonpoint Source Management Program, October 1999 21 (NPSMP). 22 23 Strategy 24 25 Relevant portions of the CPP and the New Mexico Nonpoint Source Management 1) Program, October 1999 are incorporated into the WQMP by reference. 26 27 28

- 2) Future CPP revisions, when adopted by the WQCC and approved by the EPA as required by law, will be automatically incorporated by reference into this element of the WQMP.
- 3) Future revisions to the *New Mexico Nonpoint Source Management Program* will be automatically incorporated by reference into this element of the WQMP upon their approval by USEPA.

DRAFT WQMP Page 26 December 20, 2001

29

30

31 32

33

34

35

Work Element 5 – Management Agencies (Revised: [month/year])
Requirements for Work Element 5
Regulation 40 CFR 130.6(c)(5) requires: [i]dentification of agencies necessary to carry out the plan and provision for adequate authority for intergovernmental cooperation in accordance with sections 208(b)(2)(D) and 303(e)(3)(E) of the Act. Management agencies must demonstrate the legal, institutional, managerial and financial capability and specific activities necessary to carry out their responsibilities in accordance with section 208(c)(2)(A) through (I) of the Act.
Introduction
Prior to the 2001 revision of the WQMP, Management Agencies were addressed in Work Element 13 of the WQMP. Management agencies previously designated in Work Element 13 have been "relocated" to Work Element 5.
I Wastewater Management
Background
Under § 208 of the Federal Clean Water Act, water quality management plans are to include identification of agencies necessary to implement the Plan and provision for adequate authority for intergovernmental cooperation. Designated Management Agencies (DMAs) must demonstrate legal, institutional, managerial, and financial capability, and specific activities necessary to carry out their responsibilities. As specified at 40 CFR 130.12(b), CWA Section 201 funding can only be awarded to DMAs that are in conformance with the statewide WQMP. Accordingly, 84 municipalities (including Los Alamos County), 2 counties, 11 sanitation or water and sanitation districts, 4 state agencies, and 2 Native American tribal entities have been designated wastewater management agencies. One of the two Native American Tribal entities, the Navajo Tribal Utility Authority, has been designated as an interim wastewater management agency. The WQCC has the responsibility for designating management agencies. Under federal regulations ¹⁵ , management agency designations must be certified by the Governor, and the EPA Administrator shall accept such designations unless he/she finds that the designated management agencies do not have adequate specified authorities required in § 208 (c)(2).

¹⁵ 40 CFR 130.6(e)

DRAFT WQMP

1

The Governor certified the designation of 97 wastewater management agencies in 1980. Other 2 additional management agencies were certified in September 1983, August 1984, October 1985, 3 April 1999, and May 2001. A total of 103 wastewater management agencies have been 4 designated. 5 6 Incorporated municipalities, counties, and sanitation and water and sanitation districts have the 7 necessary authorities under state law to satisfy the requirements of Section 208(c)(2) of the 8 CWA. State law provides the designated State agencies with the necessary authority to design, 9 construct, operate, and maintain wastewater treatment plants and to accept and utilize State 10 and/or Federal funds for these purposes. 11 12 The Navajo Tribal Authority has been delegated the necessary authority by the Navajo Tribal 13 Council to satisfy the requirements of Section 208(c)(2) of the CWA. The Navajo water 14 Commission, the agency responsible for Section 208 planning on the Navajo Reservation, has 15 determined that the Authority should be an interim management agency with the designation to 16 be reviewed annually. 17 18 The Pueblo of Pojoaque is a Federally recognized Indian tribal entity and has adequate authority 19 over facilities under its jurisdiction to serve appropriately as a wastewater management agency. 20 21 Designated wastewater management agencies are listed in the following tables. Each agency that 22 has accepted this designation shall be responsible for wastewater management in its facility 23 planning area and shall, if the agency satisfies applicable Federal regulations, be able to receive 24 Section 201 construction grants funding. 25

