

**SEPARATE STATEMENT OF
COMMISSIONER KATHLEEN Q. ABERNATHY**

Re: Rules and Regulations Implementing the Telephone Consumer Protection Act of 1991, CG Docket No. 02-278, Report and Order

Today's decision to establish a national do-not-call list is directly responsive to consumer frustration with telemarketing overload. Consumers are fed up with the barrage of telemarketing calls that intrude on their privacy, and they crave the ability to just say no. Congress also has made clear the importance of giving consumers a more effective means of blocking unwanted calls. Congress authorized establishment of a national do-not-call registry in the Telephone Consumer Protection Act of 1991, and earlier this year, it enacted the Do-Not-Call Implementation Act. This legislation authorizes funding for the Federal Trade Commission's national registry and directs this Commission to "maximize consistency" with the FTC's Telemarketing Sales Rule. Today's action responds to this congressional direction by providing a convenient, one-stop solution that will enable consumers to place their phone numbers on a unified national do-not-call list at no charge.

At the same time, I remain mindful that telemarketing can serve a valuable function by providing information to consumers about goods and services. Many consumers appreciate learning about ways to save money, obtain better service, or otherwise take advantage of commercial opportunities. Moreover, telemarketers enjoy protection under the First Amendment, which requires that any restrictions on commercial speech advance a substantial governmental interest and be no more extensive than necessary. Accordingly, I am pleased that we have crafted rules that balance the competing interests at stake.

In particular, we have preserved and in some cases modified the exemptions for calls to consumers with whom the marketer has an established business relationship, calls to consumers who have expressly consented to being called, and calls by tax-exempt nonprofit organizations (or by independent telemarketers calling on their behalf). Consumers should understand that, as a result of these statutory exemptions, placing a phone number on the national do-not-call list will not necessarily mean that you will receive *no* telemarketing calls. But the small number of calls received should be more consistent with consumers' expectations of privacy, and consumers can prohibit any further contact through company-specific do-not-call lists.

I am also pleased that the Commission has established a narrow exemption from the national do-not-call list to permit marketers to contact people with whom they have a personal relationship. I believe the record shows that Congress was concerned about anonymous calls using autodialers; it did not intend to put the Avon Lady out of business. Consumers generally expect and welcome calls from family, friends, and acquaintances who want to promote products and services. Restricting such calls therefore would impose a more extensive burden on speech than is necessary to achieve Congress's goals.

In addition, the Order appropriately clarifies the interplay between federal and state telemarketing restrictions. While I support empowering consumers to block unwanted calls, telemarketers should not have to comply with multiple, inconsistent rules. Indeed, Congress clearly called on this Commission and the FTC to establish a uniform federal regime. Thus, the Order appropriately clarifies that, while states may enforce the federal rules and may adopt more restrictive rules for intrastate calls, states generally may not regulate interstate calls.

In sum, I am pleased to support this Order, because it provides effective mechanisms for consumers to restrict unwanted telemarketing calls, while balancing the legitimate interests that companies and individuals have in communicating with customers and potential customers. I expect companies to comply with our new rules, and I look forward to working together with the FTC and state attorneys general to ensure that consumers receive the privacy protection they want and deserve.