investigations of the drug becomes effective and runs until the approval phase begins. The approval phase starts with the initial submission of an application to market the human drug product and continues until FDA grants permission to market the drug product. Although only a portion of a regulatory review period may count toward the actual amount of extension that the Director of Patents and Trademarks may award (for example, half the testing phase must be subtracted, as well as any time that may have occurred before the patent was issued), FDA's determination of the length of a regulatory review period for a human drug product will include all of the testing phase and approval phase as specified in 35 U.S.C. 156(g)(1)(B).

FDA recently approved for marketing the human drug product TRACLEER (bosentan). TRACLEER is indicated for the treatment of pulmonary arterial hypertension. Subsequent to this approval, the Patent and Trademark Office received a patent term restoration application for TRACLEER (U.S. Patent No. 5,292,740) from Hoffman-La Roche, Inc., and the Patent and Trademark Office requested FDA's assistance in determining this patent's eligibility for patent term restoration. In a letter dated April 26, 2002, FDA advised the Patent and Trademark Office that this human drug product had undergone a regulatory review period and that the approval of TRACLEER represented the first permitted commercial marketing or use of the product. Shortly thereafter, the Patent and Trademark Office requested that FDA determine the product's regulatory review period.

FDA has determined that the applicable regulatory review period for TRACLEER is 2,176 days. Of this time, 1,807 days occurred during the testing phase of the regulatory review period, while 369 days occurred during the approval phase. These periods of time were derived from the following dates:

1. The date an exemption under section 505 of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (the act) (21 U.S.C. 355) became effective: December 8, 1995. The applicant claims December 9, 1995, as the date the investigational new drug application (IND) became effective. However, FDA records indicate that the IND effective date was December 8, 1995, which was 30 days after FDA receipt of the IND.

2. The date the application was initially submitted with respect to the human drug product under section 505 of the act: November 17, 2000. FDA has verified the applicant's claim that the new drug application (NDA) for TRACLEER (NDA 21–290) was initially submitted on November 17, 2000.

3. *The date the application was approved*: November 20, 2001. FDA has verified the applicant's claim that NDA 21–290 was approved on November 20, 2001.

This determination of the regulatory review period establishes the maximum potential length of a patent extension. However, the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office applies several statutory limitations in its calculations of the actual period for patent extension. In its application for patent extension, this applicant seeks 1,259 days of patent term extension.

Anyone with knowledge that any of the dates as published is incorrect may submit to the Dockets Management Branch (see ADDRESSES) written comments and ask for a redetermination by July 9, 2003. Furthermore, any interested person may petition FDA for a determination regarding whether the applicant for extension acted with due diligence during the regulatory review period by November 3, 2003. To meet its burden, the petition must contain sufficient facts to merit an FDA investigation. (See H. Rept. 857, part 1, 98th Cong., 2d sess., pp. 41–42, 1984.) Petitions should be in the format specified in 21 CFR 10.30.

Comments and petitions should be submitted to the Dockets Management Branch. Three copies of any mailed information are to be submitted, except that individuals may submit one copy. Comments are to be identified with the docket number found in brackets in the heading of this document. Comments and petitions may be seen in the Dockets Management Branch between 9 a.m. and 4 p.m., Monday through Friday.

Dated: March 31, 2003.

#### Jane A. Axelrad,

Associate Director for Policy, Center for Drug Evaluation and Research. [FR Doc. 03–11215 Filed 5–6–03; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4160–01–8

#### DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

# Food and Drug Administration

[Docket No. 03D-0112]

#### Draft "Guidance for Industry: Independent Consultants for Biotechnology Clinical Trial Protocols;" Availability

**AGENCY:** Food and Drug Administration, HHS.

ACTION: Notice.

**SUMMARY:** The Food and Drug Administration (FDA) is announcing the availability of a draft document entitled "Guidance for Industry: Independent Consultants for Biotechnology Clinical Trial Protocols" dated May 2003. This draft guidance document is intended to explain when and how sponsors of clinical trials for certain products can request that FDA engage an independent consultant to participate in the review of protocols for clinical studies intended to serve as the primary basis of claims of efficacy.

**DATES:** Submit written or electronic comments on the draft guidance to ensure their adequate consideration in preparation of the final document by August 5, 2003. General comments on agency guidance documents are welcome at any time.

ADDRESSES: Submit written requests for single copies of the draft guidance to the Office of Communication, Training, and Manufacturers Assistance (HFM-40), Center for Biologics Evaluation and Research (CBER), Food and Drug Administration, 1401 Rockville Pike, Rockville, MD 20852-1448; or the Division of Drug Information (HFD-240), Center for Drug Evaluation and Research (CDER), Food and Drug Administration, 5600 Fishers Lane, Rockville, MD 20857. Send one selfaddressed adhesive label to assist the office in processing your requests. The document may also be obtained by mail by calling the CBER Voice Information System at 1-800-835-4709 or 301-827-1800, or by calling the FAX Information System at 1-888-CBER-FAX or 301-827–3844. See the SUPPLEMENTARY **INFORMATION** section for electronic access to the draft guidance document.

Submit written comments on the document to the Dockets Management Branch (HFA–305), Food and Drug Administration, 5630 Fishers Lane, rm. 1061, Rockville, MD 20852. Submit electronic comments to http:// www.fda.gov/dockets/ecomments.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Michael D. Anderson, Center for Biologics Evaluation and Research (HFM–17), Food and Drug Administration, 1401 Rockville Pike, Rockville, MD 20852–1448, 301–827– 6210; or John Jenkins, Center for Drug Evaluation and Research (HFD–020), 1451 Rockville Pike, Rockville, MD 20852–1448, 301–594–5421.

# SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### I. Background

FDA is announcing the availability of a draft document entitled "Guidance for Industry: Independent Consultants for Biotechnology Clinical Trial Protocols" dated May 2003. On June 12, 2002, the President signed the Public Health Security and Bioterrorism Preparedness and Response Act of 2002, which includes the Prescription Drug User Fee Amendments of 2002 (PDUFA III). Secretary Thompson's letter to Congress concerning PDUFA III included an addendum containing the performance goals and programs intended to facilitate the development and review of human drugs to which FDA had committed. One commitment was the establishment of a program that allows the sponsor of clinical trials for certain products to request that FDA engage an independent consultant to participate in the review of protocols for clinical studies that are intended to serve as the primary basis of claims of efficacy. This draft guidance document is intended to explain when and how a sponsor may take advantage of this program.

This level 1 draft guidance is being issued consistent with FDA's good guidance practices regulation (21 CFR 10.115). This draft guidance document represents the agency's current thinking on this topic. It does not create or confer any rights for or on any person and does not operate to bind FDA or the public. An alternative approach may be used if such approach satisfies the requirement of the applicable statutes and regulations.

#### **II. Comments**

This draft document is being distributed for comment purposes only and is not intended for implementation at this time. Interested persons may submit to the Dockets Management Branch (see ADDRESSES) written or electronic comments regarding this draft guidance document. Submit written or electronic comments to ensure adequate consideration in preparation of the final document. Two copies of mailed comments are to be submitted, except individuals may submit one copy. Comments should be identified with the docket number found in the brackets in the heading of this document. A copy of the document and received comments are available for public examination in the Dockets Management Branch between 9 a.m. and 4 p.m., Monday through Friday.

### **III. Electronic Access**

Persons with access to the Internet may obtain the document at either http:/ /www.fda.gov/cber/guidelines.htm or http://www.fda.gov/ohrms/dockets/ default.htm. Dated: April 23, 2003. Jeffrey Shuren, Assistant Commissioner for Policy. [FR Doc. 03–11214 Filed 5–6–03; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4160–01–S

#### DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

#### Food and Drug Administration

[Docket No. 99D-5453]

#### Guidance for Industry on Photosafety Testing; Availability

**AGENCY:** Food and Drug Administration, HHS.

# ACTION: Notice.

**SUMMARY:** The Food and Drug Administration (FDA) is announcing the availability of a guidance for industry entitled "Photosafety Testing." This guidance provides recommendations on when to test for photoirritation and assess the potential of drug products to enhance ultraviolet (UV)-associated skin carcinogenesis.

**DATES:** Submit written comments on agency guidances at any time.

ADDRESSES: Submit written requests for single copies of this guidance to the Division of Drug Information (HFD-240), Center for Drug Evaluation and Research, Food and Drug Administration, 5600 Fishers Lane, Rockville, MD 20857. Send one selfaddressed adhesive label to assist that office in processing your requests. Submit written comments on the guidance to the Dockets Management Branch (HFA–305), Food and Drug Administration, 5630 Fishers Lane, rm. 1061, Rockville, MD 20852. Submit electronic comments to http:// www.fda.gov/dockets/ecomments. See the SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION section for electronic access to the guidance document.

# **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Abigail C. Jacobs, Center for Drug Evaluation and Research (HFD–540), Food and Drug Administration, 5600 Fishers Lane, Rockville, MD 20857, 301–827–2020.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### I. Background

FDA is announcing the availability of a guidance for industry entitled "Photosafety Testing." This guidance provides recommendations on when to test for photoirritation and assess the potential of drug products to enhance UV-associated skin carcinogenesis.

In the Federal Register of January 10, 2000 (65 FR 1399), FDA published a notice making available a draft guidance entitled "Photosafety Testing." The notice gave interested persons an opportunity to submit comments. As a result of the comments, certain sections of this guidance were reworded to improve clarity. This guidance further emphasizes that a flexible approach can be used to address adverse photoeffects and that a specific assay is not required. Moreover, it encourages the development of methods that can be efficiently used to evaluate human safety. This guidance describes a consistent, science-based approach for testing of topically and systemically administered drug products. It also describes basic concepts of photobiology and phototesting.

This guidance is being issued consistent with FDA's good guidance practice regulation (21 CFR 10.115). The guidance represents the agency's current thinking on nonclinical photosafety testing. It does not create or confer any rights for or on any person and does not operate to bind FDA or the public. An alternative approach may be used if such approach satisfies the requirements of the applicable statutes and regulations.

#### **II. Comments**

Interested persons may submit to the Dockets Management Branch (see **ADDRESSES**) written or electronic comments on the guidance at any time. Two paper copies of mailed comments are to be submitted, except that individuals may submit one paper copy. Comments are to be identified with the docket number found in brackets in the heading of this document. The guidance and received comments are available for public examination in the Dockets Management Branch between 9 a.m. and 4 p.m., Monday through Friday.

# **III. Electronic Access**

Persons with access to the Internet may obtain the document at *http:// www.fda.gov/cder/guidance/index.htm* or *http://www.fda.gov/ohrms/dockets/ default.htm*.

Dated: April 30, 2003.

# Jeffrey Shuren,

Assistant Commissioner for Policy. [FR Doc. 03–11216 Filed 5–6–03; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4160–01–S