DRAFT WQMP Page 28 December 20, 2001

Designated Management Agencies for Wastewater Management

INCORPORATED MUNICIPALITIES	Accepted	Rejected
Agency Designated	•	
Alamogordo	X	
Albuquerque	X	
Artesia	X	
Aztec	X	
Bayard	X	
Belen	X	
Bernalillo	X	
Bloomfield	X	
Capitan	X	
Carlsbad	X	
Carrizozo	X	
Causey	X	
Chama	X	
Cimarron	X	
Clayton	X	
Cloudcroft	X	
Clovis	X	
Columbus	X	
Corona	X	
Cuba	X	
Deming	X	
Des Moines	X	
Dexter	X	
Dora	X	
Eagle Nest	X	
Elida	X	
Encino	X	
Espanola	X	
Estancia	X	
Eunice	X	
Farmington	X	
Floyd	X	
Folsom	X	
Fort Sumner	X	
Gallup	X	
Grady	X	
Grants	X	
Grenville		X
Hagerman	X	

1 2

INCORPORATED MUNICIPALITIES	Accepted	Rejected
Agency Designated		
Hatch	X	
Hobbs	X	
Норе		X
House	X	
Jal	X	
Jemez Springs	X	
Lake Arthur	X	
Las Cruces	X	
Las Vegas	X	
Logan	X	
Lordsburg	X	
Los Alamos County	X	
Los Lunas	X	
Loving	X	
Lovington	X	
Magdalena	X	
Maxwell	X	
Melrose	X	
Moriarity	X	
Mosquero	X	
Mountainair	X	
Pecos	X	
Portales	X	
Questa	X	
Raton	X	
Red River	X	
Reserve	X	
Rio Rancho	X	
Roswell	X	
Roy	X	
Ruidoso	X	
San Jon	X	
San Ysidro	X	
Santa Fe	X	
Santa Rosa	X	
Silver City	X	
Socorro	X	
Springer	X	
Sunland Park	X	

DRAFT WQMP Page 29 December 20, 2001

INCORPORATED MUNICIPALITIES	Accepted	Rejected
Agency Designated	•	· ·
Taos	X	
Tatum	X	
Texico	X	
Truth or Consequences	X	
Tucumcari	X	
Tularosa	X	
Vaughn	X	
Virden		X
Wagon Mound	X	
Willard		X

COUNTIES Agency Designated	Accepted	Rejected
Valencia	X	
Dona Ana	X	

SANITATION DISTRICTS / WATER & SANITATION DISTRICTS Agency Designated	Accepted	Rejected
Alpine Village Sanitation District	X	
Anthony Sanitation District	X	
Bluewater Water & Sanitation District		X
El Valle de los Ranchos Water & Sanitation District	X	
Lakeshore City Sanitation District	X	
Pena Blanca Water & Sanitation District	X	

SANITATION DISTRICTS / WATER & SANITATION DISTRICTS Agency Designated	Accepted	Rejected
Ranchos de Placitas	X	
Sanitation District San Rafael Water &	X	
Sanitation District	Λ	
Thoreau Water & Sanitation District	X	
Twining Water & Sanitation District	X	
Williams Acres Water & Sanitation District	X	
Yah-ta-hey Water & Sanitation District	X	

STATE AGENCIES	Aggented	Rejected
Agency Designated	Accepted	
Corrections Dept.	X	
Dept. of Finance and	X	
Administration		
Health and	X	
Environment Dept.		
Natural Resources	X	
Dept.		

NATIVE AMERICAN TRIBAL ENTITIES Agency Designated	Accepted	Rejected
Navajo Tribal Utility Authority (interim wastewater management agency)	X	
Pueblo of Pojoaque	X	

Strategy

2 3

1

1) As economic development and growth continue in New Mexico, or as the need arises, additional designated management agencies for wastewater will be considered.

5 6

4

1 2 3	2)	The WQCC will consider new designated management agencies upon presentation of a petition requesting such designation.
4	3)	Designation of a Management Agency will occur only after appropriate public
5	ŕ	participation and presentation of relevant authorities by the applicant.
6	II. Managei	ment Agencies for Nonpoint Sources of Pollution
7	The New M	exico Nonpoint Source Management Program identifies specific agencies and their
8	programs fo	r the implementation of the nonpoint source management and control program.
9	Under the N	PSMP, interagency agreements (e.g., MOUs) may be established to outline
10	managemen	t responsibilities unique to each agency's area of responsibility and expertise.
11	-	
12	Strategy	
13		
14	1)	Agencies or organizations participating through formal agreements under the
15	NPSMP wil	l be considered a designated management agency for purposes the WQMP.
16		

1 2 3	Work Element 6 – Implementation Measures (Revised: [month/year])
4	Requirements for Work Element 6
5	
6	Regulation 40 CFR 130.6(c)(6) requires:
7	
8	[i]dentification of implementation measures necessary to carry out the plan,
9	including financing, the time needed to carry out the plan, and the economic,
10	social and environmental impact of carrying out the plan in accordance with
11	section $208(b)(2)(E)$.
12	
13	Background
14	
15	Schedules that specify when pollution control programs are expected to be implemented are
16	useful in tracking the progress of control programs incorporated into the Water Quality
17	Management Plan. Implementation schedules inform management agencies responsible for the
18	programs and other interested or affected parties of when significant milestones leading to
19	implementation are expected to occur.
20	
21	According to federal regulations (40 CFR 130.6(c)), a plan element may be "referenced as part
22	of the WQMP if contained in separate documents." The State of New Mexico has elected to
23	utilize its Clean Water Act Continuing Planning Process as an "umbrella" planning document to
24	describe implementation measures employed by the State to protect water quality and to carry
25	out the plan. The CPP utilizes a "modular" approach to planning documents. In this approach,
26	planning and protocol documents are incorporated by reference. This method facilitates updates
27	and improvements of specific modules more readily than rewriting/reviewing an entire
28	document.
29	
30 31	Where appropriate or required, individual documents also contain additional implementation procedures specific to that document. For example, section 20.6.4.8 of the New Mexico <u>Water</u>

procedures specific to that document. For example, section 20.6.4.8 of the New Mexico <u>Water Quality Standards for Interstate and Intrastate Surface Waters</u>, [20.6.4 NMAC] defines the State's "Antidegradation Policy and Implementation Plan." In particular, the antidegradation plan addresses economic, social and environmental concerns pertinent to the policy. Another example is the State's <u>Nonpoint Source Management Program</u> that identifies implementation and financing of measures under that program.

363738

39

32

33

3435

- Implementation schedules may also be affected by statutory or Court imposed orders. An example of a statutory schedule is CWA § 303(c) that requires States to review their water
- 40 quality standards every three years. An example of a Court imposed schedule is the Consent
- decree and settlement agreement that resulted from Forest Guardians and Southwest
- 42 Environmental Center v. Carol Browner, Administrator, U. S. Environmental Protection Agency

1	and the cons	sequent MOA between the USEPA and the NMED for the development of TMDLs
2	(see Work I	Element 1).
3		
4	Measures fo	or financing these programs may arise from a variety of source including federal
5		CWA §§ 106, 201, and 319), state budgets authorized by the Legislature, state
6	_	ands, local governments, cost sharing with stakeholders (public and private) or other
7	means as ap	propriate to the task.
8		
9	Strategy	
10		
11	1)	The New Mexico Continuing Planning Process is incorporated by reference.
12		
13	2)	Utilize the CPP as a reference guide to program implementation and scheduling.
14		
15	3)	Adhere to statutory, regulatory, and Court sanctioned schedules.
16		
17	4)	Utilize funding sources appropriate to the task.
18		
19		

1 2 3 4		nent 7 – Dredge or Fill Program month/year])
5	Requireme	ents for Work Element 7
6 7 8 9 10 11	[i]d	40 CFR 130.6(c)(7) requires: Ventification and development of programs for the control of dredge or fill erial in accordance with section 208(b)(4)(B) of the Act.
12	Backgroun	ad
	Dackgroun	iu
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29	for activities the federal the issuance is entitled produced in a management without continue aforeit assigns the Environment be denied.	States Department of the Army, Corps of Engineers is responsible for issuing permits is involving the discharge of dredge and fill materials as required pursuant to § 404 of Clean Water Act. Where a State, such as New Mexico, is not delegated primacy for e of permits (e.g., permits for dredged or fill material) pursuant to the CWA, the State bursuant to § 401 of the CWA to review discharges (and permits) to ensure the will: 1) be compatible with appropriate state law; 2) protect water quality standards accordance with § 303 of the CWA; and 3) implement an effective water quality in plan. In such review, or certification, the State may: 1) approve the discharge indition; 2) approve the discharge subject to conditions necessary to meet one of the mentioned criteria; 3) deny certification; or 4) waive certification. The NMWQA responsibility for certifying permits issued under the CWA to the New Mexico int Department. The NMWQA also specifies conditions where a certification shall or fill program is has also been addressed in the New Mexico Nonpoint Source int Program.
30	Strategy	
32		
33 34 35	1)	The <i>New Mexico Nonpoint Source Management Program</i> is hereby incorporated by reference.
36 37 38	2)	The NM Environment Department will review dredge or fill permit actions for purposes of state certification. The Environment Department will assure through appropriate review and communication with the permitting authority that permit

¹⁶ § 74-6-5.E - NMSA 1978, 1993 Replacement Pamphlet ¹⁷ July 1999 page 47.

requirements and effluent limitations are: compatible with appropriate state law, protect water quality standards and implement the water quality management plan.

1 2 3

DRAFT WQMP Page 35 December 20, 2001

1 2 3	Work Element 8 – Basin Plans (Revised: [month/year])
4	Requirements for Work Element 8
5	
6 7	Regulation 40 CFR 130.6(c)(8) requires:
8 9	[i]dentification of any relationship to applicable basin plans developed in accordance with section 209 of the Act."
10	· ·
11	Background
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Basin plans were initially developed by the State for water quality planning in the early and mid 1970's. In the 1980's the State elected to do its planning on a "state-wide" basis rather than a "basin-wide" basis. The EPA approved New Mexico Continuing Planning Process, indicates "the State has chosen to do its water quality management planning on a statewide basis and therefore has no areawide water quality management plans or basin water quality management plans 18."
20	Strategy
21	
22 23	1) Continue water quality management planning on a statewide basis.

DRAFT WQMP

 $^{^{18}}$ 1987 NM Continuing Planning Process, page 7 and 1998 NM Continuing Planning Process page 6.

1 2 3	Work Element 9 – Ground water (Revised: [month/year])
4	Requirements for Work Element 9
5	
6 7	40 CFR 130.6(c)(9) specifies that:
8	"States are not required to develop ground-water WQM plan elements beyond
9	the requirements of section $208(b)(2)(k)$ of the Act." [Emphasis added.]
10	
11	Section 208(b)(2) of the Act states:
12	
13	"[a]ny plan prepared shall include but not be limited to: (k) a process to
14	control the disposal of pollutants on land or in subsurface excavations within
15 16	such area to protect ground and surface water quality."
10	
17	Background
18	
19	The WQCC has adopted comprehensive regulations [20.6.2 NMAC], including ground water
20	quality standards and a discharge permitting program, for the protection ground water quality
21	under the authority of the New Mexico Water Quality Act. In accordance with the NMWQA [§
22	74-6-4] the WQCC has delegated responsibility for administering its regulations regarding
23	ground water protection to the New Mexico Environment Department and the New Mexico Oil
24	Conservation Division of the New Mexico Energy Minerals and Natural Resources
25	Department ¹⁹ . The WQCC reviews and changes its regulations, as it deems appropriate.
26	
27	In conjunction with the department-wide efforts to create/improve electronic databases, the
28	NMED Ground Water Quality Bureau has developed a computerized database. The database
29	addresses aspects of all of the ground water protection programs, including pollution prevention,
30	assessment and abatement, Superfund oversight, and voluntary remediation.
31	
32	The NMED database is designed to be GIS-compatible and to provide information on site
33	characteristics, including contaminant types, legal entities, regulatory deadlines and issues,
34	public notices, soil and ground water analytical data, well construction details, generalized
35	lithology, and other related information. The database can be used to track regulatory timelines,
36	providing notices of due dates to NMED staff for site-related correspondence and activities. The

38 39 40

41

37

The NMOCD has developed similar database functions to assist in the implementation of the ground water quality protection regulations.

supporting production of the 305(b) Report to Congress.

database may be used by the NMED to respond to public or regulatory-related inquiry, and for

DRAFT WQMP Page 37 December 20, 2001

¹⁹ Delegation of Responsibilities to Environmental Improvement Division and Oil Conservation Division July 21, 1989.

1		
2	Strategy	
3		
4	1)	The WQCC will update the Ground and Surface Water Protection Regulations [20]
5		NMAC 6.2] as necessary to meet arising needs.
6		
7	2)	The NMED and the NMOCD will continue to administer the state regulations for
8	•	ground water protection in accordance with the WQCC's delegation of
9		responsibilities.